

RAIB – STAKEHOLDER SURVEY

Methodology: ComRes interviewed 118 of RAIB's stakeholders online between the 12th of October and the 13th of November 2017. ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules.

All press releases or other publications must be checked with ComRes before use. ComRes requires 48 hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.


For information about commissioning research please contact <u>info@comresglobal.com</u> or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660.

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the ComRes team, please email: pollwatch@comresglobal.com

REPUTATION | COMMUNICATIONS | PUBLIC POLICY

Four Millbank | London | SW1P 3JA | T. +44 (0)20 7871 8660 Rond-Point Schuman / Schumanplein 6 | Box 5 | 1040 Bruxelles | T. +32 (0)2 234 63 82 51/F Raffles City | No.268 Xi Zang Middle Road | HuangPu District Shanghai | 200001 China | T. +86 (0)21 2312 7674

Q1. Which of the following responsibilities, if any, do you associate with RAIB?	. 1
Q2. Through which of the following ways, if at all, have you come into contact with the RAIB during the last 12 months?	. 3
Q3. Thinking about your overall impression of the RAIB, which of these phrases, if any, best describes the way you would speak in private about the RAIB overall to other peopleor organisations in the industry?	4
Q4_1. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	5
Q4_2. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	6
Q4_3. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	7
Q4_4. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	8
Q4_5. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	9
Q4_6. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	. 10
Q4_7. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	. 11
Q4_8. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents	. 12
Q5_SUM. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements? SUMMARY TABLE	. 13
Q5_1. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements? RAIB investigations make a significant contribution to improving rail safety	14
Q5_2. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements? RAIB investigations are important to my organisation Base: All respondents	15
Q5_3. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements? My organisation has a strong relationship with RAIB	16
Q5_4. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements? My organisation's relationship with RAIB is mutually beneficial	17
Q6_SUM. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? SUMMARY TABLE	18
Q6_1. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Ability to conduct investigations independently Base: All respondents	. 19
Q6_2. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? No blame approach to investigating rail incidents	. 20
Q6_3. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Making safety recommendations to the industry Base: All respondents	. 21
Q6_4. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Engaging with stakeholders and other organisations within the rail sector	. 22





Q6_5. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Disseminating safety learning to the industry	23
Q6_6. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Providing investigation expertise	24
Q6_7. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry? Timely publication of reports following an incident	25
Q7_SUM. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? SUMMARY TABLE	
Q7_1. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Maintaining its independence	27
Q7_2. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Avoiding apportioning blame	28
Q7_3. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Making safety recommendations to the industry	29
Q7_4. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Engaging with the industry and other stakeholders during investigations	30
Q7_5. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Disseminating safety learning to the industry	31
Q7_6. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Demonstrating expertise in its investigations	32
Q7_7. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following? Publishing reports in a timely manner	33
Q8. Has your organisation been involved in a RAIB investigation within the last 5 years (since October 2012)? Base: All respondents	
Q9_1. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	35
Q9_2. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	
Q9_3. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	37
Q9_4. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	38
Q9_5. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	39
Q9_6. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	40
Q9_7. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)?	41
Q10. How would you rate the amount of contact you have had with the RAIB during the investigation(s)?	42
Q11_SUM. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation? SUMMARY TABLE	43
Q11_1. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation? The information provided by RAIB helped my organisation's own investigation Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017 Prepared by ComRes	
Page 1 COMRES	

Page 1 COMRES	
Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017 Prepared by ComRes	5
Q21_2. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB? Safety digests	65
Q21_1. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB? Investigation reports	64
Q21_SUM. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB? SUMMARY TABLE	63
Q20_3. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests? Quality	62
Q20_2. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests? Amount of detail	61
Q20_1. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests? Clarity of information	60
Q20_SUM. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests? SUMMARY TABLE	59
Q19. Have you read a report or safety digest published by the RAIB within the last 12 months? Base: All those who have heard of a report or safety digest published by RAIB	58
Q18. Through which of the following ways, if any, do you find out that RAIB has published a report or safety digest?	57
Q17. To what extent, if at all, would you find engagement with RAIB after the publication of their reports helpful in implementing their recommendations?	56
Q15_7. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? RAIB's recommendations are addressed to the appropriate people	55
Q15_6. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? RAIB's recommendations are realistic and achievable	54
Q15_5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? RAIB's recommendations make clear what actions should be implemented in the future	53
Q15_4. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? RAIB's recommendations are supported by evidence	52
Q15_3. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? The number of RAIB's recommendations is proportionate to the incident investigated	51
Q15_2. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? The scope of RAIB's recommendations is proportionate to the incident investigated	50
Q15_1. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? RAIB's recommendations lead to improved safety	49
Q15_SUM. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety? SUMMARY TABLE Base: All respondents	48
Q13. To what extent, if at all, do you think RAIB has a role in improving the quality of the industry's accident investigations?	47
Q12. How would you rate the quality of the rail industry's accident investigations overall (not specifically those of the RAIB)?	46
Q11_2. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation? The pre-consultation and consultation processes allowed me to express my views on RAIB investigation findings and their final report	45

Q23. Have you read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016? Base: All respondents	66
Q24. For which of the following reasons, if any, did you not read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016?	. 67
Q25_SUM. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? SUMMARY TABLE	. 68
Q25_1. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? The report overall	69
Q25_2. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? The Chief Inspector's foreword	70
Q25_3. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? The description of RAIB's activities	71
Q25_4. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? Examples of significant learnings from rail investigations	72
Q25_5. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful? Identification of key themes and recurrent issues across the year 2016	73



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017 Prepared by ComRes

Q1. Which of the following responsibilities, if any, do you associate with RAIB? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK AB	OUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY			REPORT/ SAFE		T IN READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c	
Total	118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%	
To investigate accidents and incidents on UK railways and tramways	114 97%	74 99%	30 94%	10 91%	78 99% b	27 90%	9 100%	65 96%	9 90%	108 96%	3 100%	2 100%	58 97%	48 96%	8 100%	
To conduct investigations independently of other bodies in the rail industry	114 97%	73 97%	31 97%	10 91%	77 97%	28 93%	9 100%	65 96%	9 90%	108 96%	3 100%	2 100%	59 98%	48 96%	7 88%	
To make safety recommendations to the rail industry to improve safety	110 93%	72 96% b	27 84%	11 100%	77 97% b	25 83%	8 89%	63 93%	9 90%	106 95%	3 100%	1 50%	57 95%	46 92%	7 88%	
To conduct no-blame investigations with the objective of improving railway safety	107 91%	70 93%	27 84%	10 91%	74 94% b	24 80%	9 100%	61 90%	8 80%	102 91%	2 67%	2 100%	56 93%	44 88%	7 88%	
To disseminate safety learning to the rail industry	94 80%	63 84%	23 72%	8 73%	66 84%	23 77%	5 56%	52 76%	8 80%	94 84%	-	-	51 85%	36 72%	7 88%	
To facilitate industry collaboration to drive improvement in rail safety	38 32%	25 33%	9 28%	4 36%	26 33%	10 33%	2 22%	24 35%	2 20%	38 34%	-	-	21 35%	17 34%	-	
To investigate breaches in health and safety legislation	27 23%	16 21%	9 28%	2 18%	19 24%	5 17%	3 33%	15 22%	2 20%	26 23%	1 33%	-	12 20%	14 28%	1 13%	

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 1

Q1. Which of the following responsibilities, if any, do you associate with RAIB? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED BY RAIB IN LAST 5 YEARS				' OF RAIL VESTIGATIONS	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016		
		Net: Would speak highly		Net: Would speak								Can't			Can't
	Total	of	Neutral	critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	remember	Yes	No	remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*C	а	b	*c
Total	118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	3	2	60	50	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
To guide and manage the maintenance of	11	6	5	-	7	3	1	8	1	11	-	-	6	5	-
railway standards	9%	8%	16%	-	9%	10%	11%	12%	10%	10%	-	-	10%	10%	-
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 1/2

Q2. Through which of the following ways, if at all, have you come into contact with the RAIB during the last 12 months? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUSTRY'S IN\		READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	*c	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	b	*c
Total	118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
At a meeting and/or event	84 71%	55 73%	22 69%	7 64%	59 75%	21 70%	4 44%	45 66%	7 70%	82 73%	1 33%	1 50%	48 80% b	30 60%	6 75%
Direct contact with RAIB over email	59 50%	43 57%	12 38%	4 36%	45 57%	14 47%	-	37 54%	3 30%	59 53%	-	:	40 67% b	16 32%	3 38%
Direct contact with RAIB over the telephone	43 36%	32 43%	9 28%	2 18%	35 44%	8 27%	-	24 35%	3 30%	43 38%	-	:	29 48% b	12 24%	2 25%
During an investigation	37 31%	22 29%	8 25%	7 64%	37 47% b	-	-	21 31%	3 30%	37 33%	-	-	25 42% b	11 22%	1 13%
Other	14 12%	10 13%	4 13%	-	8 10%	5 17%	1 11%	5 7%	3 30%	14 13%	-	-	6 10%	5 10%	3 38%
I have not had any contact with RAIB during the last 12 months	14 12%	8 11%	5 16%	1 9%	6 8%	4 13%	4 44%	10 15%	2 20%	10 9%	2 67%	1 50%	3 5%	11 22% a	-

Prepared by ComRes

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Q3. Thinking about your overall impression of the RAIB, which of these phrases, if any, best describes the way you would speak in private about the RAIB overall to other people or organisations in the industry? Base: All respondents

								QUALITY		READ A RAIB					
		Net: Would	BOUT RAIB IN F	Net: Would	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	ASI 5 YEARS	INDUSTRY'S INV	ESTIGATIONS	IHE	LAST 12 MON	HS	READ RAIB	S ANNUAL RE	ORT 2016
	Total	speak highly of	Neutral	speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	*c	а	b	*с	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total	118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
I would be critical of them without being asked	2 2%	-	-	2 18%	2 3%	-	-	1 1%	1 10%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
I would be critical of them if asked	9 8%	-	-	9 82%	9 11%	-		3 4%	2 20%	9 8%	-	-	3 5%	5 10%	1 13%
I would be neutral about them if asked	32 27%	-	32 100% a	-	18 23%	10 33%	4 44%	18 26%	2 20%	29 26%	2 67%	-	10 17%	21 42% a	1 13%
I would speak highly of them if asked	43 36%	43 57% b	-	-	26 33%	14 47%	3 33%	27 40%	4 40%	42 38%	-	1 50%	28 47% b	13 26%	2 25%
I would speak highly of them without being asked	32 27%	32 43% b	-	-	24 30%	6 20%	2 22%	19 28%	1 10%	30 27%	1 33%	1 50%	19 32%	9 18%	4 50%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS															
Net: Would speak highly of	75 64%	75 100% b	-		50 63%	20 67%	5 56%	46 68%	5 50%	72 64%	1 33%	2 100%	47 78% b	22 44%	6 75%
Net: Would speak critically of	11 9%	-	-	11 100%	11 14% b	-	-	4 6%	3 30%	11 10%	-	-	3 5%	7 14%	1 13%

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 4

Q4_1. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			Net: Would Net: Would			INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		IN READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016		
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Unprofessional	(1)	4 3%	1 1%	3 9% a	-	3 4%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 10%	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
2	(2)	2 2%	-	-	2 18%	2 3%	-	-	-	1 10%	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
3	(3)	9 8%	-	5 16% a	4 36%	7 9%	2 7%	-	3 4%	1 10%	8 7%	1 33%	-	3 5%	6 12%	-
4	(4)	40 34%	18 24%	17 53% a	5 45%	26 33%	9 30%	5 56%	25 37%	4 40%	37 33%	2 67%	1 50%	16 27%	20 40%	4 50%
5 = Professional	(5)	63 53%	56 75% b	7 22%	-	41 52%	18 60%	4 44%	38 56%	3 30%	61 54%	-	1 50%	38 63% b	21 42%	4 50%
NETS																
Net: Professional		103 87%	74 99% b	24 75%	5 45%	67 85%	27 90%	9 100%	63 93%	7 70%	98 88%	2 67%	2 100%	54 90%	41 82%	8 100%
Net: Unprofessional		6 5%	1 1%	3 9% a	2 18%	5 6%	1 3%	-	2 3%	2 20%	6 5%	-	-	3 5%	3 6%	-
Mean score		4.3	4.7 b	3.8	3.3	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.7	4.3	3.7	4.5	4.5	4.1	4.5
Standard deviation Standard error		.94 .09	.61 .07	1.10 .19	.79 .24	1.00 .11	.90 .16	.53 .18	.83 .10	1.34 .42	.95 .09	.58 .33	.71 .50	.93 .12	.99 .14	.53 .19



Q4_2. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB	REPORT/ SAFE		IN READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016		
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = III-informed	(1)	4 3%	2 3%	2 6%	-	2 3%	2 7%	-	3 4%	1 10%	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
2	(2)	5 4%	-	1 3%	4 36%	4 5%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 10%	5 4%	-	-	1 2%	3 6%	1 139
3	(3)	17 14%	1 1%	11 34% a	5 45%	13 16%	4 13%	-	8 12%	2 20%	16 14%	1 33%	-	8 13%	8 16%	1 139
4	(4)	35 30%	22 29%	11 34%	2 18%	23 29%	8 27%	4 44%	18 26%	2 20%	32 29%	1 33%	1 50%	19 32%	15 30%	1 13%
5 = Knowledgeable	(5)	57 48%	50 67% b	7 22%	-	37 47%	15 50%	5 56%	37 54%	4 40%	55 49%	1 33%	1 50%	30 50%	22 44%	5 63%
NETS																
Net: Knowledgeable		92 78%	72 96% b	18 56%	2 18%	60 76%	23 77%	9 100%	55 81%	6 60%	87 78%	2 67%	2 100%	49 82%	37 74%	6 75%
Net: Ill-informed		9 8%	2 3%	3 9%	4 36%	6 8%	3 10%	-	5 7%	2 20%	9 8%	-	-	3 5%	5 10%	1 13%
Mean score		4.2	4.6 b	3.6	2.8	4.1	4.1	4.6	4.2	3.7	4.2	4.0	4.5	4.2	4.0	4.3
Standard deviation Standard error		1.04 .10	.77 .09	1.07 .19	.75 .23	1.03 .12	1.18 .22	.53 .18	1.07 .13	1.42 .45	1.06 .10	1.00 .58	.71 .50	.98 .13	1.11 .16	1.16 .41

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Prepared by ComRes

Q4_3. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED	BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Untrustworthy	(1)	3 3%	-	3 9% a	-	2 3%	1 3%	-	2 3%	-	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 4%	-
2	(2)	3 3%	1 1%	-	2 18%	3 4%	-	-	-	2 20%	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 4%	-
3	(3)	10 8%	1 1%	6 19% a	3 27%	6 8%	4 13%	-	6 9%	1 10%	9 8%	1 33%	-	3 5%	6 12%	1 13%
4	(4)	37 31%	18 24%	15 47% a	4 36%	26 33%	6 20%	5 56%	22 32%	3 30%	33 29%	2 67%	1 50%	18 30%	16 32%	3 38%
5 = Trustworthy	(5)	65 55%	55 73% b	8 25%	2 18%	42 53%	19 63%	4 44%	38 56%	4 40%	64 57%	-	1 50%	37 62%	24 48%	4 50%
NETS																
Net: Trustworthy		102 86%	73 97% b	23 72%	6 55%	68 86%	25 83%	9 100%	60 88%	7 70%	97 87%	2 67%	2 100%	55 92%	40 80%	7 88%
Net: Untrustworthy		6 5%	1 1%	3 9% a	2 18%	5 6%	1 3%	-	2 3%	2 20%	6 5%	-	-	2 3%	4 8%	-
Mean score		4.3	4.7 b	3.8	3.5	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	3.9	4.4	3.7	4.5	4.5	4.2	4.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.93 .09	.57 .07	1.13 .20	1.04 .31	.95 .11	.97 .18	.53 .18	.88 .11	1.20 .38	.94 .09	.58 .33	.71 .50	.81 .10	1.06 .15	.74 .26



Q4_4. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI			INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUST INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F	EPORT/ SAFE		IN READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016		
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	*c	a	b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Uninformative	(1)	2 2%	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	1 10%	2 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	-
2	(2)	3 3%	-	2 6% a	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	1 1%		3 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 4%	-
3	(3)	19 16%	2 3%	13 41% a	4 36%	10 13%	5 17%	4 44%	10 15%	3 30%	18 16%	1 33%	-	7 12%	11 22%	1 13%
4	(4)	55 47%	37 49%	13 41%	5 45%	39 49%	14 47%	2 22%	28 41%	4 40%	51 46%	2 67%	1 50%	26 43%	25 50%	4 50%
5 = Informative	(5)	39 33%	35 47% b	3 9%	1 9%	26 33%	10 33%	3 33%	28 41%	2 20%	38 34%	-	1 50%	24 40%	12 24%	3 38%
NETS																
Net: Informative		94 80%	72 96% b	16 50%	6 55%	65 82%	24 80%	5 56%	56 82%	6 60%	89 79%	2 67%	2 100%	50 83%	37 74%	7 88%
Net: Uninformative		5 4%	1 1%	3 9% a	1 9%	4 5%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 10%	5 4%	-	-	3 5%	2 4%	-
Mean score		4.1	4.4 b	3.5	3.5	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.2	3.6	4.1	3.7	4.5	4.2	3.9	4.3
Standard deviation Standard error		.86 .08	.68 .08	.88 .16	.82 .25	.85 .10	.91 .17	.93 .31	.85 .10	1.17 .37	.88 .08	.58 .33	.71 .50	.94 .12	.79 .11	.71 .25



Q4_5. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'	S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Resistant	(1)	3 3%	-	3 9% a	-	2 3%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	1 13%
2	(2)	14 12%	5 7%	2 6%	7 64%	11 14%	3 10%	-	6 9%	4 40%	14 13%	-	-	5 8%	8 16%	1 13%
3	(3)	36 31%	12 16%	21 66% a	3 27%	25 32%	7 23%	4 44%	20 29%	3 30%	34 30%	1 33%	-	15 25%	20 40%	1 13%
4	(4)	41 35%	36 48% b	5 16%	-	25 32%	14 47%	2 22%	24 35%	2 20%	38 34%	2 67%	1 50%	20 33%	17 34%	4 50%
5 = Receptive	(5)	24 20%	22 29% b	1 3%	1 9%	16 20%	5 17%	3 33%	17 25%	1 10%	23 21%	-	1 50%	19 32% b	4 8%	1 13%
NETS																
Net: Receptive		65 55%	58 77% b	6 19%	1 9%	41 52%	19 63%	5 56%	41 60%	3 30%	61 54%	2 67%	2 100%	39 65% b	21 42%	5 63%
Net: Resistant		17 14%	5 7%	5 16%	7 64%	13 16%	4 13%	-	7 10%	4 40%	17 15%	-	-	6 10%	9 18%	2 25%
Mean score		3.6	4.0 b	3.0	2.5	3.5	3.6	3.9	3.7	3.0	3.6	3.7	4.5	3.9 b	3.3	3.4
Standard deviation Standard error		1.02 .09	.85 .10	.86 .15	.93 .28	1.05 .12	1.00 .18	.93 .31	.99 .12	1.05 .33	1.04 .10	.58 .33	.71 .50	1.02 .13	.91 .13	1.30 .46

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Prepared by ComRes

Q4_6. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*C	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Uncommunicative	(1)	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	9 8%	3 4%	4 13%	2 18%	6 8%	3 10%	-	4 6%	2 20%	9 8%	-	-	4 7%	4 8%	1 13%
3	(3)	23 19%	8 11%	13 41% a	2 18%	12 15%	7 23%	4 44%	10 15%	3 30%	19 17%	3 100%	-	8 13%	14 28%	1 13%
4	(4)	56 47%	37 49%	13 41%	6 55%	43 54%	11 37%	2 22%	32 47%	3 30%	55 49%	-	1 50%	30 50%	23 46%	3 38%
5 = Communicative	(5)	29 25%	27 36% b	1 3%	1 9%	17 22%	9 30%	3 33%	21 31%	2 20%	28 25%	-	1 50%	18 30%	8 16%	3 38%
NETS																
Net: Communicative		85 72%	64 85% b	14 44%	7 64%	60 76%	20 67%	5 56%	53 78%	5 50%	83 74%	-	2 100%	48 80% b	31 62%	6 75%
Net: Uncommunicative		10 8%	3 4%	5 16% a	2 18%	7 9%	3 10%	-	5 7%	2 20%	10 9%	-	-	4 7%	5 10%	1 13%
Mean score		3.9	4.2 b	3.3	3.5	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.5	3.9	3.0	4.5	4.0 b	3.7	4.0
Standard deviation Standard error		.90 .08	.78 .09	.85 .15	.93 .28	.88 .10	.97 .18	.93 .31	.91 .11	1.08 .34	.90 .09	-	.71 .50	.84 .11	.92 .13	1.07 .38

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Prepared by ComRes

Q4_7. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	*c	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Unapproachable	(1)	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	6 5%	1 1%	4 13% a	1 9%	5 6%	-	1 11%	-	2 20%	6 5%	-	-	2 3%	4 8%	-
3	(3)	34 29%	10 13%	18 56% a	6 55%	19 24%	9 30%	6 67%	20 29%	5 50%	30 27%	2 67%	1 50%	12 20%	20 40% a	2 259
4	(4)	48 41%	35 47%	9 28%	4 36%	34 43%	13 43%	1 11%	28 41%	2 20%	47 42%	1 33%	-	29 48%	16 32%	3 38%
5 = Approachable	(5)	29 25%	28 37% b	1 3%	-	21 27%	7 23%	1 11%	19 28%	1 10%	28 25%	:	1 50%	17 28%	9 18%	3 389
NETS																
Net: Approachable		77 65%	63 84% b	10 31%	4 36%	55 70%	20 67%	2 22%	47 69%	3 30%	75 67%	1 33%	1 50%	46 77% b	25 50%	6 759
Net: Unapproachable		7 6%	2 3%	4 13% a	1 9%	5 6%	1 3%	1 11%	1 1%	2 20%	7 6%	-	-	2 3%	5 10%	
Mean score		3.8	4.2 b	3.2	3.3	3.9	3.8	3.2	3.9	3.2	3.8	3.3	4.0	4.0 b	3.6	4.1
Standard deviation Standard error		.89 .08	.81 .09	.71 .12	.65 .19	.87 .10	.91 .17	.83 .28	.84	.92 .29	.89	.58 .33	1.41 1.00	.79 .10	.95 .13	.83 .30



Q4_8. To what extent, if at all, do you believe each of the following words applies to RAIB? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*C	а	b	*с	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Biased	(1)	5 4%	2 3%	2 6%	1 9%	4 5%	1 3%	-	2 3%	2 20%	5 4%	-	-	2 3%	3 6%	-
2	(2)	7 6%	1 1%	3 9% a	3 27%	5 6%	2 7%	-	3 4%	1 10%	7 6%	-	-	2 3%	5 10%	-
3	(3)	16 14%	1 1%	10 31% a	5 45%	11 14%	4 13%	1 11%	6 9%	2 20%	15 13%	1 33%	-	5 8%	9 18%	2 25%
4	(4)	28 24%	19 25%	7 22%	2 18%	22 28%	4 13%	2 22%	19 28%	1 10%	25 22%	2 67%	1 50%	18 30%	9 18%	1 13%
5 = Honest	(5)	62 53%	52 69% b	10 31%	-	37 47%	19 63%	6 67%	38 56%	4 40%	60 54%	-	1 50%	33 55%	24 48%	5 63%
NETS																
Net: Honest		90 76%	71 95% b	17 53%	2 18%	59 75%	23 77%	8 89%	57 84%	5 50%	85 76%	2 67%	2 100%	51 85% b	33 66%	6 75%
Net: Biased		12 10%	3 4%	5 16% a	4 36%	9 11%	3 10%	-	5 7%	3 30%	12 11%	-	-	4 7%	8 16%	-
Mean score		4.1	4.6 b	3.6	2.7	4.1	4.3	4.6	4.3	3.4	4.1	3.7	4.5	4.3	3.9	4.4
Standard deviation Standard error		1.13 .10	.82 .10	1.21 .21	.90 .27	1.15 .13	1.14 .21	.73 .24	1.01 .12	1.65 .52	1.15 .11	.58 .33	.71 .50	1.00 .13	1.28 .18	.92 .32



Q5_SUM. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

								NETS	
	Total	Disagree strongly	Tend to disagree	Tend to agree	Agree strongly	Don't know	Agree	Disagree	Mean
RAIB investigations are important to my	118	-	3	30	83	2	113	3	3.7
organisation	100%	-	3%	25%	70%	2%	96%	3%	
RAIB investigations make a significant	118	-	8	36	71	3	107	8	3.5
contribution to improving rail safety	100%	-	7%	31%	60%	3%	91%	7%	
My organisation's relationship with RAIB is	118	-	8	50	52	8	102	8	3.4
mutually beneficial	100%	-	7%	42%	44%	7%	86%	7%	
My organisation has a strong relationship	118	1	12	50	45	10	95	13	3.3
with RAIB	100%	1%	10%	42%	38%	8%	81%	11%	



Q5_1. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements?

RAIB investigations make a significant contribution to improving rail safety

Base: All respondents

					PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	8 7%	-	3 9% a	5 45%	8 10%	-	-	2 3%	2 20%	8 7%	-	-	2 3%	5 10%	1 13%
Tend to agree	(3)	36 31%	17 23%	14 44% a	5 45%	27 34%	6 20%	3 33%	19 28%	5 50%	35 31%	1 33%	-	15 25%	18 36%	3 38%
Agree strongly	(4)	71 60%	56 75% b	14 44%	1 9%	43 54%	22 73%	6 67%	44 65%	3 30%	66 59%	2 67%	2 100%	41 68%	26 52%	4 50%
Don't know		3 3%	2 3%	1 3%	-	1 1%	2 7%	-	3 4%	-	3 3%	-	-	2 3%	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		107 91%	73 97% b	28 88%	6 55%	70 89%	28 93%	9 100%	63 93%	8 80%	101 90%	3 100%	2 100%	56 93%	44 88%	7 88%
Net: Disagree		8 7%		3 9% a	5 45%	8 10%	-	-	2 3%	2 20%	8 7%	:	-	2 3%	5 10%	1 13%
Mean score		3.5	3.8 b	3.4	2.6	3.4	3.8	3.7	3.6	3.1	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.7 b	3.4	3.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.62 .06	.43 .05	.66 .12	.67 .20	.68 .08	.42 .08	.50 .17	.54 .07	.74 .23	.63 .06	.58 .33	-	.54 .07	.68 .10	.74 .26





Q5_2. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements?

RAIB investigations are important to my organisation

Base: All respondents

		-	Net: Would Net: Would			D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC			REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	. otai	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total	118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly (1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tend to disagree (2)	3 3%	1 1%	1 3%	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	-	2 20%	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	1 13%
Tend to agree (3)	30 25%	17 23%	9 28%	4 36%	19 24%	8 27%	3 33%	15 22%	6 60%	29 26%	1 33%	-	13 22%	15 30%	2 25%
Agree strongly (4)	83 70%	56 75%	21 66%	6 55%	57 72%	20 67%	6 67%	51 75%	2 20%	79 71%	2 67%	2 100%	46 77%	32 64%	5 63%
Don't know	2 2%	1 1%	1 3%	-	-	2 7% a	-	2 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
NETS															
Net: Agree	113 96%	73 97%	30 94%	10 91%	76 96%	28 93%	9 100%	66 97%	8 80%	108 96%	3 100%	2 100%	59 98%	47 94%	7 88%
Net: Disagree	3 3%	1 1%	1 3%	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	-	2 20%	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	1 13%
Mean score Standard deviation	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8	3.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	3.8	3.6	3.5
Standard deviation Standard error	.52 .05	.47 .05	.55 .10	.69 .21	.54 .06	.46 .09	.50 .17	.42 .05	.67 .21	.52 .05	.58 .33	-	.47 .06	.53 .08	.76 .27

Prepared by ComRes



Q5_3. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements?

My organisation has a strong relationship with RAIB

Base: All respondents

		Net: Would Net: Would			INVESTIGATE	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL REP	PORT 2016
		speak highly	N	speak						X		Can't	N N		Can't
Significance Level: 95%	Total	of	Neutral	critically of *c	Yes	Nob	Don't know *c	Net: Good a	Net: Poor *b	Yes	No *b	remember *c	Yes	Nob	remember *c
				C			C							-	C
Total	118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	3	2	60	50	8
	100%	5 100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Disagree strongly	(1) 1	-	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	1	-
	19	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	1%	-	1%	-	-	-	2%	-
Tend to disagree	(2) 12	5	4	3	5	5	2	4	3	11	1	-	4	8	-
	10%		13%	27%	6%	17%	22%	6%	30%	10%	33%	-	7%	16%	-
Tend to agree	(3) 50	31	14	5	36	11	3	29	6	47	2	1	27	19	4
	42%		44%	45%	46%		33%	43%	60%	42%	67%	50%	45%	38%	50%
Agree strongly	(4) 45	36	8	1	33	10	2	29	1	44		1	26	16	3
Agree strongly	(4) 45		° 25%	9%	42%			43%	10%	39%	-	50%	43%	32%	38%
		b	2070	0,0	/0	0070	22,0	1070	10,0			0070	1070	0270	0070
Don't know	10	3	5	2	4	4	2	5	-	9	-	-	3	6	1
	89	4%	16%	18%	5%	13%	22%	7%	-	8%	-	-	5%	12%	13%
			а												
NETS															
Net: Agree	95	67	22	6	69	21	5	58	7	91	2	2	53	35	7
	81%		69%	55%	87%	70%	56%	85%	70%	81%	67%	100%	88%	70%	88%
		b			b								b		
Net: Disagree	13	5	5	3	6	5	2	5	3	12	1	-	4	9	-
-	119	5 7%	16%	27%	8%	17%	22%	7%	30%	11%	33%	-	7%	18%	-
Mean score	3.3	3.4	3.1	2.8	3.3	3.2	3.0	3.4	2.8	3.3	2.7	3.5	3.4	3.1	3.4
Standard deviation	.70	.62	.78	.67	.67	.75	.82	.68	.63	.70	.58	.71	.62	.80	.53
Standard error	.07	.07	.15	.22	.08	.15	.31	.09	.20	.07	.33	.50	.08	.12	.20



Q5_4. Thinking about your relationship with the RAIB, to what extent, if at all, do you agree with each of the following statements?

My organisation's relationship with RAIB is mutually beneficial

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED	BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB R THE I	EPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	8 7%	1 1%	4 13% a	3 27%	6 8%	1 3%	1 11%	1 1%	3 30%	7 6%	1 33%	-	3 5%	5 10%	-
Tend to agree	(3)	50 42%	25 33%	18 56% a	7 64%	35 44%	11 37%	4 44%	29 43%	7 70%	48 43%	1 33%	1 50%	20 33%	24 48%	6 75%
Agree strongly	(4)	52 44%	45 60% b	7 22%	-	36 46%	13 43%	3 33%	33 49%	-	50 45%	1 33%	1 50%	36 60% b	14 28%	2 25%
Don't know		8 7%	4 5%	3 9%	1 9%	2 3%	5 17% a	1 11%	5 7%	-	7 6%	-	-	1 2%	7 14% a	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		102 86%	70 93% b	25 78%	7 64%	71 90%	24 80%	7 78%	62 91%	7 70%	98 88%	2 67%	2 100%	56 93% b	38 76%	8 100%
Net: Disagree		8 7%	1 1%	4 13% a	3 27%	6 8%	1 3%	1 11%	1 1%	3 30%	7 6%	1 33%	-	3 5%	5 10%	-
Mean score		3.4	3.6	3.1	2.7	3.4	3.5	3.3	3.5	2.7	3.4	3.0	3.5	3.6 b	3.2	3.3
Standard deviation Standard error		.62 .06	.52 .06	.62 .11	.48 .15	.63 .07	.59 .12	.71 .25	.54 .07	.48 .15	.62	1.00 .58	.71 .50	.60 .08	.64 .10	.46 .16



Q6_SUM. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

Γ									NETS	
	Total	1 = Not at all important	2	3	4 5 = V	ery important	Don't know	Important	Not important	Mean
Ability to conduct investigations	118	1	1	2	16	98	-	114	2	4.8
independently	100%	1%	1%	2%	14%	83%	-	97%	2%	
No blame approach to investigating rail	118	-	1	6	21	90	-	111	1	4.7
incidents	100%	-	1%	5%	18%	76%	-	94%	1%	
Timely publication of reports following an	118	-	-	6	28	83	1	111	-	4.7
incident	100%	-	-	5%	24%	70%	1%	94%	-	
Making safety recommendations to the	118	-	2	6	20	90	-	110	2	4.7
industry	100%	-	2%	5%	17%	76%	-	93%	2%	
Disseminating safety learning to the industry	118	-	1	10	26	80	1	106	1	4.6
	100%	-	1%	8%	22%	68%	1%	90%	1%	
Providing investigation expertise	118	1	-	10	20	85	2	105	1	4.6
	100%	1%	-	8%	17%	72%	2%	89%	1%	
Engaging with stakeholders and other	118	1	1	15	52	48	1	100	2	4.2
organisations within the rail sector	100%	1%	1%	13%	44%	41%	1%	85%	2%	

COMRES

Table 17/1

Q6_1. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Ability to conduct investigations independently Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AB	BOUT RAIB IN P	RIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 13%
2	(2)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
3	(3)	2 2%	-	-	2 18%	2 3%	-	-	2 3%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
4	(4)	16 14%	8 11%	6 19%	2 18%	9 11%	5 17%	2 22%	11 16%	2 20%	15 13%	1 33%	-	6 10%	9 18%	1 13%
5 = Very important	(5)	98 83%	66 88%	26 81%	6 55%	67 85%	25 83%	6 67%	55 81%	6 60%	93 83%	2 67%	2 100%	54 90%	38 76%	6 75%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS																
Net: Important		114 97%	74 99%	32 100%	8 73%	76 96%	30 100%	8 89%	66 97%	8 80%	108 96%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	47 94%	7 88%
Net: Not important		2 2%	1 1%	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	1 11%	-	2 20%	2 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	1 13%
Mean score		4.8	4.8	4.8	4.2	4.8	4.8	4.3	4.8	4.1	4.8	4.7	5.0	4.9 b	4.7	4.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.60 .06	.55 .06	.40 .07	1.08 .33	.54 .06	.38 .07	1.32 .44	.48 .06	1.45 .46	.61 .06	.58 .33	-	.30 .04	.65 .09	1.41 .50

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 19

Q6_2. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

No blame approach to investigating rail incidents Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AB	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*C	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
3	(3)	6 5%	2 3%	2 6%	2 18%	4 5%	1 3%	1 11%	5 7%	-	6 5%	-	-	2 3%	4 8%	-
4	(4)	21 18%	11 15%	8 25%	2 18%	13 16%	4 13%	4 44%	9 13%	4 40%	19 17%	1 33%	1 50%	8 13%	11 22%	2 25%
5 = Very important	(5)	90 76%	62 83%	22 69%	6 55%	61 77%	25 83%	4 44%	54 79%	5 50%	86 77%	2 67%	1 50%	50 83%	34 68%	6 75%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS																
Net: Important		111 94%	73 97%	30 94%	8 73%	74 94%	29 97%	8 89%	63 93%	9 90%	105 94%	3 100%	2 100%	58 97%	45 90%	8 100%
Net: Not important		1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Mean score		4.7	4.8	4.6	4.2	4.7	4.8	4.3	4.7	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.5	4.8 b	4.6	4.8
Standard deviation Standard error		.61 .06	.46 .05	.61 .11	1.08 .33	.63 .07	.48 .09	.71 .24	.59 .07	.95 .30	.61 .06	.58 .33	.71 .50	.48 .06	.73 .10	.46 .16

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 20

Q6_3. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Making safety recommendations to the industry Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	2 2%	-	2 6% a	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
3	(3)	6 5%	2 3%	2 6%	2 18%	5 6%	1 3%	-	3 4%	2 20%	6 5%	-	-	2 3%	3 6%	1 13%
4	(4)	20 17%	9 12%	7 22%	4 36%	13 16%	6 20%	1 11%	9 13%	2 20%	19 17%	1 33%	-	9 15%	10 20%	1 13%
5 = Very important	(5)	90 76%	64 85% b	21 66%	5 45%	60 76%	22 73%	8 89%	55 81%	6 60%	86 77%	2 67%	2 100%	48 80%	36 72%	6 75%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS																
Net: Important		110 93%	73 97% b	28 88%	9 82%	73 92%	28 93%	9 100%	64 94%	8 80%	105 94%	3 100%	2 100%	57 95%	46 92%	7 88%
Net: Not important		2 2%		2 6% a	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	:	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
Mean score		4.7	4.8 b	4.5	4.3	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.7	4.4	4.7	4.7	5.0	4.7	4.6	4.6
Standard deviation Standard error		.65 .06	.45 .05	.88 .16	.79 .24	.65 .07	.72 .13	.33 .11	.61 .07	.84 .27	.61 .06	.58 .33	-	.61 .08	.70 .10	.74 .26

Q6_4. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Engaging with stakeholders and other organisations within the rail sector Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB R THE I	EPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		rotar	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 13%
2	(2)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-
3	(3)	15 13%	9 12%	4 13%	2 18%	8 10%	6 20%	1 11%	6 9%	1 10%	15 13%	-	-	8 13%	6 12%	1 13%
4	(4)	52 44%	33 44%	14 44%	5 45%	37 47%	12 40%	3 33%	33 49%	3 30%	49 44%	2 67%	1 50%	25 42%	26 52%	1 13%
5 = Very important	(5)	48 41%	31 41%	14 44%	3 27%	33 42%	12 40%	3 33%	28 41%	4 40%	45 40%	1 33%	1 50%	26 43%	17 34%	5 63%
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Important		100 85%	64 85%	28 88%	8 73%	70 89%	24 80%	6 67%	61 90%	7 70%	94 84%	3 100%	2 100%	51 85%	43 86%	6 75%
Net: Not important		2 2%	1 1%	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	1 11%	-	2 20%	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 13%
Mean score Standard deviation		4.2 .77	4.3 .78	4.3 .69	3.9 .94	4.3 .70	4.2 .76	3.9 1.36	4.3 .64	3.8 1.40	4.2 .78	4.3 .58	4.5 .71	4.3 .76	4.2 .65	4.1 1.46
Standard error		.07	.09	.12	.28	.08	.14	.48	.08	.44	.07	.33	.50	.10	.09	.52

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 22

Table 21/1

Q6_5. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Disseminating safety learning to the industry

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-
3	(3)	10 8%	3 4%	5 16% a	2 18%	8 10%	2 7%	-	5 7%	1 10%	7 6%	3 100%	-	4 7%	6 12%	-
4	(4)	26 22%	12 16%	10 31%	4 36%	17 22%	7 23%	2 22%	16 24%	3 30%	26 23%	-	-	11 18%	13 26%	2 25%
5 = Very important	(5)	80 68%	59 79% b	16 50%	5 45%	54 68%	20 67%	6 67%	45 66%	6 60%	78 70%	-	2 100%	45 75%	29 58%	6 75%
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Important		106 90%	71 95% b	26 81%	9 82%	71 90%	27 90%	8 89%	61 90%	9 90%	104 93%	-	2 100%	56 93%	42 84%	8 100%
Net: Not important		1 1%	-	1 3%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-		-	-	-	1 2%	-
Mean score		4.6	4.8 b	4.3	4.3	4.6	4.5	4.8	4.6	4.5	4.6	3.0	5.0	4.7	4.4	4.8
Standard deviation Standard error		.69 .06	.52 .06	.85 .15	.79 .24	.67 .08	.78 .14	.46 .16	.70 .09	.71 .22	.60 .06	-	-	.60 .08	.79 .11	.46 .16

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 23 Table 22/1

Q6_6. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Providing investigation expertise Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	(3)	10 8%	3 4%	3 9%	4 36%	10 13% b	-	-	6 9%	1 10%	10 9%	-	-	5 8%	5 10%	-
4	(4)	20 17%	12 16%	6 19%	2 18%	11 14%	8 27%	1 11%	7 10%	3 30%	20 18%	-	-	10 17%	8 16%	2 25%
5 = Very important	(5)	85 72%	58 77%	23 72%	4 36%	56 71%	22 73%	7 78%	54 79%	5 50%	79 71%	3 100%	2 100%	44 73%	35 70%	6 75%
Don't know		2 2%	2 3%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Important		105 89%	70 93%	29 91%	6 55%	67 85%	30 100% a	8 89%	61 90%	8 80%	99 88%	3 100%	2 100%	54 90%	43 86%	8 100%
Net: Not important		1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.6 .72 .07	4.8 .52 .06	4.6 .66 .12	3.7 1.27 .38	4.6 .82 .09	4.7 .45 .08	4.9 .35 .13	4.7 .62 .08	4.1 1.29 .41	4.6 .73 .07	5.0 - -	5.0 - -	4.7 .63 .08	4.6 .84 .12	4.8 .46 .16

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Prepared by ComRes

Q6_7. How important, if at all, do you consider each the following aspects of RAIB's work to be to the rail industry?

Timely publication of reports following an incident Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		, otai	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all important	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	(3)	6 5%	4 5%	-	2 18%	4 5%	2 7%	-	4 6%	-	5 4%	1 33%	-	2 3%	4 8%	-
4	(4)	28 24%	19 25%	6 19%	3 27%	21 27%	7 23%	-	14 21%	1 10%	28 25%	-	-	18 30%	10 20%	-
5 = Very important	(5)	83 70%	51 68%	26 81%	6 55%	54 68%	21 70%	8 89%	49 72%	9 90%	78 70%	2 67%	2 100%	40 67%	35 70%	8 100%
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Important		111 94%	70 93%	32 100%	9 82%	75 95%	28 93%	8 89%	63 93%	10 100%	106 95%	2 67%	2 100%	58 97%	45 90%	8 100%
Net: Not important		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean score Standard deviation		4.7 .57	4.6 .59	4.8 .40	4.4 .81	4.6 .58	4.6 .61	5.0	4.7 .59	4.9 .32	4.7 .56	4.3 1.15	5.0 -	4.6 .55	4.6 .64	5.0
Standard error		.05	.07	.07	.24	.07	.11	-	.07	.10	.05	.67	-	.07	.09	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 25

Q7_SUM. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

									NETS	
	Total	1 = Not at all well	2	3	4 5=	= Very well	Don't know	Well	Not well	Mean
Maintaining its independence	118	-	2	9	32	71	4	103	2	4.5
с .	100%	-	2%	8%	27%	60%	3%	87%	2%	
Avoiding apportioning blame	118	-	2	12	44	56	4	100	2	4.4
	100%	-	2%	10%	37%	47%	3%	85%	2%	
Demonstrating expertise in its investigations	118	2	6	11	47	50	2	97	8	4.2
	100%	2%	5%	9%	40%	42%	2%	82%	7%	
Making safety recommendations to the	118	1	9	16	48	41	3	89	10	4.0
industry	100%	1%	8%	14%	41%	35%	3%	75%	8%	
Disseminating safety learning to the industry	118	-	8	22	53	31	4	84	8	3.9
	100%	-	7%	19%	45%	26%	3%	71%	7%	
Engaging with the industry and other	118	1	5	30	43	28	11	71	6	3.9
stakeholders during investigations	100%	1%	4%	25%	36%	24%	9%	60%	5%	
Publishing reports in a timely manner	118	2	23	41	37	14	1	51	25	3.3
	100%	2%	19%	35%	31%	12%	1%	43%	21%	

COMRES

Q7_1. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Maintaining its independence Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	2 2%	-	1 3%	1 9%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
3	(3)	9 8%	-	5 16% a	4 36%	6 8%	3 10%	-	3 4%	2 20%	7 6%	2 67%	:	1 2%	8 16% a	-
4	(4)	32 27%	16 21%	11 34%	5 45%	22 28%	8 27%	2 22%	19 28%	6 60%	31 28%	1 33%	-	13 22%	16 32%	3 38%
5 = Very well	(5)	71 60%	56 75% b	14 44%	1 9%	49 62%	17 57%	5 56%	43 63%	2 20%	68 61%	-	2 100%	45 75% b	21 42%	5 63%
Don't know		4 3%	3 4%	1 3%	-	-	2 7% a	2 22%	3 4%	-	4 4%	-	-	1 2%	3 6%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		103 87%	72 96% b	25 78%	6 55%	71 90%	25 83%	7 78%	62 91%	8 80%	99 88%	1 33%	2 100%	58 97% b	37 74%	8 100%
Net: Not well		2 2%	-	1 3%	1 9%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
Mean score		4.5	4.8 b	4.2	3.5	4.5	4.5	4.7	4.6	4.0	4.5	3.3	5.0	4.7 b	4.2	4.6
Standard deviation Standard error		.72 .07	.42 .05	.84 .15	.82 .25	.75 .08	.69 .13	.49 .18	.58 .07	.67 .21	.70 .07	.58 .33	-	.48 .06	.88 .13	.52 .18

Prepared by ComRes

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Q7_2. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Avoiding apportioning blame Base: All respondents

			-	BOUT RAIB IN		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		TOLAI	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a a	*b	a	*b	°C	a	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	2 2%	-	1 3%	1 9%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
3	(3)	12 10%	-	9 28% a	3 27%	6 8%	6 20%	-	8 12%	-	10 9%	2 67%	-	2 3%	10 20% a	-
4	(4)	44 37%	26 35%	14 44%	4 36%	34 43% b	5 17%	5 56%	25 37%	7 70%	43 38%	1 33%	-	20 33%	19 38%	5 63%
5 = Very well	(5)	56 47%	45 60% b	8 25%	3 27%	36 46%	17 57%	3 33%	32 47%	3 30%	53 47%	-	2 100%	36 60% b	17 34%	3 38%
Don't know		4 3%	4 5%	-	-	1 1%	2 7%	1 11%	3 4%	-	4 4%	-	-	1 2%	3 6%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		100 85%	71 95% b	22 69%	7 64%	70 89%	22 73%	8 89%	57 84%	10 100%	96 86%	1 33%	2 100%	56 93% b	36 72%	8 100%
Net: Not well		2 2%	-	1 3%	1 9%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
Mean score		4.4	4.6 b	3.9	3.8	4.3	4.4	4.4	4.4	4.3	4.4	3.3	5.0	4.5 b	4.1	4.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.74 .07	.49 .06	.82 .14	.98 .30	.73 .08	.83 .16	.52 .18	.70 .09	.48 .15	.73 .07	.58 .33	- -	.65 .08	.81 .12	.52 .18

Prepared by ComRes

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 28

Table 27/1

Q7_3. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Making safety recommendations to the industry Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	9 8%	-	4 13% a	5 45%	8 10%	1 3%	-	5 7%	2 20%	8 7%	-	-	4 7%	5 10%	-
3	(3)	16 14%	4 5%	8 25% a	4 36%	13 16%	2 7%	1 11%	6 9%	3 30%	15 13%	1 33%	-	7 12%	8 16%	1 13%
4	(4)	48 41%	32 43%	15 47%	1 9%	27 34%	19 63% a	2 22%	26 38%	4 40%	47 42%	1 33%	-	23 38%	21 42%	4 50%
5 = Very well	(5)	41 35%	37 49% b	4 13%	-	29 37%	8 27%	4 44%	30 44%	-	38 34%	1 33%	2 100%	25 42%	13 26%	3 38%
Don't know		3 3%	2 3%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	2 22%	1 1%	-	3 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 4%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		89 75%	69 92% b	19 59%	1 9%	56 71%	27 90% a	6 67%	56 82%	4 40%	85 76%	2 67%	2 100%	48 80%	34 68%	7 88%
Net: Not well		10 8%		4 13% a	6 55%	9 11%	1 3%	-	5 7%	3 30%	9 8%	-	- -	4 7%	6 12%	-
Mean score		4.0	4.5 b	3.6	2.5	4.0	4.1	4.4	4.2	3.0	4.0	4.0	5.0	4.2	3.8	4.3
Standard deviation Standard error		.95 .09	.60 .07	.88 .16	.82 .25	1.04 .12	.68 .12	.79 .30	.90 .11	1.05 .33	.93 .09	1.00 .58	- -	.89 .12	1.02 .15	.71 .25

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017



Q7_4. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Engaging with the industry and other stakeholders during investigations Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*с	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	5 4%	1 1%	1 3%	3 27%	5 6%	-	-	2 3%	1 10%	5 4%	-	-	4 7%	1 2%	-
3	(3)	30 25%	11 15%	16 50% a	3 27%	19 24%	9 30%	2 22%	12 18%	4 40%	28 25%	2 67%	-	10 17%	17 34% a	3 38%
4	(4)	43 36%	30 40%	9 28%	4 36%	34 43%	9 30%	-	26 38%	3 30%	42 38%	1 33%	-	21 35%	19 38%	3 38%
5 = Very well	(5)	28 24%	25 33% b	3 9%	-	19 24%	7 23%	2 22%	19 28%	1 10%	25 22%	-	2 100%	20 33% b	6 12%	2 25%
Don't know		11 9%	8 11%	3 9%	-	1 1%	5 17% a	5 56%	8 12%	1 10%	11 10%	-	-	5 8%	6 12%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		71 60%	55 73% b	12 38%	4 36%	53 67%	16 53%	2 22%	45 66%	4 40%	67 60%	1 33%	2 100%	41 68%	25 50%	5 63%
Net: Not well		6 5%	1 1%	1 3%	4 36%	6 8%	-	-	3 4%	1 10%	6 5%	-	-	4 7%	2 4%	-
Mean score		3.9	4.2	3.5	2.9	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.8	3.3	5.0	4.0 b	3.6	3.9
Standard deviation Standard error		.89 .09	.76 .09	.74 .14	1.04 .31	.92 .10	.81 .16	1.15 .58	.90 .12	.88 .29	.89 .09	.58 .33	-	.92 .12	.84 .13	.83 .30

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 30

Q7_5. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Disseminating safety learning to the industry

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED	BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*с	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	8 7%	2 3%	5 16% a	1 9%	5 6%	3 10%	-	6 9%	-	6 5%	1 33%	-	3 5%	4 8%	1 13%
3	(3)	22 19%	8 11%	9 28% a	5 45%	15 19%	5 17%	2 22%	7 10%	5 50%	21 19%	1 33%	-	7 12%	14 28% a	1 13%
4	(4)	53 45%	34 45%	14 44%	5 45%	37 47%	13 43%	3 33%	29 43%	3 30%	51 46%	1 33%	1 50%	31 52%	19 38%	3 38%
5 = Very well	(5)	31 26%	27 36% b	4 13%	-	20 25%	8 27%	3 33%	24 35%	2 20%	30 27%	-	1 50%	17 28%	11 22%	3 38%
Don't know		4 3%	4 5%	-	-	2 3%	1 3%	1 11%	2 3%	-	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		84 71%	61 81% b	18 56%	5 45%	57 72%	21 70%	6 67%	53 78%	5 50%	81 72%	1 33%	2 100%	48 80% b	30 60%	6 75%
Net: Not well		8 7%	2 3%	5 16% a	1 9%	5 6%	3 10%	-	6 9%	-	6 5%	1 33%	-	3 5%	4 8%	1 13%
Mean score		3.9	4.2 b	3.5	3.4	3.9	3.9	4.1	4.1	3.7	4.0	3.0	4.5	4.1	3.8	4.0
Standard deviation Standard error		.87 .08	.75 .09	.92 .16	.67 .20	.85 .10	.94 .17	.83 .30	.92 .11	.82 .26	.84 .08	1.00 .58	.71 .50	.79 .10	.90 .13	1.07 .38

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Table 30/1

Q7_6. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Demonstrating expertise in its investigations Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	2 2%	-	-	2 18%	2 3%	-	-	-	1 10%	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
2	(2)	6 5%	1 1%	2 6%	3 27%	5 6%	1 3%	-	4 6%	1 10%	6 5%	-	-	-	5 10% a	1 13%
3	(3)	11 9%	2 3%	5 16% a	4 36%	9 11%	2 7%	-	4 6%	1 10%	10 9%	1 33%	-	5 8%	5 10%	1 13%
4	(4)	47 40%	30 40%	15 47%	2 18%	31 39%	14 47%	2 22%	24 35%	5 50%	45 40%	2 67%	-	25 42%	20 40%	2 25%
5 = Very well	(5)	50 42%	41 55% b	9 28%	-	31 39%	13 43%	6 67%	35 51%	2 20%	47 42%	-	2 100%	29 48%	17 34%	4 50%
Don't know		2 2%	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		97 82%	71 95% b	24 75%	2 18%	62 78%	27 90%	8 89%	59 87%	7 70%	92 82%	2 67%	2 100%	54 90% b	37 74%	6 75%
Net: Not well		8 7%	1 1%	2 6%	5 45%	7 9%	1 3%	-	4 6%	2 20%	8 7%	-	-	1 2%	6 12% a	1 13%
Mean score		4.2	4.5 b	4.0	2.5	4.1	4.3	4.8	4.3	3.6	4.2	3.7	5.0	4.4 b	4.0	4.1
Standard deviation Standard error		.93 .09	.62 .07	.86 .15	1.04 .31	1.00 .11	.75 .14	.46 .16	.84 .10	1.26 .40	.94 .09	.58 .33	-	.78 .10	1.04 .15	1.13 .40

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 31/1

Q7_7. And thinking about how RAIB currently operates, how well, if at all, do you think RAIB performs at each of the following?

Publishing reports in a timely manner Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	let: Would Net: Would			D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB R THE L	EPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	YORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*с
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Not at all well	(1)	2 2%	-	2 6% a	-	2 3%	-	-	2 3%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	-
2	(2)	23 19%	9 12%	9 28% a	5 45%	18 23%	4 13%	1 11%	14 21%	1 10%	22 20%	1 33%	-	11 18%	12 24%	-
3	(3)	41 35%	26 35%	13 41%	2 18%	26 33%	12 40%	3 33%	21 31%	5 50%	39 35%	2 67%	-	17 28%	20 40%	4 50%
4	(4)	37 31%	28 37% b	5 16%	4 36%	27 34%	8 27%	2 22%	19 28%	4 40%	36 32%	-	1 50%	24 40% b	11 22%	2 25%
5 = Very well	(5)	14 12%	11 15%	3 9%	-	6 8%	6 20%	2 22%	11 16%	-	12 11%	-	1 50%	6 10%	6 12%	2 25%
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	:	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Well		51 43%	39 52% b	8 25%	4 36%	33 42%	14 47%	4 44%	30 44%	4 40%	48 43%	-	2 100%	30 50%	17 34%	4 50%
Net: Not well		25 21%	9 12%	11 34% a	5 45%	20 25%	4 13%	1 11%	16 24%	1 10%	24 21%	1 33%	-	13 22%	12 24%	-
Mean score		3.3	3.6 b	2.9	2.9	3.2	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.3	3.3	2.7	4.5	3.4	3.2	3.8
Standard deviation Standard error		.98 .09	.89 .10	1.05 .18	.94 .28	.97 .11	.97 .18	1.06 .38	1.08 .13	.67 .21	.97 .09	.58 .33	.71 .50	1.01 .13	.96 .14	.89 .31

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

-Page 33

Table 32/1

Q8. Has your organisation been involved in a RAIB investigation within the last 5 years (since October 2012)? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUSTRY'S IN		READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Net: Would speak highly		Net: Would speak								Can't			Can't
	Total	of	Neutral	critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	remember	Yes	No	remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*с	а	b	*с
Total	118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	3	2	60	50	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	79	50	18	11	79	-	-	43	8	78	1	-	44	30	5
	67%	67%	56%	100%	100% b	-	-	63%	80%	70%	33%	-	73%	60%	63%
No	30	20	10	-	-	30	-	21	-	27	2	-	13	15	2
	25%	27%	31%	-	-	100%	-	31%	-	24%	67%	-	22%	30%	25%
						а									
Don't know	9	5	4	-	-	-	9	4	2	7	-	2	3	5	1
	8%	7%	13%	-	-	-	100%	6%	20%	6%	-	100%	5%	10%	13%

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_1. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			-	BOUT RAIB IN I		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		79	50	18	11	79	-	-	43	8	78	1	-	44	30	5
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%
1 = Unprofessional	(1)	3	1	2	-	3	-	-	1	1	3	-	-	1	2	-
		4%	2%	11%	-	4%	-	-	2%	13%	4%	-	-	2%	7%	-
2	(2)	3	-	-	3	3	-	-	1	1	3	-	-	1	2	-
		4%	-	-	27%	4%	-	-	2%	13%	4%	-	-	2%	7%	-
3	(3)	6	1	4	1	6	-	-	2	1	6	-	-	3	3	-
		8%	2%	22%	9%	8%	-	-	5%	13%	8%	-	-	7%	10%	-
4	(4)	29	16	7	6	29	-	-	15	4	28	1	-	14	11	4
		37%	32%	39%	55%	37%	-	-	35%	50%	36%	100%	-	32%	37%	80%
5 = Professional	(5)	38	32	5	1	38	-	-	24	1	38	-	-	25	12	1
		48%	64%	28%	9%	48%	-	-	56%	13%	49%	-	-	57%	40%	20%
NETS																
Net: Professional		67	48	12	7	67	-	-	39	5	66	1	-	39	23	5
		85%	96%	67%	64%	85%	-	-	91%	63%	85%	100%	-	89%	77%	100%
Net: Unprofessional		6	1	2	3	6	-	-	2	2	6	-	-	2	4	-
		8%	2%	11%	27%	8%	-	-	5%	25%	8%	-	-	5%	13%	-
Mean score		4.2	4.6	3.7	3.5	4.2	-	-	4.4	3.4	4.2	4.0	-	4.4	4.0	4.2
Standard deviation		1.01	.73	1.23	1.04	1.01	-	-	.88	1.30	1.01	-	-	.89	1.19	.45
Standard error		.11	.10	.29	.31	.11	-	-	.13	.46	.11	-	-	.13	.22	.20

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_2. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

				BOUT RAIB IN I		INVESTIGATEI	INVESTIGATED BY RAIB IN LAST 5 YEARS		QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB R THE	EPORT/ SAFE AST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
1 = III-informed	(1)	3 4%	1 2%	-	2 18%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	1 3%	-
2	(2)	3 4%	-	3 17%	-	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	-	3 4%	-	-	-	3 10% a	-
3	(3)	12 15%	2 4%	7 39%	3 27%	12 15%	-	-	7 16%	2 25%	12 15%	-	-	7 16%	5 17%	-
4	(4)	33 42%	22 44%	5 28%	6 55%	33 42%	-	-	15 35%	5 63%	32 41%	1 100%	-	16 36%	13 43%	4 80%
5 = Knowledgeable	(5)	28 35%	25 50%	3 17%	-	28 35%	-	-	18 42%	-	28 36%	-	-	19 43%	8 27%	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Knowledgeable		61 77%	47 94%	8 44%	6 55%	61 77%	-	-	33 77%	5 63%	60 77%	1 100%	-	35 80%	21 70%	5 100%
Net: III-informed		6 8%	1 2%	3 17%	2 18%	6 8%	-	-	3 7%	1 13%	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	4 13%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.0 1.01 .11	4.4 .76 .11	3.4 .98 .23	3.2 1.17 .35	4.0 1.01 .11	-	- - -	4.1 1.00 .15	3.4 1.06 .38	4.0 1.01 .11	4.0 - -	- -	4.1 1.00 .15	3.8 1.06 .19	4.2 .45 .20

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_3. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		Total	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a 103	*b	*C	a	b	*C
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
1 = Untrustworthy	(1)	3 4%	1 2%	2 11%	-	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	2 7%	-
2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	(3)	9 11%	2 4%	4 22%	3 27%	9 11%	-	-	5 12%	1 13%	9 12%	-	-	3 7%	6 20%	-
4	(4)	27 34%	12 24%	8 44%	7 64%	27 34%	-	-	12 28%	4 50%	26 33%	1 100%	-	12 27%	11 37%	4 80%
5 = Trustworthy	(5)	40 51%	35 70%	4 22%	1 9%	40 51%	-	-	25 58%	2 25%	40 51%	-	-	28 64% b	11 37%	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Trustworthy		67 85%	47 94%	12 67%	8 73%	67 85%	-	-	37 86%	6 75%	66 85%	1 100%	-	40 91% b	22 73%	5 100%
Net: Untrustworthy		3 4%	1 2%	2 11%	-	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	2 7%	-
Mean score		4.3	4.6	3.7	3.8	4.3	-	-	4.4	3.8	4.3	4.0	-	4.5 b	4.0	4.2
Standard deviation Standard error		.95 .11	.76 .11	1.19 .28	.60 .18	.95 .11	-	-	.88 .13	1.28 .45	.95 .11	-	-	.82 .12	1.10 .20	.45 .20

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_4. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			-	BOUT RAIB IN I		INVESTIGATE) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*C	а	b	*C
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
1 = Uninformative	(1)	3 4%	1 2%	1 6%	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	2 7%	-
2	(2)	3 4%	-	2 11%	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	1 3%	-
3	(3)	7 9%	1 2%	5 28%	1 9%	7 9%	-	-	3 7%	1 13%	7 9%	-	-	2 5%	5 17%	-
4	(4)	36 46%	21 42%	7 39%	8 73%	36 46%	-	-	19 44%	5 63%	35 45%	1 100%	-	18 41%	14 47%	4 80%
5 = Informative	(5)	30 38%	27 54%	3 17%	-	30 38%	-	-	19 44%	-	30 38%	-	-	21 48%	8 27%	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Informative		66 84%	48 96%	10 56%	8 73%	66 84%	-	-	38 88%	5 63%	65 83%	1 100%	-	39 89%	22 73%	5 100%
Net: Uninformative		6 8%	1 2%	3 17%	2 18%	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	2 25%	6 8%	-	-	3 7%	3 10%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.1 .98 .11	4.5 .73 .10	3.5 1.10 .26	3.5 1.04 .31	4.1 .98 .11	-	- - -	4.3 .88 .13	3.3 1.16 .41	4.1 .99 .11	4.0 - -	- - -	4.3 .92 .14	3.8 1.09 .20	4.2 .45 .20

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_5. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			-	BOUT RAIB IN I		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		rotai	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a 103	*b	*C	a	b	*C
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
1 = Resistant	(1)	3 4%	1 2%	-	2 18%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	2 25%	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	1 3%	-
2	(2)	6 8%	-	4 22%	2 18%	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	-	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	4 13%	-
3	(3)	17 22%	6 12%	8 44%	3 27%	17 22%	-	-	11 26%	3 38%	17 22%	-	-	8 18%	9 30%	-
4	(4)	26 33%	19 38%	3 17%	4 36%	26 33%	-	-	11 26%	3 38%	25 32%	1 100%	-	10 23%	12 40%	4 80%
5 = Receptive	(5)	27 34%	24 48%	3 17%	- -	27 34%	-	-	18 42%	-	27 35%	-	-	22 50% b	4 13%	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Receptive		53 67%	43 86%	6 33%	4 36%	53 67%	-	-	29 67%	3 38%	52 67%	1 100%	-	32 73%	16 53%	5 100%
Net: Resistant		9 11%	1 2%	4 22%	4 36%	9 11%	-	-	3 7%	2 25%	9 12%	-	-	4 9%	5 17%	-
Mean score		3.9	4.3	3.3	2.8	3.9	-	-	4.0	2.9	3.9	4.0	-	4.1 b	3.5	4.2
Standard deviation Standard error		1.09 .12	.84 .12	1.02 .24	1.17 .35	1.09 .12	-	-	1.05 .16	1.25 .44	1.10 .12	-	-	1.14 .17	1.01 .18	.45 .20

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_6. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	b	*C
Total	79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
1 = Uncommunicative (1)	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 13%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-
2 (2)	5 6%	-	3 17%	2 18%	5 6%	-	-	2 5%	-	5 6%	-	-	1 2%	4 13%	-
3 (3)	13 16%	5 10%	6 33%	2 18%	13 16%	-	-	9 21%	2 25%	12 15%	1 100%	-	5 11%	7 23%	1 20%
4 (4)	28 35%	18 36%	5 28%	5 45%	28 35%	-	-	14 33%	3 38%	28 36%	-	-	14 32%	11 37%	3 60%
5 = Communicative (5)	32 41%	26 52%	4 22%	2 18%	32 41%	-	-	18 42%	2 25%	32 41%	-	-	23 52% b	8 27%	1 20%
NETS															
Net: Communicative	60 76%	44 88%	9 50%	7 64%	60 76%	-	-	32 74%	5 63%	60 77%	-	-	37 84% b	19 63%	4 80%
Net: Uncommunicative	6 8%	1 2%	3 17%	2 18%	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	1 13%	6 8%	-	-	2 5%	4 13%	-
Mean score	4.1	4.4	3.6	3.6	4.1	-	-	4.1	3.6	4.1	3.0	-	4.3 b	3.8	4.0
Standard deviation Standard error	.97 .11	.83 .12	1.04 .25	1.03 .31	.97 .11	-	-	.91 .14	1.30 .46	.97 .11	-	-	.93 .14	1.01 .18	.71 .32

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q9_7. To what extent, if at all, do you think that each of the following words applies to the RAIB's lead inspector for your particular investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		Total	a	theutrai *b	critically of *c	a	*b	DON L KNOW *C	a Net: Good	*b	a	٥٧٥ *b	*C	a	b	remember *c
Total		79	50	18	11	79	-	-	43	8	78	1	-	44	30	5
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%
1 = Unapproachable	(1)	2	1	1	-	2	-	-	-	1	2	-	-	1	1	-
		3%	2%	6%	-	3%	-	-	-	13%	3%	-	-	2%	3%	-
2	(2)	3	-	2	1	3	-	-	1	-	3	-	-	1	2	-
		4%	-	11%	9%	4%	-	-	2%	-	4%	-	-	2%	7%	-
3	(3)	11	5	5	1	11	-	-	7	3	10	1	-	3	7	1
		14%	10%	28%	9%	14%	-	-	16%	38%	13%	100%	-	7%	23% a	20%
4	(4)	29	14	6	9	29	-	-	12	4	29	-	-	14	12	3
		37%	28%	33%	82%	37%	-	-	28%	50%	37%	-	-	32%	40%	60%
5 = Approachable	(5)	34	30	4	-	34	-	-	23	-	34	-	-	25	8	1
		43%	60%	22%	-	43%	-	-	53%	-	44%	-	-	57% b	27%	20%
NETS																
Net: Approachable		63	44	10	9	63	-	-	35	4	63	-	-	39	20	4
		80%	88%	56%	82%	80%	-	-	81%	50%	81%	-	-	89% b	67%	80%
Net: Unapproachable		5	1	3	1	5	-	-	1	1	5	-	-	2	3	-
		6%	2%	17%	9%	6%	-	-	2%	13%	6%	-	-	5%	10%	-
Mean score		4.1	4.4	3.6	3.7	4.1	-	-	4.3	3.3	4.2	3.0	-	4.4 b	3.8	4.0
Standard deviation		.97	.84	1.15	.65	.97	-	-	.84	1.04	.97	-	-	.89	1.03	.71
Standard error		.11	.12	.27	.19	.11	-	-	.13	.37	.11	-	-	.13	.19	.32

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 40/1

Q10. How would you rate the amount of contact you have had with the RAIB during the investigation(s)? Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY		READ A RAIB	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total	79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
Too little	9 11%	5 10%	3 17%	1 9%	9 11%	-	-	5 12%	-	9 12%	-	-	5 11%	4 13%	-
About the right amount	60 76%	40 80%	11 61%	9 82%	60 76%	-	-	32 74%	6 75%	60 77%	-	-	37 84% b	19 63%	4 80%
Too much	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 3%	-
Don't know	9 11%	5 10%	4 22%	-	9 11%	-	-	6 14%	2 25%	8 10%	1 100%	-	2 5%	6 20% a	1 20%

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Prepared by ComRes

Q11_SUM. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

								NETS	
	Total	Disagree strongly	Tend to disagree	Tend to agree	Agree strongly	Don't know	Agree	Disagree	Mean
The pre-consultation and consultation processes allowed me to express my views on RAIB investigation findings and their final report	79 100%	1 1%	2 3%	32 41%	35 44%	9 11%	67 85%	3 4%	3.4
The information provided by RAIB helped my organisation's own investigation	79 100%	3 4%	16 20%	35 44%	17 22%	8 10%	52 66%	19 24%	2.9



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Table 42/1

Q11_1. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation?

The information provided by RAIB helped my organisation's own investigation Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			-	BOUT RAIB IN		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN I	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	3 4%	1 2%	-	2 18%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 13%	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	1 3%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	16 20%	3 6%	7 39%	6 55%	16 20%	-	-	11 26%	1 13%	16 21%	-	-	6 14%	10 33% a	-
Tend to agree	(3)	35 44%	28 56%	4 22%	3 27%	35 44%	-	-	17 40%	3 38%	34 44%	1 100%	-	22 50%	10 33%	3 60%
Agree strongly	(4)	17 22%	12 24%	5 28%	-	17 22%	-	-	11 26%	1 13%	17 22%	-	-	8 18%	8 27%	1 20%
Don't know		8 10%	6 12%	2 11%	-	8 10%	-	-	3 7%	2 25%	8 10%	-	-	6 14%	1 3%	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Agree		52 66%	40 80%	9 50%	3 27%	52 66%	-	-	28 65%	4 50%	51 65%	1 100%	-	30 68%	18 60%	4 80%
Net: Disagree		19 24%	4 8%	7 39%	8 73%	19 24%	-	-	12 28%	2 25%	19 24%	-	-	8 18%	11 37%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		2.9 .80 .09	3.2 .64 .10	2.9 .89 .22	2.1 .70 .21	2.9 .80 .09	-	- -	3.0 .81 .13	2.7 1.03 .42	2.9 .80 .10	3.0 - -	- -	2.9 .77 .12	2.9 .88 .16	3.3 .50 .25

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 43/1

Q11_2. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the information provided by RAIB during an investigation?

The pre-consultation and consultation processes allowed me to express my views on RAIB investigation findings and their final report Base: All those who have been investigated by RAIB within the last 5 years

			SPEAK AE	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total		79 100%	50 100%	18 100%	11 100%	79 100%	-	-	43 100%	8 100%	78 100%	1 100%	-	44 100%	30 100%	5 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 3%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	2 3%	1 2%	1 6%	-	2 3%	-	-	1 2%	-	2 3%	-	-	2 5%	-	-
Tend to agree	(3)	32 41%	17 34%	9 50%	6 55%	32 41%	-	-	16 37%	5 63%	32 41%	-	-	16 36%	14 47%	2 40%
Agree strongly	(4)	35 44%	27 54%	5 28%	3 27%	35 44%	-	-	21 49%	1 13%	35 45%	-	-	24 55% b	9 30%	2 40%
Don't know		9 11%	5 10%	3 17%	1 9%	9 11%	-	-	5 12%	2 25%	8 10%	1 100%	-	2 5%	6 20% a	1 20%
NETS																
Net: Agree		67 85%	44 88%	14 78%	9 82%	67 85%	-	-	37 86%	6 75%	67 86%	-	-	40 91%	23 77%	4 80%
Net: Disagree		3 4%	1 2%	1 6%	1 9%	3 4%	-	-	1 2%	-	3 4%	-	-	2 5%	1 3%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		3.4 .63 .08	3.6 .54 .08	3.3 .59 .15	3.1 .88 .28	3.4 .63 .08	-	- -	3.5 .56 .09	3.2 .41 .17	3.4 .63 .08	- - -	-	3.5 .59 .09	3.3 .69 .14	3.5 .58 .29

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q12. How would you rate the quality of the rail industry's accident investigations overall (not specifically those of the RAIB)? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	RIVATE	INVESTIGATE) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	STRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = Very poor	(1)	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
2	(2)	9 8%	5 7%	1 3%	3 27%	7 9%	-	2 22%	-	9 90%	9 8%	-	-	3 5%	3 6%	3 38%
3	(3)	36 31%	21 28%	11 34%	4 36%	24 30%	9 30%	3 33%	-	-	34 30%	1 33%	1 50%	21 35%	13 26%	2 25%
4	(4)	44 37%	26 35%	14 44%	4 36%	30 38%	13 43%	1 11%	44 65%	-	42 38%	2 67%	-	23 38%	19 38%	2 25%
5 = Very good	(5)	24 20%	20 27%	4 13%	-	13 16%	8 27%	3 33%	24 35%	-	22 20%	-	1 50%	11 18%	12 24%	1 13%
Don't know		4 3%	3 4%	1 3%	-	4 5%	-	-	-	-	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
NETS																
Net: Good		68 58%	46 61%	18 56%	4 36%	43 54%	21 70%	4 44%	68 100%	-	64 57%	2 67%	1 50%	34 57%	31 62%	3 38%
Net: Poor		10 8%	5 7%	2 6%	3 27%	8 10%	-	2 22%	-	10 100%	10 9%	-	-	3 5%	4 8%	3 38%
Mean score Standard deviation		3.7 .92	3.8 .91	3.6 .88 .16	3.1 .83	3.6 .93 .11	4.0 .76 .14	3.6 1.24	4.4 .48	1.9 .32	3.7 .92 .09	3.7 .58 .33	4.0 1.41	3.7 .83	3.8 .97	3.1 1.13
Standard error		.09	.11	.16	.25	.11	.14	.41	.06	.10	.09	.33	1.00	.11	.14	.40

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q13. To what extent, if at all, do you think RAIB has a role in improving the quality of the industry's accident investigations? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATED) BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		- Total	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*C
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
1 = To no extent	(1)	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	-
2	(2)	4 3%	1 1%	-	3 27%	4 5%	-	-	-	2 20%	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	1 2%	1 13%
3	(3)	22 19%	12 16%	6 19%	4 36%	14 18%	4 13%	4 44%	10 15%	4 40%	19 17%	1 33%	2 100%	12 20%	9 18%	1 13%
4	(4)	48 41%	35 47%	10 31%	3 27%	34 43%	13 43%	1 11%	30 44%	2 20%	47 42%	1 33%	-	20 33%	22 44%	6 75%
5 = To the fullest extent	(5)	40 34%	23 31%	16 50%	1 9%	25 32%	11 37%	4 44%	26 38%	2 20%	38 34%	1 33%	-	22 37%	18 36%	-
Don't know		2 2%	2 3%	-	-	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	2 2%	-	-	2 3%	-	-
NETS																
Net: To a great extent		88 75%	58 77%	26 81%	4 36%	59 75%	24 80%	5 56%	56 82%	4 40%	85 76%	2 67%	-	42 70%	40 80%	6 75%
Net: To some extent		114 97%	71 95%	32 100%	11 100%	77 97%	28 93%	9 100%	66 97%	10 100%	108 96%	3 100%	2 100%	56 93%	50 100%	8 100%
Mean score Standard deviation		4.0 .91	4.0 .89	4.3 .78	3.2 .98	4.0 .91	4.1 .92	4.0 1.00	4.2 .80	3.4 1.07	4.0	4.0 1.00	3.0	4.0 1.03	4.1 .78	3.6 .74
Standard error		.08	.10	.14	.30	.10	.17	.33	.10	.34	.09	.58	-	.13	.11	.26

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_SUM. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

								NETS	
	Total	Disagree strongly	Tend to disagree	Tend to agree	Agree strongly	Don't know	Agree	Disagree	Mean
RAIB's recommendations make clear what	118	1	10	53	53	1	106	11	3.4
actions should be implemented in the future	100%	1%	8%	45%	45%	1%	90%	9%	
RAIB's recommendations are supported by	118	2	10	35	70	1	105	12	3.5
evidence	100%	2%	8%	30%	70 59%	1%	89%	12	0.0
	10070	270	0,0	0070	0070	170	0070	10,0	
RAIB's recommendations are addressed to	118	1	7	64	41	5	105	8	3.3
the appropriate people	100%	1%	6%	54%	35%	4%	89%	7%	
RAIB's recommendations lead to improved	118	-	12	56	44	6	100	12	3.3
safety	100%	-	10%	47%	37%	5%	85%	10%	
The scope of RAIB's recommendations is	118	1	14	52	48	3	100	15	3.3
proportionate to the incident investigated	100%	1%	12%	44%	41%	3%	85%	13%	
The number of RAIB's recommendations is	118	-	15	63	37	3	100	15	3.2
proportionate to the incident investigated	100%	-	13%	53%	31%	3%	85%	13%	
RAIB's recommendations are realistic and	118	3	15	70	26	4	96	18	3.0
achievable	100%	3%	13%	59%	20	3%	81%	15%	3.0

-Page 48 Table 47/1

Q15_1. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

RAIB's recommendations lead to improved safety

Base: All respondents

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS		' OF RAIL STRY'S GATIONS	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	- Otdi	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total	118 1009	75 6 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1) -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tend to disagree	(2) 12 109	3 6 4%	3 9%	6 55%	9 11%	2 7%	1 11%	2 3%	4 40%	11 10%	1 33%	-	3 5%	7 14%	2 25%
Tend to agree	(3) 56 479	35 6 47%	18 56%	3 27%	38 48%	13 43%	5 56%	35 51%	5 50%	54 48%	2 67%	-	29 48%	24 48%	3 38%
Agree strongly	(4) 44 379	34 6 45%	9 28%	1 9%	29 37%	13 43%	2 22%	26 38%	-	41 37%	-	2 100%	27 45%	14 28%	3 38%
Don't know	6 59	3 6 4%	2 6%	1 9%	3 4%	2 7%	1 11%	5 7%	1 10%	6 5%	-	-	1 2%	5 10%	-
NETS															
Net: Agree	100 859	69 69 92%	27 84%	4 36%	67 85%	26 87%	7 78%	61 90%	5 50%	95 85%	2 67%	2 100%	56 93% b	38 76%	6 75%
Net: Disagree	12 109	3 6 4%	3 9%	6 55%	9 11%	2 7%	1 11%	2 3%	4 40%	11 10%	1 33%	-	3 5%	7 14%	2 25%
Mean score	3.3	3.4	3.2	2.5	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.4	2.6	3.3	2.7	4.0	3.4 b	3.2	3.1
Standard deviation Standard error	.65 .06	.58 .07	.61 .11	.71 .22	.66 .08	.63 .12	.64 .23	.55 .07	.53 .18	.64 .06	.58 .33	-	.59 .08	.67 .10	.83 .30

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_2. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

The scope of RAIB's recommendations is proportionate to the incident investigated

Base: All respondents

	ſ		SPEAK AE	SOUT RAIB IN F	RIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAF		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	F	Total	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 10%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	14 12%	3 4%	4 13%	7 64%	12 15%	2 7%	-	8 12%	1 10%	14 13%	-	-	5 8%	7 14%	2 25%
Tend to agree	(3)	52 44%	31 41%	18 56%	3 27%	35 44%	14 47%	3 33%	29 43%	4 40%	50 45%	2 67%	-	25 42%	24 48%	3 38%
Agree strongly	(4)	48 41%	39 52% b	9 28%	-	29 37%	13 43%	6 67%	29 43%	3 30%	45 40%	-	2 100%	30 50% b	15 30%	3 38%
Don't know		3 3%	2 3%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 10%	2 2%	1 33%	-	-	3 6%	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		100 85%	70 93%	27 84%	3 27%	64 81%	27 90%	9 100%	58 85%	7 70%	95 85%	2 67%	2 100%	55 92% b	39 78%	6 75%
Net: Disagree		15 13%	3 4%	4 13%	8 73%	13 16%	2 7%	-	8 12%	2 20%	15 13%	-	-	5 8%	8 16%	2 25%
Mean score		3.3	3.5 b	3.2	2.2	3.2	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.0	3.3	3.0	4.0	3.4 b	3.1	3.1
Standard deviation Standard error		.71 .07	.58 .07	.64 .11	.60 .18	.74 .08	.62 .12	.50 .17	.68 .08	1.00 .33	.71 .07	-	-	.65 .08	.74 .11	.83 .30

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_3. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

The number of RAIB's recommendations is proportionate to the incident investigated Base: All respondents

									QUALITY INDUS	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE				
			-	BOUT RAIB IN F		INVESTIGATED	BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	INVESTIG	ATIONS	THE	LAST 12 MON	THS	READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
			Net: Would speak highly		Net: Would speak								Can't			Can't
		Total	of	Neutral	critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	remember	Yes	No	remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	3	2	60	50	8
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	15	2	7	6	14	1	-	5	5	15	-	-	5	8	2
		13%	3%	22% a	55%	18%	3%	-	7%	50%	13%	-	-	8%	16%	25%
Tend to agree	(3)	63	42	17	4	40	19	4	41	2	59	3	-	31	29	3
		53%	56%	53%	36%	51%	63%	44%	60%	20%	53%	100%	-	52%	58%	38%
Agree strongly	(4)	37	29	8	-	23	9	5	20	3	35	-	2	23	11	3
		31%	39%	25%	-	29%	30%	56%	29%	30%	31%	-	100%	38%	22%	38%
Don't know		3	2	-	1	2	1	-	2	-	3	-	-	1	2	-
		3%	3%	-	9%	3%	3%	-	3%	-	3%	-	-	2%	4%	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		100	71	25	4	63	28	9	61	5	94	3	2	54	40	6
		85%	95% b	78%	36%	80%	93%	100%	90%	50%	84%	100%	100%	90%	80%	75%
Net: Disagree		15	2	7	6	14	1	-	5	5	15	-	-	5	8	2
		13%	3%	22% a	55%	18%	3%	-	7%	50%	13%	-	-	8%	16%	25%
Mean score		3.2	3.4 b	3.0	2.4	3.1	3.3	3.6	3.2	2.8	3.2	3.0	4.0	3.3 b	3.1	3.1
Standard deviation		.65	.54	.69	.52	.69	.53	.53	.58	.92	.65	-	-	.62	.63	.83
Standard error		.06	.06	.12	.16	.08	.10	.18	.07	.29	.06	-	-	.08	.09	.30
Columns Tostod: a b c - a b c - a	h oho (h n														

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 50/1

Q15_4. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

RAIB's recommendations are supported by evidence

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB R THE I	EPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*C	а	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	2 2%	-	-	2 18%	2 3%	-	-	-	1 10%	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	10 8%	-	3 9% a	7 64%	9 11%	1 3%	-	4 6%	2 20%	10 9%	-	- -	1 2%	7 14% a	2 25%
Tend to agree	(3)	35 30%	19 25%	14 44%	2 18%	25 32%	6 20%	4 44%	21 31%	3 30%	34 30%	1 33%	-	19 32%	15 30%	1 13%
Agree strongly	(4)	70 59%	56 75% b	14 44%	-	42 53%	23 77% a	5 56%	42 62%	4 40%	65 58%	2 67%	2 100%	38 63%	27 54%	5 63%
Don't know		1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		105 89%	75 100% b	28 88%	2 18%	67 85%	29 97%	9 100%	63 93%	7 70%	99 88%	3 100%	2 100%	57 95%	42 84%	6 75%
Net: Disagree		12 10%		3 9% a	9 82%	11 14%	1 3%	-	4 6%	3 30%	12 11%	-	-	2 3%	8 16% a	2 25%
Mean score		3.5	3.7 b	3.4	2.0	3.4	3.7 a	3.6	3.6	3.0	3.5	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.4	3.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.73 .07	.44 .05	.66 .12	.63 .19	.79 .09	.52 .10	.53 .18	.61 .07	1.05 .33	.74 .07	.58 .33	-	.62 .08	.80 .11	.92 .32

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_5. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

RAIB's recommendations make clear what actions should be implemented in the future

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIG	TRY'S	READ A RAIB	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*c	а	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	10 8%	3 4%	3 9%	4 36%	10 13% b	-	-	5 7%	3 30%	10 9%	-	-	4 7%	5 10%	1 13%
Tend to agree	(3)	53 45%	31 41%	16 50%	6 55%	36 46%	13 43%	4 44%	27 40%	4 40%	49 44%	2 67%	2 100%	30 50%	20 40%	3 38%
Agree strongly	(4)	53 45%	40 53%	12 38%	1 9%	32 41%	17 57%	4 44%	34 50%	3 30%	51 46%	1 33%	-	26 43%	23 46%	4 50%
Don't know		1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 11%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		106 90%	71 95%	28 88%	7 64%	68 86%	30 100% a	8 89%	61 90%	7 70%	100 89%	3 100%	2 100%	56 93%	43 86%	7 88%
Net: Disagree		11 9%	3 4%	4 13%	4 36%	11 14% b	-	-	6 9%	3 30%	11 10%	-	-	4 7%	6 12%	1 13%
Mean score		3.4	3.5 b	3.2	2.7	3.3	3.6 a	3.5	3.4	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.0	3.4	3.3	3.4
Standard deviation Standard error		.67 .06	.58 .07	.75 .13	.65 .19	.72 .08	.50 .09	.53 .19	.70 .09	.82 .26	.68 .06	.58 .33	-	.61 .08	.75 .11	.74 .26

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_6. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

RAIB's recommendations are realistic and achievable

Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AB	OUT RAIB IN P	RIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			a	b	*c	a	b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly	(1)	3 3%	-	-	3 27%	3 4%	-	-	1 1%	1 10%	3 3%	-	-	-	3 6%	-
Tend to disagree	(2)	15 13%	5 7%	5 16%	5 45%	13 16%	1 3%	1 11%	4 6%	4 40%	15 13%	-	-	6 10%	6 12%	3 38%
Tend to agree	(3)	70 59%	46 61%	21 66%	3 27%	44 56%	22 73%	4 44%	44 65%	3 30%	66 59%	3 100%	1 50%	37 62%	31 62%	2 25%
Agree strongly	(4)	26 22%	21 28%	5 16%	-	17 22%	6 20%	3 33%	15 22%	2 20%	24 21%	-	1 50%	15 25%	8 16%	3 38%
Don't know		4 3%	3 4%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 3%	1 11%	4 6%	-	4 4%	-	-	2 3%	2 4%	-
NETS																
Net: Agree		96 81%	67 89%	26 81%	3 27%	61 77%	28 93%	7 78%	59 87%	5 50%	90 80%	3 100%	2 100%	52 87%	39 78%	5 63%
Net: Disagree		18 15%	5 7%	5 16%	8 73%	16 20% b	1 3%	1 11%	5 7%	5 50%	18 16%	-	-	6 10%	9 18%	3 38%
Mean score Standard deviation		3.0 .68	3.2	3.0	2.0 .77	3.0 .74	3.2 .47	3.3 .71	3.1	2.6 .97	3.0	3.0	3.5 .71	3.2	2.9 .74	3.0
Standard deviation Standard error		.08	.56 .07	.58 .10	.23	.74 .08	.47	.25	.59 .07	.97 .31	.69 .07	-	.71 .50	.59 .08	.74 .11	.93 .33

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q15_7. To what extent, if at all, do you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about the recommendations made by RAIB with regards to rail safety?

RAIB's recommendations are addressed to the appropriate people

Base: All respondents

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REI	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	TOtai	a	b	*C	a	b	*C	a a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	b	*c
Total	118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Disagree strongly (1)	1 1%	-	1 3%	-	1 1%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Tend to disagree (2)	7 6%	1 1%	1 3%	5 45%	6 8%	1 3%	-	2 3%	1 10%	5 4%	2 67%	-	2 3%	5 10%	-
Tend to agree (3)	64 54%	42 56%	17 53%	5 45%	50 63% b	11 37%	3 33%	33 49%	5 50%	62 55%	1 33%	1 50%	33 55%	26 52%	5 63%
Agree strongly (4)	41 35%	29 39%	12 38%	-	19 24%	17 57% a	5 56%	28 41%	3 30%	39 35%	-	1 50%	23 38%	15 30%	3 38%
Don't know	5 4%	3 4%	1 3%	1 9%	3 4%	1 3%	1 11%	4 6%	1 10%	5 4%	-	-	2 3%	3 6%	-
NETS															
Net: Agree	105 89%	71 95%	29 91%	5 45%	69 87%	28 93%	8 89%	61 90%	8 80%	101 90%	1 33%	2 100%	56 93%	41 82%	8 100%
Net: Disagree	8 7%	1 1%	2 6%	5 45%	7 9%	1 3%	-	3 4%	1 10%	6 5%	2 67%	-	2 3%	6 12%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error	3.3 .62 .06	3.4 .52 .06	3.3 .69 .12	2.5 .53 .17	3.1 .60 .07	3.6 .57 .11	3.6 .52 .18	3.4 .63 .08	3.2 .67 .22	3.3 .60 .06	2.3 .58 .33	3.5 .71 .50	3.4 .55 .07	3.2 .70 .10	3.4 .52 .18

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q17. To what extent, if at all, would you find engagement with RAIB after the publication of their reports helpful in implementing their recommendations? Base: All respondents

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFI LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL REP	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	b	*c	а	b	*C	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		118 100%	75 100%	32 100%	11 100%	79 100%	30 100%	9 100%	68 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	50 100%	8 100%
Not at all helpful	(1)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Not very helpful	(2)	10 8%	4 5%	3 9%	3 27%	10 13% b	-	-	7 10%	1 10%	10 9%	-	-	5 8%	5 10%	-
Fairly helpful	(3)	42 36%	25 33%	12 38%	5 45%	29 37%	12 40%	1 11%	18 26%	3 30%	41 37%	1 33%	-	21 35%	18 36%	3 38%
Very helpful	(4)	50 42%	36 48%	12 38%	2 18%	28 35%	16 53%	6 67%	30 44%	6 60%	45 40%	2 67%	2 100%	27 45%	20 40%	3 38%
Don't know		15 13%	10 13%	5 16%	-	11 14%	2 7%	2 22%	13 19%	-	15 13%	-	-	7 12%	6 12%	2 25%
NETS																
Net: Helpful		92 78%	61 81%	24 75%	7 64%	57 72%	28 93% a	7 78%	48 71%	9 90%	86 77%	3 100%	2 100%	48 80%	38 76%	6 75%
Net: Not helpful		11 9%	4 5%	3 9%	4 36%	11 14% b	-	-	7 10%	1 10%	11 10%	-	-	5 8%	6 12%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		3.4 .70 .07	3.5 .62 .08	3.3 .68 .13	2.7 .90 .27	3.2 .76 .09	3.6 .50 .10	3.9 .38 .14	3.4 .71 .10	3.5 .71 .22	3.3 .71 .07	3.7 .58 .33	4.0 - -	3.4 .66 .09	3.3 .76 .12	3.5 .55 .22

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q18. Through which of the following ways, if any, do you find out that RAIB has published a report or safety digest? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY		READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	*c	a	b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total	118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	3	2	60	50	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I receive GOV.UK email alerts	71	44	16	11	52	15	4	40	9	70	1	-	45	20	6
	60%	59%	50%	100%	66%	50%	44%	59%	90%	63%	33%	-	75% b	40%	75%
													D		
I look for them on the RAIB's GOV.UK website	51 43%	38 51%	11 34%	2 18%	36 46%	12 40%	3 33%	30 44%	2 20%	50 45%	1 33%	-	31 52%	18 36%	2 25%
website	43%	51%	34%	18%	40%	40%	33%	44%	20%	45%	33%	-	52%	30%	25%
I read them in industry press articles	43	28	11	4	31	10	2	26	4	41	1	1	26	16	1
	36%	37%	34%	36%	39%	33%	22%	38%	40%	37%	33%	50%	43%	32%	13%
I hear about them through word-of-mouth	37	22	10	5	22	10	5	21	2	33	2	2	15	19	3
from colleagues	31%	29%	31%	45%	28%	33%	56%	31%	20%	29%	67%	100%	25%	38%	38%
I follow RAIB on twitter (@RAIBgovuk)	15	10	4	1	11	4	-	7	3	15	-	-	9	4	2
	13%	13%	13%	9%	14%	13%	-	10%	30%	13%	-	-	15%	8%	25%
Other people in the rail industry share them	14	8	4	2	9	4	1	5	2	14	-	-	7	4	3
on social media	12%	11%	13%	18%	11%	13%	11%	7%	20%	13%	-	-	12%	8%	38%
Other	22	14	7	1	14	7	1	14	1	22	-	-	10	10	2
	19%	19%	22%	9%	18%	23%	11%	21%	10%	20%	-	-	17%	20%	25%
Can't remember	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
I have never heard of a report or safety	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
digest being published by the RAIB	1%	-	3%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Q19. Have you read a report or safety digest published by the RAIB within the last 12 months? Base: All those who have heard of a report or safety digest published by RAIB

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUSTRY'S IN	OF RAIL	READ A RAIB THE	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB	S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	b	*C	a	*b	*C	a a	*b	a	*b	°C	a	b	*C
Total	117 100%	75 100%	31 100%	11 100%	79 100%	29 100%	9 100%	67 100%	10 100%	112 100%	3 100%	2 100%	60 100%	49 100%	8 100%
Yes	112 96%	72 96%	29 94%	11 100%	78 99%	27 93%	7 78%	64 96%	10 100%	112 100%	-	-	59 98%	45 92%	8 100%
No	3 3%	1 1%	2 6%	-	1 1%	2 7%	-	2 3%	-	-	3 100%	-	-	3 6%	-
Can't remember	2 2%	2 3%	-	-	-	-	2 22%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 100%	1 2%	1 2%	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q20_SUM. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

									NETS	
	Total	1 = Very poor	2	3	4	5 = Very good	Don't know	Good	Poor	Mean
Amount of detail	112	-	1	12	47	52	-	99	1	4.3
	100%	-	1%	11%	42%	46%	-	88%	1%	
Quality	112	-	-	13	41	58	-	99	-	4.4
	100%	-	-	12%	37%	52%	-	88%	-	
Clarity of information	112	-	2	12	46	52	-	98	2	4.3
	100%	-	2%	11%	41%	46%	-	88%	2%	

Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Prepared by ComRes

Q20_1. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests?

Clarity of information Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS		' OF RAIL STRY'S GATIONS		REPORT/ SAFI E LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*c
Total	112	72	29	11	78	27	7	64	10	112	-	-	59	45	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%
1 = Very poor (1	- I	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-
(.	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2 (2	2) 2	_	-	2	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	_
	2%	-	-	18%	3%	-	-	2%	10%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	-
3 (3	3) 12	5	4	3	9	3	-	1	3	12	-	-	3	7	2
	11%	7%	14%	27%	12%	11%	-	2%	30%	11%	-	-	5%	16%	25%
4 (4	4) 46	26	16	4	32	11	3	29	4	46	-	-	23	20	3
	41%	36%	55%	36%	41%	41%	43%	45%	40%	41%	-	-	39%	44%	38%
5 = Very good (5	5) 52	41	9	2	35	13	4	33	2	52	-	-	32	17	3
	46%	57%	31%	18%	45%	48%	57%	52%	20%	46%	-	-	54%	38%	38%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-			-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS															
Net: Good	98	67	25	6	67	24	7	62	6	98	-	-	55	37	6
	88%	93%	86%	55%	86%	89%	100%	97%	60%	88%	-	-	93%	82%	75%
Net: Poor	2	-	-	2	2	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	1	1	-
	2%	-	-	18%	3%	-	-	2%	10%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	-
Mean score	4.3	4.5	4.2	3.5	4.3	4.4	4.6	4.5	3.7	4.3	-	-	4.5	4.2	4.1
Standard deviation	.74	.63	.66	1.04	.77	.69	.53	.62	.95	.74	-	-	.68	.78	.83
Standard error	.07	.07	.12	.31	.09	.13	.20	.08	.30	.07	-	-	.09	.12	.30

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q20_2. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests?

Amount of detail

Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

				BOUT RAIB IN		INVESTIGATI	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAF	ETY DIGEST IN ITHS	READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	а	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		112 100%	72 100%	29 100%	11 100%	78 100%	27 100%	7 100%	64 100%	10 100%	112 100%	-	-	59 100%	45 100%	8 100%
1 = Very poor	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	- , -	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
3	(3)	12 11%	7 10%	4 14%	1 9%	9 12%	3 11%	-	4 6%	1 10%	12 11%	-	-	7 12%	5 11%	-
4	(4)	47 42%	26 36%	14 48%	7 64%	31 40%	13 48%	3 43%	24 38%	6 60%	47 42%	-	-	23 39%	20 44%	4 50%
5 = Very good	(5)	52 46%	39 54%	11 38%	2 18%	37 47%	11 41%	4 57%	35 55%	3 30%	52 46%	-	-	29 49%	19 42%	4 50%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS																
Net: Good		99 88%	65 90%	25 86%	9 82%	68 87%	24 89%	7 100%	59 92%	9 90%	99 88%	-	-	52 88%	39 87%	8 100%
Net: Poor		1 1%	-	-	1 9%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.3 .70 .07	4.4 .67 .08	4.2 .69 .13	3.9 .83 .25	4.3 .73 .08	4.3 .67 .13	4.6 .53 .20	4.5 .69 .09	4.2 .63 .20	4.3 .70 .07	-	-	4.4 .69 .09	4.3 .75 .11	4.5 .53 .19

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 61 Prepared by ComRes

Q20_3. How would you rate each of the following aspects of RAIB's reports and safety digests?

Quality Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN		INVESTIGATE	ED BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS		' OF RAIL STRY'S GATIONS		REPORT/ SAF LAST 12 MON	ETY DIGEST IN ITHS	READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL RE	PORT 2016
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%			а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	a	*b	*c	а	b	*c
Total		112 100%	72 100%	29 100%	11 100%	78 100%	27 100%	7 100%	64 100%	10 100%	112 100%	-	-	59 100%	45 100%	8 100%
1 = Very poor	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3	(3)	13 12%	2 3%	6 21%	5 45%	10 13%	3 11%	-	4 6%	3 30%	13 12%	-	-	5 8%	8 18%	-
4	(4)	41 37%	26 36%	11 38%	4 36%	25 32%	14 52%	2 29%	22 34%	3 30%	41 37%	-	-	20 34%	17 38%	4 50%
5 = Very good	(5)	58 52%	44 61%	12 41%	2 18%	43 55%	10 37%	5 71%	38 59%	4 40%	58 52%	-	-	34 58%	20 44%	4 50%
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NETS																
Net: Good		99 88%	70 97%	23 79%	6 55%	68 87%	24 89%	7 100%	60 94%	7 70%	99 88%	-	-	54 92%	37 82%	8 100%
Net: Poor		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.4 .69 .07	4.6 .55 .06	4.2 .77 .14	3.7 .79 .24	4.4 .71 .08	4.3 .66 .13	4.7 .49 .18	4.5 .62 .08	4.1 .88 .28	4.4 .69 .07	- -	-	4.5 .65 .09	4.3 .75 .11	4.5 .53 .19

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 62

Table 61/1

Q21_SUM. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

	Total	Printed copy	On my desktop / laptop / PC	On my smartphone / tablet	Don't know
Investigation reports	112 100%	32 29%	67 60%	13 12%	-
Safety digests	112 100%	16 14%	75 67%	17 15%	4 4%







Table 62/1

Q21_1. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB?

Investigation reports Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

		SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUSTRY'S IN	OF RAIL	READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Net: Would speak highly		Net: Would speak								Can't			Can't
	Total	of	Neutral	critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	remember	Yes	No	remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	a	*b	а	*b	*с	а	b	*с
Total	112	72	29	11	78	27	7	64	10	112	-	-	59	45	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%
Printed copy	32	21	7	4	23	7	2	18	6	32	-	-	15	14	3
	29%	29%	24%	36%	29%	26%	29%	28%	60%	29%	-	-	25%	31%	38%
On my desktop / laptop / PC	67	42	19	6	47	16	4	40	3	67	-	-	35	28	4
	60%	58%	66%	55%	60%	59%	57%	63%	30%	60%	-	-	59%	62%	50%
On my smartphone / tablet	13	9	3	1	8	4	1	6	1	13	-	-	9	3	1
	12%	13%	10%	9%	10%	15%	14%	9%	10%	12%	-	-	15%	7%	13%
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Table 63/1

Q21_2. Generally speaking, how do you prefer to read each type of publication from the RAIB?

Safety digests Base: All those who have read a RAIB report or safety digest in the last 12 months

		SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN I	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUSTRY'S IN	OF RAIL	READ A RAIB F THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
		Net: Would speak highly		Net: Would speak								Can't			Can't
	Total	of	Neutral	critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	remember	Yes	No	remember
Significance Level: 95%		а	*b	*c	а	*b	*c	а	*b	а	*b	*c	а	b	*с
Total	112	72	29	11	78	27	7	64	10	112	-	-	59	45	8
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	-	100%	100%	100%
Printed copy	16	10	4	2	11	5	-	9	2	16	-	-	7	7	2
	14%	14%	14%	18%	14%	19%	-	14%	20%	14%	-	-	12%	16%	25%
On my desktop / laptop / PC	75	49	20	6	53	18	4	46	5	75	-	-	40	31	4
	67%	68%	69%	55%	68%	67%	57%	72%	50%	67%	-	-	68%	69%	50%
On my smartphone / tablet	17	11	4	2	11	4	2	8	2	17	-	-	10	5	2
	15%	15%	14%	18%	14%	15%	29%	13%	20%	15%	-	-	17%	11%	25%
Don't know	4	2	1	1	3	-	1	1	1	4	-	-	2	2	-
	4%	3%	3%	9%	4%	-	14%	2%	10%	4%	-	-	3%	4%	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Fieldwork: 12th October - 13th November 2017

Table 64/1

Q23. Have you read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016? Base: All respondents

		SPEAK AB	OUT RAIB IN I	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY		READ A RAIB I THE	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB'S	ANNUAL REP	ORT 2016
		Net: Would		Net: Would											
	Total	speak highly of	Neutral	speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	*c	a	b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	b	*C
Total	118	75	32	11	79	30	9	68	10	112	2	2	60	50	
I otal	100%	100%	32 100%	100%	100%	30 100%	9 100%	100%	100%	112	3 100%	2 100%	100%	50 100%	8 100%
	10070	10070	10070	10070	100,0	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070	10070
Yes	60	47	10	3	44	13	3	34	3	59	-	1	60	-	-
	51%	63%	31%	27%	56%	43%	33%	50%	30%	53%	-	50%	100%	-	-
		b											b		
No	50	22	21	7	30	15	5	31	4	45	3	1	-	50	-
	42%	29%	66%	64%	38%	50%	56%	46%	40%	40%	100%	50%	-	100%	-
			а											а	
Can't remember	8	6	1	1	5	2	1	3	3	8	-	-	-	-	8
	7%	8%	3%	9%	6%	7%	11%	4%	30%	7%	-	-	-	-	100%

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q24. For which of the following reasons, if any, did you not read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016? Base: All those who have not read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

[BOUT RAIB IN F		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY		READ A RAIB F	REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MONT		READ RAIB	'S ANNUAL REF	PORT 2016
	Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember
Significance Level: 95%	Total	*a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	°C	*a	b	*C
Total	50	22	21	7	30	15	5	31	4	45	3	1		50	-
l'Otal	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	4 100%	45 100%	100%	100%	-	100%	-
I was not aware that it had been published	23	10 45%	12	1	11 37%	8	4 80%	13	2	19	2	1	-	23	-
	46%	45%	57%	14%	31%	53%	80%	42%	50%	42%	67%	100%	-	46%	-
It was too long	4	1	1	2	4	-	-	2	1	4	-	-	-	4	-
	8%	5%	5%	29%	13%	-	-	6%	25%	9%	-	-	-	8%	-
I do not think it is helpful for my organisation	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-		-	1	-
r de net dimit i le nelptur fer my organisation	2%	-	-	14%	3%	-	-	3%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-
It is poorly structured	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
The information in the report is unclear	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
It is of poor quality	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	40	_	_											10	
Other	13 26%	7 32%	5 24%	1 14%	9 30%	4 27%	-	9 29%	1 25%	13 29%	-	-	-	13 26%	-
	26%	32%	24%	14%	30%	21%	-	29%	25%	29%	-	-	-	26%	-
Don't know	10	5	3	2	5	4	1	7	-	9	1	-	-	10	-
	20%	23%	14%	29%	17%	27%	20%	23%	-	20%	33%	-	-	20%	-

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c

Table 66/1

Q25_SUM. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

								NETS						
	Total	1 = Not at all useful	2	3	4	5 = Very useful	Don't know	Useful	Not useful	Mean				
Examples of significant learnings from rail investigations	60 100%	-	-	5 8%	25 42%	30 50%	-	55 92%	-	4.4				
Identification of key themes and recurrent issues across the year 2016	60 100%	-	1 2%	10 17%	24 40%	25 42%	-	49 82%	1 2%	4.2				
The report overall	60 100%	-	1 2%	12 20%	27 45%	19 32%	1 2%	46 77%	1 2%	4.1				
The description of RAIB's activities	60 100%	1 2%	2 3%	13 22%	29 48%	15 25%		44 73%	3 5%	3.9				
The Chief Inspector's foreword	60 100%	-	5 8%	15 25%	24 40%	16 27%	-	40 67%	5 8%	3.9				



Q25_1. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

The report overall

Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN I	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS		' OF RAIL STRY'S GATIONS		REPORT/ SAF	ETY DIGEST IN ITHS	READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
Significance Level: 95%			a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	
Total		60 100%	47 100%	10 100%	3 100%	44 100%	13 100%	3 100%	34 100%	3 100%	59 100%	-	1 100%	60 100%	-	-	
1 = Not at all useful	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	(2)	1 2%	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	
3	(3)	12 20%	8 17%	3 30%	1 33%	6 14%	5 38%	1 33%	5 15%	-	11 19%	-	1 100%	12 20%	-	-	
4	(4)	27 45%	19 40%	7 70%	1 33%	21 48%	4 31%	2 67%	16 47%	2 67%	27 46%	-	-	27 45%	-	-	
5 = Very useful	(5)	19 32%	19 40%	-	-	15 34%	4 31%	-	12 35%	-	19 32%	-	-	19 32%	-	-	
Don't know		1 2%	-	-	1 33%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 33%	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	
NETS																	
Net: Useful		46 77%	38 81%	7 70%	1 33%	36 82%	8 62%	2 67%	28 82%	2 67%	46 78%	-	-	46 77%	-	-	
Net: Not useful		1 2%	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error		4.1 .77 .10	4.2 .80 .12	3.7 .48 .15	3.5 .71 .50	4.2 .75 .11	3.9 .86 .24	3.7 .58 .33	4.1 .78 .13	4.0	4.1 .77 .10	- -	3.0 - -	4.1 .77 .10	-	- -	

Prepared by ComRes

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q25_2. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

The Chief Inspector's foreword Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

				BOUT RAIB IN F		INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	TRY'S		REPORT/ SAF	ETY DIGEST IN THS	READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
Significance Level: 95%		Total	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*c	
Total		60 100%	47 100%	10 100%	3 100%	44 100%	13 100%	3 100%	34 100%	3 100%	59 100%	-	1 100%	60 100%	-	-	
1 = Not at all useful	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	(2)	5 8%	1 2%	2 20%	2 67%	5 11%	-	-	3 9%	1 33%	5 8%	-	-	5 8%	-	-	
3	(3)	15 25%	12 26%	3 30%	-	10 23%	4 31%	1 33%	7 21%	2 67%	14 24%	-	1 100%	15 25%	-	-	
4	(4)	24 40%	19 40%	4 40%	1 33%	15 34%	7 54%	2 67%	12 35%	-	24 41%	-	-	24 40%	-	-	
5 = Very useful	(5)	16 27%	15 32%	1 10%	-	14 32%	2 15%	-	12 35%	-	16 27%	-	-	16 27%	-	-	
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NETS																	
Net: Useful		40 67%	34 72%	5 50%	1 33%	29 66%	9 69%	2 67%	24 71%	-	40 68%	-	-	40 67%	-	-	
Net: Not useful		5 8%	1 2%	2 20%	2 67%	5 11%	-	-	3 9%	1 33%	5 8%	-	-	5 8%	-	-	
Mean score Standard deviation		3.9 .92	4.0 .82	3.4 .97	2.7 1.15	3.9 1.00	3.8 .69	3.7 .58	4.0 .97	2.7 .58	3.9 .92	-	3.0	3.9 .92	-	-	
Standard error		.12	.12	.31	.67	.15	.19	.33	.17	.33	.12	-	-	.12	-	-	

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 70

Table 69/1

Q25_3. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

The description of RAIB's activities

Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

Significance Level: 95%	Total	Net: Would speak highly					AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY OF RAIL INDUSTRY'S INVESTIGATIONS			REPORT/ SAFE LAST 12 MON		READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
Significance Level: 95%		of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
		a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	a	*b	a	*b	*C	a	*b	*C	
Total	60 100%	47 100%	10 100%	3 100%	44 100%	13 100%	3 100%	34 100%	3 100%	59 100%	-	1 100%	60 100%	-	- -	
1 = Not at all useful (1)	1 2%	-	1 10%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	
2 (2)	2 3%	-	-	2 67%	2 5%	-	-	1 3%	1 33%	2 3%	-	-	2 3%	-	-	
3 (3)	13 22%	11 23%	2 20%	-	9 20%	4 31%	-	4 12%	1 33%	13 22%	-	-	13 22%	-	-	
4 (4)	29 48%	23 49%	5 50%	1 33%	19 43%	7 54%	3 100%	17 50%	1 33%	28 47%	-	1 100%	29 48%	-	-	
5 = Very useful (5)	15 25%	13 28%	2 20%	-	13 30%	2 15%	-	11 32%	-	15 25%	-	-	15 25%	-	-	
Don't know	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NETS																
Net: Useful	44 73%	36 77%	7 70%	1 33%	32 73%	9 69%	3 100%	28 82%	1 33%	43 73%	-	1 100%	44 73%	-	- -	
Net: Not useful	3 5%	-	1 10%	2 67%	3 7%	-	-	2 6%	1 33%	3 5%	-	-	3 5%	-	-	
Mean score Standard deviation Standard error	3.9 .87 .11	4.0 .72 .11	3.7 1.16 .37	2.7 1.15 .67	3.9 .95 .14	3.8 .69 .19	4.0	4.1 .92 .16	3.0 1.00 .58	3.9 .88 .11	-	4.0	3.9 .87 .11	-	-	

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



-Page 71 Table 70/1

Q25_4. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

Examples of significant learnings from rail investigations Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

			SPEAK A	BOUT RAIB IN	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC	STRY'S		REPORT/ SAFI LAST 12 MON	ETY DIGEST IN	READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
Significance Level: 95%			a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	
Total		60 100%	47 100%	10 100%	3 100%	44 100%	13 100%	3 100%	34 100%	3 100%	59 100%	-	1 100%	60 100%		-	
1 = Not at all useful	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	(2)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
3	(3)	5 8%	3 6%	2 20%	-	4 9%	1 8%	-	2 6%	-	5 8%	-	-	5 8%	-	-	
4	(4)	25 42%	17 36%	6 60%	2 67%	17 39%	6 46%	2 67%	13 38%	2 67%	24 41%	-	1 100%	25 42%	-	-	
5 = Very useful	(5)	30 50%	27 57%	2 20%	1 33%	23 52%	6 46%	1 33%	19 56%	1 33%	30 51%	-	-	30 50%	-	-	
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NETS																	
Net: Useful		55 92%	44 94%	8 80%	3 100%	40 91%	12 92%	3 100%	32 94%	3 100%	54 92%	-	1 100%	55 92%	-	-	
Net: Not useful		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Mean score Standard deviation		4.4 .65	4.5 .62	4.0 .67	4.3 .58	4.4 .66	4.4 .65	4.3 .58	4.5 .62	4.3 .58	4.4 .65	-	4.0	4.4 .65	-	-	
Standard error		.08	.09	.21	.33	.10	.18	.33	.11	.33	.08	-	-	.08	-	-	

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Q25_5. Thinking about RAIB's Annual Report 2016, to what extent, if at all, did you find each of the following sections useful?

Identification of key themes and recurrent issues across the year 2016 Base: All those who have read RAIB's Annual Report for 2016

			SPEAK AI	BOUT RAIB IN F	PRIVATE	INVESTIGATE	D BY RAIB IN L	AST 5 YEARS	QUALITY INDUS INVESTIC			REPORT/ SAF	ETY DIGEST IN THS	READ RAIB'S ANNUAL REPORT 2016			
		Total	Net: Would speak highly of	Neutral	Net: Would speak critically of	Yes	No	Don't know	Net: Good	Net: Poor	Yes	No	Can't remember	Yes	No	Can't remember	
Significance Level: 95%			a	*b	*c	a	*b	*c	a	*b	a	*b	*c	а	*b	*C	
Total		60	47	10	3	44	13	3	34	3	59	-	1	60	-	-	
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	-	-	
1 = Not at all useful	(1)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
2	(2)	1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	
		2%	-	-	33%	2%	-	-	-	33%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	
3	(3)	10	5	3	2	6	3	1	5	1	9	-	1	10	-	-	
		17%	11%	30%	67%	14%	23%	33%	15%	33%	15%	-	100%	17%	-	-	
4	(4)	24	18	6	-	18	5	1	14	-	24	-	-	24	-	-	
		40%	38%	60%	-	41%	38%	33%	41%	-	41%	-	-	40%	-	-	
5 = Very useful	(5)	25	24	1	-	19	5	1	15	1	25	-	-	25	-	-	
		42%	51%	10%	-	43%	38%	33%	44%	33%	42%	-	-	42%	-	-	
Don't know		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
NETS																	
Net: Useful		49	42	7	-	37	10	2	29	1	49	-	-	49	-	-	
		82%	89%	70%	-	84%	77%	67%	85%	33%	83%	-	-	82%	-	-	
Net: Not useful		1	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	1	1	-	-	1	-	-	
		2%	-	-	33%	2%	-	-	-	33%	2%	-	-	2%	-	-	
Mean score		4.2	4.4	3.8	2.7	4.3	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.3	4.2	-	3.0	4.2	-	-	
Standard deviation		.78	.68	.63	.58	.78	.80	1.00	.72	1.53	.77	-	-	.78	-	-	
Standard error		.10	.10	.20	.33	.12	.22	.58	.12	.88	.10	-	-	.10	-	-	

Columns Tested: a,b,c - a,b,c - a,b - a,b,c - a,b,c



Table 72/1