



TEACH FIRST – SOCIAL MOBILITY POLLING – OCTOBER 2017

Methodology: ComRes surveyed 2,010 British adults aged 18+ online between 13th and 15th October 2017. Data were weighted to be representative of British adults aged 18+ by age, gender, region and social grade. ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules.

All press releases or other publications must be checked with ComRes before use. ComRes requires 48 hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

For information about commissioning research please contact info@comresglobal.com or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660.

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the ComRes team, please email: pollwatch@comresglobal.com

REPUTATION | COMMUNICATIONS | PUBLIC POLICY

Four Millbank | London | SW1P 3JA | T. +44 (0)20 7871 8660

Rond-Point Schuman / Schumanplein 6 | Box 5 | 1040 Bruxelles | T. +32 (0)2 234 63 82

51/F Raffles City | No.268 Xi Zang Middle Road | HuangPu District Shanghai | 200001 China | T. +86 (0)21 2312 7674

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 1

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

Summary**Base: All respondents**

		The quality of teaching they receive at school	The type of school they attend (i.e. private or public)	Their ambition to succeed	How much money their parents earn	The contacts and networks they have	The area in which they live
Unweighted base		2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010
Weighted base		2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact		1842 92%	1449 72%	1862 93%	1317 66%	1765 88%	1590 79%
A great deal of impact	(4)	1076 54%	493 25%	1173 58%	360 18%	690 34%	409 20%
A fair amount of impact	(3)	766 38%	957 48%	689 34%	957 48%	1075 53%	1181 59%
Not very much impact	(2)	123 6%	436 22%	100 5%	521 26%	181 9%	354 18%
No impact at all	(1)	9 *	64 3%	10 1%	119 6%	10 1%	31 2%
NET: Not very much/no impact		132 7%	500 25%	110 5%	639 32%	191 9%	385 19%
Don't know		36 2%	61 3%	38 2%	54 3%	54 3%	35 2%
Mean		3.47	2.96	3.53	2.80	3.25	3.00
Standard deviation		0.63	0.78	0.62	0.81	0.64	0.67
Standard error		0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.01	0.02

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 2

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The quality of teaching they receive at school

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1842 92%	891 91%	950 92%	188 83%	306 88%	286 88%	330 92% ^C	278 94% ^{CDE}	454 98% ^{CDEFG}	494 87%	616 90% ⁱ	732 97% ^{IJ}	498 93%	510 91%	382 92%	451 91%	268 90%	769 90%
A great deal of impact	(4) 1076 54%	509 52%	567 55%	97 43%	148 43%	153 47%	175 49%	173 59% ^{CDEF}	331 71% ^{CDEFG}	245 43%	327 48%	504 66% ^{IJ}	276 51%	298 53%	230 56%	272 55%	137 46%	434 50%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 766 38%	382 39%	383 37%	91 40% ^H	158 46% ^{GH}	133 41% ^H	156 44% ^{gH}	105 35% ^H	124 27%	248 44% ^K	289 42% ^K	228 30%	222 41%	211 38%	153 37%	180 36%	131 44%	336 39%
Not very much impact	(2) 123 6%	64 7%	59 6%	24 10% ^{fGH}	32 9% ^{fGH}	30 9% ^{GH}	20 6% ^H	12 4% ^H	5 1%	56 10% ^K	50 7% ^K	17 2%	32 6%	34 6%	23 6%	34 7%	27 9%	64 7%
No impact at all	(1) 9 *	5 1%	4 *	2 2% ^{EGH}	* *	- -	3 1% ^h	- -	- -	6 1% ^K	3 *	- -	3 1%	1 *	4 1% ^o	1 *	1 *	7 1%
NET: Not very much/no impact	132 7%	69 7%	63 6%	28 12% ^{FGH}	34 10% ^{GH}	30 9% ^{GH}	23 6% ^H	12 4% ^H	5 1%	62 11% ^{JK}	53 8% ^K	17 2%	35 6%	35 6%	28 7%	35 7%	27 9%	72 8%
Don't know	36 2%	20 2%	16 2%	9 4% ^{fH}	6 2%	8 2% ^h	4 1%	6 2%	3 1%	15 3% ^k	12 2%	9 1%	5 1%	16 3% ^{Ln}	3 1%	12 2% ^l	4 1%	18 2%
Mean	3.47	3.45	3.49	3.30	3.33	3.39	3.42 ^{cd}	3.56 ^{CDEF}	3.71 ^{CDEFG}	3.32	3.41 ^I	3.65 ^{IJ}	3.45	3.48	3.48	3.49	3.37	3.42
Standard deviation	0.63	0.64	0.62	0.74	0.66	0.66	0.64	0.58	0.48	0.70	0.64	0.52	0.63	0.62	0.66	0.63	0.66	0.67
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The quality of teaching they receive at school

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1842 92%	1596 92% ^b	156 88%	90 89%	78 93%	219 95% ^{Bj}	156 92%	163 90%	141 93%	179 93%	240 90%	256 92%	163 92%	1037 90%	805 94% ^M
A great deal of impact	(4) 1076 54%	928 54%	89 50%	59 59%	39 46%	127 55%	92 54%	87 48%	91 60% ^{bcfj}	104 54%	134 50%	158 56%	97 55%	570 49%	506 59% ^M
A fair amount of impact	(3) 766 38%	667 39%	67 38%	31 31%	39 46% ^{ghk}	92 40%	64 38%	76 42%	50 33%	75 39%	106 40%	98 35%	67 38%	467 40% ^N	299 35%
Not very much impact	(2) 123 6%	102 6%	14 8% ^d	8 8%	5 6%	8 3%	7 4%	13 7%	8 5%	13 7%	20 8% ^d	18 7%	9 5%	91 8% ^N	32 4%
No impact at all	(1) 9 *	9 1%	- -	- -	1 1%	1 *	3 2%	- -	- -	- -	3 1%	1 *	- -	8 1% ^N	1 *
NET: Not very much/no impact	132 7%	110 6%	14 8% ^d	8 8%	5 7%	8 4%	10 6%	13 7%	8 5%	13 7%	24 9% ^D	20 7%	9 5%	99 9% ^N	33 4%
Don't know	36 2%	27 2%	7 4% ^{Aljk}	3 3%	1 1%	4 2%	3 2%	5 3%	2 2%	1 1%	3 1%	4 1%	5 3%	22 2%	15 2%
Mean	3.47	3.47	3.44	3.52	3.39	3.52 ^j	3.47	3.42	3.56 ^{cfj}	3.47	3.40	3.50	3.51	3.41	3.56 ^M
Standard deviation	0.63	0.63	0.64	0.65	0.64	0.58	0.66	0.63	0.59	0.62	0.69	0.64	0.60	0.67	0.57
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.07	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The type of school they attend (i.e. private or public)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1449	724	726	141	236	224	257	212	380	377	481	591	397	419	292	342	220	587
	72%	74%	71%	63%	68%	69%	72% ^c	72% ^c	82% ^{cDEFG}	66%	71%	78% ^{IJ}	74% ^o	75% ^O	71%	69%	74%	68%
A great deal of impact	(4) 493	274	218	34	74	81	87	78	139	107	168	217	131	137	111	113	77	194
	25%	28% ^B	21%	15%	21% ^c	25% ^C	24% ^C	26% ^C	30% ^{CD}	19%	25% ^I	29% ^I	24%	24%	27%	23%	26%	23%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 957	449	507	108	162	143	170	133	241	270	312	374	266	282	181	228	143	394
	48%	46%	49%	48%	47%	44%	47%	45%	52% ^{Eg}	47%	46%	49%	49%	50% ⁿ	44%	46%	48%	46%
Not very much impact	(2) 436	206	230	59	87	81	74	63	72	145	155	136	112	106	99	119	65	210
	22%	21%	22%	26% ^H	25% ^H	25% ^H	21% ^h	21% ^h	16%	25% ^K	23% ^K	18%	21%	19%	24%	24% ^m	22%	24%
No impact at all	(1) 64	18	46	15	13	8	10	10	7	29	18	18	20	16	10	18	6	34
	3%	2%	4% ^A	7% ^{EFH}	4% ^h	2%	3%	3%	2%	5% ^{JK}	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	4%	2%	4%
NET: Not very much/no impact	500	224	276	74	100	89	84	74	80	174	172	153	133	122	109	137	71	244
	25%	23%	27% ^a	33% ^{FgH}	29% ^H	27% ^H	23% ^H	25% ^H	17%	31% ^{JK}	25% ^K	20%	25%	22%	26%	27% ^M	24%	28%
Don't know	61	33	27	10	9	11	17	10	3	19	28	14	8	20	13	20	8	28
	3%	3%	3%	4% ^H	3% ^H	3% ^H	5% ^H	3% ^H	1%	3%	4% ^K	2%	2%	4% ^L	3%	4% ^L	3%	3%
Mean	2.96	3.03 ^B	2.90	2.74	2.88 ^c	2.95 ^C	2.98 ^C	2.98 ^C	3.11 ^{CDEFG}	2.83	2.97 ^I	3.06 ^{IJ}	2.96	3.00	2.98	2.91	3.00 ^q	2.90
Standard deviation	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.81	0.79	0.79	0.77	0.80	0.72	0.80	0.78	0.75	0.78	0.75	0.79	0.79	0.76	0.80
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The type of school they attend (i.e. private or public)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status			
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)	
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928	
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852	
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1449	1263	117	69	70	176	120	127	100	133	210	200	128	807	642	
	72%	73%b	66%	69%	83%BEFG	76%Bg	71%	70%	66%	69%	78%BfGI	71%	72%	70%	75%M	
A great deal of impact	(4)	493	436	33	23	22	62	46	40	38	46	79	64	40	271	222
		25%	25%b	19%	23%	26%	27%b	28%b	22%	25%	24%	30%B	23%	22%	23%	26%
A fair amount of impact	(3)	957	827	84	46	49	114	74	87	62	87	131	135	88	537	420
		48%	48%	48%	46%	58%EGi	49%	44%	48%	41%	45%	49%	48%	50%	46%	49%
Not very much impact	(2)	436	366	46	23	11	41	36	40	45	44	43	64	42	275	161
		22%	21%	26%CdJ	23%c	13%	18%	21%	22%c	30%CDJ	23%cj	16%	23%cj	23%cj	24%N	19%
No impact at all	(1)	64	58	2	4	2	5	9	6	4	9	8	10	5	40	24
		3%	3%	1%	4%	2%	2%	5%B	3%	2%	5%b	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%
NET: Not very much/no impact	500	424	49	27	13	46	45	46	49	54	51	74	46	315	185	
	25%	24%	28%CdJ	27%c	15%	20%	27%Cj	25%c	32%CDJ	28%CdJ	19%	27%Cj	26%c	27%N	22%	
Don't know	61	46	11	4	2	9	4	8	2	6	6	6	3	36	25	
	3%	3%	6%AeGjK	4%L	2%	4%	2%	5%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	
Mean	2.96	2.97	2.89	2.92	3.08b	3.05b	2.96	2.93	2.90	2.91	3.08Bfg	2.93	2.94	2.92	3.02M	
											IKI					
Standard deviation	0.78	0.78	0.73	0.81	0.69	0.75	0.85	0.77	0.81	0.82	0.77	0.78	0.76	0.79	0.76	
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.03	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 6

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

Their ambition to succeed

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age							Social Grade				Employment Sector			
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1862 93%	887 90%	975 95%A	199 88%	309 90%	294 91%	334 93%c	274 93%	452 98%CDEFG39%	508 92%i	628 92%i	726 96%IJ	500 93%	515 92%	391 95%	456 92%	273 91%	784 91%
A great deal of impact	(4) 58%	1173 55%	629 61%A	110 49%	198 57%c	177 55%	213 59%C	174 59%C	301 65%CDE	308 54%	389 57%	475 63%Ij	320 60%	336 60%	239 58%	277 56%	177 59%	482 56%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 34%	689 35%	347 34%	89 40%	111 32%	117 36%	121 34%	100 34%	151 33%	200 35%	238 35%	251 33%	180 33%	179 32%	152 37%	179 36%	96 32%	302 35%
Not very much impact	(2) 5%	100 6%B	38 4%	17 8%H	25 7%H	18 5%H	18 5%H	13 5%h	9 2%	43 7%K	35 5%K	22 3%	25 5%	33 6%	16 4%	26 5%	16 6%	50 6%
No impact at all	(1) 1%	10 1%b	2 *	4 2%fGh	1 *	3 1%	1 *	- -	1 *	6 1%k	3 1%	1 *	5 1%	2 *	2 *	1 *	4 1%	5 1%
NET: Not very much/no impact	110 5%	70 7%B	40 4%	21 10%fGH	27 8%H	20 6%H	18 5%H	13 5%h	10 2%	48 8%jK	39 6%K	23 3%	30 6%	35 6%	18 4%	27 5%	20 7%	55 6%
Don't know	38 2%	24 2%	14 1%	5 2%H	9 3%H	9 3%H	6 2%H	8 3%H	1 *	14 2%k	15 2%	9 1%	8 2%	11 2%	4 1%	15 3%n	6 2%	20 2%
Mean	3.53	3.49	3.58A	3.38	3.51c	3.49	3.55C	3.56C	3.63CDEf	3.46	3.52	3.60IJ	3.54	3.54	3.53	3.51	3.52	3.50
Standard deviation	0.62	0.66	0.57	0.71	0.66	0.65	0.60	0.58	0.54	0.68	0.62	0.56	0.63	0.62	0.60	0.61	0.66	0.64
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.02

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

Their ambition to succeed

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humber (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East of London (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1862 93%	1614 93%B	156 88%	92 92%	78 92%	207 90%	156 93%	164 91%	143 95%b	180 93%	255 95%BDf	264 95%Bd	166 94%b	1057 91%	805 94%M
A great deal of impact	(4) 1173 58%	1008 58%	100 56%	65 64% C	40 47%	126 54%	103 61% C	114 63% C	97 64% Cd	108 56%	151 57%	172 61% C	98 55%	659 57%	514 60%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 689 34%	605 35%	57 32%	27 27%	38 45% BEFG	81 35%	53 32%	50 28%	46 30%	72 37% f	104 39% Fh	92 33%	69 39% Fh	399 34%	291 34%
Not very much impact	(2) 100 5%	81 5%	14 8% aJ	5 5% J	5 6% J	18 8% J	8 5% J	10 6% J	6 4%	11 6% J	3 1%	12 4% J	9 5% J	67 6% n	33 4%
No impact at all	(1) 10 1%	10 1%	- -	- -	1 1%	1 1%	- -	4 2% beKl	* *	1 *	4 1% k	- -	- -	8 1%	2 *
NET: Not very much/no impact	110 5%	91 5%	14 8% J	5 5%	6 7% j	19 8% Jk	8 5%	14 8% J	6 4%	12 6% j	7 3%	12 4%	9 5%	75 6% N	35 4%
Don't know	38 2%	27 2%	7 4% AIKl	3 3%	1 1%	5 2%	5 3%	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	6 2%	4 1%	2 1%	25 2%	12 1%
Mean	3.53	3.53	3.51	3.61 C	3.39	3.47	3.58 Cd	3.55	3.61 Cd	3.50	3.54 c	3.58 Cd	3.51	3.51	3.57 M
Standard deviation	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.59	0.65	0.67	0.58	0.70	0.58	0.62	0.60	0.57	0.59	0.64	0.58
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.02

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

How much money their parents earn

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1317 66%	663 68%b	654 64%	154 68%	244 71%EFg	199 62%	225 63%	186 63%	309 67%	398 70%J	424 62%	494 65%	363 67%n	381 68%N	250 60%	322 65%	207 69%	553 64%
A great deal of impact (4)	360 18%	203 21%B	157 15%	45 20%H	78 22%fGH	67 21%H	61 17%	46 16%	63 14%	123 22%K	128 19%K	109 14%	90 17%	111 20%	74 18%	85 17%	54 18%	178 21%
A fair amount of impact (3)	957 48%	460 47%	497 48%	109 48%	167 48%e	132 41%	164 46%	140 47%	246 53%Ef	276 48%	296 43%	386 51%J	273 51%N	271 48%	176 42%	238 48%	153 51%Q	375 44%
Not very much impact (2)	521 26%	238 24%	283 28%	53 23%	83 24%	88 27%	105 29%	74 25%	118 25%	136 24%	194 28%i	191 25%	141 26%	144 26%	124 30%O	111 22%	65 22%	231 27%
No impact at all (1)	119 6%	49 5%	70 7%	9 4%	10 3%	23 7%D	17 5%	27 9%CDF	32 7%D	19 3%	40 6%i	59 8%l	25 5%	17 3%	28 7%M	49 10%LM	19 6%	48 6%
NET: Not very much/no impact	639 32%	287 29%	353 34%A	62 27%	93 27%	112 35%d	122 34%d	101 34%d	149 32%	155 27%	234 34%l	250 33%l	166 31%	162 29%	152 37%iM	160 32%	85 28%	278 32%
Don't know	54 3%	32 3%	22 2%	9 4%H	8 2%	13 4%H	11 3%H	9 3%h	5 1%	17 3%	23 3%k	13 2%	9 2%	17 3%	12 3%	16 3%	7 2%	28 3%
Mean	2.80	2.86B	2.74	2.88Gh	2.92EFGH	2.78	2.77	2.71	2.74	2.91JK	2.78	2.73	2.81	2.87NO	2.74	2.74	2.83	2.82
Standard deviation	0.81	0.81	0.80	0.78	0.77	0.87	0.79	0.85	0.78	0.77	0.83	0.81	0.77	0.76	0.84	0.86	0.80	0.83
Standard error	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

How much money their parents earn

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humber (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East of London (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1317 66%	1143 66%	115 65%	59 59%	63 74% ^{Fhi}	157 68% ^f	112 66%	106 59%	99 65%	118 61%	189 71% ^{Fhi}	186 67%	114 64%	760 66%	556 65%
A great deal of impact (4)	360 18%	310 18%	31 17%	18 18%	19 22% ^f	37 16%	36 21% ^F	22 12%	26 17%	41 21% ^F	54 20% ^f	43 16%	32 18%	232 20% ^N	128 15%
A fair amount of impact (3)	957 48%	832 48%	84 47%	41 41%	44 52% ⁱ	120 52% ^l	76 45%	84 46%	73 48%	77 40%	134 50% ^l	143 51% ^l	82 46%	528 46%	429 50% ^m
Not very much impact (2)	521 26%	450 26%	45 25%	26 26%	18 22%	55 24%	41 24%	53 29%	41 27%	59 30% ^j	60 22%	72 26%	52 30%	296 26%	225 26%
No impact at all (1)	119 6%	100 6%	8 5%	10 10% ^{cDI}	2 2%	8 4%	13 8% ^{cd}	16 9% ^{cDI}	9 6%	13 7%	14 5%	17 6%	7 4%	67 6%	52 6%
NET: Not very much/no impact	639 32%	551 32%	53 30%	36 36%	20 24%	63 27%	54 32%	70 38% ^{CDJ}	50 33%	72 37% ^{Cdj}	74 28%	88 32%	59 33%	363 31%	277 32%
Don't know	54 3%	39 2%	9 5% ^{Agjk}	5 5%	2 2%	11 5% ^{gjk}	3 2%	5 3%	2 1%	4 2%	5 2%	5 2%	4 2%	35 3%	19 2%
Mean	2.80	2.80	2.82 ^f	2.71	2.96 ^{Fhk}	2.84 ^F	2.81 ^f	2.64	2.78	2.77	2.87 ^F	2.77	2.80 ^f	2.82	2.76
Standard deviation	0.81	0.80	0.79	0.90	0.74	0.74	0.87	0.82	0.81	0.87	0.80	0.79	0.78	0.82	0.78
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.03	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 10

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The contacts and networks they have

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector		
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)	
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795	
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859	
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1765 88%	843 86%	922 90%A	192 85%	305 88%	287 89%	318 89%	252 85%	411 89%	497 87%	606 89%	662 87%	476 88%	487 87%	372 90%	430 86%	261 87%	746 87%	
A great deal of impact	(4) 34%	690 34%	336 34%	354 34%	82 36%	131 38%H	112 35%	135 38%H	93 31%	137 30%	214 37%K	247 36%K	229 30%	187 35%	196 35%	136 33%	170 34%	104 35%	320 37%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 53%	1075 53%	507 52%	569 55%	110 49%	173 50%	176 54%	183 51%	159 54%	274 59%CDF	283 50%	359 53%	433 57%I	288 54%	291 52%	236 57%	260 52%	157 53%	426 50%
Not very much impact	(2) 9%	181 9%	96 10%	84 8%	23 10%	29 9%	26 8%	32 9%	27 9%	43 9%	52 9%	58 9%	70 10%	52 8%	47 8%	31 8%	51 10%	30 10%	84 10%
No impact at all	(1) 1%	10 1%	7 1%	3 *	1 *	4 1%f	2 *	- -	1 *	3 1%	5 1%	2 *	4 1%	2 *	3 1%	2 1%	2 *	2 1%	3 *
NET: Not very much/no impact	191 9%	103 11%	88 9%	24 11%	33 10%	27 8%	32 9%	28 9%	46 10%	57 10%	60 9%	74 10%	54 10%	50 9%	34 8%	53 11%	33 11%	87 10%	
Don't know	54 3%	35 4%B	19 2%	9 4%H	7 2%	9 3%	7 2%	16 5%DFH	6 1%	16 3%	16 2%	22 3%	8 2%	23 4%L	8 2%	15 3%	5 2%	26 3%	
Mean	3.25	3.24	3.26	3.27	3.28h	3.26	3.29H	3.23	3.19	3.27k	3.28K	3.21	3.25	3.27	3.25	3.24	3.23	3.28	
Standard deviation	0.64	0.66	0.62	0.66	0.67	0.62	0.63	0.62	0.62	0.66	0.62	0.62	0.64	0.64	0.61	0.65	0.66	0.65	
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The contacts and networks they have

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1765 88%	1527 88%h	156 88%	82 82%	76 90%	206 89%f	152 90%Fh	148 82%	131 87%	170 88%	241 90%Fh	242 86%	161 91%FH	1007 87%	759 89%
A great deal of impact	(4) 690 34%	607 35%	56 31%	28 28%	23 27%	83 36%	62 37%	58 32%	45 30%	66 34%	123 46%BCDe FGHIKL	86 31%	60 34%	423 37%N	266 31%
A fair amount of impact	(3) 1075 53%	921 53%	100 57%J	54 54%	53 63%fJ	123 53%j	90 53%j	91 50%	86 57%J	105 54%j	118 44%	155 56%J	101 57%J	583 50%	492 58%M
Not very much impact	(2) 181 9%	153 9%	14 8%	14 14%el	8 9%	18 8%	11 6%	21 12%	17 11%	20 10%	22 8%	25 9%	11 6%	115 10%	66 8%
No impact at all	(1) 10 1%	9 1%	- -	1 1%	- -	1 *	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	- -	- -	4 1%j	1 *	5 *	5 1%
NET: Not very much/no impact	191 9%	162 9%	14 8%	15 15%bejl	8 9%	19 8%	12 7%	22 12%	18 12%	20 10%	22 8%	30 11%	12 7%	120 10%	71 8%
Don't know	54 3%	43 2%	8 4%	3 3%	1 1%	7 3%	5 3%	10 6%cgJ	2 1%	3 1%	5 2%	8 3%	4 2%	31 3%	23 3%
Mean	3.25	3.26h	3.25	3.11	3.18	3.28h	3.30gH	3.20	3.17	3.24	3.38BCF GHIK	3.19	3.28h	3.26	3.23
Standard deviation	0.64	0.64	0.59	0.69	0.58	0.62	0.62	0.67	0.65	0.63	0.64	0.66	0.60	0.65	0.61
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.04	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.02	0.02

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 12

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The area in which they live

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1590 79%	754 77%	836 81%A	164 73%	283 82%CE	239 74%	286 80%ce	239 81%ce	381 82%CE	446 78%	524 77%	619 82%J	434 81%	443 79%	316 76%	396 80%	249 84%Q	639 74%
A great deal of impact (4)	409 20%	205 21%	204 20%	58 26%H	76 22%	61 19%	69 19%	64 22%	81 17%	133 23%jk	130 19%	145 19%	98 18%	111 20%	75 18%	125 25%LmN	59 20%	193 22%
A fair amount of impact (3)	1181 59%	549 56%	632 61%A	106 47%	207 60%C	177 55%	217 61%C	174 59%C	300 65%CE	313 55%	394 58%	474 63%lj	337 63%O	332 59%	241 58%	272 55%	191 64%Q	446 52%
Not very much impact (2)	354 18%	187 19%	167 16%	50 22%dH	52 15%	73 23%DgH	64 18%	46 16%	69 15%	102 18%	137 20%K	116 15%	90 17%	100 18%	86 21%	79 16%	42 14%	189 22%P
No impact at all (1)	31 2%	15 2%	16 2%	5 2%	4 1%	3 1%	4 1%	3 1%	11 2%	9 2%	7 1%	15 2%	6 1%	5 1%	9 2%	11 2%	3 1%	13 2%
NET: Not very much/no impact	385 19%	202 21%	183 18%	54 24%Dgh	56 16%	76 24%Dgh	68 19%	49 17%	81 17%	111 19%	144 21%k	130 17%	96 18%	105 19%	95 23%l	90 18%	44 15%	203 24%P
Don't know	35 2%	24 2%B	11 1%	7 3%H	7 2%H	9 3%H	4 1%	7 2%H	1 *	14 2%	13 2%	9 1%	8 1%	12 2%	3 1%	12 2%n	5 2%	17 2%
Mean	3.00	2.99	3.01	2.99	3.05e	2.94	2.99	3.04	2.97	3.02	2.97	3.00	2.99	3.00	2.93	3.05N	3.04	2.97
Standard deviation	0.67	0.69	0.65	0.76	0.65	0.68	0.65	0.65	0.65	0.70	0.66	0.65	0.63	0.65	0.69	0.71	0.61	0.72
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 13

Q.1 A young person's success in life, whether financially, professionally or in relation to personal happiness, can depend on many things. How much of an impact, if any, do you think each of the following has in determining a young person's chances of future success?

The area in which they live

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status			
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)	
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928	
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852	
NET: Great deal/fair amount of impact	1590	1376	135	79	72	186	130	134	125	150	221	222	136	889	701	
	79%	79%	76%	79%	85%f	80%	77%	74%	83%f	78%	83%f	80%	77%	77%	82%M	
A great deal of impact	(4)	409	359	33	17	16	53	38	38	28	44	66	43	34	252	157
		20%	21%	19%	17%	19%	23%k	22%k	21%	19%	23%k	25%K	15%	19%	22%n	18%
A fair amount of impact	(3)	1181	1017	102	63	56	133	92	96	97	107	155	180	102	637	544
		59%	59%	58%	62%	66%ef	58%	55%	53%	64%f	55%	58%	64%eFi	58%	55%	64%M
Not very much impact	(2)	354	301	36	17	12	38	34	41	19	36	38	48	36	231	124
		18%	17%	20%g	17%	14%	16%	20%g	22%Gj	13%	18%	14%	17%	20%g	20%N	15%
No impact at all	(1)	31	30	-	1	-	3	*	4	4	6	4	4	4	16	15
		2%	2%b	-	1%	-	1%	*	2%b	3%Be	3%Be	2%	2%	2%b	1%	2%
NET: Not very much/no impact	385	332	36	18	12	41	34	45	23	42	43	53	39	247	138	
	19%	19%	20%	18%	14%	18%	20%	25%cgJ	15%	22%	16%	19%	22%	21%N	16%	
Don't know	35	25	6	3	1	5	4	2	2	1	4	4	2	22	13	
	2%	1%	4%Ai	3%	1%	2%	3%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	
Mean	3.00	3.00	2.98	2.98	3.05	3.04	3.02	2.94	3.01	2.98	3.07fKl	2.95	2.95	2.99	3.00	
Standard deviation	0.67	0.68	0.64	0.61	0.58	0.67	0.67	0.73	0.66	0.74	0.68	0.63	0.69	0.69	0.64	
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 14

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

Summary**Base: All respondents**

		The British economy	Social mobility in the UK (i.e. the ability to move upwards or downwards in social status)	Social cohesion and integration in the UK (i.e. mixing of different groups in society)	The UK's workforce after Brexit
Unweighted base		2010	2010	2010	2010
Weighted base		2010	2010	2010	2010
NET: Positive		526 26%	539 27%	545 27%	498 25%
Very positive impact	(2)	129 6%	129 6%	130 6%	133 7%
Fairly positive impact	(1)	397 20%	411 20%	415 21%	365 18%
No impact at all	(0)	248 12%	336 17%	339 17%	321 16%
Fairly negative impact	(-1)	677 34%	561 28%	585 29%	478 24%
Very negative impact	(-2)	351 17%	328 16%	285 14%	307 15%
NET: Negative		1027 51%	889 44%	870 43%	785 39%
Don't know		209 10%	246 12%	256 13%	406 20%
Mean		-0.40	-0.31	-0.27	-0.29
Standard deviation		1.23	1.22	1.20	1.24
Standard error		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 15

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

The British economy

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Positive	526 26%	290 30%B	235 23%	40 18%	92 27%C	80 25%c	92 26%C	79 27%C	142 31%Ce	132 23%	172 25%	222 29%l	144 27%M	119 21%	128 31%M	135 27%M	69 23%	240 28%
Very positive impact	(2) 129 6%	75 8%b	54 5%	9 4%	19 6%	19 6%	23 6%	23 8%	35 8%	28 5%	42 6%	58 8%i	29 5%	23 4%	44 11%LMo	33 7%mo	12 4%	66 8%P
Fairly positive impact	(1) 397 20%	216 22%B	181 18%	31 14%	72 21%c	60 19%	69 19%	56 19%	107 23%C	104 18%	129 19%	164 22%	115 21%mo	96 17%	84 20%	102 20%	57 19%	174 20%
No impact at all	(0) 248 12%	119 12%	129 13%	26 12%	39 11%	49 15%	48 13%	32 11%	55 12%	65 11%	97 14%	86 11%	64 12%	67 12%	46 11%	71 14%	41 14%	114 13%
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 677 34%	321 33%	356 35%	83 37%	111 32%	108 33%	115 32%	86 29%	174 38%G	194 34%	223 33%	260 34%	177 33%	207 37%o	136 33%	157 31%	94 32%	266 31%
Very negative impact	(-2) 351 17%	173 18%	178 17%	43 19%e	67 19%eh	40 12%	71 20%eh	62 21%eh	67 14%	111 19%	111 16%	129 17%	121 23%NO	107 19%no	58 14%	64 13%	62 21%	150 17%
NET: Negative	1027 51%	494 50%	534 52%	126 56%E	178 52%	148 46%	186 52%	148 50%	240 52%	304 53%	335 49%	389 51%	298 55%NO	314 56%NO	194 47%	221 44%	156 52%	415 48%
Don't know	209 10%	78 8%	131 13%A	33 15%fH	37 11%H	47 14%FH	31 9%h	36 12%H	25 5%	70 12%K	78 11%k	61 8%	31 6%	61 11%L	46 11%L	71 14%L	32 11%	89 10%
Mean	-0.40	-0.33B	-0.47	-0.63	-0.44	-0.32C	-0.43	-0.42	-0.30C	-0.51	-0.38	-0.34i	-0.49	-0.56	-0.22LM	-0.28LM	-0.52	-0.34p
Standard deviation	1.23	1.26	1.19	1.14	1.24	1.17	1.24	1.30	1.22	1.20	1.21	1.25	1.24	1.16	1.29	1.20	1.19	1.26
Standard error	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.08	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 16

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

The British economy

Base: All respondents

	Region													Work Status	
	Total	NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East of London (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Positive	526 26%	452 26%	43 24%	31 31%	22 27%	61 26%	43 25%	44 24%	44 29%	51 26%	70 26%	75 27%	42 24%	309 27%	216 25%
Very positive impact	(2) 129 6%	109 6%	10 6%	10 10%fk	4 5%	15 7%	9 5%	7 4%	8 5%	18 9%fk	23 9%fk	12 4%	13 7%	78 7%	51 6%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 397 20%	344 20%	32 18%	21 20%	18 22%	46 20%	34 20%	38 21%	36 24%	33 17%	47 17%	63 23%	29 16%	231 20%	165 19%
No impact at all	(0) 248 12%	214 12%	20 11%	14 14%	5 6%	28 12%	21 12%	23 13%	28 19%bCJ	23 12%	23 9%	40 14%cj	23 13%	155 13%	93 11%
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 677 34%	591 34%	55 31%	31 31%	34 40%i	82 36%	52 31%	60 33%	49 32%	56 29%	92 34%	100 36%	66 37%	360 31%	317 37%M
Very negative impact	(-2) 351 17%	300 17%	38 22%dGh	12 12%	16 19%	32 14%	35 21%dg	33 18%	18 12%	37 19%	55 21%dg	44 16%	29 16%	212 18%	139 16%
NET: Negative	1027 51%	891 51%	93 53%	43 43%	50 59%GH	114 49%	88 52%	93 52%	67 45%	93 48%	147 55%gh	144 51%	95 54%	572 49%	456 53%m
Don't know	209 10%	175 10%	21 12%	13 13%	6 8%	28 12%k	18 11%	20 11%	12 8%	26 13%k	27 10%	21 7%	18 10%	122 11%	87 10%
Mean	-0.40	-0.40	-0.51	-0.16be	-0.51	-0.34	-0.47	-0.47	-0.25b	-0.37	-0.45	-0.39	-0.43	-0.38	-0.43
Standard deviation	1.23	1.22	1.25	1.27	1.21	1.21	1.23	1.18	1.15	1.31	1.30	1.16	1.21	1.24	1.20
Standard error	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.04

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 17

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

Social mobility in the UK (i.e. the ability to move upwards or downwards in social status)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Positive	539 27%	274 28%	266 26%	47 21%	102 30% C	86 27%	90 25%	72 24%	143 31% Cg	149 26%	176 26%	214 28%	142 26%	125 22%	127 31% M	145 29% M	77 26%	236 28%
Very positive impact	(2) 129 6%	74 8% b	55 5%	16 7%	23 7%	22 7%	16 4%	18 6%	33 7%	39 7%	38 6%	51 7%	25 5%	30 5%	32 8%	41 8% Lm	15 5%	63 7%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 411 20%	200 20%	211 20%	30 13%	79 23% C	64 20% c	75 21% C	53 18%	110 24% Cg	110 19%	138 20%	163 21%	117 22% m	95 17%	96 23% M	104 21%	61 21%	173 20%
No impact at all	(0) 336 17%	194 20% B	142 14%	41 18%	49 14%	59 18%	53 15%	61 21% Df	74 16%	90 16%	112 16%	135 18%	87 16%	97 17%	65 16%	88 18%	43 14%	166 19% p
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 561 28%	251 26%	310 30% A	57 25%	89 26%	85 26%	110 31%	76 26%	144 31%	146 26%	195 29%	220 29%	175 33% NO	159 28%	102 25%	125 25%	95 32% Q	213 25%
Very negative impact	(-2) 328 16%	153 16%	175 17%	45 20% H	67 19% H	47 14%	65 18% H	48 16%	56 12%	112 20% K	112 16%	104 14%	93 17%	105 19% o	59 14%	71 14%	50 17%	142 17%
NET: Negative	889 44%	404 41%	485 47% A	102 45%	156 45%	132 41%	176 49% e	124 42%	199 43%	258 45%	307 45%	323 43%	268 50% NO	264 47% NO	161 39%	195 39%	145 49% q	355 41%
Don't know	246 12%	109 11%	137 13%	36 16% h	38 11%	47 15% h	39 11%	38 13%	47 10%	74 13%	86 13%	86 11%	41 8%	74 13% L	60 15% L	70 14% L	34 12%	102 12%
Mean	-0.31	-0.24 B	-0.38	-0.44	-0.32	-0.26	-0.42	-0.32	-0.19 CF	-0.37	-0.34	-0.24	-0.39	-0.44	-0.18 LM	-0.19 LM	-0.39	-0.26
Standard deviation	1.22	1.22	1.21	1.25	1.28	1.22	1.19	1.20	1.20	1.27	1.21	1.20	1.18	1.20	1.25	1.24	1.20	1.24
Standard error	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.07	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 18

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

Social mobility in the UK (i.e. the ability to move upwards or downwards in social status)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	Eastern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Positive	539 27%	464 27%	44 25%	31 31%	21 25%	62 27%	41 25%	55 30%	48 32%	53 27%	71 27%	67 24%	46 26%	313 27%	226 27%
Very positive impact	(2) 129 6%	112 6%	8 5%	8 8%	5 6%	14 6%	10 6%	13 7%	12 8%	13 7%	20 7%	17 6%	10 6%	78 7%	50 6%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 411 20%	352 20%	36 20%	23 23%	17 20%	49 21%	31 19%	42 23%	36 24%	39 20%	51 19%	51 18%	36 20%	235 20%	176 21%
No impact at all	(0) 336 17%	292 17%	28 16%	17 16%	16 19%	40 17%	27 16%	22 12%	26 17%	27 14%	43 16%	58 21%Fi	33 19%	208 18%	128 15%
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 561 28%	492 28%	46 26%	23 23%	24 28%	65 28%	43 25%	57 31%	40 27%	57 30%	70 26%	87 31%	49 28%	308 27%	253 30%
Very negative impact	(-2) 328 16%	284 16%	30 17%	13 13%	17 20%	35 15%	33 20%k	25 14%	21 14%	34 18%	53 20%k	37 13%	29 16%	192 17%	136 16%
NET: Negative	889 44%	775 45%	77 43%	37 36%	41 48%	100 43%	76 45%	81 45%	62 41%	91 47%	123 46%	124 44%	78 44%	500 43%	389 46%
Don't know	246 12%	201 12%	28 16%ac	16 16%c	6 7%	29 13%	25 15%c	23 13%	16 10%	22 12%	30 11%	30 11%	20 11%	137 12%	109 13%
Mean	-0.31	-0.32	-0.37	-0.13	-0.40	-0.29	-0.40	-0.25	-0.17	-0.34	-0.36	-0.31	-0.32	-0.29	-0.33
Standard deviation	1.22	1.22	1.21	1.25	1.21	1.20	1.25	1.23	1.23	1.25	1.27	1.15	1.20	1.23	1.21
Standard error	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.14	0.14	0.09	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.10	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.04

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 19

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

Social cohesion and integration in the UK (i.e. mixing of different groups in society)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Positive	545 27%	260 27%	284 28%	56 25%	104 30%f	99 30%F	82 23%	79 27%	125 27%	160 28%	181 27%	204 27%	132 24%	139 25%	124 30%	150 30%lm	87 29%	242 28%
Very positive impact	(2) 130 6%	60 6%	70 7%	10 5%	22 6%	25 8%	23 6%	21 7%	27 6%	33 6%	48 7%	48 6%	32 6%	29 5%	33 8%	36 7%	18 6%	60 7%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 415 21%	200 20%	215 21%	46 20%	81 24%F	73 23%f	59 16%	58 20%	98 21%	127 22%	132 19%	156 21%	100 19%	110 20%	90 22%	114 23%l	69 23%	182 21%
No impact at all	(0) 339 17%	187 19%B	152 15%	32 14%	57 17%	52 16%	63 18%	57 19%	78 17%	89 16%	116 17%	135 18%	93 17%	84 15%	67 16%	95 19%	39 13%	166 19%P
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 585 29%	276 28%	310 30%	75 33%d	89 26%	85 26%	105 29%	79 27%	153 33%Deg	164 29%	190 28%	231 31%	168 31%O	175 31%O	131 32%O	112 22%	94 32%	235 27%
Very negative impact	(-2) 285 14%	150 15%	135 13%	25 11%	48 14%	37 11%	70 19%CdEh	42 14%	65 14%	72 13%	106 16%	106 14%	95 18%NO	93 17%NO	37 9%	60 12%	41 14%	115 13%
NET: Negative	870 43%	426 43%	444 43%	99 44%	136 39%	122 38%	175 49%DEg	120 41%	218 47%DE	236 41%	297 44%	338 45%	263 49%NO	268 48%nO	168 41%	171 34%	135 45%	349 41%
Don't know	256 13%	108 11%	148 14%A	37 17%fH	48 14%H	51 16%fH	37 10%	39 13%	42 9%	86 15%K	88 13%	82 11%	51 9%	68 12%	55 13%	82 16%Lm	37 12%	102 12%
Mean	-0.27	-0.29	-0.26	-0.31	-0.19F	-0.13Fh	-0.44	-0.24f	-0.31	-0.24	-0.29	-0.28	-0.40	-0.39	-0.13LM	-0.11LM	-0.27	-0.21
Standard deviation	1.20	1.19	1.21	1.14	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.21	1.17	1.19	1.23	1.19	1.20	1.19	1.18	1.21	1.21	1.20
Standard error	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.09	0.07	0.07	0.07	0.08	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.08	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 20

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

Social cohesion and integration in the UK (i.e. mixing of different groups in society)

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humber (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East of London (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Positive	545	472	45	27	21	58	43	58	45	44	78	77	48	328	216
	27%	27%	26%	27%	25%	25%	26%	32%i	30%	23%	29%	28%	27%	28%	25%
Very positive impact	(2) 130	117	7	6	4	11	14	9	15	15	21	21	8	78	51
	6%	7%	4%	6%	4%	5%	8%b	5%	10%Bd	8%	8%b	7%	4%	7%	6%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 415	354	39	21	18	47	29	49	30	29	57	56	40	250	165
	21%	20%	22%	21%	21%	20%	17%	27%EI	20%	15%	21%	20%	23%i	22%	19%
No impact at all	(0) 339	286	31	22	11	42	28	19	30	39	41	51	26	205	134
	17%	17%	17%f	22%F	13%	18%f	17%	11%	20%F	20%F	15%	18%F	15%	18%	16%
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 585	521	41	23	28	72	46	62	43	55	66	89	60	329	256
	29%	30%b	23%	23%	34%b	31%b	27%	35%Bh	29%	28%	25%	32%Bj	34%Bj	28%	30%
Very negative impact	(-2) 285	242	33	10	14	27	26	17	21	33	48	31	26	156	129
	14%	14%	19%ad	Fh 10%	16%	12%	15%	9%	14%	17%fk	18%dFh	K 11%	15%	13%	15%
NET: Negative	870	763	74	33	42	99	72	79	65	87	114	120	85	484	386
	43%	44%h	42%	33%	50%H	43%	43%	44%	43%	45%h	43%	43%	48%H	42%	45%
Don't know	256	211	27	18	10	33	25	24	11	23	35	31	18	140	116
	13%	12%	15%G	18%GI	12%	14%g	15%g	14%	8%	12%	13%	11%	10%	12%	14%
Mean	-0.27	-0.27	-0.36	-0.12	-0.42	-0.29	-0.29	-0.19	-0.18	-0.35	-0.27	-0.21	-0.35	-0.23n	-0.34
Standard deviation	1.20	1.20	1.21	1.14	1.18	1.14	1.26	1.16	1.24	1.22	1.28	1.17	1.16	1.20	1.20
Standard error	0.03	0.03	0.09	0.13	0.14	0.08	0.10	0.10	0.11	0.09	0.09	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.04

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 21

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

The UK's workforce after Brexit

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Positive	498 25%	280 29%B	218 21%	38 17%	90 26%C	77 24% <i>c</i>	93 26% <i>C</i>	69 23%	131 28% <i>C</i>	128 22%	170 25%	200 26%	128 24% <i>m</i>	109 19%	135 33% <i>LMO</i>	127 25% <i>M</i>	56 19%	253 29% <i>P</i>
Very positive impact	(2) 7%	82 8%B	51 5%	12 5%	22 6%	19 6%	26 7%	18 6%	36 8%	33 6%	45 7%	54 7%	32 6%	27 5%	41 10% <i>LM</i>	34 7%	17 6%	65 8%
Fairly positive impact	(1) 18%	198 20%B	167 16%	26 12%	68 20% <i>C</i>	58 18% <i>c</i>	66 19% <i>c</i>	51 17%	95 20% <i>C</i>	95 17%	124 18%	146 19%	96 18%	82 15%	94 23% <i>IM</i>	93 19%	39 13%	188 22% <i>P</i>
No impact at all	(0) 16%	167 17%	154 15%	22 10%	48 14%	60 19% <i>C</i>	61 17% <i>C</i>	57 19% <i>Cd</i>	73 16% <i>C</i>	70 12%	122 18% <i>l</i>	130 17% <i>l</i>	96 18%	94 17%	60 14%	71 14%	51 17%	139 16%
Fairly negative impact	(-1) 24%	215 22%	263 26% <i>a</i>	67 30% <i>efG</i>	83 24%	71 22%	81 23%	57 19%	119 26% <i>G</i>	150 26%	152 22%	176 23%	141 26%	129 23%	97 23%	111 22%	82 28% <i>Q</i>	176 20%
Very negative impact	(-2) 15%	162 17%	145 14%	45 20% <i>egH</i>	62 18% <i>H</i>	45 14%	64 18% <i>H</i>	39 13%	52 11%	107 19% <i>K</i>	109 16% <i>K</i>	90 12%	102 19% <i>NO</i>	106 19% <i>NO</i>	36 9%	62 12%	57 19%	137 16%
NET: Negative	785 39%	377 38%	408 40%	112 50% <i>dEFGH</i>	145 42% <i>G</i>	117 36%	145 40% <i>g</i>	95 32%	171 37%	257 45% <i>JK</i>	261 38%	266 35%	243 45% <i>NO</i>	235 42% <i>NO</i>	133 32%	173 35%	139 47% <i>Q</i>	312 36%
Don't know	406 20%	156 16%	250 24% <i>A</i>	53 23% <i>f</i>	62 18%	69 21%	59 17%	74 25% <i>DFh</i>	88 19%	115 20%	128 19%	162 21%	71 13%	123 22% <i>L</i>	85 21% <i>L</i>	127 25% <i>L</i>	52 17%	155 18%
Mean	-0.29	-0.22 <i>B</i>	-0.36	-0.62	-0.34 <i>C</i>	-0.26 <i>C</i>	-0.30 <i>C</i>	-0.21 <i>C</i>	-0.15 <i>Cd</i>	-0.45	-0.28 <i>i</i>	-0.17 <i>i</i>	-0.40	-0.47	0.02 <i>LMO</i>	-0.20 <i>LM</i>	-0.50	-0.19 <i>P</i>
Standard deviation	1.24	1.28	1.19	1.22	1.27	1.21	1.27	1.21	1.22	1.26	1.24	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.24	1.24	1.20	1.28
Standard error	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.08	0.08	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.08	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 22

Q.2 Approximately one in eight young people in the UK aged 19-24 are not in employment, education or training (NEET), most of whom come from poorer backgrounds. How much of an impact, if any, do you think this has on each of the following?

The UK's workforce after Brexit

Base: All respondents

	Region												Work Status		
	Total	NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	Eastern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Positive	498 25%	443 26%	36 20%	20 20%	22 26%	56 24%	37 22%	47 26%	43 29%	44 23%	69 26%	77 27%b	47 27%	308 27%N	190 22%
Very positive impact (2)	133 7%	124 7%B	5 3%	4 4%	5 5%	19 8%B	8 5%	14 8%b	16 10%Bek	10 5%	26 10%Bek	14 5%	12 7%b	82 7%	51 6%
Fairly positive impact (1)	365 18%	319 18%	31 17%	16 16%	17 21%	37 16%	29 17%	33 18%	27 18%	34 18%	43 16%	63 22%	35 20%	226 20%n	139 16%
No impact at all (0)	321 16%	280 16%	22 12%	19 19%	15 17%	28 12%	29 17%	35 19%bdj	27 18%	38 20%bdJ	32 12%	50 18%dj	26 15%	191 16%	130 15%
Fairly negative impact (-1)	478 24%	408 24%	46 26%	24 24%	15 18%	54 23%	42 25%	43 24%	36 24%	39 20%	64 24%	72 26%	43 24%	258 22%	220 26%m
Very negative impact (-2)	307 15%	266 15%h	33 19%HK	8 8%	18 21%gHK	41 18%H	26 16%h	23 13%	18 12%	26 13%	55 21%fGHI	34 12%	25 14%	193 17%n	114 13%
NET: Negative	785 39%	674 39%	79 45%hl	32 32%	33 39%	95 41%	69 41%	66 37%	53 35%	65 34%	118 44%hl	106 38%	68 39%	451 39%	334 39%
Don't know	406 20%	336 19%	40 23%	30 30%AcfgJK	15 18%	51 22%	35 20%	33 18%	28 18%	46 24%k	48 18%	46 16%	36 20%	207 18%	199 23%M
Mean	-0.29	-0.27B	-0.52	-0.22	-0.35	-0.33	-0.37	-0.20B	-0.10Be	-0.25b	-0.35	-0.21B	-0.24b	-0.27	-0.32
Standard deviation	1.24	1.25	1.19	1.09	1.29	1.32	1.19	1.22	1.27	1.19	1.36	1.17	1.25	1.26	1.21
Standard error	0.03	0.03	0.10	0.13	0.15	0.10	0.09	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.10	0.08	0.10	0.04	0.05

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey
ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 23

Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Summary**Base: All respondents**

	Schools	The government	Business / employers	Parents	The young people from less well-off families themselves
Unweighted base	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010
Weighted base	2010	2010	2010	2010	2010
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1804 90%	1604 80%	1697 84%	1797 89%	1610 80%
A great deal of influence	(4) 1047 52%	745 37%	680 34%	1081 54%	719 36%
Some influence	(3) 756 38%	859 43%	1017 51%	716 36%	891 44%
Not very much influence	(2) 98 5%	226 11%	174 9%	109 5%	236 12%
No influence at all	(1) 33 2%	65 3%	42 2%	21 1%	40 2%
NET: Not very much/no influence	131 7%	291 14%	216 11%	129 6%	276 14%
Don't know	76 4%	115 6%	97 5%	83 4%	124 6%
Mean	3.46	3.21	3.22	3.48	3.21
Standard deviation	0.67	0.78	0.70	0.65	0.74
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.02

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 24
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Schools

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Pri- vate (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1804 90%	856 87%	948 92%A	184 82%	306 88%C	286 89% ^c	322 90%C	263 89%C	442 96% ^{CDEFG}	490 86%	608 89%	706 93% ^{IJ}	498 92% ^{MO}	498 89%	373 90%	435 87%	271 91%	754 88%
A great deal of influence	(4) 52%	1047 46%	599 58%A	113 50% ^e	172 50% ^e	135 42%	188 52% ^E	144 49%	295 64% ^{CDEFG}	285 50%	323 47%	439 58% ^{IJ}	273 51%	296 53%	219 53%	259 52%	140 47%	414 48%
Some influence	(3) 38%	756 42%B	348 34%	71 32%	134 39% ^h	151 47% ^{CdFH}	134 38%	119 40% ^{cH}	147 32%	205 36%	285 42% ^{iK}	266 35%	224 42% ^{mo}	201 36%	154 37%	177 35%	131 44%	340 40%
Not very much influence	(2) 5%	98 6%B	36 4%	16 7% ^{gH}	18 5%	16 5%	22 6% ^h	10 3%	15 3%	34 6% ^K	38 6% ^k	25 3%	19 4%	34 6% ^l	20 5%	25 5%	17 6%	49 6%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	33 2%B	9 1%	8 3% ^{FH}	8 2% ^{FH}	6 2% ^{fH}	1 *	10 3% ^{FH}	* *	15 3% ^j	7 1%	11 1%	9 2%	5 1%	8 2%	12 2% ^m	3 1%	19 2%
NET: Not very much/no influence	131 7%	85 9%B	46 4%	24 11% ^H	26 8% ^H	22 7% ^H	23 6% ^h	20 7% ^h	16 3%	50 9% ^K	45 7%	36 5%	28 5%	39 7%	28 7%	36 7%	20 7%	68 8%
Don't know	76 4%	40 4%	36 3%	17 8% ^{dfH}	14 4% ^H	15 5% ^H	13 4% ^H	12 4% ^H	5 1%	31 5% ^K	28 4% ^k	17 2%	13 2%	24 4% ^l	12 3%	27 5% ^L	8 3%	38 4%
Mean	3.46	3.36	3.55A	3.39	3.42	3.35	3.47E	3.40	3.61CDEFG	3.41	3.41	3.53IJ	3.45	3.47	3.46	3.45	3.40	3.40
Standard deviation	0.67	0.72	0.61	0.79	0.70	0.67	0.63	0.73	0.56	0.73	0.65	0.63	0.65	0.66	0.68	0.71	0.65	0.71
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
 Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 25
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Schools

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region												Work Status	
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Work-ing (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1804 90%	1563 90% ^b	152 86%	89 88%	78 93%	202 87%	147 87%	164 91%	135 90%	174 90%	253 95% ^{hL}	254 91% ^b	155 88%	1025 89%	779 91% ^M
A great deal of influence	(4) 52%	1047 52%	87 49%	55 54%	45 53%	120 52%	91 54%	93 51%	78 52%	107 56%	143 53%	136 49%	93 53%	554 48%	493 58% ^M
Some influence	(3) 38%	756 38%	65 36%	34 34%	34 40%	81 35%	56 33%	71 39%	57 38%	67 35%	110 41%	118 42% ^e	63 35%	471 41% ^N	286 34%
Not very much influence	(2) 5%	98 5%	14 8% ^{AfJ}	5 5%	4 5%	11 5%	7 4%	6 4%	6 4%	15 8% ^J	6 2%	15 5% ^j	8 4%	65 6% ⁿ	33 4%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	33 2% ^b	- -	3 3% ^{BI}	- -	6 3% ^{BI}	5 3% ^{BI}	2 1%	4 3% ^{BI}	- -	2 1%	5 2% ^{bi}	6 3% ^{BIj}	23 2%	10 1%
NET: Not very much/no influence	131 7%	108 6%	14 8% ^J	8 8% ^j	4 5%	18 8% ^J	11 7% ^j	8 5%	11 7% ^j	15 8% ^J	8 3%	20 7% ^J	13 7% ^j	88 8% ^N	43 5%
Don't know	76 4%	61 4%	11 6% ^{aijK}	4 4%	1 2%	12 5% ^k	10 6% ^{ijK}	8 5%	5 3%	4 2%	6 2%	5 2%	8 5%	45 4%	30 4%
Mean	3.46	3.46	3.44	3.45	3.48	3.44	3.48	3.48	3.43	3.49	3.51 ^k	3.40	3.44	3.40	3.54 ^M
Standard deviation	0.67	0.67	0.65	0.73	0.60	0.72	0.71	0.62	0.72	0.64	0.59	0.68	0.73	0.69	0.64
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.08	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.02	0.02

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 26
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

The government

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1604 80%	769 78%	835 81%	170 75%	280 81%	256 79%	286 80%	224 76%	388 84%CG	449 79%	542 80%	613 81%	452 84%MNO	442 79%	320 77%	390 78%	252 85%Q	667 78%
A great deal of influence	(4) 37%	745 36%	393 38%	91 41%	127 37%	115 36%	141 39%	108 36%	163 35%	219 38%	256 38%	270 36%	198 37%	198 35%	154 37%	196 39%	116 39%	320 37%
Some influence	(3) 43%	859 42%	442 43%	78 35%	152 44%c	141 44%c	145 41%	117 40%	226 49%CFG	231 40%	286 42%	342 45%	254 47%nO	245 44%	167 40%	194 39%	137 46%	347 40%
Not very much influence	(2) 11%	226 13%b	103 10%	24 11%	38 11%	36 11%	45 13%	32 11%	51 11%	62 11%	81 12%	83 11%	54 10%	70 13%	49 12%	53 11%	26 9%	110 13%p
No influence at all	(1) 3%	65 4%	29 3%	8 3%F	10 3%F	9 3%f	3 1%	19 6%deFh	16 3%F	18 3%	12 2%	34 5%J	17 3%	11 2%	14 3%	23 5%M	9 3%	28 3%
NET: Not very much/no influence	291 14%	159 16%b	132 13%	32 14%	48 14%	45 14%	48 13%	51 17%	67 14%	81 14%	93 14%	117 15%	71 13%	81 14%	63 15%	76 15%	34 11%	138 16%p
Don't know	115 6%	53 5%	62 6%	23 10%DH	17 5%H	23 7%H	23 6%H	20 7%H	8 2%	41 7%K	46 7%K	28 4%	15 3%	37 7%L	30 7%L	33 7%L	12 4%	54 6%
Mean	3.21	3.17	3.24a	3.25	3.21	3.20	3.27g	3.14	3.18	3.23	3.24	3.16	3.21	3.20	3.20	3.21	3.25	3.19
Standard deviation	0.78	0.80	0.76	0.82	0.77	0.77	0.72	0.87	0.76	0.79	0.74	0.80	0.76	0.74	0.80	0.83	0.74	0.80
Standard error	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
 Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 27
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

The government

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1604 80%	1379 80%	146 83%f	78 78%	72 85%f	187 81%	131 77%	134 74%	116 77%	161 83%F	220 82%f	216 77%	142 80%	919 79%	685 80%
A great deal of influence	(4) 745 37%	624 36%	79 44%AfGi KI	43 42%g	42 49%eFGI KL	90 39%	62 37%	63 35%	45 30%	67 35%	105 39%g	90 32%	62 35%	435 38%	310 36%
Some influence	(3) 859 43%	755 44%	68 38%	36 36%	30 36%	98 42%	69 41%	71 39%	71 47%	94 49%bch	116 43%	126 45%	80 45%	484 42%	375 44%
Not very much influence	(2) 226 11%	195 11%	19 11%	12 12%	5 6%	21 9%	17 10%	25 14%c	22 14%c	21 11%	31 11%	39 14%c	15 9%	136 12%	90 11%
No influence at all	(1) 65 3%	59 3%b	1 1%	5 5%BI	5 5%BI	10 5%BI	7 4%BI	5 3%	6 4%BI	1 1%	8 3%	11 4%BI	6 3%	36 3%	28 3%
NET: Not very much/no influence	291 14%	254 15%	20 11%	17 17%	10 12%	31 13%	23 14%	30 17%	28 18%b	22 11%	39 14%	50 18%bi	21 12%	172 15%	119 14%
Don't know	115 6%	99 6%	10 6%	5 5%	3 3%	13 6%	15 9%Jk	17 9%Jk	7 4%	10 5%	8 3%	13 5%	14 8%J	66 6%	49 6%
Mean	3.21	3.19	3.34AFG K	3.22	3.33GK	3.22	3.21	3.17	3.07	3.24g	3.22g	3.11	3.22	3.21	3.20
Standard deviation	0.78	0.78	0.71	0.86	0.83	0.81	0.80	0.80	0.80	0.67	0.77	0.80	0.75	0.78	0.77
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.09	0.06	0.06	0.07	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.03

Proportions/Mean: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 28
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Business / employers

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1697 84%	796 81%	901 88%A	173 77%	288 83%c	266 82%	302 84%C	243 82%	426 92%CEFG	461 81%	568 83%	668 88%IJ	464 86%	474 85%	348 84%	412 83%	255 85%	700 82%
A great deal of influence	(4) 34%	680 29%	394 38%A	62 27%	106 31%	93 29%	120 34%	105 36%ce	195 42%CEFG	167 29%	213 31%	300 40%IJ	156 29%	176 31%	157 38%Lm	191 38%LM	93 31%	268 31%
Some influence	(3) 51%	1017 52%	508 49%	112 50%	182 53%	173 53%	182 51%	137 46%	231 50%	294 51%	355 52%	368 49%	307 57%NO	298 53%nO	192 46%	221 44%	162 54%	433 50%
Not very much influence	(2) 9%	174 11%B	68 7%	26 12%H	33 9%H	32 10%H	29 8%	29 10%H	24 5%	59 10%K	61 9%	53 7%	44 8%	48 9%	36 9%	45 9%	24 8%	93 11%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	42 3%B	8 1%	6 3%	7 2%	7 2%	8 2%	9 3%	6 1%	13 2%	14 2%	15 2%	11 2%	8 1%	13 3%	10 2%	5 2%	22 3%
NET: Not very much/no influence	216 11%	140 14%B	76 7%	32 14%H	40 12%H	39 12%H	36 10%h	38 13%H	30 6%	72 13%K	76 11%	68 9%	56 10%	56 10%	49 12%	55 11%	29 10%	115 13%
Don't know	97 5%	45 5%	52 5%	19 9%H	18 5%H	19 6%H	19 5%H	15 5%H	7 2%	37 7%K	38 6%K	22 3%	19 4%	31 5%	16 4%	31 6%L	15 5%	44 5%
Mean	3.22	3.12	3.32A	3.11	3.18	3.15	3.22	3.21	3.35CDEFG	3.15	3.19	3.30IJ	3.17	3.21	3.24	3.27L	3.21	3.16
Standard deviation	0.70	0.74	0.64	0.73	0.69	0.69	0.69	0.75	0.64	0.71	0.69	0.69	0.67	0.66	0.75	0.72	0.66	0.73
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
 Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 29
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Business / employers

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East of London (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Working (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1697 84%	1472 85%	144 81%	81 81%	72 85%	192 83%	149 88%b	155 86%	130 86%	160 83%	237 89%Bhk	230 82%	147 83%	955 83%	742 87%M
A great deal of influence	(4) 34%	680 34%	57 32%	37 37%	33 39%	80 34%	62 36%	60 33%	45 30%	68 35%	92 34%	90 32%	57 32%	361 31%	319 37%M
Some influence	(3) 51%	1017 51%	87 49%	44 44%	39 47%	112 49%	87 52%	95 52%	85 56%h	92 48%	145 54%	141 50%	90 51%	594 51%	423 50%
Not very much influence	(2) 9%	174 8%	21 12%aEJ	9 9%e	11 13%EJ	20 9%E	5 3%	13 7%	12 8%e	19 10%EJ	13 5%	34 12%EJ	16 9%E	117 10%N	57 7%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	42 2%	1 *	7 7%ABCFG- jkl	- 13%	8 3%Bk	4 3%b	1 1%	2 1%	7 4%BcK	6 2%	2 1%	3 2%	27 2%	15 2%
NET: Not very much/no influence	216 11%	178 10%	22 12%eJ	16 16%EFJ	11 13%e	28 12%EJ	9 6%	15 8%	14 9%	26 13%EJ	19 7%	37 13%EJ	19 11%e	144 12%N	72 8%
Don't know	97 5%	82 5%	11 6%	4 4%	1 2%	11 5%	10 6%	11 6%	7 4%	7 4%	12 4%	12 4%	11 6%	59 5%	38 4%
Mean	3.22	3.23	3.21	3.15	3.26	3.20	3.30	3.26	3.21	3.18	3.26	3.19	3.21	3.17	3.29M
Standard deviation	0.70	0.69	0.67	0.86	0.68	0.75	0.67	0.63	0.64	0.77	0.66	0.68	0.69	0.71	0.67
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.09	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 30
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Parents

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector	
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1797 89%	857 87%	940 91%A	179 79%	313 91%C	287 89%C	322 90%C	256 87% <i>c</i>	440 95% <i>CDEFG</i>	492 86%	610 89%	696 92% <i>I</i>	492 92% <i>O</i>	505 90% <i>O</i>	375 91% <i>O</i>	425 85%	272 91%	758 88%
A great deal of influence	(4) 54%	1081 53%	515 55%	89 39%	179 52% <i>C</i>	158 49% <i>c</i>	197 55% <i>C</i>	154 52% <i>C</i>	305 66% <i>CDEFG</i>	268 47%	355 52%	459 61% <i>IJ</i>	293 54%	306 55%	227 55%	255 51%	152 51%	448 52%
Some influence	(3) 36%	716 35%	342 36%	90 40% <i>H</i>	134 39% <i>H</i>	129 40% <i>H</i>	126 35% <i>h</i>	102 35%	135 29%	224 39% <i>K</i>	255 37% <i>K</i>	237 31%	199 37%	199 36%	148 36%	170 34%	120 40%	310 36%
Not very much influence	(2) 5%	109 7% <i>B</i>	69 4%	25 11% <i>DeFGH</i>	17 5% <i>h</i>	19 6% <i>H</i>	18 5% <i>h</i>	17 6% <i>H</i>	12 3%	42 7% <i>K</i>	38 6%	29 4%	28 5%	28 5%	19 5%	34 7%	17 6%	50 6%
No influence at all	(1) 1%	21 1%	13 1%	4 2% <i>H</i>	2 1%	3 1%	3 1%	7 2% <i>H</i>	1 *	7 1%	6 1%	8 1%	6 1%	3 1%	4 1%	7 1%	2 1%	11 1%
NET: Not very much/no influence	129 6%	82 8% <i>B</i>	48 5%	29 13% <i>DEFGH</i>	20 6% <i>h</i>	22 7% <i>H</i>	22 6% <i>H</i>	23 8% <i>H</i>	13 3%	49 9% <i>K</i>	44 6%	37 5%	34 6%	31 5%	24 6%	41 8%	19 6%	61 7%
Don't know	83 4%	42 4%	41 4%	17 8% <i>dfH</i>	13 4%	14 4% <i>h</i>	14 4%	16 6% <i>H</i>	9 2%	30 5%	28 4%	26 3%	11 2%	24 4% <i>L</i>	16 4%	32 6% <i>L</i>	8 3%	40 5%
Mean	3.48	3.45	3.52 <i>A</i>	3.26	3.47 <i>C</i>	3.43 <i>C</i>	3.50 <i>C</i>	3.44 <i>C</i>	3.64 <i>CDEFG</i>	3.39	3.47 <i>I</i>	3.57 <i>IJ</i>	3.48	3.51	3.50	3.45	3.45	3.46
Standard deviation	0.65	0.69	0.62	0.75	0.63	0.65	0.64	0.71	0.55	0.69	0.65	0.62	0.65	0.62	0.64	0.70	0.64	0.67
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.02

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
 Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 31
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

Parents

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status			
		NET: England (a)	Scotland (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	Yorkshire & Humberside (e)	West Midlands (f)	East Midlands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Work-ing (m)	Not working (n)	
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928	
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852	
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1797 89%	1552 90%	155 87%	91 90%	77 92%	202 87%	148 88%	160 88%	134 89%	176 91%	245 92%	250 90%	159 90%	1030 89%	768 90%	
A great deal of influence	(4) 54%	1081 54%	935 51%	90 56% _c	56 42%	35 55% _c	126 50%	84 50%	95 52%	86 57% _C	108 56% _C	151 56% _C	157 53%	94 52%	600 57% _m	482
Some influence	(3) 36%	716 36%	616 37%	65 34%	34 34%	42 50% _{bDef}	76 33%	64 38%	65 36%	49 32%	68 35%	95 35%	94 33%	65 37%	430 37%	286 34%
Not very much influence	(2) 5%	109 5%	92 5%	11 6%	5 5%	6 7%	12 5%	7 4%	10 6%	9 6%	10 5%	13 5%	17 6%	8 4%	67 6%	42 5%
No influence at all	(1) 1%	21 1%	20 1%	1 *	- -	- -	7 3% _{bgijk}	4 2% _i	2 1%	* *	- -	2 1%	2 1%	3 2% _i	13 1%	7 1%
NET: Not very much/no influence	129 6%	112 6%	12 7%	5 5%	6 7%	19 8%	11 6%	12 7%	10 6%	10 5%	15 6%	19 7%	11 6%	80 7%	49 6%	
Don't know	83 4%	69 4%	10 6% _c	4 4%	1 1%	10 4%	10 6% _{cj}	9 5%	7 5%	7 3%	7 3%	10 4%	7 4%	48 4%	35 4%	
Mean	3.48	3.48	3.46	3.53 _c	3.35	3.45	3.44	3.47	3.53 _c	3.52 _C	3.51 _c	3.51 _c	3.47	3.46	3.52 _m	
Standard deviation	0.65	0.66	0.64	0.60	0.62	0.74	0.69	0.65	0.63	0.60	0.63	0.65	0.67	0.66	0.64	
Standard error	0.01	0.02	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.02	0.02	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
 Overlap formulae used. * small base

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 32
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

The young people from less well-off families themselves

Base: All respondents

	Total	Gender		Age									Social Grade				Employment Sector		
		Male (a)	Female (b)	18-24 (c)	25-34 (d)	35-44 (e)	45-54 (f)	55-64 (g)	65+ (h)	18-34 (i)	35-54 (j)	55+ (k)	AB (l)	C1 (m)	C2 (n)	DE (o)	Public (p)	Private (q)	
Unweighted base	2010	1001	1009	212	365	310	349	287	487	577	659	774	591	581	308	530	287	795	
Weighted base	2010	981	1029	225	346	323	358	295	463	571	681	758	538	560	414	498	298	859	
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1610 80%	771 79%	839 82%	177 79%	274 79%	253 78%	293 82%	229 78%	384 83%g	451 79%	546 80%	613 81%	441 82%o	460 82%O	327 79%	382 77%	239 80%	688 80%	
A great deal of influence	(4) 36%	719 35%	341 37%	80 36%	121 35%	108 33%	131 37%	110 37%	168 36%	201 35%	239 35%	278 37%	184 34%	207 37%	155 37%	174 35%	114 38%	301 35%	
Some influence	(3) 44%	891 44%	430 45%	461 43%	153 44%	145 45%	162 45%	119 40%	215 47%	250 44%	307 45%	335 44%	257 48%o	253 45%	172 42%	209 42%	125 42%	387 45%	
Not very much influence	(2) 12%	236 12%	124 13%	112 11%	28 13%	42 12%	39 12%	39 11%	34 12%	54 12%	70 12%	78 11%	88 12%	70 13%	56 10%	53 13%	57 11%	35 12%	104 12%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	40 2%	27 3%B	13 1%	2 1%	9 3%	7 2%	4 1%	9 3%f	9 2%	11 2%	11 2%	18 2%	7 1%	10 2%	5 1%	17 3%Ln	5 2%	15 2%
NET: Not very much/no influence	276 14%	151 15%B	124 12%	30 13%	50 15%	47 14%	43 12%	44 15%	62 13%	81 14%	89 13%	106 14%	77 14%	67 12%	58 14%	74 15%	39 13%	119 14%	
Don't know	124 6%	58 6%	66 6%	18 8%H	21 6%	24 7%H	22 6%	22 8%H	17 4%	39 7%	46 7%	39 5%	20 4%	33 6%	29 7%l	42 8%L	20 7%	52 6%	
Mean	3.21	3.18	3.25A	3.23	3.19	3.18	3.25	3.21	3.22	3.21	3.22	3.21	3.19	3.25	3.24	3.18	3.25	3.21	
Standard deviation	0.74	0.77	0.71	0.71	0.76	0.75	0.70	0.79	0.73	0.74	0.72	0.75	0.72	0.72	0.73	0.79	0.73	0.73	
Standard error	0.02	0.03	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.03	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k - l/m/n/o - p/q
 Overlap formulae used.

Social Mobility Survey

ONLINE Fieldwork: 13th-15th October 2017

Absolutes/col percents

Table 33
Q.3 How much influence, if any, do you think each of the following should have in ensuring that young people from less well-off families have the same chances of success in life as young people from more well-off families?

The young people from less well-off families themselves

Base: All respondents

	Total	Region											Work Status		
		NET: England (a)	Scot-land (b)	Wales (h)	North East (c)	North West (d)	York-shire & Humb-erside (e)	West Mid-lands (f)	East Mid-lands (g)	East-ern (i)	London (j)	South East (k)	South West (l)	Work-ing (m)	Not working (n)
Unweighted base	2010	1715	197	98	86	224	194	160	144	186	250	287	184	1082	928
Weighted base	2010	1733	177	100*	84*	231	169	181	151	193	267	279	177	1158	852
NET: Great deal of influence/some influence	1610 80%	1397 81%	137 77%	76 76%	68 80%	178 77%	132 78%	147 81%	124 83%	163 84%d	224 84%d	217 78%	144 82%	928 80%	682 80%
A great deal of influence	(4) 36%	719 36%h	61 34%	26 26%	27 32%	76 33%	56 33%	75 41%H	64 42%H	77 40%H	95 35%	95 34%	67 38%h	416 36%	303 36%
Some influence	(3) 44%	891 44%	76 43%	50 50%	41 48%	101 44%	76 45%	72 40%	61 40%	85 44%	129 48%	122 44%	78 44%	512 44%	379 44%
Not very much influence	(2) 12%	236 11%	25 14%g	13 13%	14 16%fgjl	34 15%fgjl	23 13%	15 8%	11 8%	20 10%	25 9%	41 15%fgjl	15 8%	139 12%	97 11%
No influence at all	(1) 2%	40 2%	2 1%	2 2%	- -	3 1%	3 2%	3 1%	5 4%	3 2%	6 2%	8 3%	5 3%	20 2%	20 2%
NET: Not very much/no influence	276 14%	233 13%	28 16%	15 15%	14 16%	37 16%	25 15%	18 10%	17 11%	23 12%	30 11%	49 17%Fj	20 11%	158 14%	117 14%
Don't know	124 6%	103 6%	12 7%	9 9%	3 3%	17 7%	11 7%	16 9%i	10 6%	8 4%	13 5%	14 5%	12 7%	71 6%	53 6%
Mean	3.21	3.22	3.19	3.10	3.17	3.17	3.17	3.33bde HK	3.29hk	3.28	3.23	3.15	3.25	3.22	3.21
Standard deviation	0.74	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.69	0.74	0.74	0.71	0.78	0.72	0.71	0.78	0.75	0.73	0.75
Standard error	0.02	0.02	0.06	0.08	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.02	0.03

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - a/h - b/c/d/e/f/g/h/i/j/k/l - m/n
 Overlap formulae used. * small base