

# A SINGLE DISTRICT LEVEL COUNCIL FOR BABERGH AND MID SUFFOLK – INDEPENDENT SURVEY OF RESIDENTS

## METHODOLOGY NOTE

ComRes interviewed 4,003 adults aged 18+ living in Babergh (n=2000) and Mid Suffolk (n=2003) by telephone between 24<sup>th</sup> October 2017 and 6<sup>th</sup> February 2018. All respondents lived in and were eligible to vote in elections in either Babergh District Council or Mid Suffolk District Council areas. Data have been weighted to be representative of all adults in Babergh and also all adults in Mid Suffolk by age, gender, social grade, and ethnicity. Data were also weighted for the combined area so that total figures are representative of all adults across Mid Suffolk and Babergh. ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules.

*All press releases or other publications must be checked with ComRes before use. ComRes requires 48 hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.*

For information about commissioning research please contact [info@comresglobal.com](mailto:info@comresglobal.com) or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660.

**REPUTATION | COMMUNICATIONS | PUBLIC POLICY**

London | Brussels | Shanghai | [info@comresglobal.com](mailto:info@comresglobal.com) | [www.comresglobal.com](http://www.comresglobal.com)

Four Millbank | London | SW1P 3JA | T+44 (0)20 7871 8660

CommunicateResearch Ltd | Company Registration No. 4810991 | Registered office: Coveham House, Downside Bridge Road, Cobham, Surrey KT11 3EP

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

<p>Q3. Before now, had you heard of the recent proposal to create a single District Council for the area? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	1
<p>Q4. And from which of the following sources, if any, have you heard about the proposals to create a single District Council ? .....</p> <p>Base: All who are aware of new single Council proposal</p>	3
<p>Q5. Babergh District Council and Mid Suffolk District Council are currently considering proposals to replace the two Councils with a single District Council covering both areas. The Councils considered a similar proposal in 2011, and are now revisiting this work. Depending on the level of local support, they will decide whether or not to hold another public vote. The Councils have been working in partnership since 2011, and all of the District Council services are now run together on behalf of both Councils. The Councils already have shared accommodation and a single workforce, whilst remaining politically separate. If the proposal to replace the current Councils goes ahead, one new District Council and set of newly elected Councillors will represent the area. In general, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	6
<p>Q6_SUM. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. SUMMARY TABLE .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	8
<p>Q6_T2_SUM. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. IMPORTANT SUMMARY .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	9
<p>Q6_B2_SUM. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. NOT IMPORTANT SUMMARY .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	13
<p>Q6_1. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	17
<p>Q6_2. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Maintain low Council tax .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	19
<p>Q6_3. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	21
<p>Q6_4. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	23
<p>Q6_5. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Create a better relationship with local communities .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	25
<p>Q6_6. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	27
<p>Q6_7. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million</p>	

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

<p>pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	29
<p>Q6_8. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings. Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some. Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective. Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	31
<p>Q7_SUM. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. SUMMARY TABLE .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	33
<p>Q7_T2_SUM. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. POSITIVE SUMMARY .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	34
<p>Q7_1. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. Younger people, for example children and teenagers .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	36
<p>Q7_2. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	38
<p>Q7_3. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. People of minority faiths or religions .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	40
<p>Q7_4. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. People from ethnic minority groups .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	42
<p>Q7_5. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all. Disabled people .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	44
<p>Q8. I am now going to read out a list of priorities for local government generally. Please select the top three priorities that are most important to you personally. ....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	46
<p>Q10. Based on everything we have discussed so far today and having heard the various benefits and concerns, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	49
<p>Q11. To what extent, if at all, are you concerned about the proposals to create a single District Council? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	51
<p>Q14. How, if at all, would you like to hear from Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils about the proposal to create a single District Council? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	53
<p>Ward .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	55
<p>S1. Can I start by checking whether your main residence is in the Babergh District Council area, the Mid Suffolk District Council area, or somewhere else? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	65
<p>D1. Which of the following age groups do you fall into? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	67
<p>D2. Gender .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	69
<p>D3. Which of the following best describes the employment status of the Chief Income Earner in your household? .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	71
<p>D4. Does the Chief Income Earner have a private pension or allowance? .....</p> <p>Base: All retired respondents</p>	73
<p>D5. Profession of CIE .....</p> <p>Base: All employed or retired with a personal allowance</p>	75
<p>D3-D5. SEG .....</p> <p>Base: All respondents</p>	79
<p>D6. And to which of the following ethnic groups do you consider you belong? .....</p>	81

*CATI fieldwork: 24th October 2017 – 6th February 2018*

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

Base: All respondents

*CATI fieldwork: 24th October 2017 – 6th February 2018*

*Tables prepared by ComRes*



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q3. Before now, had you heard of the recent proposal to create a single District Council for the area?

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heard of and know a lot about it	394	204	190	219	174	24	42	40	68	76	141	67	107	217	3	119	195	64	373	6
	10%	11%	9%	11%	8%	8%	9%	7%	9%	11%	12%	8%	8%	12%	9%	13%	9%	8%	10%	8%
		b		B						Ch	CdgH			CdGH		BC				
Heard of and know a little about it	1902	884	1018	989	912	102	160	229	370	362	668	262	600	1030	10	475	1034	324	1845	27
	47%	48%	47%	51%	44%	32%	34%	39%	49%	54%	57%	33%	45%	56%	35%	50%	48%	43%	48%	33%
				B				g	ABCG	ABCGH	ABCDGH		ABcG	ABCDGH		C	C			
Heard of but know nothing about it	507	238	269	240	267	18	65	77	103	84	158	83	180	243	1	112	267	99	489	10
	13%	13%	13%	12%	13%	5%	14%	13%	14%	12%	14%	10%	13%	13%	5%	12%	12%	13%	13%	12%
							A	A	A	A	A	a	A	A						
Never heard of it	1202	532	670	503	700	179	202	237	214	153	203	381	451	356	14	246	648	274	1144	40
	30%	29%	31%	26%	34%	55%	43%	41%	28%	23%	17%	48%	34%	19%	50%	26%	30%	36%	30%	48%
				A		BCDEFHI	DEFHI	DEFHI	eFI	F		cDEFHI	DEFI				a	AB		a
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Knowledge of	2295	1088	1207	1209	1086	126	203	269	438	438	809	329	707	1247	12	595	1228	387	2217	34
	57%	59%	56%	62%	53%	39%	43%	46%	58%	65%	69%	41%	53%	68%	44%	62%	57%	51%	58%	40%
				B					ABCGh	ABCDGH	ABCDGH		ABCG	ABCDGH		BC	C		b	
Net: Heard of	2802	1326	1476	1448	1353	144	268	346	541	522	967	412	887	1489	14	707	1495	487	2707	44
	70%	71%	69%	74%	66%	45%	57%	59%	72%	77%	83%	52%	66%	81%	50%	74%	70%	64%	70%	52%
				B			A	Ag	ABCGH	ABCdGH	ABCDEGH		ABCG	ABCDGH		bC	C		b	
Net: No knowledge	1709	770	939	742	966	197	268	314	317	237	361	464	631	598	15	359	915	373	1633	50
	43%	41%	44%	38%	47%	61%	57%	54%	42%	35%	31%	59%	47%	32%	56%	38%	43%	49%	42%	60%
				A		DEFHI	DEFHI	DEFHI	EFI			DEFHI	dEFI			A	AB		a	

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q3. Before now, had you heard of the recent proposal to create a single District Council for the area?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Heard of and know a lot about it	394	394	394	-	183	187	23	183	194	17	232	155	7	38	13	19	30
	10%	17%	14%	-	9%	15%	3%	7%	17%	4%	13%	7%	5%	9%	13%	7%	17%
		BC	C		C	AC		c	AC		BC						AC
Heard of and know a little about it	1902	1902	1902	-	929	686	286	1148	609	144	924	940	38	197	53	132	90
	47%	83%	68%	-	47%	55%	36%	46%	54%	38%	53%	44%	31%	46%	51%	48%	51%
		BC	C		C	AC		C	AC		BC	C					
Heard of but know nothing about it	507	-	507	-	220	143	143	315	126	66	190	296	20	61	9	37	17
	13%	-	18%	-	11%	11%	18%	13%	11%	17%	11%	14%	17%	14%	9%	13%	10%
			AC			AB			aB			a					
Never heard of it	1202	-	-	1202	628	232	342	845	202	155	380	765	57	133	28	89	39
	30%	-	-	100%	32%	19%	43%	34%	18%	41%	22%	36%	46%	31%	27%	32%	22%
			AB		B	AB		B	aB			A	Ab	d		d	
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Knowledge of	2295	2295	2295	-	1112	873	309	1331	803	161	1156	1094	45	235	66	151	120
	57%	100%	82%	-	57%	70%	39%	53%	71%	42%	67%	51%	37%	55%	64%	55%	68%
		BC	C		C	AC		C	AC		BC	C					AC
Net: Heard of	2802	2295	2802	-	1333	1017	452	1646	929	227	1346	1390	65	296	75	188	137
	70%	100%	100%	-	68%	81%	57%	66%	82%	59%	78%	64%	54%	69%	73%	68%	78%
		C	C		C	AC		c	AC		BC	c					ac
Net: No knowledge	1709	-	507	1202	848	375	485	1160	329	221	571	1061	77	194	37	126	56
	43%	-	18%	100%	43%	30%	61%	47%	29%	58%	33%	49%	63%	45%	36%	45%	32%
			A	AB	B	AB		B	AB			A	AB	D		D	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q4. And from which of the following sources, if any, have you heard about the proposals to create a single District Council ?

Base: All who are aware of new single Council proposal

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	*b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	*B
Unweighted Total	2850	1459	1391	1553	1297	90	275	326	568	552	1024	365	894	1576	15	740	1387	601	2777	20
Weighted Total	2802	1326	1476	1448	1353	144	268	346	541	522	967	412	887	1489	14	707	1495	487	2707	44
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Local media, for example a local newspaper	1568	746	821	864	704	72	120	147	288	297	635	192	436	932	8	401	834	273	1518	24
	56%	56%	56%	60%	52%	50%	45%	43%	53%	57%	66%	47%	49%	63%	61%	57%	56%	56%	56%	55%
				B					bCg	BCGH	ABCDEGH		c	aBCDeGH						
Word-of-mouth, for example from neighbours, friends or family	755	337	419	371	384	50	73	112	168	139	212	123	280	351	1	199	389	132	737	10
	27%	25%	28%	26%	28%	35%	27%	32%	31%	27%	22%	30%	32%	24%	11%	28%	26%	27%	27%	22%
				Fi		Fi		FI		f		Fi		eFI						
From the Council, for example online or in a publication	589	268	321	300	288	30	67	73	106	102	208	97	179	310	2	144	321	96	574	1
	21%	20%	22%	21%	21%	21%	25%	21%	20%	19%	22%	24%	20%	21%	18%	20%	21%	20%	21%	3%
National media, for example TV or newspaper	415	210	205	223	192	21	37	28	66	89	172	58	95	261	1	110	208	73	395	8
	15%	16%	14%	15%	14%	15%	14%	8%	12%	17%	18%	14%	11%	18%	6%	16%	14%	15%	15%	18%
							c			CdH	CDH	c		CDH						
Social media, for example Facebook or Twitter	256	162	94	106	150	6	28	58	64	56	43	34	122	99	1	67	142	40	250	2
	9%	12%	6%	7%	11%	4%	10%	17%	12%	11%	4%	8%	14%	7%	4%	9%	10%	8%	9%	4%
		B		A			Fi	AbdeFGI	aFI	FI		F	aFGI	f						
Online, for example a blog or article	254	121	133	147	107	17	32	30	61	64	50	49	91	114	-	80	137	28	250	-
	9%	9%	9%	10%	8%	12%	12%	9%	11%	12%	5%	12%	10%	8%	-	11%	9%	6%	9%	-
				b		F	Fi	f	FI	FI		FI	Fi	f		C	c			
Coverage related to the 2011 referendum	17	12	5	11	6	-	3	-	3	4	5	3	3	9	1	6	6	4	16	-
	1%	1%	*	1%	*	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	8%	1%	*	1%	1%	-
							c													
Another source	53	19	34	19	33	2	7	7	7	17	13	8	14	30	1	22	21	6	47	5
	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	1%	2%	2%	2%	5%	3%	1%	1%	2%	12%
				a						dfh						Bc				
Don't know	93	59	34	48	45	5	10	13	11	18	35	15	23	53	1	18	41	22	88	2
	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	4%	4%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	4%	5%	3%	3%	5%	3%	3%
		B															ab			
None of the above	36	16	20	17	19	2	8	7	5	5	10	9	11	14	1	7	19	9	36	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-
							defl					i								

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q4. And from which of the following sources, if any, have you heard about the proposals to create a single District Council ?

Base: All who are aware of new single Council proposal

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	*c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	*C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	2850	2331	2850	-	1340	1053	457	1655	963	232	1381	1398	71	259	65	182	134
Weighted Total	2802	2295	2802	-	1333	1017	452	1646	929	227	1346	1390	65	296	75	188	137
	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Local media, for example a local newspaper	1568 56%	1345 59%	1568 56%	-	753 56%	587 58%	228 50%	918 56%	537 58%	114 50%	785 58%	748 54%	35 53%	173 59%	42 56%	122 65%	75 54%
Word-of-mouth, for example from neighbours, friends or family	755 27%	629 27%	755 27%	-	359 27%	295 29%	102 23%	435 26%	273 29%	47 21%	393 29%	351 25%	11 17%	94 32%	35 46%	50 27%	51 37%
From the Council, for example online or in a publication	589 21%	531 23%	589 21%	-	299 22%	229 22%	62 14%	350 21%	214 23%	24 11%	294 22%	286 21%	9 14%	61 21%	13 17%	23 12%	21 15%
National media, for example TV or newspaper	415 15%	353 15%	415 15%	-	214 16%	131 13%	70 15%	254 15%	128 14%	33 14%	194 14%	215 15%	6 9%	49 17%	9 12%	22 12%	18 13%
Social media, for example Facebook or Twitter	256 9%	230 10%	256 9%	-	95 7%	136 13%	25 6%	117 7%	128 14%	11 5%	142 11%	113 8%	1 2%	23 8%	8 11%	30 16%	20 14%
Online, for example a blog or article	254 9%	232 10%	254 9%	-	132 10%	92 9%	29 6%	153 9%	88 10%	13 6%	121 9%	130 9%	3 5%	22 8%	10 13%	13 7%	15 11%
Coverage related to the 2011 referendum	17 1%	17 1%	17 1%	-	7 *	9 1%	2 *	7 *	10 1%	1 *	11 1%	6 *	-	1 *	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%
Another source	53 2%	46 2%	53 2%	-	26 2%	20 2%	7 1%	27 2%	22 2%	3 2%	31 2%	20 1%	1 2%	-	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%
Don't know	93 3%	44 2%	93 3%	-	29 2%	27 3%	36 8%	43 3%	28 3%	21 9%	35 3%	50 4%	8 12%	3 1%	1 2%	3 1%	12 9%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q4. And from which of the following sources, if any, have you heard about the proposals to create a single District Council ?

Base: All who are aware of new single Council proposal

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	*c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	*C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	2850	2331	2850	-	1340	1053	457	1655	963	232	1381	1398	71	259	65	182	134
Weighted Total	2802	2295	2802	-	1333	1017	452	1646	929	227	1346	1390	65	296	75	188	137
	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
None of the above	36	20	36	-	11	16	9	20	12	5	20	15	2	3	1	4	1
	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	1%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q5. Babergh District Council and Mid Suffolk District Council are currently considering proposals to replace the two Councils with a single District Council covering both areas. The Councils considered a similar proposal in 2011, and are now revisiting this work. Depending on the level of local support, they will decide whether or not to hold another public vote. The Councils have been working in partnership since 2011, and all of the District Council services are now run together on behalf of both Councils. The Councils already have shared accommodation and a single workforce, whilst remaining politically separate. If the proposal to replace the current Councils goes ahead, one new District Council and set of newly elected Councillors will represent the area.**

**In general, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council?**

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY			
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b	
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B	
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40	
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very favourable	(4)	495	195	300	291	204	22	66	62	96	76	169	88	158	246	3	152	239	93	460	26
		12%	10%	14%	15%	10%	7%	14%	11%	13%	11%	14%	11%	12%	13%	12%	16%	11%	12%	12%	31%
			A	B			a		a		Acegh		a	a		Bc				A	
Fairly favourable	(3)	1466	587	879	699	767	156	179	235	287	231	373	334	522	605	4	347	827	248	1433	13
		37%	32%	41%	36%	37%	48%	38%	40%	38%	34%	32%	42%	39%	33%	16%	36%	39%	33%	37%	15%
			A		bDEFHl		fi	eFI	FI				EFI	eFI			C		B		
Fairly unfavourable	(2)	601	321	280	259	342	49	63	93	114	115	162	112	207	277	5	149	313	113	589	5
		15%	17%	13%	13%	17%	15%	13%	16%	15%	17%	14%	14%	15%	15%	19%	16%	15%	15%	15%	6%
			B	A																	
Very unfavourable	(1)	648	395	253	348	300	37	50	58	112	133	254	86	169	387	5	132	340	145	612	17
		16%	21%	12%	18%	15%	11%	11%	10%	15%	20%	22%	11%	13%	21%	19%	14%	16%	19%	16%	20%
			B	B					bCg	ABCdGH	ABCDGH				ABCDGH				Ab		
Don't know		795	360	434	354	441	59	113	136	146	119	212	172	282	331	9	173	424	162	756	23
		20%	19%	20%	18%	21%	18%	24%	23%	19%	18%	18%	22%	21%	18%	35%	18%	20%	21%	20%	28%
				A				dEFI	efl				i	i							
<b>NETS</b>																					
Net: Favourable	1961	782	1179	990	971	178	244	297	383	308	542	423	680	850	8	499	1066	341	1894	39	
	49%	42%	55%	51%	47%	55%	52%	51%	51%	46%	46%	53%	51%	46%	28%	52%	50%	45%	49%	46%	
			A	b		efi	efi	i	ei			EFI	efl			C	c				
Net: Unfavourable	1249	716	533	607	641	86	113	150	226	248	416	198	376	664	10	281	653	258	1201	22	
	31%	39%	25%	31%	31%	27%	24%	26%	30%	37%	36%	25%	28%	36%	38%	29%	30%	34%	31%	26%	
		B						bg	ABCDGH	aBCDGH				ABCDGH				a			
Mean score	2.6	2.4	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.7	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.5	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.3	2.7	2.6	2.5	2.6	2.8	
			A	e	dEFI	EFI	EFi					EFI	EFI			bC					
Standard deviation	.98	1.01	.92	1.02	.93	.83	.92	.87	.96	1.00	1.06	.88	.92	1.04	1.11	.98	.96	1.02	.97	1.27	
Standard error	.02	.03	.02	.02	.02	.06	.05	.04	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.03	.26	.03	.02	.04	.02	.24	

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q5. Babergh District Council and Mid Suffolk District Council are currently considering proposals to replace the two Councils with a single District Council covering both areas. The Councils considered a similar proposal in 2011, and are now revisiting this work. Depending on the level of local support, they will decide whether or not to hold another public vote. The Councils have been working in partnership since 2011, and all of the District Council services are now run together on behalf of both Councils. The Councils already have shared accommodation and a single workforce, whilst remaining politically separate. If the proposal to replace the current Councils goes ahead, one new District Council and set of newly elected Councillors will represent the area.**

**In general, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council?**

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																		
Significance Level: 99%																		
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very favourable	(4)	495	304	349	146	495	-	-	474	13	8	103	386	5	58	11	29	18
		12%	13%	12%	12%	25%	-	-	19%	1%	2%	6%	18%	4%	14%	11%	10%	10%
						BC			BC			AC						
Fairly favourable	(3)	1466	808	984	482	1466	-	-	1363	54	49	374	1071	20	185	35	83	44
		37%	35%	35%	40%	75%	-	-	55%	5%	13%	22%	50%	17%	43%	34%	30%	25%
						BC			BC		B	AC		CD				
Fairly unfavourable	(2)	601	398	480	121	-	601	-	171	391	38	418	173	9	57	21	59	27
		15%	17%	17%	10%	-	48%	-	7%	35%	10%	24%	8%	7%	13%	20%	21%	15%
							AC			a	BC				A	A		
Very unfavourable	(1)	648	476	537	112	-	648	-	46	588	14	568	68	12	48	22	55	57
		16%	21%	19%	9%	-	52%	-	2%	52%	4%	33%	3%	10%	11%	22%	20%	32%
							AC			a	BC		B		A	A	AC	
Don't know		795	309	452	342	-	-	795	436	86	272	263	457	75	82	14	51	31
		20%	13%	16%	28%	-	-	100%	18%	8%	71%	15%	21%	61%	19%	14%	18%	18%
							AB		B	AB		A	AB					
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Favourable		1961	1112	1333	628	1961	-	-	1837	67	57	477	1458	26	243	45	112	62
		49%	48%	48%	52%	100%	-	-	74%	6%	15%	28%	68%	21%	57%	44%	40%	35%
						BC			BC		B	AC		bCD				
Net: Unfavourable		1249	873	1017	232	-	1249	-	218	979	52	987	241	21	105	43	114	83
		31%	38%	36%	19%	-	100%	-	9%	87%	14%	57%	11%	18%	24%	42%	41%	47%
							AC			AC	A	BC		b	A	A	A	
Mean score		2.6	2.5	2.5	2.8	3.3	1.5	-	3.1	1.5	2.5	2.0	3.0	2.4	2.7	2.4	2.4	2.2
						B			BC	B		2.0	AC	A	BCD			
Standard deviation		.98	1.02	1.00	.88	.43	.50	-	.63	.65	.81	.96	.70	1.01	.90	1.00	.99	1.08
Standard error		.02	.02	.02	.03	.01	.01	-	.01	.02	.08	.02	.02	.14	.05	.11	.07	.09

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_SUM. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

	Total	Very important	Fairly important	Not very important	Not at all important	Don't know	NETS		
							Important	Not important	Mean
Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents	4004 100%	2900 72%	839 21%	80 2%	59 1%	125 3%	3739 93%	140 3%	3.7
Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents	4004 100%	2764 69%	943 24%	99 2%	68 2%	130 3%	3707 93%	168 4%	3.7
Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding	4004 100%	2488 62%	1094 27%	145 4%	84 2%	193 5%	3582 89%	229 6%	3.6
Maintain low Council tax	4004 100%	2439 61%	1103 28%	247 6%	87 2%	127 3%	3542 88%	335 8%	3.5
Create a better relationship with local communities	4004 100%	2303 58%	1213 30%	261 7%	89 2%	138 3%	3516 88%	350 9%	3.5
Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council	4004 100%	1907 48%	1477 37%	274 7%	112 3%	235 6%	3384 85%	386 10%	3.4
Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year	4004 100%	1830 46%	1348 34%	341 9%	177 4%	309 8%	3178 79%	517 13%	3.3
Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses	4004 100%	1962 49%	1183 30%	436 11%	231 6%	192 5%	3145 79%	667 17%	3.3

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_T2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents	3739 93%	1713 92%	2026 94%	1803 92%	1936 94%	312 97%	450 96%	549 94%	710 94%	627 93%	1073 92%	761 96%	1259 94%	1700 92%	19 68%	885 93%	2014 94%	710 93%	3614 94%	69 82%
			A		a	fi	FI		f			eFI		fi					B	
Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents	3707 93%	1702 92%	2005 93%	1776 91%	1931 94%	307 95%	450 96%	562 96%	703 93%	611 91%	1053 90%	757 95%	1265 95%	1665 90%	20 72%	874 92%	1995 93%	708 93%	3580 93%	73 86%
			a		A	efi	EFI	DEFI	fi			EFI		EFI						
Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding	3582 89%	1624 87%	1957 91%	1726 88%	1856 90%	299 92%	436 93%	517 89%	686 91%	599 89%	1023 87%	735 93%	1204 90%	1622 88%	21 76%	857 90%	1925 90%	679 89%	3461 90%	67 80%
			A		a	f	ceFI		fi			ceFI		f					b	
Maintain low Council tax	3542 88%	1612 87%	1930 90%	1688 87%	1854 90%	291 90%	437 93%	537 92%	665 88%	587 87%	1004 86%	728 92%	1202 90%	1592 86%	21 75%	809 85%	1921 90%	688 90%	3412 89%	75 89%
			A		A		DEFHl	dEFI				dEFI		FI			A	A		
Create a better relationship with local communities	3516 88%	1623 87%	1893 88%	1674 86%	1843 90%	289 89%	429 91%	525 90%	650 86%	586 87%	1017 87%	718 91%	1175 88%	1603 87%	20 74%	817 86%	1892 88%	684 90%	3397 88%	71 85%
			A		A		Defhl	di				Defi					a	A		
Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council	3384 85%	1560 84%	1824 85%	1623 83%	1761 86%	281 87%	423 90%	514 88%	630 83%	560 83%	959 82%	704 89%	1144 85%	1519 82%	17 62%	797 84%	1824 85%	644 85%	3272 85%	63 75%
					a		DEFHl	deFI				DEFHl		fi						

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_T2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year	3178 79%	1427 77%	1751 82% A	1517 78%	1661 81% a	273 84% efi	384 82%	470 81%	597 79%	524 78%	913 78%	657 83% efl	1067 80%	1437 78%	17	752 79%	1706 80%	614 81%	3072 80%	66 79%
Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses	3145 79%	1450 78%	1695 79%	1538 79%	1607 78%	257 79%	373 79%	426 73% c	581 77%	554 82% CdH	938 80% CH	630 79% Ch	1007 75%	1492 81% CdH	16 60%	731 77%	1687 79%	614 81% a	3045 79% b	55 65%
None of the above	79 2%	47 3% b	32 2%	46 2%	33 2%	3 1%	5 1%	6 1%	11 2%	22 3%	28 2%	8 1%	18 1%	50 3%	4 13%	24 3%	37 2%	11 1%	71 2%	4 5%

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_T2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
	Total	a A	b B	c C	a A	b B	c C	a A	b B	c C	a A	b B	c C	a A	b B	c C	d D
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents	3739 93%	2138 93%	2620 94%	1119 93%	1924 98% BC	1096 88%	719 90%	2446 98% BC	961 85%	332 87%	1574 91% C	2065 96% AC	99 81%	408 95%	100 97%	255 92%	165 94%
Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents	3707 93%	2116 92%	2589 92%	1118 93%	1911 97% BC	1071 86% B	725 91% B	2421 97% BC	945 84% B	341 89% B	1554 90% AC	2048 95% AC	105 86%	406 95%	99 96%	256 92%	166 94%
Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding	3582 89%	2078 91% C	2535 90% C	1047 87%	1872 95% BC	1021 82% B	689 87% B	2362 95% BC	909 80% B	311 82%	1506 87% C	1980 92% AC	96 78%	388 90%	97 94% c	238 86%	153 87%
Maintain low Council tax	3542 88%	1986 87%	2443 87%	1099 91% AB	1821 93% BC	1026 82% B	695 87% B	2316 93% BC	907 80% B	319 83%	1492 86% c	1953 91% AC	97 79%	396 92% cd	95 92%	240 87%	152 86%
Create a better relationship with local communities	3516 88%	1995 87%	2451 87%	1065 89%	1806 92% BC	1034 83% B	677 85%	2295 92% BC	906 80% B	315 83%	1506 87% C	1917 89% C	93 76%	384 90%	93 90%	245 88%	161 91%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_T2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council	3384	1923	2359	1025	1804	948	632	2273	827	283	1414	1885	85	360	89	238	153
	85%	84%	84%	85%	92%	76%	80%	91%	73%	74%	82%	87%	69%	84%	86%	86%	87%
					BC			BC			C	AC					
Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year	3178	1787	2189	989	1758	816	604	2241	679	258	1252	1851	75	350	85	215	140
	79%	78%	78%	82%	90%	65%	76%	90%	60%	68%	73%	86%	61%	82%	82%	78%	79%
				AB	BC		B	BC		B	C	AC					
Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses	3145	1846	2235	910	1644	905	596	2096	787	262	1312	1749	84	331	83	229	152
	79%	80%	80%	76%	84%	72%	75%	84%	70%	69%	76%	81%	69%	77%	81%	83%	86%
		C	C		BC			BC				AC					a
None of the above	79	57	65	14	3	63	14	2	67	10	56	13	10	8	2	8	5
	2%	2%	2%	1%	*	5%	2%	*	6%	3%	3%	1%	8%	2%	2%	3%	3%
		C	c			AC	A		Ac	A	B		AB				

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_B2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### NOT IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses	667 17%	307 17%	360 17%	321 16%	346 17%	63 20%	83 18%	135 23%	146 19%	85 13%	149 13%	147 18%	281 21%	233 13%	6 21%	185 19%	363 17%	102 13%	626 16%	24 29%
				eFl	eFl	bEFl	EFi				EFi	EFi			C	c			a	
Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year	517 13%	254 14%	263 12%	284 15%	233 11%	32 10%	60 13%	82 14%	120 16%	100 15%	120 10%	92 12%	202 15%	220 12%	3 12%	145 15%	279 13%	74 10%	488 13%	14 17%
				B			f	aFgl	Fi			Fgl			C	c				
Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council	386 10%	172 9%	213 10%	217 11%	169 8%	27 9%	35 7%	52 9%	93 12%	72 11%	100 9%	63 8%	146 11%	172 9%	6 21%	101 11%	203 9%	68 9%	361 9%	13 15%
				B				BFGi					bfg							
Create a better relationship with local communities	350 9%	151 8%	199 9%	202 10%	147 7%	29 9%	37 8%	45 8%	87 12%	63 9%	85 7%	66 8%	132 10%	148 8%	3 10%	108 11%	181 8%	49 6%	329 9%	10 11%
				B				bcFgl					f		bC					
Maintain low Council tax	335 8%	170 9%	164 8%	194 10%	141 7%	23 7%	21 4%	38 7%	77 10%	70 10%	104 9%	44 6%	115 9%	174 9%	2 7%	116 12%	169 8%	39 5%	319 8%	6 7%
				B				BcG	BcG	BG		Bg	BcG		BC	C				
Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding	229 6%	111 6%	119 6%	126 6%	103 5%	14 4%	20 4%	46 8%	46 6%	36 5%	65 6%	35 4%	92 7%	100 5%	2 7%	54 6%	121 6%	44 6%	210 5%	12 14%
								bGi					bg						a	

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_B2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### NOT IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents	168 4%	73 4%	95 4%	101 5% B	66 3%	13 4%	11 2%	15 3%	35 5% b	41 6% BCGh	49 4%	24 3%	50 4%	90 5% bcg	3 12%	54 6% bC	80 4%	24 3%	156 4%	6 7%
Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents	140 3%	70 4%	70 3%	83 4% b	57 3%	9 3%	12 3%	25 4%	25 3%	26 4%	38 3%	22 3%	50 4%	64 3%	3 12%	43 4% b	65 3%	23 3%	122 3%	12 14% A
None of the above	2485 62%	1165 63%	1320 62%	1179 60%	1306 64% a	205 64% dh	306 65% CDH	329 56%	414 55%	428 63% cDH	786 67% CDH	512 65% CDH	743 56%	1214 66% CDH	17 62% CDH	539 57%	1323 62% A	524 69% AB	2413 63% b	38 45%

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_B2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### NOT IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses	667 17%	339 15%	433 15%	235 20% AB	282 14%	255 20% Ac	130 16%	342 14%	254 22% A	71 19% a	308 18%	342 16%	17 14%	82 19%	15 15%	34 12%	19 11%
Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year	517 13%	327 14% C	390 14% C	127 11%	149 8%	284 23% AC	85 11% a	174 7%	295 26% AC	48 13% A	303 18% Bc	203 9%	11 9%	54 13%	12 12%	37 13%	23 13%
Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council	386 10%	238 10%	285 10%	101 8%	113 6%	196 16% AC	77 10% A	151 6%	193 17% AC	42 11% A	184 11% b	187 9%	14 12%	51 12%	8 8%	21 8%	10 6%
Create a better relationship with local communities	350 9%	216 9%	254 9%	96 8%	134 7%	146 12% Ac	70 9%	169 7%	148 13% Ac	32 8%	143 8%	198 9%	9 7%	31 7%	9 9%	19 7%	9 5%
Maintain low Council tax	335 8%	231 10% C	268 10% C	67 6%	114 6%	162 13% AC	58 7%	146 6%	159 14% AC	29 8%	173 10% B	153 7%	8 7%	25 6%	6 6%	28 10%	15 9%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_B2\_SUM.** By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.

Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.

Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area.

For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.

### NOT IMPORTANT SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding	229 6%	112 5%	144 5%	85 7% Ab	52 3%	131 10% AC	46 6% A	78 3%	123 11% Ac	27 7% A	122 7%	103 5%	4 3%	21 5%	5 5%	20 7%	8 5%
Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents	168 4%	97 4%	117 4%	50 4%	35 2%	109 9% AC	24 3% a	45 2%	112 10% AC	11 3%	102 6% Bc	63 3%	2 2%	16 4%	3 3%	11 4%	5 3%
Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents	140 3%	77 3%	93 3%	46 4%	22 1%	88 7% AC	29 4% A	28 1%	92 8% Ac	19 5% A	81 5% B	55 3%	4 3%	11 3%	2 2%	9 3%	7 4%
None of the above	2485 62%	1422 62%	1741 62%	745 62%	1347 69% BC	636 51%	503 63% B	1716 69% BC	544 48%	226 59% B	1021 59%	1377 64% A	87 71% A	272 63%	64 62%	181 65%	122 69%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_1. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE								SEG			ETHNICITY			
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 1830 46%	809 44%	1021 48%	888 46%	942 46%	136 42%	224 48%	263 45%	322 43%	277 41%	598 51%	360 45%	585 44%	875 47%	10 36%	414 43%	970 45%	384 50%	1757 46%	45 54%
			A				e				acDEgHi			dEh			AB			
Fairly important	(3) 1348 34%	619 33%	730 34%	629 32%	719 35%	137 42%	161 34%	207 35%	276 37%	247 37%	315 27%	297 37%	483 36%	562 30%	7 25%	337 35%	735 34%	230 30%	1314 34%	21 25%
						bFI	F	Fi	FI	FI		FI	FI	f		c	c			
Not very important	(2) 341 9%	171 9%	170 8%	180 9%	161 8%	24 7%	46 10%	56 10%	79 11%	58 9%	75 6%	70 9%	135 10%	133 7%	2 8%	92 10%	190 9%	47 6%	328 9%	6 7%
						f	f	FI					FI			C	c			
Not at all important	(1) 177 4%	83 4%	94 4%	104 5%	72 4%	8 2%	14 3%	27 5%	40 5%	42 6%	44 4%	22 3%	67 5%	86 5%	1 4%	52 5%	89 4%	27 4%	161 4%	8 10%
				B				bg	abfG				g	g		c				
Don't know	309 8%	177 10%	132 6%	150 8%	159 8%	18 6%	26 5%	31 5%	38 5%	51 8%	137 12%	44 6%	69 5%	189 10%	7 27%	57 6%	158 7%	72 10%	291 8%	3 4%
		B							dh	ABCDEGH				aBCDeGH			Ab			
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3178 79%	1427 77%	1751 82%	1517 78%	1661 81%	273 84%	384 82%	470 81%	597 79%	524 78%	913 78%	657 83%	1067 80%	1437 78%	17 61%	752 79%	1706 80%	614 81%	3072 80%	66 79%
			A		a	efi					efl									
Net: Not important	517 13%	254 14%	263 12%	284 15%	233 11%	32 10%	60 13%	82 14%	120 16%	100 15%	120 10%	92 12%	202 15%	220 12%	3 12%	145 15%	279 13%	74 10%	488 13%	14 17%
				B			f	aFgl	Fi			Fgl			C	c				
Mean score	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.3
					a			de			CDEgHi	deh		DEH			AB			
Standard deviation	.83	.84	.82	.86	.79	.73	.79	.83	.86	.87	.81	.76	.85	.84	.89	.86	.82	.79	.82	.99
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.05	.04	.04	.03	.03	.02	.03	.02	.02	.20	.03	.02	.03	.01	.16

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_1. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Deliver further efficiency savings of around £1 million pounds a year

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	1830	1017	1244	586	1105	395	330	1377	301	152	676	1110	43	206	49	129	79
		46%	44%	44%	49%	56%	32%	41%	55%	27%	40%	39%	52%	35%	48%	48%	47%	45%
				ab	BC		B	BC		B		AC						
Fairly important	(3)	1348	770	946	403	653	421	274	864	378	106	576	740	32	145	36	87	61
		34%	34%	34%	34%	33%	34%	35%	35%	33%	28%	33%	34%	26%	34%	35%	31%	34%
									C	c		c						
Not very important	(2)	341	213	262	78	127	165	48	141	169	31	189	144	8	32	4	23	16
		9%	9%	9%	7%	6%	13%	6%	6%	15%	8%	11%	7%	7%	7%	4%	8%	9%
			C	C			AC			AC		B						
Not at all important	(1)	177	114	128	49	22	118	36	33	126	18	114	59	3	22	8	14	7
		4%	5%	5%	4%	1%	9%	5%	1%	11%	5%	7%	3%	2%	5%	8%	5%	4%
							AC	A		AC	A	B						
Don't know		309	181	222	86	53	150	106	76	158	75	171	101	36	25	6	24	14
		8%	8%	8%	7%	3%	12%	13%	3%	14%	20%	10%	5%	29%	6%	6%	9%	8%
							A	A		A	AB	B		AB				
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3178	1787	2189	989	1758	816	604	2241	679	258	1252	1851	75	350	85	215	140	
	79%	78%	78%	82%	90%	65%	76%	90%	60%	68%	73%	86%	61%	82%	82%	78%	79%	
				AB	BC		B	BC		B	C	AC						
Net: Not important	517	327	390	127	149	284	85	174	295	48	303	203	11	54	12	37	23	
	13%	14%	14%	11%	8%	23%	11%	7%	26%	13%	18%	9%	9%	13%	12%	13%	13%	
		C	C			AC	a		AC	A	Bc							
Mean score	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.5	2.9	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	
				AB	BC		B	BC		B		A						
Standard deviation	.83	.85	.84	.80	.67	.97	.82	.67	.99	.87	.91	.75	.79	.84	.90	.85	.82	
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.03	.03	.01	.03	.05	.02	.02	.08	.05	.10	.05	.06	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_2. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Maintain low Council tax

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY		
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 2439 61%	1103 59%	1337 62%	1096 56%	1344 65%	190 59%	317 67%	396 68%	440 58%	383 57%	696 59%	507 64%	836 62%	1079 58%	17 63%	493 52%	1335 62%	523 69%	2346 61%	57 68%
				A		aDEFI	aDEFHl					dEi	ei			A	AB			
Fairly important	(3) 1103 28%	509 27%	593 28%	592 30%	511 25%	101 31%	120 26%	140 24%	225 30%	204 30%	308 26%	221 28%	366 27%	513 28%	3 12%	316 33%	586 27%	165 22%	1066 28%	18 22%
				B		c			c							BC	C			
Not very important	(2) 247 6%	121 7%	126 6%	146 7%	102 5%	17 5%	16 3%	28 5%	63 8%	44 7%	78 7%	33 4%	91 7%	122 7%	1 4%	84 9%	126 6%	29 4%	242 6%	2 2%
				B				BCG	bg	bg		Bg	Bg		BC	c				
Not at all important	(1) 87 2%	49 3%	38 2%	48 2%	39 2%	6 2%	5 1%	10 2%	13 2%	26 4%	26 2%	11 1%	23 2%	52 3%	1 2%	32 3%	42 2%	10 1%	77 2%	4 5%
									BcdfGH					bg	bC					
Don't know	127 3%	75 4%	52 2%	69 4%	58 3%	10 3%	12 2%	8 1%	13 2%	18 3%	62 5%	21 3%	22 2%	79 4%	5 18%	29 3%	53 2%	34 4%	120 3%	3 4%
		B								bCDEGH			CDeH				B			
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3542 88%	1612 87%	1930 90%	1688 87%	1854 90%	291 90%	437 93%	537 92%	665 88%	587 87%	1004 86%	728 92%	1202 90%	1592 86%	21 75%	809 85%	1921 90%	688 90%	3412 89%	75 89%
			A	A		DEFHl	dEFI				dEFI	FI				A	A			
Net: Not important	335 8%	170 9%	164 8%	194 10%	141 7%	23 7%	21 4%	38 7%	77 10%	70 10%	104 9%	44 6%	115 9%	174 9%	2 7%	116 12%	169 8%	39 5%	319 8%	6 7%
				B				BcG	BcG	BG		Bg	BcG		BC	C				
Mean score	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.5	3.6
			a	A		aDEFHI	DEFHl			e	DEfI	E				A	AB			
Standard deviation	.72	.74	.69	.75	.68	.69	.61	.67	.73	.78	.73	.64	.70	.75	.73	.79	.70	.62	.71	.78
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.05	.03	.03	.03	.03	.02	.03	.02	.02	.15	.03	.02	.02	.01	.13

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_2. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Maintain low Council tax

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	2439	1291	1622	817	1255	694	491	1604	597	238	1033	1339	68	300	70	175	102
		61%	56%	58%	68%	64%	56%	62%	64%	53%	62%	60%	62%	55%	70%	68%	63%	58%
				AB	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	D	D	D	D	D
Fairly important	(3)	1103	695	821	282	566	333	204	712	310	81	459	614	29	96	25	66	50
		28%	30%	29%	23%	29%	27%	26%	29%	27%	21%	27%	28%	24%	22%	24%	24%	28%
			C	C	C	C	C	C	C	c	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Not very important	(2)	247	169	196	51	101	105	42	126	103	19	118	123	6	20	5	22	12
		6%	7%	7%	4%	5%	8%	5%	5%	9%	5%	7%	6%	5%	5%	5%	8%	7%
			C	C	C	C	AC	C	C	Ac	C	C	C	C	C	C	C	C
Not at all important	(1)	87	62	72	16	13	58	16	20	57	10	55	30	2	6	1	6	3
		2%	3%	3%	1%	1%	5%	2%	1%	5%	3%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%
			C	c	C	C	AC	A	C	A	A	B	B	B	B	B	B	B
Don't know		127	78	91	36	25	60	42	29	64	34	61	49	17	8	2	9	9
		3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	5%	5%	1%	6%	9%	4%	2%	14%	2%	2%	3%	5%
							A	A		A	Ab	b	AB	AB	AB	AB	AB	a
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3542	1986	2443	1099	1821	1026	695	2316	907	319	1492	1953	97	396	95	240	152	
	88%	87%	87%	91%	93%	82%	87%	93%	80%	83%	86%	91%	79%	92%	92%	87%	86%	
				AB	BC	B	B	BC	BC	BC	c	AC	cd	cd	cd	cd	cd	
Net: Not important	335	231	268	67	114	162	58	146	159	29	173	153	8	25	6	28	15	
	8%	10%	10%	6%	6%	13%	7%	6%	14%	8%	10%	7%	7%	6%	6%	10%	9%	
		C	C	C	C	AC	C	C	AC	C	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	
Mean score	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.6	3.4	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	
				AB	B	B	B	B	B	B	B	A	B	cd	cd	cd	cd	
Standard deviation	.72	.75	.74	.63	.62	.84	.70	.63	.86	.73	.77	.67	.70	.64	.64	.74	.72	
Standard error	.01	.02	.01	.02	.01	.02	.03	.01	.03	.04	.02	.01	.07	.03	.07	.05	.06	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_3. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY		
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 2900 72%	1322 71%	1578 74%	1386 71%	1514 74%	229 71%	354 75%	423 73%	534 71%	480 71%	864 74%	583 74%	958 72%	1344 73%	16 57%	693 73%	1558 73%	549 72%	2798 73%	59 70%
Fairly important	(3) 839 21%	391 21%	447 21%	417 21%	422 21%	83 26%	95 20%	125 22%	176 23%	147 22%	209 18%	178 22%	301 23%	356 19%	3 11%	193 20%	455 21%	161 21%	815 21%	10 12%
Not very important	(2) 80 2%	38 2%	42 2%	48 2%	32 2%	6 2%	7 2%	15 3%	11 2%	12 2%	25 2%	14 2%	26 2%	37 2%	3 12%	19 2%	34 2%	20 3%	71 2%	6 7%
Not at all important	(1) 59 1%	32 2%	27 1%	35 2%	24 1%	3 1%	5 1%	11 2%	13 2%	13 2%	14 1%	8 1%	24 2%	27 1%	- -	24 3%	30 1%	3 *	51 1%	6 7%
Don't know	125 3%	75 4%	51 2%	66 3%	60 3%	2 1%	8 2%	9 2%	20 3%	22 3%	59 5%	10 1%	29 2%	81 4%	5 20%	25 3%	65 3%	27 4%	114 3%	3 4%
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3739 93%	1713 92%	2026 94%	1803 92%	1936 94%	312 97%	450 96%	549 94%	710 94%	627 93%	1073 92%	761 96%	1259 94%	1700 92%	19 68%	885 93%	2014 94%	710 93%	3614 94%	69 82%
Net: Not important	140 3%	70 4%	70 3%	83 4%	57 3%	9 3%	12 3%	25 4%	25 3%	26 4%	38 3%	22 3%	50 4%	64 3%	3 12%	43 4%	65 3%	23 3%	122 3%	12 14%
Mean score	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.5
Standard deviation	.59	.60	.57	.62	.56	.56	.54	.62	.60	.62	.56	.55	.61	.58	.76	.64	.57	.54	.57	.92
Standard error	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.04	.03	.03	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.01	.16	.02	.01	.02	.01	.15

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_3. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Provide long term financial stability to protect the services that are most important to residents

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	2900	1659	2036	864	1536	813	552	1966	696	239	1232	1602	66	312	75	200	121
		72%	72%	73%	72%	78% BC	65% b	69% b	79% BC	61% A	63% a	71% C	74% aC	54%	73%	73%	72%	69%
Fairly important	(3)	839	479	584	255	388	284	167	480	265	93	342	463	33	96	25	55	44
		21%	21%	21%	21%	20% a	23% A	21% a	19% A	23% A	24% A	20% A	21% A	27% A	22% A	24% A	20% A	25% A
Not very important	(2)	80	36	47	33	21	42	17	27	42	12	39	38	3	6	-	3	4
		2%	2%	2%	3% ab	1% A	3% A	2% a	1% A	4% A	3% A	2% A	2% A	2% A	1% A	-	1% A	2% A
Not at all important	(1)	59	41	46	13	1	46	12	2	50	7	41	17	1	5	2	6	3
		1%	2%	2%	1%	* AC	4% A	2% A	* Ac	4% A	2% A	2% B	1% A	1% A	1% A	2% A	2% A	2% A
Don't know		125	80	88	37	14	64	47	16	78	31	72	35	19	9	1	13	4
		3%	3%	3%	3%	1% A	5% A	6% A	1% A	7% A	8% A	4% B	2% AB	16% AB	2% A	1% A	5% A	3% A
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3739	2138	2620	1119	1924	1096	719	2446	961	332	1574	2065	99	408	100	255	165	
	93%	93%	94%	93%	98% BC	88% BC	90% BC	98% BC	85% BC	87% BC	91% C	96% AC	81% AC	95% C	97% AC	92% AC	94% AC	
Net: Not important	140	77	93	46	22	88	29	28	92	19	81	55	4	11	2	9	7	
	3%	3%	3%	4%	1% AC	7% A	4% A	1% Ac	8% A	5% A	5% B	3% A	3% A	3% A	2% A	3% A	4% A	
Mean score	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.8 BC	3.6 B	3.7 B	3.8 BC	3.5 A	3.6 A	3.7 B	3.7 Ac	3.6 A	3.7 A	3.7 A	3.7 A	3.6 A	
Standard deviation	.59	.60	.59	.58	.45	.74	.60	.44	.79	.65	.65	.53	.60	.56	.60	.60	.62	
Standard error	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.02	.02	.01	.02	.03	.02	.01	.06	.03	.06	.04	.05	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_4. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

**Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents**

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE								SEG			ETHNICITY			
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 2764 69%	1277 69%	1487 69%	1279 66%	1485 72%	208 64%	333 71%	425 73%	514 68%	474 70%	793 68%	542 68%	940 70%	1268 69%	15 55%	682 72%	1483 69%	501 66%	2664 69%	56 67%
				A				af							C					
Fairly important	(3) 943 24%	426 23%	518 24%	498 26%	446 22%	99 31%	117 25%	137 23%	189 25%	137 20%	260 22%	216 27%	326 24%	397 22%	5 17%	192 20%	513 24%	207 27%	916 24%	17 20%
				B		cEFI		ei				Efi	e		A					
Not very important	(2) 99 2%	43 2%	57 3%	60 3%	39 2%	8 2%	9 2%	6 1%	21 3%	23 3%	29 3%	17 2%	27 2%	52 3%	3 12%	29 3%	49 2%	16 2%	94 2%	2 2%
				b				c	C	c				c						
Not at all important	(1) 68 2%	30 2%	38 2%	41 2%	27 1%	5 1%	2 *	9 2%	14 2%	19 3%	19 2%	7 1%	23 2%	38 2%	- -	24 3%	31 1%	7 1%	62 2%	4 5%
									b	BG			b	bg		bC				
Don't know	130 3%	83 4%	47 2%	74 4%	56 3%	4 1%	9 2%	5 1%	17 2%	22 3%	68 6%	12 2%	23 2%	90 5%	5 17%	26 3%	67 3%	29 4%	114 3%	6 7%
		B								Cgh	ABCDeGH			aBCDGH						
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3707 93%	1702 92%	2005 93%	1776 91%	1931 94%	307 95%	450 96%	562 96%	703 93%	611 91%	1053 90%	757 95%	1265 95%	1665 90%	20 72%	874 92%	1995 93%	708 93%	3580 93%	73 86%
			a	A		efi	Efi	DEFI	fi			Efi	Efi							
Net: Not important	168 4%	73 4%	95 4%	101 5%	66 3%	13 4%	11 2%	15 3%	35 5%	41 6%	49 4%	24 3%	50 4%	90 5%	3 12%	54 6%	80 4%	24 3%	156 4%	6 7%
				B					b	BCGh				bcg	bC					
Mean score	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7	3.6
Standard deviation	.62	.61	.63	.66	.58	.61	.53	.57	.64	.69	.62	.57	.61	.65	.74	.67	.60	.58	.61	.78
Standard error	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.04	.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.02	.02	.02	.15	.02	.01	.02	.01	.13

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_4. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

**Directly deliver all public services better, in a way that is tailored to the needs of local residents**

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																		
Significance Level: 99%																		
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	2764	1597	1949	815	1437	808	519	1825	690	248	1201	1490	73	315	70	193	122
		69%	70%	70%	68%	73% BC	65% BC	65%	73% BC	61% BC	65%	70% c	69% c	60%	73%	68%	70%	69%
Fairly important	(3)	943	519	640	304	474	263	206	596	255	92	353	558	32	91	29	63	44
		24%	23%	23%	25%	24% b	21% b	26% b	24% b	23% b	24%	20% A	26% A	26%	21%	28%	23%	25%
Not very important	(2)	99	56	68	31	27	60	12	38	58	3	53	45	1	7	2	6	3
		2%	2%	2%	3%	1% AC	5% AC	2%	2% AC	5% AC	1%	3% b	2% b	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%
Not at all important	(1)	68	41	49	19	7	49	12	7	53	8	49	18	1	9	1	6	2
		2%	2%	2%	2%	* AC	4% AC	2% A	* Ac	5% Ac	2% A	3% B	1% B	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%
Don't know		130	83	96	34	15	69	45	24	75	30	70	44	15	7	1	10	6
		3%	4%	3%	3%	1% A	6% A	6% A	1% A	7% A	8% A	4% B	2% AB	12%	2%	1%	4%	3%
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3707	2116	2589	1118	1911	1071	725	2421	945	341	1554	2048	105	406	99	256	166	
	93%	92%	92%	93%	97% BC	86% B	91% B	97% BC	84% B	89% B	90% AC	95% AC	86%	95% AC	96% AC	92% AC	94% AC	
Net: Not important	168	97	117	50	35	109	24	45	112	11	102	63	2	16	3	11	5	
	4%	4%	4%	4%	2% AC	9% AC	3% a	2% AC	10% AC	3% AC	6% Bc	3% Bc	2% Bc	4% Bc	3% Bc	4% Bc	3% Bc	
Mean score	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.6	3.7 BC	3.6 B	3.6 B	3.7 Bc	3.5 Bc	3.7 B	3.6 Bc	3.7 Bc	3.7 Bc	3.7 Bc	3.6 Bc	3.6 Bc	3.7 Bc	3.7 Bc
Standard deviation	.62	.62	.62	.62	.50	.77	.60	.50	.81	.61	.69	.56	.55	.62	.59	.63	.58	
Standard error	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.02	.02	.01	.02	.03	.02	.01	.05	.03	.06	.04	.04	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_5. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Create a better relationship with local communities

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY		
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 2303 58%	1107 60%	1196 56%	1061 54%	1241 60%	173 54%	285 61%	345 59%	405 54%	377 56%	705 60%	458 58%	749 56%	1082 59%	13 47%	518 54%	1235 58%	460 60%	2213 57%	61 72%
		b			A		d	d			Dh			d				A		
Fairly important	(3) 1213 30%	517 28%	697 32%	612 31%	601 29%	116 36%	144 31%	180 31%	246 33%	209 31%	312 27%	260 33%	426 32%	520 28%	7 27%	298 31%	656 31%	224 29%	1184 31%	10 12%
		A				Fi			Fi	f		Fi	Fi						b	
Not very important	(2) 261 7%	114 6%	146 7%	150 8%	111 5%	23 7%	31 7%	34 6%	66 9%	46 7%	61 5%	54 7%	99 7%	106 6%	1 5%	79 8%	136 6%	38 5%	252 7%	6 7%
		B			B				cFl				f			bC				
Not at all important	(1) 89 2%	36 2%	53 2%	53 3%	36 2%	7 2%	6 1%	12 2%	21 3%	17 3%	25 2%	13 2%	33 2%	42 2%	1 4%	28 3%	45 2%	11 1%	77 2%	4 5%
				b											c					
Don't know	138 3%	84 5%	54 3%	75 4%	63 3%	5 1%	4 1%	13 2%	18 2%	27 4%	68 6%	9 1%	30 2%	94 5%	5 17%	29 3%	70 3%	27 4%	125 3%	3 4%
		B								BGh	ABCDGH			aBCDGH						
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3516 88%	1623 87%	1893 88%	1674 86%	1843 90%	289 89%	429 91%	525 90%	650 86%	586 87%	1017 87%	718 91%	1175 88%	1603 87%	20 74%	817 86%	1892 88%	684 90%	3397 88%	71 85%
					A		Defhl	di			Defi					a	A			
Net: Not important	350 9%	151 8%	199 9%	202 10%	147 7%	29 9%	37 8%	45 8%	87 12%	63 9%	85 7%	66 8%	132 10%	148 8%	3 10%	108 11%	181 8%	49 6%	329 9%	10 11%
				B				bcFgl				f			bC					
Mean score	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.6
		B			A		D	d			aDeH	d		Dh		a	Ab			
Standard deviation	.72	.71	.73	.76	.69	.72	.68	.70	.77	.74	.70	.70	.74	.72	.85	.77	.72	.67	.71	.83
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.05	.03	.03	.03	.03	.02	.03	.02	.02	.18	.03	.02	.02	.01	.13

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_5. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Create a better relationship with local communities

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	2303	1304	1578	725	1154	716	433	1476	620	207	1088	1154	61	248	57	171	117
		58%	57%	56%	60%	59%	57%	54%	59%	55%	54%	63%	54%	50%	58%	55%	62%	66%
				b	c				b			BC						
Fairly important	(3)	1213	691	873	341	652	317	244	819	287	108	418	763	32	136	36	73	43
		30%	30%	31%	28%	33%	25%	31%	33%	25%	28%	24%	35%	26%	32%	35%	27%	25%
					B	B	B	B	B			Ac						
Not very important	(2)	261	157	186	75	121	91	49	150	91	20	93	162	6	23	4	15	7
		7%	7%	7%	6%	6%	7%	6%	6%	8%	5%	5%	8%	5%	5%	4%	6%	4%
									a			A						
Not at all important	(1)	89	58	68	21	13	55	21	19	58	12	51	35	3	8	5	4	2
		2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	5%	1%	1%
							Ac	A		A	A	B			c			
Don't know		138	85	97	41	21	69	48	27	76	35	77	41	20	14	1	13	7
		3%	4%	3%	3%	1%	6%	6%	1%	7%	9%	4%	2%	17%	3%	1%	5%	4%
							A	A		A	A	B		AB				
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3516	1995	2451	1065	1806	1034	677	2295	906	315	1506	1917	93	384	93	245	161	
	88%	87%	87%	89%	92%	83%	85%	92%	80%	83%	87%	89%	76%	90%	90%	88%	91%	
					BC			BC			C	C						
Net: Not important	350	216	254	96	134	146	70	169	148	32	143	198	9	31	9	19	9	
	9%	9%	9%	8%	7%	12%	9%	7%	13%	8%	8%	9%	7%	7%	9%	7%	5%	
						Ac			Ac									
Mean score	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.6	3.6	
				ab	Bc			B			B						b	
Standard deviation	.72	.74	.73	.70	.64	.82	.74	.65	.86	.76	.74	.71	.74	.69	.80	.67	.63	
Standard error	.01	.02	.01	.02	.01	.02	.03	.01	.03	.04	.02	.02	.07	.04	.09	.04	.05	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_6. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY		
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 1907 48%	920 50%	987 46%	878 45%	1029 50%	146 45%	254 54%	282 48%	311 41%	320 47%	584 50%	400 50%	593 44%	903 49%	11 38%	427 45%	1023 48%	387 51%	1826 47%	48 57%
		b	A				aDeHi	d		d	DH	DH		DH				A		
Fairly important	(3) 1477 37%	640 34%	837 39%	745 38%	732 36%	135 42%	168 36%	232 40%	319 42%	240 36%	376 32%	303 38%	551 41%	616 33%	7 24%	370 39%	801 37%	257 34%	1446 38%	15 18%
		A				Fi		FI	bEFI			Fi	beFI			c			b	
Not very important	(2) 274 7%	120 6%	154 7%	153 8%	121 6%	25 8%	30 6%	38 7%	68 9%	48 7%	62 5%	55 7%	107 8%	110 6%	3 11%	71 7%	142 7%	54 7%	261 7%	5 6%
				b					FI				Fi							
Not at all important	(1) 112 3%	52 3%	59 3%	64 3%	48 2%	3 1%	5 1%	14 2%	25 3%	24 4%	38 3%	8 1%	39 3%	62 3%	3 11%	31 3%	61 3%	14 2%	101 3%	7 9%
									bG	BG	bG		bG	BG		c			a	
Don't know	235 6%	126 7%	109 5%	111 6%	123 6%	15 5%	13 3%	17 3%	32 4%	43 6%	111 9%	27 3%	49 4%	154 8%	5 17%	55 6%	116 5%	49 6%	217 6%	8 10%
		b							BCgH	aBCDeGH			BCDGH							
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3384 85%	1560 84%	1824 85%	1623 83%	1761 86%	281 87%	423 90%	514 88%	630 83%	560 83%	959 82%	704 89%	1144 85%	1519 82%	17 62%	797 84%	1824 85%	644 85%	3272 85%	63 75%
					a		DEFHl	deFI			DEFHl	fi								
Net: Not important	386 10%	172 9%	213 10%	217 11%	169 8%	27 9%	35 7%	52 9%	93 12%	72 11%	100 9%	63 8%	146 11%	172 9%	6 21%	101 11%	203 9%	68 9%	361 9%	13 15%
				B					BFGi			bfg								
Mean score	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4
		b			A		cDeH	D		d	DH	DH		DH				A		
Standard deviation	.75	.75	.74	.77	.72	.67	.67	.72	.77	.78	.76	.67	.75	.77	1.07	.76	.75	.72	.74	.99
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.05	.03	.03	.03	.03	.02	.03	.02	.02	.22	.03	.02	.02	.01	.16

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_6. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Strengthen residents' voice through a stronger and more influential Council

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	1907	1063	1304	603	976	581	350	1227	503	177	874	984	49	195	47	134	98
		48%	46%	47%	50%	50%	47%	44%	49%	44%	46%	51%	46%	40%	45%	46%	48%	55%
				ab		C			B			Bc					a	
Fairly important	(3)	1477	860	1055	422	828	366	282	1046	324	107	540	901	36	165	42	104	55
		37%	37%	38%	35%	42%	29%	35%	42%	29%	28%	31%	42%	29%	38%	41%	38%	31%
						BC		B	BC				AC					
Not very important	(2)	274	166	206	68	103	117	54	137	107	30	108	154	12	37	6	14	7
		7%	7%	7%	6%	5%	9%	7%	5%	9%	8%	6%	7%	10%	9%	6%	5%	4%
							Ac			A								
Not at all important	(1)	112	73	79	33	9	80	23	14	86	11	76	33	2	14	2	7	3
		3%	3%	3%	3%	*	6%	3%	1%	8%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%
							AC	A		AC	A							
Don't know		235	134	158	76	44	105	86	67	111	56	128	83	23	18	6	18	13
		6%	6%	6%	6%	2%	8%	11%	3%	10%	15%	7%	4%	19%	4%	6%	6%	8%
							A	A		A	AB			AB				
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3384	1923	2359	1025	1804	948	632	2273	827	283	1414	1885	85	360	89	238	153	
	85%	84%	84%	85%	92%	76%	80%	91%	73%	74%	82%	87%	69%	84%	86%	86%	87%	
					BC			BC			C	AC						
Net: Not important	386	238	285	101	113	196	77	151	193	42	184	187	14	51	8	21	10	
	10%	10%	10%	8%	6%	16%	10%	6%	17%	11%	11%	9%	12%	12%	8%	8%	6%	
						AC	A		AC	A	b			d				
Mean score	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.5
				ab	BC		b	B		B							A	
Standard deviation	.75	.76	.75	.73	.62	.90	.76	.63	.94	.80	.81	.69	.78	.78	.70	.72	.67	
Standard error	.01	.02	.01	.02	.01	.03	.03	.01	.03	.04	.02	.02	.08	.04	.08	.05	.05	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_7. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE								SEG			ETHNICITY			
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 1962 49%	930 50%	1033 48%	986 51%	976 48%	134 41%	221 47%	247 42%	320 42%	352 52%	677 58%	355 45%	567 42%	1029 56%	12 43%	434 46%	1031 48%	416 55%	1892 49%	41 48%
										ACDGH	ABCDDeGH			ABCDGH				AB		
Fairly important	(3) 1183 30%	520 28%	663 31%	552 28%	631 31%	123 38%	152 32%	179 31%	261 35%	202 30%	261 22%	274 35%	440 33%	463 25%	5 17%	298 31%	656 31%	198 26%	1153 30%	14 17%
			a			eFI	FI	FI	FI	FI		FI	FI			c	c			
Not very important	(2) 436 11%	196 11%	240 11%	222 11%	215 10%	41 13%	61 13%	85 15%	94 12%	50 7%	101 9%	102 13%	179 13%	152 8%	4 14%	121 13%	235 11%	69 9%	413 11%	13 15%
						ei	EFI	EFI	EFI			EFI	EFI			c				
Not at all important	(1) 231 6%	111 6%	120 6%	99 5%	132 6%	22 7%	22 5%	50 9%	53 7%	34 5%	47 4%	44 6%	103 8%	82 4%	2 8%	64 7%	128 6%	33 4%	213 6%	11 13%
								beFgl	FI			beFI		ABcDGH		c				a
Don't know	192 5%	101 5%	90 4%	92 5%	100 5%	3 1%	14 3%	23 4%	28 4%	37 5%	83 7%	17 2%	50 4%	120 6%	5 19%	37 4%	94 4%	44 6%	180 5%	5 6%
								a		AbG	ABCDGH		a	ABcDGH						
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3145 79%	1450 78%	1695 79%	1538 79%	1607 78%	257 79%	373 79%	426 73%	581 77%	554 82%	938 80%	630 79%	1007 75%	1492 81%	16 60%	731 77%	1687 79%	614 81%	3045 79%	55 65%
							c			CdH	CH	Ch		CdH			a		b	
Net: Not important	667 17%	307 17%	360 17%	321 16%	346 17%	63 20%	83 18%	135 23%	146 19%	85 13%	149 13%	147 18%	281 21%	233 13%	6 21%	185 19%	363 17%	102 13%	626 16%	24 29%
						eFI	eFI	bEFgl	EFI			EFI	EFI			C	c			a
Mean score	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.1	3.2	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.3	3.1
							ch			AbCDGH	ABCDGH			ABCDGH			AB			
Standard deviation	.89	.90	.88	.88	.90	.90	.87	.97	.91	.84	.83	.88	.94	.84	1.05	.92	.89	.84	.88	1.12
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.06	.04	.04	.03	.03	.02	.03	.03	.02	.22	.03	.02	.03	.01	.18

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_7. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

### Increase the effectiveness of the Council's economic and housing role, for example by building more Council houses

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	1962	1186	1407	555	1035	573	354	1301	500	162	836	1071	55	204	42	152	108
		49%	52%	50%	46%	53%	46%	45%	52%	44%	42%	48%	50%	45%	48%	41%	55%	61%
			C	c		BC			BC							b	AB	
Fairly important	(3)	1183	660	828	355	609	332	241	795	287	100	475	679	29	127	41	76	44
		30%	29%	30%	30%	31%	27%	30%	32%	25%	26%	28%	31%	24%	30%	40%	28%	25%
						B			Bc				A		cd			
Not very important	(2)	436	215	286	151	215	143	78	251	147	38	189	236	11	52	10	22	14
		11%	9%	10%	13%	11%	11%	10%	10%	13%	10%	11%	11%	9%	12%	10%	8%	8%
					Ab				A									
Not at all important	(1)	231	123	147	84	67	111	52	91	107	33	119	105	6	30	5	12	5
		6%	5%	5%	7%	3%	9%	7%	4%	9%	9%	7%	5%	5%	7%	5%	4%	3%
					b		A	A		A	A	B		d				
Don't know		192	111	134	58	34	89	68	53	91	48	107	64	21	16	5	14	5
		5%	5%	5%	5%	2%	7%	9%	2%	8%	13%	6%	3%	17%	4%	5%	5%	3%
							A	A		A	AB	B		AB				
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3145	1846	2235	910	1644	905	596	2096	787	262	1312	1749	84	331	83	229	152	
	79%	80%	80%	76%	84%	72%	75%	84%	70%	69%	76%	81%	69%	77%	81%	83%	86%	
		C	C		BC			BC				AC					a	
Net: Not important	667	339	433	235	282	255	130	342	254	71	308	342	17	82	15	34	19	
	17%	15%	15%	20%	14%	20%	16%	14%	22%	19%	18%	16%	14%	19%	15%	12%	11%	
				AB		Ac			A	a				cd				
Mean score	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.1	3.2	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.5	
		C	C		BC			BC								a	Ab	
Standard deviation	.89	.87	.87	.93	.81	.99	.91	.81	1.01	.98	.93	.86	.90	.93	.84	.83	.77	
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.03	.02	.03	.05	.02	.02	.09	.05	.09	.05	.06	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_8. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

**Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding**

Base: All respondents

	Total	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE									SEG			ETHNICITY		
		Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4) 2488 62%	1127 61%	1361 63%	1182 61%	1306 64%	198 61%	292 62%	339 58%	453 60%	412 61%	778 66%	491 62%	792 59%	1190 64%	16 58%	607 64%	1321 62%	471 62%	2400 62%	51 60%
					a						CDegH			CdH						
Fairly important	(3) 1094 27%	497 27%	597 28%	544 28%	550 27%	100 31%	144 31%	178 31%	234 31%	187 28%	245 21%	244 31%	412 31%	433 23%	5 19%	250 26%	605 28%	208 27%	1061 28%	16 20%
						Fi	FI	FI	FI	Fi		FI	FI							
Not very important	(2) 145 4%	76 4%	70 3%	79 4%	67 3%	9 3%	14 3%	32 6%	32 4%	21 3%	36 3%	24 3%	64 5%	56 3%	1 2%	26 3%	80 4%	36 5%	137 4%	6 7%
								efgl					fl					a		
Not at all important	(1) 84 2%	35 2%	49 2%	48 2%	36 2%	5 1%	6 1%	14 2%	14 2%	15 2%	29 2%	11 1%	28 2%	44 2%	1 5%	28 3%	41 2%	8 1%	73 2%	6 7%
																C				a
Don't know	193 5%	123 7%	70 3%	99 5%	94 5%	10 3%	14 3%	20 3%	23 3%	40 6%	82 7%	24 3%	42 3%	122 7%	5 16%	42 4%	97 5%	37 5%	180 5%	5 6%
		B								bcDGH	aBCDGH			BCDGH						
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Important	3582 89%	1624 87%	1957 91%	1726 88%	1856 90%	299 92%	436 93%	517 89%	686 91%	599 89%	1023 87%	735 93%	1204 90%	1622 88%	21 76%	857 90%	1925 90%	679 89%	3461 90%	67 80%
			A		a	f	ceFI		fi			ceFI	f						b	
Net: Not important	229 6%	111 6%	119 6%	126 6%	103 5%	14 4%	20 4%	46 8%	46 6%	36 5%	65 6%	35 4%	92 7%	100 5%	2 7%	54 6%	121 6%	44 6%	210 5%	12 14%
								bGi					bg						a	
Mean score	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.4
					a						CDH	c		CdH						
Standard deviation	.67	.67	.67	.70	.65	.63	.62	.71	.67	.67	.68	.62	.69	.68	.82	.70	.67	.64	.66	.92
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.05	.03	.03	.02	.03	.02	.02	.02	.02	.17	.02	.02	.02	.01	.15

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q6\_8. By working in partnership since 2011 the Councils have already saved around £2 million pounds a year, but anticipate that they have reached the limit of new savings that can be achieved this way. A new single District Council is expected to deliver at least £1 million pounds per year of additional savings.**

**Some people believe that the new Council will be more efficient, and that delivery of services to residents will be protected. However, others say that the Councils have different approaches, and that it could lead to Council tax increases for some.**

**Now that I have provided more background, I'm going to read out a list of objectives that the Councils' believe they could achieve by creating a new single District Council in the area. For each one, please say how important, if at all, you feel it is that they achieve this objective.**

**Ensure the new Council is able to meet the challenges facing local government, for example the needs of an ageing population and a reduction in central government funding**

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171	
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very important	(4)	2488	1472	1791	697	1348	674	466	1682	588	218	1050	1370	67	288	64	167	113
		62%	64%	64%	58%	69%	54%	59%	68%	52%	57%	61%	64%	55%	67%	62%	60%	64%
		C	C		BC		b		BC									
Fairly important	(3)	1094	606	744	350	524	346	223	679	321	93	455	610	28	100	33	70	40
		27%	26%	27%	29%	27%	28%	28%	27%	28%	24%	26%	28%	23%	23%	32%	25%	23%
Not very important	(2)	145	63	89	56	41	80	24	61	71	13	71	71	3	12	4	12	4
		4%	3%	3%	5%	2%	6%	3%	2%	6%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	4%	4%	2%
				Ab		AC			Ac									
Not at all important	(1)	84	48	55	29	11	51	22	17	52	14	50	32	1	9	1	8	4
		2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	5%	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	1%	3%	2%
							A	A		A	A	B						
Don't know		193	105	123	70	36	97	60	51	99	43	99	72	23	21	1	19	15
		5%	5%	4%	6%	2%	8%	8%	2%	9%	11%	6%	3%	18%	5%	1%	7%	9%
							A	A		A	A	B		AB		b	b	
<b>NETS</b>																		
Net: Important	3582	2078	2535	1047	1872	1021	689	2362	909	311	1506	1980	96	388	97	238	153	
	89%	91%	90%	87%	95%	82%	87%	95%	80%	82%	87%	92%	78%	90%	94%	86%	87%	
		C	C		BC		B	BC			C	AC		c				
Net: Not important	229	112	144	85	52	131	46	78	123	27	122	103	4	21	5	20	8	
	6%	5%	5%	7%	3%	10%	6%	3%	11%	7%	7%	5%	3%	5%	5%	7%	5%	
				Ab		AC	A		Ac	A	B							
Mean score	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.7	3.4	3.5	3.5	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.6	3.5	3.6
		C	C		BC		B	BC		b		a						
Standard deviation	.67	.66	.66	.71	.55	.81	.70	.57	.83	.76	.72	.63	.60	.65	.63	.73	.65	
Standard error	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.02	.03	.01	.03	.04	.02	.01	.06	.03	.07	.05	.05	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_SUM.** For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.

### SUMMARY TABLE

Base: All respondents

	Total	Very positive	Fairly positive	No difference	Fairly negative	Very negative	Don't know	NETS		
								Positive	Negative	Mean
Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired	4004 100%	664 17%	966 24%	1357 34%	290 7%	219 5%	508 13%	1630 41%	509 13%	3.4
Disabled people	4004 100%	664 17%	926 23%	1377 34%	248 6%	185 5%	604 15%	1590 40%	433 11%	3.5
Younger people, for example children and teenagers	4004 100%	516 13%	952 24%	1575 39%	227 6%	164 4%	571 14%	1468 37%	391 10%	3.4
People from ethnic minority groups	4004 100%	311 8%	746 19%	1877 47%	206 5%	128 3%	736 18%	1057 26%	335 8%	3.3
People of minority faiths or religions	4004 100%	271 7%	679 17%	2018 50%	190 5%	124 3%	723 18%	949 24%	313 8%	3.2

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_T2\_SUM.** For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.

**POSITIVE SUMMARY**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired	1630 41%	704 38%	926 43%	768 39%	861 42%	175 54%	213 45%	228 39%	299 40%	260 39%	448 38%	388 49%	527 39%	709 38%	6 21%	370 39%	864 40%	345 45%	1579 41%	30 36%
			A			bCDEFHI	ceFhI					CDEFHI						Ab		
Disabled people	1590 40%	697 37%	893 42%	749 38%	841 41%	157 49%	207 44%	230 39%	283 37%	250 37%	458 39%	364 46%	513 38%	708 38%	5 19%	353 37%	840 39%	347 46%	1539 40%	30 36%
			A			cDEFHI	dehi					cDEFHI						AB		
Younger people, for example children and teenagers	1468 37%	628 34%	840 39%	688 35%	780 38%	144 45%	196 42%	210 36%	264 35%	238 35%	408 35%	340 43%	473 35%	647 35%	8 27%	323 34%	789 37%	312 41%	1420 37%	29 35%
			A			cdeFhI	defhI					cDEFHI						Ab		
People from ethnic minority groups	1057 26%	471 25%	586 27%	474 24%	583 28%	122 38%	153 33%	159 27%	183 24%	162 24%	275 23%	275 35%	342 26%	437 24%	3 12%	240 25%	564 26%	230 30%	1028 27%	18 22%
				A		CDEFHI	DEFHI					CDEFHI						ab		
People of minority faiths or religions	949 24%	416 22%	533 25%	429 22%	520 25%	112 35%	143 30%	144 25%	165 22%	149 22%	234 20%	255 32%	309 23%	384 21%	2 8%	198 21%	522 24%	207 27%	923 24%	20 24%
				a		CDEFHI	cDEFHI	fi				CDEFHI						a	A	
None of the above	1865 47%	916 49%	949 44%	933 48%	932 45%	104 32%	195 41%	278 48%	355 47%	344 51%	571 49%	299 38%	633 47%	915 50%	18 65%	479 50%	987 46%	316 42%	1784 46%	41 49%
		B				a	AbG	AG	ABG	ABG		AbG	ABG		bC	c				

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_T2\_SUM.** For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.

### POSITIVE SUMMARY

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh	
Total	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired	1630 41%	834 36%	1032 37%	598 50% AB	1057 54% BC	290 23% B	282 36% B	1341 54% BC	206 18% BC	83 22%	549 32%	1034 48% Ac	47 38%	198 46% cD	41 40%	104 37%	55 31%
Disabled people	1590 40%	819 36%	1016 36%	574 48% AB	1030 53% BC	298 24% B	262 33% B	1297 52% BC	219 19% BC	74 19%	550 32%	1002 46% AC	38 31%	198 46%	46 44%	109 39%	67 38%
Younger people, for example children and teenagers	1468 37%	770 34%	953 34%	515 43% AB	953 49% BC	274 22% B	241 30% B	1189 48% BC	199 18% BC	80 21%	523 30%	909 42% AC	36 29%	187 44% Cd	35 34%	92 33%	57 32%
People from ethnic minority groups	1057 26%	558 24%	673 24%	384 32% AB	683 35% BC	198 16% B	175 22% B	869 35% BC	146 13% BC	42 11%	392 23%	640 30% Ac	26 21%	139 32% d	25 24%	77 28%	41 23%
People of minority faiths or religions	949 24%	487 21%	592 21%	357 30% AB	624 32% BC	168 13% B	157 20% B	785 32% BC	127 11% BC	38 10%	336 19%	588 27% A	26 21%	124 29% d	19 19%	64 23%	33 18%
None of the above	1865 47%	1143 50% C	1389 50% C	475 40%	641 33%	795 64% AC	428 54% A	827 33%	779 69% A	259 68% A	956 55% B	842 39% B	67 55% B	164 38%	43 41%	136 49% A	93 52% A

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_1. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### Younger people, for example children and teenagers

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT			GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY	
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Significance Level: 99%																				
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 516 13%	214 12%	302 14% a	252 13%	264 13%	46 14%	60 13%	89 15% e	91 12%	77 11%	152 13%	106 13%	180 13%	228 12%	1 4%	113 12%	270 13%	122 16% Ab	495 13%	18 21%
Fairly positive	(4) 952 24%	414 22%	538 25% a	436 22%	516 25% a	98 30% CdFHI	136 29% CdFHI	120 21%	173 23%	162 24%	257 22%	234 29% CDeFHI	293 22%	419 23%	7 24%	210 22%	519 24%	190 25%	925 24%	12 14%
No difference	(3) 1575 39%	731 39%	843 39%	819 42%	755 37%	119 37%	175 37%	241 41%	325 43% bfgj	261 39%	446 38%	294 37%	566 42% fgj	707 38%	8 29%	409 43% C	843 39% c	265 35%	1521 40%	33 39%
Fairly negative	(2) 227 6%	119 6%	108 5%	107 5%	120 6%	16 5%	23 5%	33 6%	50 7%	45 7%	61 5%	39 5%	82 6%	106 6%	- -	55 6%	132 6% c	32 4%	221 6%	5 6%
Very negative	(1) 164 4%	94 5% B	70 3%	100 5%	64 3%	12 4%	10 2%	24 4%	28 4%	36 5% Bg	53 4% b	22 3%	51 4%	89 5% Bg	2 7%	35 4%	82 4%	40 5%	143 4%	9 10% a
Don't know	571 14%	286 15%	285 13%	237 12%	334 16% A	32 10%	67 14%	76 13%	89 12%	95 14%	202 17% AcDGH	99 12%	165 12%	297 16% aDgH	10 36%	131 14%	298 14%	112 15%	545 14%	8 10%
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Positive	1468 37%	628 34%	840 39% A	688 35%	780 38%	144 45% cdeFHI	196 42% defHI	210 36%	264 35%	238 35%	408 35%	340 43% cDEFHI	473 35%	647 35%	8 27%	323 34%	789 37%	312 41% Ab	1420 37%	29 35%
Net: Negative	391 10%	213 11% B	178 8%	207 11%	184 9%	28 9%	33 7%	57 10%	77 10% b	81 12% BG	113 10%	61 8%	134 10% b	194 11% bg	2 7%	91 10%	214 10%	73 10%	364 9%	14 16%
Mean score	3.4	3.3	3.5 A	3.4	3.5 A	3.5 e	3.5 DEfHI	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.5 DEfHI	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.5 a	3.4	3.3
Standard deviation	.98	1.00	.96	1.00	.96	.97	.90	1.01	.95	1.01	1.00	.93	.98	1.01	1.02	.95	.97	1.05	.97	1.23
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.07	.04	.05	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.02	.24	.03	.02	.04	.02	.21

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_1. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### Younger people, for example children and teenagers

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 516 13%	255 11%	313 11%	202 17%	334 17%	98 8%	84 11%	412 17%	72 6%	32 8%	225 13%	278 13%	12 10%	80 19%	13 13%	32 12%	21 12%
				AB	BC		b	BC						cd			
Fairly positive	(4) 952 24%	516 22%	639 23%	313 26%	619 32%	176 14%	157 20%	777 31%	126 11%	48 13%	298 17%	630 29%	24 20%	108 25%	22 22%	59 21%	36 21%
				ab	BC		B	BC				Ac					
No difference	(3) 1575 39%	973 42%	1163 42%	411 34%	742 38%	529 42%	304 38%	940 38%	504 45%	131 34%	652 38%	894 41%	29 24%	161 38%	44 43%	106 38%	76 43%
				C	C		A	AC			C	aC					
Fairly negative	(2) 227 6%	144 6%	165 6%	62 5%	49 2%	154 12%	23 3%	61 2%	155 14%	11 3%	167 10%	55 3%	5 4%	19 4%	5 5%	13 5%	7 4%
						AC			AC		Bc						
Very negative	(1) 164 4%	117 5%	131 5%	33 3%	21 1%	130 10%	14 2%	25 1%	135 12%	5 1%	134 8%	27 1%	4 3%	14 3%	4 4%	21 8%	13 7%
						AC			AC		Bc					a	a
Don't know	571 14%	290 13%	390 14%	181 15%	197 10%	162 13%	212 27%	277 11%	139 12%	155 41%	251 15%	271 13%	49 40%	48 11%	15 14%	45 16%	23 13%
				a		a	AB		AB		AB		AB				
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Positive	1468 37%	770 34%	953 34%	515 43%	953 49%	274 22%	241 30%	1189 48%	199 18%	80 21%	523 30%	909 42%	36 29%	187 44%	35 34%	92 33%	57 32%
				AB	BC		B	BC				AC		Cd			
Net: Negative	391 10%	262 11%	296 11%	95 8%	70 4%	284 23%	37 5%	85 3%	290 26%	16 4%	301 17%	82 4%	8 7%	33 8%	9 9%	34 12%	20 12%
						AC			AC		BC						
Mean score	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.6	3.7	3.0	3.5	3.7	2.8	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.4	3.3	3.3
				AB	BC		B	BC		B		A	a	CD			
Standard deviation	.98	.98	.97	.98	.85	1.07	.87	.84	1.05	.87	1.12	.83	1.00	.99	.96	1.07	1.04
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.04	.02	.03	.06	.03	.02	.11	.06	.11	.07	.09

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_2. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

**Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Significance Level: 99%																				
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 664 17%	283 15%	380 18% a	312 16%	352 17%	63 19%	81 17%	87 15%	107 14%	117 17%	208 18%	144 18%	194 14%	325 18%	1 3%	143 15%	333 16%	164 22% AB	640 17%	17 21%
Fairly positive	(4) 966 24%	421 23%	545 25% a	456 23%	510 25%	112 35% CDEFHI	132 28% EFI	140 24%	193 26% FI	143 21%	241 21%	244 31% CDEFHI	333 25% FI	384 21%	5 17%	228 24%	531 25%	181 24%	939 24%	13 16%
No difference	(3) 1357 34%	632 34%	726 34%	712 37% B	645 31%	79 25%	147 31%	197 34% ag	257 34% ag	235 35% Ag	433 37% AbG	226 28%	453 34% Ag	669 36% AbG	10 35%	341 36% c	733 34%	232 31%	1306 34%	28 34%
Fairly negative	(2) 290 7%	149 8%	141 7%	134 7%	156 8%	24 7%	30 6%	52 9% fi	65 9% fi	49 7%	71 6%	54 7%	117 9% Fi	119 6%	- -	67 7%	170 8% c	45 6%	283 7%	5 6%
Very negative	(1) 219 5%	119 6% b	100 5%	126 6% B	94 5%	8 2%	15 3%	28 5%	42 6%	43 6% abG	81 7% aBG	23 3%	70 5% g	124 7% aBG	2 8%	53 6%	111 5%	43 6%	200 5%	8 9%
Don't know	508 13%	254 14%	254 12%	210 11%	297 14% A	37 12%	66 14%	79 14%	92 12%	88 13%	137 12%	103 13%	170 13%	224 12%	10 36%	122 13%	265 12%	96 13%	483 13%	12 15%
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Positive	1630 41%	704 38%	926 43% A	768 39%	861 42%	175 54% bCDEFHI	213 45% ceFhI	228 39%	299 40%	260 39%	448 38%	388 49% CDEFHI	527 39%	709 38%	6 21%	370 39%	864 40%	345 45% Ab	1579 41%	30 36%
Net: Negative	509 13%	269 14% B	241 11%	260 13%	249 12%	31 10%	45 10%	80 14% bg	107 14% bG	92 14% bg	152 13% g	77 10%	187 14% bG	243 13% bg	2 8%	120 13%	281 13%	88 12%	483 13%	13 15%
Mean score	3.4	3.4	3.5 A	3.4	3.5 A	3.7 CDEFHI	3.6 cDeFHI	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.6 CDEFHI	3.4	3.4	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.6 AB	3.5	3.4
Standard deviation	1.08	1.10	1.06	1.09	1.07	.99	1.01	1.06	1.07	1.12	1.12	1.00	1.06	1.12	1.02	1.06	1.07	1.12	1.07	1.24
Standard error	.02	.03	.03	.03	.03	.07	.05	.05	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.03	.24	.04	.03	.04	.02	.22

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_2. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### Older people, for example pensioners and those who are retired

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 664 17%	323 14%	391 14%	272 23% AB	421 21% BC	130 10% b	113 14% b	543 22% BC	83 7%	38 10%	260 15%	387 18% a	17 14%	95 22% c	14 13%	40 14%	28 16%
Fairly positive	(4) 966 24%	512 22%	640 23%	326 27% AB	637 32% BC	160 13% B	169 21% B	798 32% BC	123 11%	45 12%	289 17%	648 30% A	29 24% a	103 24% d	28 27% d	64 23% d	27 15%
No difference	(3) 1357 34%	840 37% C	1014 36% C	343 29%	623 32% A	466 37% A	268 34%	795 32% A	437 39% A	126 33%	568 33% C	764 35% C	25 20%	145 34%	32 31%	94 34%	65 37%
Fairly negative	(2) 290 7%	210 9% C	237 8% C	53 4%	67 3% AC	194 16% AC	29 4%	84 3% AC	193 17% AC	13 3%	212 12% BC	74 3% 3%	4 3%	25 6% 6%	12 12% 8%	23 8% 7%	13 7%
Very negative	(1) 219 5%	169 7% C	182 6% C	37 3%	31 2% AC	172 14% AC	16 2%	30 1% AC	184 16% AC	6 2%	181 10% B	31 1% B	7 6% B	17 4% 4%	5 5% 7%	21 7% A	21 12% A
Don't know	508 13%	241 11%	337 12%	171 14% A	181 9%	127 10%	200 25% AB	242 10%	112 10%	154 40% AB	217 13%	251 12% 32%	39 32% AB	44 10%	12 12%	35 13%	22 13%
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Positive	1630 41%	834 36%	1032 37%	598 50% AB	1057 54% BC	290 23% B	282 36% B	1341 54% BC	206 18%	83 22%	549 32% 38%	1034 48% Ac	47 38%	198 46% cD	41 40%	104 37%	55 31%
Net: Negative	509 13%	379 17% C	419 15% C	91 8%	99 5% AC	367 29% AC	44 6%	114 5% AC	377 33% AC	19 5%	393 23% BC	105 5% b	11 9%	42 10% a	17 17%	44 16% a	34 19% A
Mean score	3.4	3.3	3.3	3.7 AB	3.8 BC	2.9 B	3.6 B	3.8 BC	2.7 B	3.4 B	3.2	3.7 A	3.6 A	3.6 CD	3.4	3.3	3.2
Standard deviation	1.08	1.11	1.08	1.03	.92	1.18	.94	.90	1.13	.92	1.22	.90	1.13	1.06	1.07	1.12	1.23
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.04	.02	.04	.06	.03	.02	.12	.06	.12	.07	.10

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_3. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

**People of minority faiths or religions**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Significance Level: 99%																				
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 271 7%	120 6%	151 7%	127 7%	144 7%	27 8%	46 10%	44 8%	40 5%	44 7%	68 6%	73 9%	84 6%	112 6%	1 4%	44 5%	149 7%	69 9%	261 7%	9 10%
							DeFhI					DFhI					a	Ab		
Fairly positive	(4) 679 17%	297 16%	382 18%	302 15%	377 18%	85 26%	97 21%	100 17%	125 17%	105 16%	166 14%	182 23%	225 17%	271 15%	1 4%	154 16%	373 17%	138 18%	661 17%	12 14%
							CDEFHI	eFI				cDEFHI								
No difference	(3) 2018 50%	918 49%	1100 51%	1019 52%	999 49%	150 47%	220 47%	299 51%	403 53%	329 49%	604 52%	370 47%	703 53%	933 51%	12 43%	518 54%	1080 50%	350 46%	1947 51%	42 50%
					b				bG		g		bg		bC	c				
Fairly negative	(2) 190 5%	97 5%	93 4%	97 5%	93 5%	11 3%	18 4%	22 4%	39 5%	39 6%	59 5%	29 4%	61 5%	99 5%	1 5%	50 5%	99 5%	34 5%	181 5%	5 6%
Very negative	(1) 124 3%	62 3%	61 3%	78 4%	45 2%	7 2%	7 1%	12 2%	28 4%	28 4%	41 3%	14 2%	40 3%	69 4%	1 3%	26 3%	66 3%	22 3%	108 3%	6 7%
				B					bg	BcG	bg			bg						
Don't know	723 18%	365 20%	359 17%	328 17%	396 19%	43 13%	83 18%	105 18%	120 16%	130 19%	231 20%	126 16%	225 17%	361 20%	11 42%	160 17%	376 18%	147 19%	693 18%	10 12%
		b		a					a	adg			adgh							
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Positive	949 24%	416 22%	533 25%	429 22%	520 25%	112 35%	143 30%	144 25%	165 22%	149 22%	234 20%	255 32%	309 23%	384 21%	2 8%	198 21%	522 24%	207 27%	923 24%	20 24%
					a	CDEFHI	cDEFHI	fi				CDEFHI					a	A		
Net: Negative	313 8%	159 9%	154 7%	176 9%	138 7%	18 6%	25 5%	34 6%	67 9%	67 10%	100 9%	43 5%	101 8%	167 9%	2 8%	77 8%	166 8%	57 7%	288 7%	11 13%
				B					bcg	BCG	bcg			BcG						
Mean score	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.0	3.2	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.2
				A		DEFHI	DEFHI	deFI				cDEFHI					a	A		
Standard deviation	.83	.84	.82	.85	.81	.82	.82	.80	.81	.87	.82	.82	.81	.84	.82	.76	.83	.88	.82	1.01
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.02	.02	.06	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.03	.02	.02	.20	.03	.02	.03	.01	.17

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_3. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### People of minority faiths or religions

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 271 7%	123 5%	152 5%	119 10%	168 9%	56 4%	47 6%	221 9%	35 3%	14 4%	118 7%	147 7%	6 5%	37 9%	6 6%	17 6%	13 8%
				AB	Bc			BC									
Fairly positive	(4) 679 17%	364 16%	440 16%	239 20%	456 23%	113 9%	110 14%	564 23%	92 8%	23 6%	218 13%	441 20%	20 16%	86 20%	14 13%	47 17%	19 11%
				AB	BC		B	BC				A		D			
No difference	(3) 2018 50%	1234 54%	1490 53%	528 44%	993 51%	682 55%	342 43%	1235 50%	622 55%	162 42%	850 49%	1130 52%	37 31%	226 53%	66 65%	131 47%	93 53%
				C	C			C	aC		C	aC			aC		
Fairly negative	(2) 190 5%	113 5%	138 5%	52 4%	54 3%	110 9%	26 3%	71 3%	111 10%	8 2%	123 7%	66 3%	1 1%	17 4%	-	15 5%	11 6%
						AC			AC		BC				-	b	b
Very negative	(1) 124 3%	86 4%	93 3%	31 3%	19 1%	92 7%	12 2%	20 1%	97 9%	6 2%	98 6%	24 1%	2 1%	6 1%	2 2%	16 6%	5 3%
						AC			AC		Bc					A	
Don't know	723 18%	375 16%	489 17%	234 19%	270 14%	196 16%	257 32%	381 15%	175 15%	168 44%	320 19%	347 16%	57 46%	57 13%	15 15%	51 19%	34 19%
				a			AB			AB			AB				
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Positive	949 24%	487 21%	592 21%	357 30%	624 32%	168 13%	157 20%	785 32%	127 11%	38 10%	336 19%	588 27%	26 21%	124 29%	19 19%	64 23%	33 18%
				AB	BC		B	BC				A		d			
Net: Negative	313 8%	199 9%	231 8%	83 7%	73 4%	202 16%	38 5%	91 4%	208 18%	14 4%	221 13%	90 4%	2 2%	22 5%	2 2%	31 11%	17 9%
						AC			AC		BC					AB	b
Mean score	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.4	2.9	3.3	3.4	2.9	3.1	3.1	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.2	3.2
				AB	BC		B	BC		B		A	A		Cd		
Standard deviation	.83	.81	.80	.88	.76	.88	.78	.76	.86	.71	.92	.74	.78	.78	.68	.92	.85
Standard error	.01	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.03	.02	.03	.05	.02	.02	.09	.04	.08	.06	.07

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_4. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

**People from ethnic minority groups**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT			GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY	
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Significance Level: 99%																				
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 311 8%	133 7%	179 8%	146 7%	165 8%	29 9%	48 10%	44 8%	53 7%	58 9%	78 7%	77 10%	98 7%	136 7%	1 4%	66 7%	164 8%	74 10%	303 8%	7 8%
Fairly positive	(4) 746 19%	339 18%	407 19%	329 17%	417 20%	93 29%	105 22%	115 20%	129 17%	104 15%	197 17%	199 25%	244 18%	301 16%	2 8%	174 18%	401 19%	157 21%	725 19%	11 14%
No difference	(3) 1877 47%	848 46%	1028 48%	974 50%	903 44%	130 40%	200 43%	285 49%	379 50%	324 48%	551 47%	330 42%	664 50%	875 47%	8 29%	482 51%	1011 47%	315 41%	1811 47%	37 44%
Fairly negative	(2) 206 5%	101 5%	105 5%	102 5%	104 5%	14 4%	22 5%	22 4%	47 6%	40 6%	62 5%	36 5%	68 5%	102 6%	- -	44 5%	115 5%	40 5%	198 5%	7 8%
Very negative	(1) 128 3%	66 4%	62 3%	74 4%	55 3%	9 3%	7 1%	9 1%	24 3%	28 4%	51 4%	15 2%	33 2%	78 4%	2 6%	27 3%	63 3%	29 4%	116 3%	3 3%
Don't know	736 18%	371 20%	365 17%	327 17%	409 20%	49 15%	88 19%	109 19%	122 16%	121 18%	232 20%	137 17%	231 17%	353 19%	14 53%	161 17%	390 18%	146 19%	697 18%	19 23%
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Positive	1057 26%	471 25%	586 27%	474 24%	583 28%	122 38%	153 33%	159 27%	183 24%	162 24%	275 23%	275 35%	342 26%	437 24%	3 12%	240 25%	564 26%	230 30%	1028 27%	18 22%
Net: Negative	335 8%	167 9%	167 8%	176 9%	159 8%	23 7%	29 6%	30 5%	71 9%	68 10%	113 10%	52 7%	101 8%	180 10%	2 6%	71 7%	178 8%	69 9%	314 8%	9 11%
Mean score	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.2	3.3	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.1	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.3	3.2
Standard deviation	.87	.88	.86	.87	.86	.87	.85	.78	.85	.91	.89	.86	.82	.89	1.05	.82	.86	.94	.86	.92
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.07	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.04	.02	.02	.29	.03	.02	.03	.02	.17

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_4. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### People from ethnic minority groups

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 311 8%	153 7%	185 7%	126 10%	182 9%	72 6%	57 7%	247 10%	50 4%	14 4%	138 8%	167 8%	6 5%	47 11%	7 7%	25 9%	12 7%
				AB	B			BC									
Fairly positive	(4) 746 19%	405 18%	488 17%	258 21%	501 26%	126 10%	119 15%	621 25%	96 9%	28 7%	253 15%	473 22%	20 16%	92 21%	18 17%	52 19%	29 17%
				AB	BC		B	BC				A					
No difference	(3) 1877 47%	1149 50%	1391 50%	486 40%	931 47%	624 50%	322 41%	1142 46%	583 52%	151 40%	777 45%	1062 49%	37 31%	203 47%	57 56%	114 41%	90 51%
				C	C		C	c	AC		C	AC			c		c
Fairly negative	(2) 206 5%	124 5%	150 5%	57 5%	56 3%	124 10%	27 3%	70 3%	128 11%	8 2%	139 8%	65 3%	3 2%	18 4%	1 1%	22 8%	8 5%
						AC			AC		Bc					b	
Very negative	(1) 128 3%	91 4%	98 4%	30 2%	22 1%	96 8%	11 1%	25 1%	96 8%	8 2%	104 6%	22 1%	3 2%	11 3%	1 1%	12 4%	5 3%
						AC			AC		B						
Don't know	736 18%	374 16%	489 17%	246 20%	269 14%	206 17%	260 33%	385 15%	178 16%	173 45%	315 18%	367 17%	54 44%	57 13%	18 18%	52 19%	32 18%
				Ab		a	AB			AB		AB					
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Positive	1057 26%	558 24%	673 24%	384 32%	683 35%	198 16%	175 22%	869 35%	146 13%	42 11%	392 23%	640 30%	26 21%	139 32%	25 24%	77 28%	41 23%
				AB	BC		B	BC				Ac		d			
Net: Negative	335 8%	215 9%	248 9%	87 7%	77 4%	220 18%	37 5%	95 4%	224 20%	16 4%	243 14%	87 4%	5 4%	30 7%	2 2%	34 12%	13 7%
						AC			AC		BC					aB	
Mean score	3.3	3.2	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.0	3.3	3.5	2.9	3.2	3.1	3.4	3.4	3.4	3.3	3.2	3.2
				AB	BC		B	BC		B		A					
Standard deviation	.87	.86	.85	.90	.78	.94	.81	.79	.91	.75	.97	.76	.85	.88	.72	.97	.82
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.04	.02	.03	.05	.03	.02	.10	.05	.08	.07	.07

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_5. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

**Disabled people**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Significance Level: 99%																				
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 664 17%	282 15%	382 18% a	326 17%	339 16%	57 18%	85 18% d	89 15%	105 14%	111 16%	214 18% DH	142 18% dh	194 15%	326 18% dh	2 7%	117 12%	349 16% A	178 23% AB	637 17%	20 23%
Fairly positive	(4) 926 23%	415 22%	511 24%	423 22%	503 24% a	100 31% dEFhI	122 26% efi	141 24%	178 24%	139 21%	244 21%	222 28% EFhI	318 24% i	382 21%	3 13%	236 25%	492 23% C	169 22% C	902 23%	11 13%
No difference	(3) 1377 34%	613 33%	764 36%	725 37% B	652 32%	102 31%	156 33%	202 35%	275 36%	226 33%	407 35%	258 33%	477 36%	633 34%	9 34%	352 37% C	757 35% C	221 29%	1333 35%	24 28%
Fairly negative	(2) 248 6%	140 8% B	107 5%	118 6%	130 6%	12 4%	24 5%	41 7%	51 7%	53 8% ag	65 6%	37 5%	93 7% g	118 6%	- -	66 7%	135 6%	39 5%	239 6%	7 8%
Very negative	(1) 185 5%	103 6% b	82 4%	102 5%	82 4%	15 5%	11 2%	19 3%	35 5% b	38 6% Bcg	65 6% Bcg	26 3%	54 4%	103 6% Bcgh	2 8%	40 4%	93 4%	42 6%	167 4%	5 6%
Don't know	604 15%	305 16% b	299 14%	257 13%	347 17% A	36 11%	72 15%	91 16%	111 15%	109 16%	175 15%	108 14%	201 15%	284 15%	10 38%	142 15%	318 15%	112 15%	572 15%	18 21%
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Positive	1590 40%	697 37%	893 42% A	749 38%	841 41%	157 49% cDEFhI	207 44% dehi	230 39%	283 37%	250 37%	458 39%	364 46% cDEFhI	513 38%	708 38%	5 19%	353 37%	840 39%	347 46% AB	1539 40%	30 36%
Net: Negative	433 11%	243 13% B	190 9%	220 11%	213 10%	28 9%	35 7%	60 10%	86 11% bg	91 13% BG	130 11% bg	63 8%	147 11% bg	221 12% BG	2 8%	106 11%	228 11%	81 11%	406 11%	12 14%
Mean score	3.5	3.4	3.5 A	3.4	3.5 a	3.6 de	3.6 DEFhI	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.5	3.6 DEFhI	3.4	3.5	3.2	3.4	3.5	3.6 AB	3.5	3.5
Standard deviation	1.05	1.09	1.02	1.06	1.04	1.03	.97	1.01	1.03	1.11	1.10	1.00	1.02	1.10	1.10	.99	1.04	1.14	1.04	1.24
Standard error	.02	.03	.02	.03	.03	.08	.05	.05	.04	.05	.03	.04	.03	.03	.27	.03	.03	.04	.02	.22

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q7\_5. For each of the following groups of people, please say if you think the proposed creation of a single District Council will have a positive or negative impact on that group, or make no difference at all.**

### Disabled people

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very positive	(5) 664 17%	315 14%	389 14%	275 23% AB	426 22% BC	133 11%	105 13%	539 22% BC	90 8%	36 9%	271 16%	376 17%	17 14%	93 22%	17 17%	46 17%	28 16%
Fairly positive	(4) 926 23%	504 22%	626 22%	300 25%	604 31% BC	165 13%	157 20% B	758 30% BC	129 11%	39 10%	279 16%	626 29% AC	20 17%	105 24%	29 28%	63 23%	38 22%
No difference	(3) 1377 34%	851 37% C	1018 36% C	359 30%	644 33% AC	478 38% AC	255 32% AC	809 32% AC	452 40% AC	116 31%	573 33% c	777 36% C	28 23%	158 37%	37 36%	96 35%	57 32%
Fairly negative	(2) 248 6%	183 8% C	206 7% C	42 4%	49 2% AC	167 13% AC	32 4% a	61 2% AC	170 15% AC	17 4% a	194 11% BC	52 2% 2%	2 2%	21 5%	6 6%	15 5%	11 6%
Very negative	(1) 185 5%	146 6% C	160 6% C	25 2%	22 1% AC	148 12% AC	16 2% AB	24 1% AC	155 14% AC	6 2% AB	163 9% Bc	18 1% B	4 3% AB	19 4%	5 5%	16 6%	17 9% a
Don't know	604 15%	296 13%	402 14%	202 17% Ab	216 11%	158 13%	230 29% AB	300 12%	137 12%	168 44% AB	247 14%	307 14%	50 41% AB	34 8%	10 10%	40 14% A	26 15% a
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Positive	1590 40%	819 36% AB	1016 36% AB	574 48% AB	1030 53% BC	298 24% B	262 33% B	1297 52% BC	219 19%	74 19%	550 32% AC	1002 46% AC	38 31%	198 46%	46 44%	109 39%	67 38%
Net: Negative	433 11%	329 14% C	366 13% C	67 6%	70 4% AC	314 25% AC	48 6% A	85 3% AC	324 29% AC	23 6% A	357 21% BC	69 3% A	7 5% A	40 9% d	10 10%	32 11% A	27 16% a
Mean score	3.5	3.3	3.4	3.8 AB	3.8 BC	3.0 B	3.5 B	3.8 BC	2.8 B	3.4 B	3.2 A	3.7 A	3.6 A	3.6 d	3.5	3.4	3.3
Standard deviation	1.05	1.08	1.06	.98	.89	1.15	.95	.88	1.12	.95	1.21	.85	1.07	1.05	1.03	1.09	1.19
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.04	.02	.04	.06	.03	.02	.12	.06	.11	.07	.10

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q8. I am now going to read out a list of priorities for local government generally. Please select the top three priorities that are most important to you personally.**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Support for the vulnerable, for example children and disabled people	2056 51%	960 52%	1096 51%	886 45%	1171 57%	188 58%	255 54%	328 56%	373 49%	332 49%	570 49%	444 56%	701 52%	902 49%	9 35%	476 50%	1102 51%	399 52%	1978 51%	41 49%
Keeping Council tax rates as low as possible	1845 46%	821 44%	1024 48%	835 43%	1010 49%	146 45%	238 51%	307 53%	323 43%	296 44%	520 44%	383 48%	630 47%	816 44%	16 59%	354 37%	1010 47%	410 54%	1775 46%	46 55%
Efficient and consistent delivery of services	1665 42%	754 41%	912 42%	873 45%	793 39%	111 34%	159 34%	206 35%	341 45%	312 46%	525 45%	270 34%	547 41%	837 45%	12 43%	488 51%	884 41%	236 31%	1602 42%	29 35%
Delivering growth in housing in the right places	1335 33%	611 33%	724 34%	688 35%	648 32%	109 34%	145 31%	163 28%	254 34%	232 34%	425 36%	254 32%	417 31%	657 36%	6 23%	297 31%	761 35%	241 32%	1308 34%	15 18%
Engaging with and listening to residents	1284 32%	628 34%	656 31%	606 31%	678 33%	78 24%	139 30%	154 26%	240 32%	244 36%	417 36%	217 27%	394 29%	661 36%	12 44%	320 34%	664 31%	253 33%	1234 32%	20 24%
Improving local places, for example parks and community centres	1154 29%	533 29%	621 29%	547 28%	606 30%	124 38%	161 34%	229 39%	223 30%	155 23%	253 22%	285 36%	453 34%	408 22%	8 30%	280 29%	639 30%	202 27%	1116 29%	22 27%
Driving growth in employment and wages	1060 26%	463 25%	597 28%	504 26%	556 27%	112 35%	141 30%	222 38%	236 31%	167 25%	178 15%	253 32%	457 34%	345 19%	5 18%	273 29%	588 27%	176 23%	1006 26%	44 52%
Strong and accountable local leadership	683 17%	315 17%	368 17%	385 20%	298 15%	51 16%	70 15%	58 10%	129 17%	133 20%	239 20%	121 15%	187 14%	371 20%	3 11%	182 19%	354 17%	121 16%	650 17%	17 20%
Don't know	114 3%	53 3%	61 3%	60 3%	54 3%	1 *	14 3%	12 2%	17 2%	18 3%	50 4%	15 2%	29 2%	68 4%	2 6%	23 2%	52 2%	30 4%	107 3%	3 3%
None of the above	58 1%	34 2%	24 1%	39 2%	19 1%	4 1%	7 2%	4 1%	13 2%	6 1%	23 2%	12 1%	17 1%	29 2%	1 3%	11 1%	27 1%	16 2%	55 1%	- -

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q8. I am now going to read out a list of priorities for local government generally. Please select the top three priorities that are most important to you personally.**

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Support for the vulnerable, for example children and disabled people	2056 51%	1128 49%	1393 50%	663 55% AB	1025 52%	627 50%	404 51%	1317 53% b	547 48%	192 50%	887 51% c	1121 52% C	48 40%	217 51%	64 62% D	151 54%	80 45%
Keeping Council tax rates as low as possible	1845 46%	952 41%	1191 43%	654 54% AB	929 47% b	535 43%	382 48% b	1218 49% BC	477 42%	151 39%	749 43%	1047 49% A	49 40%	239 56% cd	54 53%	125 45%	79 45%
Efficient and consistent delivery of services	1665 42%	1088 47% C	1290 46% C	375 31%	824 42% c	547 44% C	294 37%	1024 41% c	506 45% aC	136 36%	757 44% C	877 41% C	31 25%	174 41%	47 46%	105 38%	76 43%
Delivering growth in housing in the right places	1335 33%	829 36% C	980 35% C	355 30%	714 36% BC	381 31%	240 30%	897 36% BC	337 30%	101 26%	554 32% c	755 35% C	26 21%	117 27%	30 29%	89 32%	50 28%
Engaging with and listening to residents	1284 32%	798 35% C	962 34% C	322 27%	606 31%	461 37% AC	217 27%	748 30%	430 38% AC	106 28%	646 37% BC	612 28% BC	26 21%	114 27%	31 30%	83 30%	62 35%
Improving local places, for example parks and community centres	1154 29%	615 27%	748 27%	406 34% AB	597 30% B	318 25% b	239 30%	775 31% BC	289 26%	90 24%	449 26% AC	681 32% AC	24 19%	158 37%	40 39%	94 34%	54 31%
Driving growth in employment and wages	1060 26%	571 25% AB	700 25% AB	360 30% AB	548 28% B	293 23% b	219 28%	699 28% B	258 23%	103 27%	438 25% B	588 27% B	34 28%	134 31% bd	18 18%	89 32% Bd	40 23%
Strong and accountable local leadership	683 17%	454 20% C	533 19% C	151 13%	313 16% AC	252 20% AC	118 15%	388 16% A	233 21% A	63 16%	353 20% B	311 14% B	20 16%	71 17%	12 11%	44 16%	35 20%
Don't know	114 3%	52 2% C	76 3% C	38 3%	39 2% A	38 3% A	37 5% A	49 2% a	37 3% a	28 7% AB	36 2% AB	60 3% AB	18 15% AB	10 2% AB	1 1% AB	5 2% AB	5 3% AB

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q8. I am now going to read out a list of priorities for local government generally. Please select the top three priorities that are most important to you personally.**

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
None of the above	58	29	37	21	16	24	18	13	24	21	23	21	14	3	2	4	1
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	6%	1%	1%	11%	1%	2%	1%	1%
						A	A		A	AB		AB					

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q10. Based on everything we have discussed so far today and having heard the various benefits and concerns, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council?**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very favourable	(4) 754 19%	303 16%	450 21% A	412 21% B	342 17%	56 17%	88 19%	102 18%	137 18%	115 17%	252 22% eh	143 18%	240 18%	367 20%	3 12%	211 22% B	378 18%	148 19%	718 19%	26 31%
Fairly favourable	(3) 1737 43%	708 38%	1030 48% A	803 41% A	934 46% A	165 51% deFI	240 51% DEFHl	274 47% eFI	325 43% f	280 41%	446 38%	405 51% DEFHI	599 45% FI	726 39%	8 28%	365 38%	997 47% Ac	316 42%	1693 44% b	21 25%
Fairly unfavourable	(2) 513 13%	271 15% B	242 11%	225 12%	288 14% a	38 12%	57 12%	78 13%	98 13%	90 13%	149 13%	95 12%	175 13%	239 13%	3 10%	139 15%	260 12%	88 12%	504 13%	6 7%
Very unfavourable	(1) 619 15%	382 21% B	236 11%	344 18% B	275 13%	41 13%	40 8%	59 10%	113 15% BcG	132 20% aBCdGH	227 19% aBCdGH	81 10%	172 13% B	359 19% aBCDGH	6 23%	140 15%	317 15%	132 17%	582 15%	16 19%
Don't know	382 10%	193 10%	188 9%	167 9%	215 10% a	23 7%	46 10%	69 12% efi	82 11% fi	57 9%	96 8%	69 9%	152 11% eFI	154 8%	8 28%	98 10%	191 9%	76 10%	354 9%	15 18% a
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: Favourable	2491 62%	1011 54%	1480 69% A	1215 62%	1276 62%	221 68% efi	328 70% DEFHI	377 65% efi	462 61%	395 59%	698 60%	548 69% DEFHI	839 63% i	1093 59%	11 40%	576 60%	1375 64%	464 61%	2411 63%	47 55%
Net: Unfavourable	1131 28%	654 35% B	478 22%	569 29%	563 27%	79 25%	97 21%	137 24% Bg	211 28% aBCdGH	222 33% aBCdGH	376 32%	176 22%	348 26% b	599 32% aBCDGH	9 33%	279 29%	577 27%	221 29%	1086 28%	22 26%
Mean score	2.7	2.6	2.9 A	2.7	2.7	2.8 e	2.9 DEFHl	2.8 EFI	2.7 e	2.6	2.7	2.8 dEFI	2.8 Efl	2.7	2.4	2.8	2.7	2.7	2.7	2.8
Standard deviation	.98	1.03	.90	1.02	.93	.90	.84	.88	.97	1.02	1.06	.87	.94	1.04	1.12	1.00	.95	1.01	.97	1.18
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.07	.04	.04	.04	.04	.03	.03	.03	.02	.25	.03	.02	.04	.02	.21

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q10. Based on everything we have discussed so far today and having heard the various benefits and concerns, to what extent are you favourable or unfavourable towards the proposed creation of a single District Council?**

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very favourable	(4) 754	444	514	240	665	31	57	754	-	-	155	592	7	91	19	45	25
	19%	19%	18%	20%	34% BC	3% B	7% B	30% BC	-	-	9%	27% AC	6% C	21% d	19%	16%	14%
Fairly favourable	(3) 1737	887	1132	605	1172	186	379	1737	-	-	519	1198	21	218	39	101	58
	43%	39%	40%	50% AB	60% BC	15% B	48% B	70% BC	-	-	30% C	56% AC	17% C	51% bCD	38%	36%	33%
Fairly unfavourable	(2) 513	346	417	96	39	414	60	-	513	-	396	110	6	49	20	43	32
	13%	15% C	15% C	8% C	2% C	33% AC	8% A	-	45% AC	-	23% BC	5% C	5% C	12% C	20% a	16% A	18% a
Very unfavourable	(1) 619	457	512	106	27	565	26	-	619	-	540	67	11	37	17	61	44
	15%	20% C	18% C	9% C	1% C	45% AC	3% A	-	55% AC	-	31% BC	3% A	9% B	9% C	16% a	22% A	25% A
Don't know	382	161	227	155	57	52	272	-	-	382	117	189	76	34	8	27	17
	10%	7% C	8% C	13% AB	3% C	4% a	34% AB	-	-	100% AB	7% C	9% a	62% AB	8% C	8% C	10% C	10% C
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: Favourable	2491	1331	1646	845	1837	218	436	2491	-	-	674	1789	28	309	58	145	82
	62%	58% AB	59% AB	70% AB	94% BC	17% B	55% B	100% BC	-	-	39% C	83% AC	23% C	72% BCD	56%	52%	47%
Net: Unfavourable	1131	803	929	202	67	979	86	-	1131	-	936	177	18	86	37	105	77
	28%	35% C	33% C	17% C	3% C	78% AC	11% A	-	100% AC	-	54% BC	8% b	15% b	20% A	36% A	38% A	43% A
Mean score	2.7	2.6	2.6	2.9	3.3	1.7	2.9	3.3	1.5	-	2.2	3.2	2.5	2.9	2.6	2.5	2.4
		AB	AB	AB	BC	B	B	B	B	-	2.2	AC	a	BCD	BCD	BCD	BCD
Standard deviation	.98	1.04	1.01	.85	.58	.81	.64	.46	.50	-	1.01	.68	1.04	.85	1.00	1.05	1.06
Standard error	.02	.02	.02	.03	.01	.02	.03	.01	.01	-	.02	.02	.15	.05	.11	.07	.09

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Q11. To what extent, if at all, are you concerned about the proposals to create a single District Council?**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY			
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME	
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b	
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B	
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40	
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Very concerned	(4)	562	322	240	298	264	40	44	45	90	118	216	84	135	334	8	125	291	121	521	22
		14%	17%	11%	15%	13%	12%	9%	8%	12%	17%	18%	11%	10%	18%	30%	13%	14%	16%	14%	27%
			B		b		c		c	BCDGH	aBCDGH			aBCDGH						a	
Fairly concerned	(3)	1165	581	584	537	628	71	115	158	214	226	378	186	372	603	4	269	612	237	1131	15
		29%	31%	27%	28%	31%	22%	24%	27%	28%	33%	32%	23%	28%	33%	13%	28%	29%	31%	29%	18%
			B		a		g		g	ABcdGH	ABcGh			g	ABcdGH						
Not very concerned	(2)	1303	543	760	614	689	143	198	221	255	200	280	340	476	481	7	327	742	197	1266	26
		33%	29%	35%	31%	34%	44%	42%	38%	34%	30%	24%	43%	36%	26%	24%	34%	35%	26%	33%	31%
			A				DEFHl	DEFHl	EFI	FI	F		DEFHI	EFI			C	C			
Not at all concerned	(1)	852	350	502	438	413	64	100	140	175	113	252	165	315	365	6	210	445	168	817	20
		21%	19%	23%	22%	20%	20%	21%	24%	23%	17%	22%	21%	24%	20%	23%	22%	21%	22%	21%	24%
				A			e	Ei	Ei		E		Ei								
Don't know		122	62	60	63	59	5	14	19	21	18	44	19	40	61	3	23	54	38	115	-
		3%	3%	3%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	3%	3%	4%	2%	3%	3%	10%	2%	3%	5%	3%	-
																		AB			
Net: Concerned		1727	902	824	835	892	112	158	203	305	344	594	270	507	938	12	394	903	358	1652	38
		43%	49%	38%	43%	43%	35%	34%	35%	40%	51%	51%	34%	38%	51%	43%	41%	42%	47%	43%	45%
			B						bcg	ABCDGH	ABCDGH			ABCDGH					ab		
Net: Not concerned		2155	894	1262	1053	1102	207	298	362	430	313	533	505	791	846	13	537	1187	365	2083	46
		54%	48%	59%	54%	54%	64%	63%	62%	57%	46%	46%	64%	59%	46%	48%	56%	55%	48%	54%	55%
				A			EFI	dEFI	EFI	EFI			DEFI	EFI			C	C			
Mean score		2.4	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.3	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.2	2.3	2.5	2.5	2.3	2.4	2.4	2.4	2.5
			B						c	ABCDGH	ABCDGH			ABCDGH					a		
Standard deviation		.98	1.00	.95	1.01	.96	.93	.90	.90	.97	.98	1.04	.91	.94	1.02	1.22	.97	.97	1.02	.97	1.13
Standard error		.02	.02	.02	.02	.02	.07	.04	.04	.03	.04	.03	.04	.03	.02	.24	.03	.02	.03	.02	.18

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q11. To what extent, if at all, are you concerned about the proposals to create a single District Council?

Base: All respondents

	Total	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD			
		Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very concerned	(4)	562	424	472	89	64	457	41	73	467	23	562	-	37	22	46	42
		14%	18%	17%	7%	3%	37%	5%	3%	41%	6%	33%	-	9%	22%	17%	24%
			C	C			AC	a		AC	A	BC			A	A	A
Fairly concerned	(3)	1165	731	874	291	413	530	222	601	469	94	1165	-	119	28	91	51
		29%	32%	31%	24%	21%	42%	28%	24%	41%	25%	67%	-	28%	28%	33%	29%
			C	C			AC	A		AC		BC					
Not very concerned	(2)	1303	647	838	466	867	167	270	1092	111	100	-	1303	154	33	84	46
		33%	28%	30%	39%	44%	13%	34%	44%	10%	26%	-	60%	36%	32%	30%	26%
				AB	BC	BC	B	B	BC	B	B		AC	d			
Not at all concerned	(1)	852	448	552	300	591	74	187	697	66	88	-	852	100	19	45	29
		21%	20%	20%	25%	30%	6%	23%	28%	6%	23%	-	40%	23%	19%	16%	17%
				AB	BC	BC	B	B	Bc	B	B		AC	c			
Don't know		122	45	65	57	26	21	75	28	18	76	-	-	20	-	11	8
		3%	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	9%	1%	2%	20%	-	-	5%	-	4%	5%
				AB	AB		AB	AB		AB	AB		AB	b			b
Net: Concerned		1727	1156	1346	380	477	987	263	674	936	117	1727	-	156	51	137	93
		43%	50%	48%	32%	24%	79%	33%	27%	83%	31%	100%	-	36%	49%	49%	53%
			C	C			AC	A		AC		BC			a	A	A
Net: Not concerned		2155	1094	1390	765	1458	241	457	1789	177	189	-	2155	254	52	129	75
		54%	48%	50%	64%	74%	19%	57%	72%	16%	49%	-	100%	59%	51%	47%	43%
				AB	BC	BC	B	B	BC	B	B		AC	CD			
Mean score		2.4	2.5	2.5	2.1	2.0	3.1	2.2	2.0	3.2	2.2	3.3	1.6	2.2	2.5	2.5	2.6
			C	C			AC	A		AC	A	B			A	A	A
Standard deviation		.98	1.01	1.00	.90	.81	.86	.88	.80	.85	.93	.47	.49	.92	1.03	.97	1.04
Standard error		.02	.02	.02	.03	.02	.02	.03	.02	.03	.05	.01	.01	.05	.11	.06	.08

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q14. How, if at all, would you like to hear from Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils about the proposal to create a single District Council?

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Leaflet or flyer	2138	975	1163	989	1149	164	283	335	439	365	540	447	773	906	12	512	1161	393	2074	38
	53%	52%	54%	51%	56%	51%	60%	57%	58%	54%	46%	56%	58%	49%	45%	54%	54%	52%	54%	45%
					A		aeFI	FI	FI	Fi		FI	FI							
Local newspaper	1816	824	992	920	896	149	197	256	321	298	583	346	577	881	11	430	983	342	1742	42
	45%	44%	46%	47%	44%	46%	42%	44%	42%	44%	50%	44%	43%	48%	42%	45%	46%	45%	45%	50%
				b						BcDeGH				bdH						
Email	1127	511	615	556	571	108	140	189	232	223	229	248	422	452	5	348	611	136	1076	31
	28%	28%	29%	28%	28%	33%	30%	32%	31%	33%	20%	31%	32%	25%	18%	36%	29%	18%	28%	37%
						FI	Fi	FI	FI	FI		FI	FI	F		BC	C			
Social media	1009	423	586	475	534	133	171	189	215	145	149	304	405	294	6	248	581	152	966	34
	25%	23%	27%	24%	26%	41%	36%	32%	29%	21%	13%	38%	30%	16%	21%	26%	27%	20%	25%	40%
			A			cDEFHI	DEFHI	EFI	EFI	FI		cDEFHI	EFI	f		C	C			a
Public event	887	398	489	446	440	86	103	130	177	181	202	189	307	383	9	227	457	165	851	26
	22%	21%	23%	23%	21%	27%	22%	22%	23%	27%	17%	24%	23%	21%	32%	24%	21%	22%	22%	30%
						Fi	f	f	F	FI		F	F	f						
Telephone	305	137	168	161	144	24	36	36	52	52	102	60	88	154	3	59	156	74	288	11
	8%	7%	8%	8%	7%	7%	8%	6%	7%	8%	9%	8%	7%	8%	9%	6%	7%	10%	7%	13%
											h							Ab		
Don't know	68	36	32	36	32	4	4	6	8	17	26	8	14	42	3	9	29	18	67	-
	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	12%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-
										bdgh	h			bdgH				Ab		
None of the above	194	93	101	116	78	14	25	10	21	38	84	40	31	122	2	40	87	58	179	7
	5%	5%	5%	6%	4%	4%	5%	2%	3%	6%	7%	5%	2%	7%	6%	4%	4%	8%	5%	8%
				B		c	CdH			CDH	CDH	CdH		CDH				AB		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Q14. How, if at all, would you like to hear from Babergh and Mid Suffolk District Councils about the proposal to create a single District Council?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Leaflet or flyer	2138	1199	1474	664	1064	666	409	1353	590	195	952	1145	42	227	56	130	91
	53%	52%	53%	55%	54%	53%	52%	54%	52%	51%	55%	53%	34%	53%	55%	47%	51%
		C	C		C	c		C	C		C	c		D		D	
Local newspaper	1816	1120	1347	469	925	569	322	1172	507	137	814	959	42	237	48	153	65
	45%	49%	48%	39%	47%	46%	41%	47%	45%	36%	47%	45%	35%	55%	47%	55%	37%
		C	C		C	c		C	C		C	c		D		D	
Email	1127	658	787	340	602	323	201	748	293	86	484	624	18	110	32	55	46
	28%	29%	28%	28%	31%	26%	25%	30%	26%	23%	28%	29%	15%	26%	31%	20%	26%
		BC	BC		BC	BC		BC	BC		C	C		c			
Social media	1009	550	657	352	559	274	176	709	240	60	402	592	15	116	29	73	36
	25%	24%	23%	29%	28%	22%	22%	28%	21%	16%	23%	27%	12%	27%	28%	26%	21%
		AB	AB		BC	BC		BC	c		C	AC					
Public event	887	511	617	270	437	303	147	556	256	74	427	436	24	103	20	64	43
	22%	22%	22%	22%	22%	24%	18%	22%	23%	19%	25%	20%	19%	24%	19%	23%	24%
		c	C		c	C		c	c		B						
Telephone	305	164	193	112	146	111	48	196	91	18	150	149	6	30	6	20	16
	8%	7%	7%	9%	7%	9%	6%	8%	8%	5%	9%	7%	5%	7%	6%	7%	9%
		ab	ab		c	c		c	c		b						
Don't know	68	33	45	23	26	25	17	30	22	16	16	39	13	5	-	3	3
	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%	11%	1%	-	1%	2%
									AB			a	AB				
None of the above	194	94	122	73	56	70	68	67	79	48	81	90	23	12	5	11	9
	5%	4%	4%	6%	3%	6%	9%	3%	7%	13%	5%	4%	19%	3%	4%	4%	5%
		ab	ab		A	A		A	AB		AB						

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**  
Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Alton	82	82	-	39	43	18	7	9	11	15	22	24	21	37	-	26	40	14	81	-
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	5%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	-	3%	2%	2%	2%	-
		B				BCDeFHI						dh								
Berners	84	84	-	41	43	12	9	12	15	16	18	22	27	34	1	21	45	15	84	-
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-
		B				f														
Boxford	46	46	-	26	20	2	1	10	9	8	17	2	19	25	-	10	27	7	46	-
	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	*	*	2%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B						bg	bg	bg	bg		bg	bg						
Brett Vale	46	46	-	26	20	4	3	8	5	6	20	8	12	26	1	17	15	8	42	2
	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%
		B								d						B				
Brook	85	85	-	28	58	3	10	17	17	19	18	13	35	37	1	19	52	10	83	-
	2%	5%	-	1%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-
		B			A			f		f							c			
Bures St Mary	37	37	-	17	20	4	4	5	7	3	14	8	12	17	-	8	16	9	36	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B																		
Chadacre	43	43	-	26	17	3	5	5	10	7	13	7	15	20	-	14	24	4	41	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B														c				
Dodnash	74	74	-	36	38	3	3	5	17	11	33	6	22	44	1	19	41	11	73	-
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	1%	2%	-
		B							bcg		BCGh			bcg						
Glemsford and Stanstead	82	82	-	34	48	4	6	14	13	22	22	11	27	44	-	16	44	17	78	2
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%
		B								bg										
Great Cornard North	91	91	-	33	58	9	11	14	21	15	21	20	35	36	-	15	56	16	88	2
	2%	5%	-	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%
		B			a															
Great Cornard South	95	95	-	38	57	14	16	17	21	13	12	29	39	25	2	17	52	23	90	4
	2%	5%	-	2%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	2%	1%	4%	3%	1%	6%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%
		B				eFI	FI	FI	FI			eFI	FI							
Hadleigh North	97	97	-	49	48	3	13	22	8	10	39	17	30	49	1	21	47	23	94	-
	2%	5%	-	3%	2%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-
		B					d	aDE			De		d	D						

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**  
Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Hadleigh South	80	80	-	39	41	12	8	7	16	9	28	19	23	37	1	24	35	18	74	3
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	4%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	4%
		B		ce																
Holbrook	41	41	-	26	15	5	8	3	10	4	11	13	13	15	-	12	17	8	41	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B																		
Lavenham	39	39	-	24	15	2	5	2	5	6	19	7	7	25	-	8	18	10	37	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B								ch				h						
Leavenheath	37	37	-	21	16	1	3	3	11	4	14	5	14	18	1	13	17	5	36	1
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
		B																		
Long Melford	84	84	-	36	48	6	7	13	11	15	32	13	24	47	-	12	48	20	83	-
	2%	4%	-	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	-	1%	2%	3%	2%	-
		B																a		
Lower Brett	46	46	-	21	25	4	2	3	7	12	18	6	9	30	1	9	22	13	46	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	*	*	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	5%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-
		B								bch	ch			bch						
Mid Samford	84	84	-	44	40	6	9	8	16	22	23	15	24	45	-	25	49	8	80	2
	2%	5%	-	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%
		B								ch						C	c			
Nayland	37	37	-	20	17	2	6	3	5	4	17	7	8	20	2	9	15	11	35	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	*	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	7%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B									h									
North Cosford	46	46	-	31	15	3	6	1	11	9	16	9	13	25	-	11	26	7	44	-
	1%	3%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
		B		b					c		c			c						
Pinewood	91	91	-	39	52	6	10	24	18	15	18	16	42	33	-	18	56	14	78	12
	2%	5%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	14%
		B						eFgl					FI						A	
South Cosford	45	45	-	25	19	2	4	3	7	5	22	7	10	27	1	10	25	9	42	1
	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%
		B								ceh										
Sudbury East	91	91	-	46	45	10	11	15	26	9	21	20	40	31	-	14	50	27	80	7
	2%	5%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	-	1%	2%	4%	2%	9%
		B						efl					el				A		A	
Sudbury North	91	91	-	43	48	5	14	18	17	17	20	19	35	37	-	25	52	12	90	-
	2%	5%	-	2%	2%	1%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	-	3%	2%	2%	2%	-
		B																		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

Tables prepared by ComRes



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**  
Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Sudbury South	95	95	-	53	42	2	12	14	19	18	29	13	33	47	1	17	43	31	91	3
	2%	5%	-	3%	2%	*	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	4%	2%	2%	4%	2%	4%
		B															AB			
Waldingfield	89	89	-	37	52	2	13	16	13	20	25	15	29	45	1	21	46	17	88	-
	2%	5%	-	2%	3%	*	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-
		B								a										
Bacton and Old Newton	52	-	52	22	29	3	6	8	12	11	10	9	20	21	1	10	31	10	52	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A																	
Badwell Ash	58	-	58	36	22	-	6	4	11	12	25	6	15	37	-	21	25	10	57	-
	1%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A	b						acgh				acg		b				
Barking and Somersham	52	-	52	30	21	7	7	4	14	10	10	14	18	20	-	17	25	9	50	-
	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A						f											
Bramford and Blakenham	94	-	94	46	48	5	18	13	18	18	23	23	31	40	-	19	51	23	90	3
	2%	-	4%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%
			A				fi													
Claydon and Barham	99	-	99	60	38	6	8	18	35	14	18	14	53	32	-	19	61	16	87	11
	2%	-	5%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	5%	2%	2%	2%	4%	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	2%	13%
			A	b				fi	BEFGI				beFgl						A	
Debenham	49	-	49	32	18	7	9	7	7	5	14	16	14	19	-	18	25	6	41	5
	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	6%
			A	b								ei				c			A	
Elmswell and Norton	118	-	118	43	75	12	25	18	26	19	18	37	44	37	-	35	64	17	114	3
	3%	-	5%	2%	4%	4%	5%	3%	3%	3%	2%	5%	3%	2%	-	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%
			A	A		f	eFhl	f	Fi	f		FI	Fi							
Eye	47	-	47	23	24	3	5	6	9	11	13	8	15	24	-	6	29	9	46	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A																	
Fressingfield	52	-	52	33	18	2	2	4	10	12	22	4	14	34	-	17	24	8	51	-
	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	2%	2%	*	1%	2%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A	b						bg	bg			bg						
Gislingham	58	-	58	25	33	7	7	7	15	9	12	14	23	22	-	17	32	8	56	2
	1%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%
			A																	
Haughley and Wetherden	49	-	49	22	27	5	4	6	7	6	22	9	13	28	-	10	23	13	48	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	-
			A								h									

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

Tables prepared by ComRes



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**  
Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Helmingham and Coddenham	49	-	49	27	22	2	3	7	12	16	9	5	18	26	-	19	23	7	47	3
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%
			A							bFG						b				
Hoxne	45	-	45	25	20	5	4	5	8	6	17	9	13	23	-	12	23	9	45	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A																	
Mendlesham	49	-	49	28	22	5	8	3	7	5	21	13	10	26	-	13	27	7	49	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A							ch										
Needham Market	103	-	103	47	56	12	14	13	25	21	17	26	38	38	1	20	60	22	99	3
	3%	-	5%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	3%	2%	4%	2%	3%	3%	3%	3%
			A			f	f		F	F		F	f							
Onehouse	51	-	51	27	24	7	4	6	7	8	21	10	12	29	-	13	25	9	51	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A																	
Palgrave	49	-	49	23	26	5	4	7	11	12	11	9	18	23	-	11	25	12	45	3
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	1%	3%
			A																	
Rattlesden	45	-	45	24	21	5	1	5	6	7	20	6	11	27	1	13	23	7	45	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	*	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A			b					Bh			b						
Rickinghall and Walsham	103	-	103	45	58	9	10	16	21	10	37	19	37	47	-	22	63	18	102	-
	3%	-	5%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	3%	2%	3%	3%	-	2%	3%	2%	3%	-
			A								e									
Ringshall	79	-	79	36	44	4	12	11	9	20	23	16	21	43	-	27	31	15	77	3
	2%	-	4%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	2%	2%	3%
			A							dh						b				
Stowmarket Central	105	-	105	52	53	5	9	14	8	25	43	14	21	68	2	28	53	21	99	3
	3%	-	5%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	4%	2%	2%	4%	6%	3%	2%	3%	3%	3%
			A							DgH	DgH			DgH						
Stowmarket North	219	-	219	67	151	21	38	53	38	28	40	59	92	69	-	43	130	43	219	-
	5%	-	10%	3%	7%	6%	8%	9%	5%	4%	3%	7%	7%	4%	-	5%	6%	6%	6%	-
			A			f	dEFI	DEFI				EFI	eFI							
Stowmarket South	105	-	105	46	59	8	17	15	23	13	26	26	38	39	2	12	64	28	102	-
	3%	-	5%	2%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	7%	1%	3%	4%	3%	-
			A										A			A	A			
Stowupland	52	-	52	24	27	7	6	10	4	8	18	13	13	26	-	12	28	6	50	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	*	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A			d		d			d	d		d						

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

Tables prepared by ComRes



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Stradbroke and Laxfield	60	-	60	40	20	3	5	4	10	13	23	8	15	36	1	11	31	15	60	-
	2%	-	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	1%	2%	2%	-
			A	B										h						
The Stonhams	52	-	52	25	27	-	5	7	11	13	15	5	18	28	-	12	29	11	51	-
	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	-
			A							ag										
Thurston and Hessett	97	-	97	51	46	5	10	15	24	15	27	15	39	42	1	31	47	16	91	3
	2%	-	4%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%
			A																	
Wetheringsett	56	-	56	30	26	3	8	11	8	4	19	12	20	23	1	15	33	6	55	-
	1%	-	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	5%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-
			A					e												
Woolpit	45	-	45	32	13	8	5	3	8	6	13	14	11	19	1	11	31	3	44	-
	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	5%	1%	1%	*	1%	-
			A	B		ch											c			
Worlingworth	54	-	54	33	21	5	5	11	5	7	21	10	16	28	-	10	30	11	46	5
	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	6%
			A							d										A

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Alton	82	51	62	19	33	28	20	46	29	7	41	39	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
Berners	84	49	57	27	41	32	10	49	29	6	43	36	5	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	4%	-	-	-	-
Boxford	46	31	38	9	14	28	5	15	28	4	31	16	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
						AC			A		B						
Brett Vale	46	32	35	12	21	20	6	26	18	3	25	20	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Brook	85	43	61	25	37	28	21	50	26	10	36	47	2	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-
Bures St Mary	37	22	27	10	13	15	10	21	11	6	14	21	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
Chadacre	43	22	27	16	25	12	6	28	10	5	18	24	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Dodnash	74	48	62	12	27	34	13	33	35	7	39	32	3	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-
		c	c			A			A								
Glensford and Stanstead	82	44	50	32	40	27	15	53	23	6	34	45	2	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-
Great Cornard North	91	51	65	26	40	35	16	50	33	8	44	44	4	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	-	-	-	-
Great Cornard South	95	38	58	37	40	34	21	55	26	14	48	45	2	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-
				A													
Hadleigh North	97	61	71	25	33	44	20	46	42	9	52	39	6	-	-	-	97
	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	3%	2%	5%	-	-	-	55%
						A			A		b		B				ABC
Hadleigh South	80	59	66	14	29	40	12	37	35	8	42	37	2	-	-	-	80
	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	45%
		C	c			Ac			A								ABC
Holbrook	41	20	29	12	16	15	10	24	13	3	17	22	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Lavenham	39	22	26	13	18	8	13	23	9	7	16	20	3	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% b	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	3%	-	-	-	-
Leavenheath	37	17	23	14	15	12	10	25	10	2	11	26	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Long Melford	84	52	63	21	32	35	17	51	24	8	46	33	4	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3% a	2%	2%	2%	2%	3% b	2%	4%	-	-	-	-
Lower Brett	46	30	35	11	17	23	7	19	21	6	27	18	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2% a	1%	1%	2% A	2%	2% b	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
Mid Samford	84	52	61	23	36	33	15	48	26	10	41	42	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3% 2%	2%	2%	2% 3%	3%	2% 2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
Nayland	37	20	26	11	17	14	6	19	15	3	16	21	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
North Cosford	46	34	37	9	24	13	10	27	15	4	19	28	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Pinewood	91	57	59	32	47	22	22	58	23	10	44	46	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2% 3%	3%	2%	2% 3%	3%	3% 2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
South Cosford	45	32	39	6	18	22	5	20	21	3	24	19	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1% c	1% c	*	1%	2% ac	1%	1%	2% A	1%	1% A	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Sudbury East	91	50	63	28	40	39	13	46	36	10	43	45	2	-	-	91	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3% c	2%	2%	3% a	3%	3% 2%	2%	2%	-	-	33% ABD	-
Sudbury North	91	47	60	31	38	34	19	49	33	9	43	45	3	-	-	91	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3% 2%	2%	2%	3% 2%	2%	2% 2%	2%	2%	-	-	33% ABD	-
Sudbury South	95	55	66	29	34	42	19	51	36	8	51	38	6	-	-	95	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	3% A	2%	2%	3% a	2%	3% b	2%	5% b	-	-	34% ABD	-
Waldingfield	89	49	61	28	39	28	23	44	29	16	38	46	5	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2% 3%	3%	2%	3% A	4% A	2% 2%	2% 4%	4%	-	-	-	-

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**Ward**

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Bacton and Old Newton	52	32	39	13	28	16	8	30	16	5	19	31	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
Badwell Ash	58	34	42	16	38	12	8	43	10	5	19	35	4	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	3%	-	-	-	-
					b			b				a					
Barking and Somersham	52	33	38	13	28	16	8	31	15	5	22	28	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Bramford and Blakenham	94	55	61	33	55	24	15	61	25	9	41	52	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
Claydon and Barham	99	56	70	28	57	19	23	64	20	15	45	50	4	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	-	-	-	-
					b		b			b							
Debenham	49	22	34	15	35	12	2	37	10	2	18	32	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
					C												
Elmswell and Norton	118	56	75	43	60	26	32	79	30	9	45	72	1	-	-	-	-
	3%	2%	3%	4%	3%	2%	4%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	-	-	-	-
							B										
Eye	47	22	28	19	22	14	11	33	11	3	16	31	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Fressingfield	52	34	37	15	30	15	6	39	8	4	22	29	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
								b									
Gislingham	58	30	33	25	32	13	13	40	13	5	17	40	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
							b					a					
Haughley and Wetherden	49	36	40	9	23	10	16	35	8	6	19	28	3	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
							b										
Helmingham and Coddensham	49	37	39	10	25	9	15	34	9	6	17	31	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
							b										
Hoxne	45	24	29	16	27	11	7	32	11	2	15	30	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Ward

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Mendlesham	49	25	31	18	26	16	7	33	13	3	23	25	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Needham Market	103	66	75	28	45	43	14	58	37	8	51	52	-	-	103	-	-
	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	2%	-	-	100%	-	-
						c									ACD		
Onehouse	51	38	40	11	31	13	7	34	13	5	20	32	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-
Palgrave	49	28	33	16	25	8	16	37	9	4	13	35	2	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
							B					a					
Rattlesden	45	28	37	8	26	6	13	29	10	6	16	26	3	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-
					b		b										
Rickinghall and Walsham	103	39	56	47	52	24	27	71	20	12	46	53	4	-	-	-	-
	3%	2%	2%	4%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	4%	-	-	-	-
				AB			b										
Ringshall	79	44	59	20	41	13	25	55	14	10	29	48	2	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	3%	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	2%	-	-	-	-
					b		B			b							
Stowmarket Central	105	67	84	21	59	23	23	74	19	12	42	57	6	105	-	-	-
	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	5%	24%	-	-	-
		c	c		b		b							BCD			
Stowmarket North	219	106	135	84	123	53	43	159	42	18	67	142	10	219	-	-	-
	5%	5%	5%	7%	6%	4%	5%	6%	4%	5%	4%	7%	8%	51%	-	-	-
				AB	b			B				A	a	BCD			
Stowmarket South	105	63	77	28	62	28	15	76	25	4	47	55	3	105	-	-	-
	3%	3%	3%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	3%	3%	24%	-	-	-
					c			c						BCD			
Stowupland	52	32	38	13	25	17	10	30	17	4	28	23	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Stradbroke and Laxfield	60	30	39	21	37	12	11	51	7	2	19	39	2	-	-	-	-
	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	-	-	-	-
					b			B									

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### Ward

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net:	Net:	Never heard of	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Needham Market	Net:	Net:
		Knowledge of										Heard of					
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The Stonhams	52	32	38	14	25	12	14	33	12	6	26	24	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
Thurston and Hessett	97	48	57	40	50	26	20	71	22	3	39	57	1	-	-	-	-
	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%	3%	3%	2%	1%	2%	3%	1%	-	-	-	-
				ab				c									
Wetheringsett	56	36	44	12	34	14	8	39	9	7	17	39	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	-
												a					
Woolpit	45	28	31	14	30	9	6	34	9	3	12	32	1	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-
					b							a					
Worlingworth	54	31	35	19	28	16	10	38	11	4	17	32	4	-	-	-	-
	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	4%	-	-	-	-
												A					

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**S1. Can I start by checking whether your main residence is in the Babergh District Council area, the Mid Suffolk District Council area, or somewhere else?**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Babergh District Council area	1858	1858	-	897	961	146	206	270	347	310	563	352	617	874	16	431	978	366	1782	39
	46%	100%	-	46%	47%	45%	44%	46%	46%	46%	48%	44%	46%	47%	57%	45%	46%	48%	46%	46%
		B																		
Mid Suffolk District Council area	2146	-	2146	1054	1092	177	265	313	408	365	607	442	721	971	12	523	1165	395	2069	45
	54%	-	100%	54%	53%	55%	56%	54%	54%	54%	52%	56%	54%	53%	43%	55%	54%	52%	54%	54%
		A																		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### S1. Can I start by checking whether your main residence is in the Babergh District Council area, the Mid Suffolk District Council area, or somewhere else?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net:	Never heard of	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Net:	Don't know	Net:	Needham Market	Net:	Net:	
		Knowledge of									Heard of						Concerned
a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d		
A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D		
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Babergh District Council area	1858	1088	1326	532	782	716	360	1011	654	193	902	894	62	-	-	277	177
	46%	47%	47%	44%	40%	57%	45%	41%	58%	51%	52%	41%	51%	-	-	100%	100%
						AC	A		Ac	A	B		b			AB	AB
Mid Suffolk District Council area	2146	1207	1476	670	1179	533	434	1480	478	188	824	1262	60	429	103	-	-
	54%	53%	53%	56%	60%	43%	55%	59%	42%	49%	48%	59%	49%	100%	100%	-	-
					BC		B	BC		b		Ac		CD	CD		

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D1. Which of the following age groups do you fall into?

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18 - 24	323	146	177	140	183	323	-	-	-	-	-	323	-	-	-	81	187	50	314	4
	8%	8%	8%	7%	9%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	41%	-	-	-	9%	9%	7%	8%	5%
				a		BCDEFGHI						BCDEFGHI					c			
25 - 34	470	206	265	221	249	-	470	-	-	-	-	470	-	-	-	110	270	85	447	19
	12%	11%	12%	11%	12%	-	100%	-	-	-	-	59%	-	-	-	12%	13%	11%	12%	23%
						ACDEFGHI						ACDEFGHI							a	
35 - 44	583	270	313	176	407	-	-	583	-	-	-	-	583	-	-	148	356	72	556	22
	15%	15%	15%	9%	20%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	44%	-	-	16%	17%	9%	14%	26%
				A				ABDEFGHI					ABDEFGI			C	C		a	
45 - 54	755	347	408	400	355	-	-	-	755	-	-	-	755	-	-	215	441	93	722	25
	19%	19%	19%	21%	17%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	56%	-	-	23%	21%	12%	19%	30%
				B				ABCEFGHI					ABCEFGI			C	C			
55 - 64	675	310	365	318	358	-	-	-	-	675	-	-	-	675	-	157	365	134	656	11
	17%	17%	17%	16%	17%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	37%	-	17%	17%	18%	17%	13%
										ABCDGHI				ABCDGHI						
65+	1170	563	607	682	488	-	-	-	-	-	1170	-	-	1170	-	241	513	315	1136	3
	29%	30%	28%	35%	24%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	63%	-	25%	24%	41%	30%	3%
				B							ABCDEGHI			ABCDEGHI			AB	B		
Refused	27	16	12	13	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	27	1	12	11	19	-	
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	*	1%	1%	*	-	
																	Ab			
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: 18-34	793	352	442	361	432	323	470	-	-	-	-	793	-	-	-	191	457	135	761	23
	20%	19%	21%	19%	21%	100%	100%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	20%	21%	18%	20%	28%
				a		CDEFHI	CDEFHI					CDEFHI					c			
Net: 35-54	1338	617	721	577	761	-	-	583	755	-	-	-	1338	-	-	363	797	165	1278	47
	33%	33%	34%	30%	37%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	38%	37%	22%	33%	56%
				A				ABEFGI	ABEFGI				ABEFGI			C	C		A	
Net: 55+	1845	874	971	1000	845	-	-	-	-	675	1170	-	-	1845	-	398	878	450	1793	13
	46%	47%	45%	51%	41%	-	-	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	100%	-	42%	41%	59%	47%	16%
				B						ABCDGH	ABCDGH			ABCDGH			AB	B		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D1. Which of the following age groups do you fall into?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
		a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d
		A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18 - 24	323 8%	126 6%	144 5%	179 15% AB	178 9% b	86 7%	59 7%	221 9%	79 7%	23 6%	112 6%	207 10% Ac	5 4%	34 8%	12 12% c	16 6%	15 8%
25 - 34	470 12%	203 9%	268 10%	202 17% AB	244 12% B	113 9%	113 14% B	328 13% B	97 9%	46 12% b	158 9%	298 14% A	14 11%	64 15%	14 13%	36 13%	21 12%
35 - 44	583 15%	269 12%	346 12%	237 20% AB	297 15% b	150 12%	136 17% B	377 15% b	137 12%	69 18% B	203 12%	362 17% A	19 15%	82 19%	13 13%	47 17%	29 16%
45 - 54	755 19%	438 19%	541 19%	214 18%	383 20%	226 18%	146 18%	462 19%	211 19%	82 22%	305 18%	430 20%	21 17%	69 16%	25 24% d	61 22% d	24 13%
55 - 64	675 17%	438 19% C	522 19% C	153 13%	308 16%	248 20% AC	119 15%	395 16%	222 20% Ac	57 15%	344 20% B	313 15% B	18 15%	66 15%	21 21% d	44 16%	19 11%
65+	1170 29%	809 35% C	967 35% C	203 17%	542 28%	416 33% AC	212 27%	698 28%	376 33% AC	96 25%	594 34% B	533 25% B	44 36% B	109 25%	17 16%	71 26%	67 38% ABC
Refused	27 1%	12 1%	14 *	14 1% ab	8 *	10 1%	9 1% a	11 *	9 1%	8 2% A	12 1%	13 1% B	3 2% b	4 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 1%
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: 18-34	793 20%	329 14%	412 15%	381 32% AB	423 22% B	198 16%	172 22% B	548 22% B	176 16%	69 18%	270 16%	505 23% Ac	19 15%	99 23%	26 25%	52 19%	36 20%
Net: 35-54	1338 33%	707 31%	887 32%	451 38% AB	680 35% B	376 30%	282 35% b	839 34%	348 31%	152 40% aB	507 29%	791 37% A	40 32%	151 35%	38 37%	108 39% d	52 30%
Net: 55+	1845 46%	1247 54% C	1489 53% C	356 30%	850 43%	664 53% AC	331 42%	1093 44%	599 53% AC	154 40%	938 54% B	846 39% B	61 50% b	176 41%	38 37%	115 42%	86 49%

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**D2. Gender**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	1951	897	1054	1951	-	140	221	176	400	318	682	361	577	1000	13	516	1032	339	1859	46
	49%	48%	49%	100%	-	43%	47%	30%	53%	47%	58%	46%	43%	54%	49%	54%	48%	45%	48%	54%
				B		C	C		abCeGH	C	ABCdEGHi	C	C	ABCEGH		BC				
Female	2053	961	1092	-	2053	183	249	407	355	358	488	432	761	845	14	438	1112	422	1991	38
	51%	52%	51%	-	100%	57%	53%	70%	47%	53%	42%	54%	57%	46%	51%	46%	52%	55%	52%	46%
				A		dFI	dFI	ABDEFGHI	f	dFI		DFI	DFI	f			A	A		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D2. Gender

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Male	1951	1209	1448	503	990	607	354	1215	569	167	835	1053	63	166	47	142	87
	49%	53%	52%	42%	50%	49%	45%	49%	50%	44%	48%	49%	52%	39%	45%	51%	49%
		C	C		C			c						A	A	a	a
Female	2053	1086	1353	700	971	641	441	1276	563	215	892	1102	59	264	56	135	89
	51%	47%	48%	58%	50%	51%	55%	51%	50%	56%	52%	51%	48%	61%	55%	49%	51%
				AB			A			b				Cd			

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

#### D3. Which of the following best describes the employment status of the Chief Income Earner in your household?

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Working full time (30+ hrs a week)	2250	1026	1224	1073	1178	272	399	494	636	373	70	671	1130	443	6	643	1408	178	2161	66
	56%	55%	57%	55%	57%	84%	85%	85%	84%	55%	6%	85%	84%	24%	21%	67%	66%	23%	56%	78%
						EFI	EFI	EFI	EFI	FI		EFI	EFI	F		C	C			A
Working part time (8-29 hrs a week)	379	168	212	157	222	27	42	59	66	98	84	69	126	182	3	60	210	107	360	11
	9%	9%	10%	8%	11%	8%	9%	10%	9%	14%	7%	9%	9%	10%	12%	6%	10%	14%	9%	13%
					A			f		aBcDFGHI			f	F			A	AB		
Retired	1180	575	605	639	541	-	1	1	21	163	981	1	22	1144	13	250	510	297	1148	3
	29%	31%	28%	33%	26%	-	*	*	3%	24%	84%	*	2%	62%	46%	26%	24%	39%	30%	3%
				B					aBCG	ABCDGH	ABCDEGHI		bCG	ABCDEGH				AB	B	
Student	16	9	7	9	7	9	1	2	2	-	2	10	4	2	-	-	16	-	14	2
	*	*	*	*	*	3%	*	*	*	-	*	1%	*	*	-	-	1%	-	*	2%
						BCDEFHI						dEFhI					AC		a	
Not working/Sick/Disabled/Working less than eight hours a week	122	49	73	44	78	12	21	19	21	32	15	33	40	47	2	-	-	122	119	3
	3%	3%	3%	2%	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	1%	4%	3%	3%	8%	-	-	16%	3%	3%
				f	A	f	Fi	F	f	dFhI		Fi	F	f				AB		
None of these	25	12	12	9	15	-	4	7	5	4	4	4	12	8	-	-	-	25	25	-
	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	*	-	-	-	3%	1%	-
																		AB		
Refused	32	19	13	20	12	3	2	1	3	5	14	5	5	19	3	-	-	32	24	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	*	*	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	12%	-	-	4%	1%	-
											h			h				AB		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D3. Which of the following best describes the employment status of the Chief Income Earner in your household?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Working full time (30+ hrs a week)	2250	1179	1449	802	1155	633	462	1447	581	222	856	1334	60	251	65	160	90
	56%	51%	52%	67%	59%	51%	58%	58%	51%	58%	50%	62%	49%	59%	63%	58%	51%
				AB	B		B	B	b			AC					
Working part time (8-29 hrs a week)	379	211	253	127	170	127	82	235	105	40	168	198	13	43	10	23	17
	9%	9%	9%	11%	9%	10%	10%	9%	9%	10%	10%	9%	11%	10%	10%	8%	10%
Retired	1180	816	986	194	556	419	206	696	387	97	612	531	37	114	20	76	62
	29%	36%	35%	16%	28%	34%	26%	28%	34%	25%	35%	25%	30%	26%	20%	28%	35%
		C	C			AC			AC		B						aB
Student	16	8	9	7	9	4	2	11	3	1	3	12	-	1	-	2	-
	*	*	*	1%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	1%	-	*	-	1%	-
Not working/Sick/Disabled/Working less than eight hours a week	122	47	64	58	51	43	28	74	34	14	58	60	4	16	7	13	2
	3%	2%	2%	5%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	3%	3%	3%	4%	6%	5%	1%
				AB											d		
None of these	25	14	17	7	11	7	7	14	7	4	8	13	4	3	1	2	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	1%
												AB					
Refused	32	20	24	8	9	16	7	12	14	5	20	7	4	2	1	-	3
	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	*	3%	*	1%	-	2%
						a			a		B		aB				c

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D4. Does the Chief Income Earner have a private pension or allowance?

Base: All retired respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	*a	*b	*c	*d	e	f	*g	*h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	*b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	*A	*B	*C	*D	E	F	*G	*H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	*B
Unweighted Total	1254	655	599	707	547	-	2	1	23	173	1042	2	24	1215	13	270	484	368	1222	1
Weighted Total	1180	575	605	639	541	-	1	1	21	163	981	1	22	1144	13	250	510	297	1148	3
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	825	398	427	478	347	-	-	-	17	122	681	-	17	804	4	250	509	66	806	3
	70%	69%	71%	75%	64%	-	-	-	82%	75%	69%	-	79%	70%	33%	100%	100%	22%	70%	100%
				B												C	C			
No	220	100	120	101	119	-	1	1	2	23	189	1	3	212	4	-	-	220	217	-
	19%	17%	20%	16%	22%	-	100%	100%	10%	14%	19%	100%	13%	19%	29%	-	-	74%	19%	-
				A														AB		
Prefer not to say	135	77	58	59	76	-	-	-	2	18	111	-	2	128	5	-	1	11	125	-
	11%	13%	10%	9%	14%	-	-	-	8%	11%	11%	-	8%	11%	38%	-	*	4%	11%	-
		b		a														AB		

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D4. Does the Chief Income Earner have a private pension or allowance?

Base: All retired respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	*b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	*B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	1254	863	1043	211	586	449	219	738	413	103	643	571	40	109	18	76	64
Weighted Total	1180	816	986	194	556	419	206	696	387	97	612	531	37	114	20	76	62
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes	825	603	715	110	405	290	131	493	267	65	440	366	19	80	16	50	42
	70%	74%	73%	57%	73%	69%	63%	71%	69%	68%	72%	69%	52%	71%	77%	66%	67%
		C	C		C						C	c					
No	220	130	163	57	102	76	42	132	69	19	103	104	13	26	3	21	14
	19%	16%	17%	29%	18%	18%	21%	19%	18%	20%	17%	20%	34%	23%	17%	27%	23%
				AB									Ab				
Prefer not to say	135	82	108	27	49	53	33	72	51	12	69	61	5	7	1	5	6
	11%	10%	11%	14%	9%	13%	16%	10%	13%	12%	11%	12%	13%	6%	6%	7%	10%
					a	A											

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D5. Profession of CIE

Base: All employed or retired with a personal allowance

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	3355	1675	1680	1763	1592	186	439	511	748	613	846	625	1259	1459	12	984	1933	415	3271	38
Weighted Total	3455	1592	1863	1708	1747	299	441	553	720	593	835	740	1273	1428	13	953	2126	351	3327	79
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Higher managerial / professional / administrative	266 8%	117 7%	149 8%	140 8%	126 7%	20 7%	32 7%	31 6%	59 8%	54 c	70 8%	52 7%	90 7%	124 9%	- c	266 BC	-	-	256 8%	8 10%
Intermediate managerial / professional / administrative	688 20%	314 20%	374 20%	376 22%	312 18%	61 21%	78 18%	117 21%	156 22%	104 18%	170 20%	140 19%	273 21%	274 19%	1 6%	688 72%	-	-	664 20%	14 18%
Supervisory or clerical / junior managerial / professional / administrative	1112 32%	515 32%	597 32%	490 29%	622 36%	89 30%	141 32%	182 33%	262 36%	183 eFgl	249 31%	230 31%	444 35%	432 30%	6 47%	-	1112 52%	-	1065 32%	28 35%
Student	1 *	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	-	-	-	1 *	-	1 *	-
Skilled manual worker	1014 29%	453 28%	561 30%	532 31%	481 28%	89 30%	128 29%	172 31%	175 24%	183 31%	261 31%	218 29%	348 27%	444 31%	4 31%	-	1014 48%	-	983 30%	20 25%
Semi or unskilled manual work	299 9%	153 10%	146 8%	137 8%	162 9%	28 9%	47 11%	37 7%	55 8%	62 10%	68 8%	75 10%	92 7%	130 9%	2 16%	-	-	299 85%	283 8%	9 12%
Casual worker – not in permanent employment	24 1%	12 1%	12 1%	10 1%	13 1%	1 *	4 1%	5 1%	5 1%	4 1%	5 1%	6 1%	9 1%	9 1%	-	-	-	24 7%	24 1%	-
Housewife / Homemaker	8 *	6 *	2 *	2 *	5 *	1 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	5 1%	2 *	1 *	5 *	-	-	-	8 2%	8 *	-
Retired and living on state pension	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Unemployed or not working due to long-term sickness	4 *	2 *	2 *	2 *	2 *	1 *	1 *	-	-	1 *	1 *	2 *	-	2 *	-	-	-	4 1%	4 *	-

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D5. Profession of CIE

Base: All employed or retired with a personal allowance

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	3355	1675	1680	1763	1592	186	439	511	748	613	846	625	1259	1459	12	984	1933	415	3271	38
Weighted Total	3455	1592	1863	1708	1747	299	441	553	720	593	835	740	1273	1428	13	953	2126	351	3327	79
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Full-time carer or other household member	18	9	8	8	10	3	3	2	2	3	4	6	4	7	-	-	-	18	16	-
	1%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	1%	*	*	*	1%	1%	*	*	-	-	-	5%	*	-
																		AB		
Refused	24	11	13	10	13	5	6	7	4	1	1	10	12	2	-	-	-	-	24	-
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	*	*	1%	1%	*	-	-	-	-	1%	-
						eFl	eFl	eFl				eFl	fl							

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D5. Profession of CIE

Base: All employed or retired with a personal allowance

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	3355	1973	2391	964	1663	1046	646	2087	951	317	1442	1821	92	306	75	215	141
Weighted Total	3455	1994	2416	1039	1730	1050	675	2175	953	327	1465	1898	93	374	90	233	149
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Higher managerial / professional / administrative	266 8%	176 9%	204 8%	61 6%	143 8%	76 7%	47 7%	162 7%	72 8%	32 10%	111 8%	152 8%	2 2%	24 7%	3 4%	10 4%	11 7%
		C	c									c					
Intermediate managerial / professional / administrative	688 20%	418 21%	503 21%	185 18%	356 21%	206 20%	126 19%	414 19%	207 22%	66 20%	283 19%	384 20%	21 22%	59 16%	17 19%	46 20%	35 23%
		c	c														a
Supervisory or clerical / junior managerial / professional / administrative	1112 32%	650 33%	802 33%	310 30%	572 33%	323 31%	218 32%	723 33%	291 30%	98 30%	439 30%	648 34%	26 28%	137 36%	30 34%	73 31%	43 29%
												a					
Student	1 *	1 *	1 *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	- -	1 *	- -	1 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	1 1%
Skilled manual worker	1014 29%	568 28%	682 28%	331 32%	485 28%	324 31%	205 30%	640 29%	281 30%	92 28%	459 31%	527 28%	28 30%	109 29%	29 32%	70 30%	38 25%
				b							b						
Semi or unskilled manual work	299 9%	148 7%	184 8%	115 11%	136 8%	101 10%	62 9%	188 9%	81 8%	30 9%	138 9%	148 8%	13 14%	38 10%	9 10%	27 12%	17 11%
				AB								b					
Casual worker – not in permanent employment	24 1%	11 1%	14 1%	9 1%	15 1%	5 1%	3 *	17 1%	6 1%	1 *	13 1%	10 1%	- -	1 *	- -	3 1%	2 1%
Housewife / Homemaker	8 *	2 *	3 *	5 *	3 *	2 *	2 *	5 *	2 *	- -	3 *	5 *	- -	1 *	- -	1 *	- -
				ab													
Retired and living on state pension	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D5. Profession of CIE

Base: All employed or retired with a personal allowance

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Unweighted Total	3355	1973	2391	964	1663	1046	646	2087	951	317	1442	1821	92	306	75	215	141
Weighted Total	3455	1994	2416	1039	1730	1050	675	2175	953	327	1465	1898	93	374	90	233	149
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Unemployed or not working due to long-term sickness	4 *	4 *	4 *	- -	2 *	- -	2 *	3 *	1 *	- -	1 *	3 *	- -	- -	- -	- -	- -
Full-time carer or other household member	18 1%	7 *	9 *	9 1%	9 *	4 *	5 1%	12 1%	4 *	2 1%	8 1%	10 1%	- -	4 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%
Refused	24 1%	8 *	12 *	12 1%	10 1%	9 1%	5 1%	10 *	8 1%	6 2%	10 1%	11 1%	3 3%	1 *	- -	1 *	2 1%
				ab						A			AB				

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

**D3-D5. SEG**

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AB	953	431	523	516	438	81	110	148	215	157	241	191	363	398	1	953	-	-	920	22
	24%	23%	24%	26%	21%	25%	23%	25%	28%	23%	21%	24%	27%	22%	3%	100%	-	-	24%	27%
				B				f	beFI				FI		BC					
C1	1130	525	604	499	631	98	142	184	265	183	251	240	449	434	7	-	1130	-	1081	30
	28%	28%	28%	26%	31%	30%	30%	32%	35%	27%	21%	30%	34%	24%	27%	-	53%	-	28%	36%
				A		Fi	FI	FI	EFgl	F		FI	EFI				AC			
C2	1014	453	561	532	481	89	128	172	175	183	261	218	348	444	4	-	1014	-	983	20
	25%	24%	26%	27%	23%	28%	27%	30%	23%	27%	22%	27%	26%	24%	15%	-	47%	-	26%	24%
				B			f	DFI		f		f	f				AC			
DE	761	366	395	339	422	50	85	72	93	134	315	135	165	450	11	-	-	761	729	12
	19%	20%	18%	17%	21%	15%	18%	12%	12%	20%	27%	17%	12%	24%	41%	-	-	100%	19%	14%
				A			cDH			CDH	ABCDEGH	cdH	ABCDeGH				AB			
Don't know	147	83	64	65	82	5	6	7	6	18	102	10	13	120	4	-	-	-	137	-
	4%	4%	3%	3%	4%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	9%	1%	1%	6%	13%	-	-	-	4%	-
		b								DH	ABCDEGHi		ABCDEGH							

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B



## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D3-D5. SEG

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
AB	953	595	707	246	499	281	173	576	279	98	394	537	23	83	20	56	45
	24%	26%	25%	21%	25%	23%	22%	23%	25%	26%	23%	25%	19%	19%	20%	20%	26%
		C	C		c												
C1	1130	660	813	317	581	329	220	734	296	99	444	660	26	138	30	75	44
	28%	29%	29%	26%	30%	26%	28%	29%	26%	26%	26%	31%	21%	32%	29%	27%	25%
					b			b				Ac					
C2	1014	568	682	331	485	324	205	640	281	92	459	527	28	109	29	70	38
	25%	25%	24%	28%	25%	26%	26%	26%	25%	24%	27%	24%	23%	25%	28%	25%	21%
					b												
DE	761	387	487	274	341	258	162	464	221	76	358	365	38	92	22	70	41
	19%	17%	17%	23%	17%	21%	20%	19%	19%	20%	21%	17%	31%	21%	21%	25%	23%
					a						B		AB				
Don't know	147	85	113	34	55	57	35	76	54	17	72	67	8	8	1	6	8
	4%	4%	4%	3%	3%	5%	4%	3%	5%	4%	4%	3%	6%	2%	1%	2%	5%
					A	a		A				b					

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D

### Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

#### D6. And to which of the following ethnic groups do you consider you belong?

Base: All respondents

	DISTRICT		GENDER		AGE										SEG			ETHNICITY		
	Total	Babergh	Mid Suffolk	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Net: 18-34	Net: 35-54	Net: 55+	Refused	AB	C1C2	DE	White	BAME
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	a	b	a	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	*j	a	b	c	a	b
Significance Level: 99%		A	B	A	B	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	*J	A	B	C	A	B
Unweighted Total	4003	2000	2003	2063	1940	201	477	547	792	714	1244	678	1339	1958	28	984	1946	918	3893	40
Weighted Total	4004	1858	2146	1951	2053	323	470	583	755	675	1170	793	1338	1845	27	953	2143	761	3851	84
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	3851	1782	2069	1859	1991	314	447	556	722	656	1136	761	1278	1793	19	920	2065	729	3851	-
	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	97%	95%	95%	96%	97%	97%	96%	96%	97%	69%	97%	96%	96%	100%	-
					A					bh				bcdh				B		
Mixed	42	24	19	15	28	-	9	8	14	8	3	9	22	11	-	16	25	2	-	42
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	*	1%	2%	1%	-	2%	1%	*	-	50%
							aFl	Fi	Fl	F		F	Fl			C	C			A
Asian	14	6	8	10	4	4	2	5	3	-	-	6	8	-	-	-	11	2	-	14
	*	*	*	1%	*	1%	*	1%	*	-	-	1%	1%	-	-	-	1%	*	-	16%
						EFl	fl	eFl	fl			eFl	eFl				a			A
Black	22	7	16	17	5	-	7	6	7	3	-	7	13	3	-	3	12	8	-	22
	1%	*	1%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	1%	*	-	1%	1%	*	-	*	1%	1%	-	27%
					B		eFl	Fl	Fl	f		Fl	Fl				a			A
Chinese	6	3	3	4	2	-	2	3	1	-	-	2	4	-	-	4	2	-	-	6
	*	*	*	*	*	-	*	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	*	*	-	-	7%
							fl	fl	i			i	i			bc				A
Other ethnic group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refused	69	37	32	46	23	5	4	4	8	8	31	9	13	39	9	11	29	19	-	-
	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%	2%	31%	1%	1%	3%	-	-
					B					bCdegH				ch			ab			
<b>NETS</b>																				
Net: White	3851	1782	2069	1859	1991	314	447	556	722	656	1136	761	1278	1793	19	920	2065	729	3851	-
	96%	96%	96%	95%	97%	97%	95%	95%	96%	97%	97%	96%	96%	97%	69%	97%	96%	96%	100%	-
					A					bh				bcdh				B		
Net: Non-white	84	39	45	46	38	4	19	22	25	11	3	23	47	13	-	22	50	12	-	84
	2%	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	4%	4%	3%	2%	*	3%	4%	1%	-	2%	2%	2%	-	100%
						f	EFl	eFl	eFl	Fi		Fl	eFl							A

Columns Tested: A,B - A,B - A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J - A,B,C - A,B

## Mid Suffolk and Babergh survey

### D6. And to which of the following ethnic groups do you consider you belong?

Base: All respondents

	AWARENESS OF PROPOSED CREATION OF NEW COUNCIL			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: FIRST Q			FAVOURABILITY TOWARDS NEW SINGLE COUNCIL: SECOND Q			CONCERN ABOUT PROPOSAL OF NEW SINGLE COUNCIL			WARD				
	Total	Net: Knowledge of	Net: Heard of	Never heard of	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Favourable	Net: Unfavourable	Don't know	Net: Concerned	Net: Not concerned	Don't know	Net: Stowmarket	Needham Market	Net: Sudbury	Net: Hadleigh
	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	a	b	c	d	
	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	A	B	C	D	
Significance Level: 95%																	
Significance Level: 99%																	
Unweighted Total	4003	2331	2850	1153	1936	1281	786	2460	1160	383	1752	2124	127	364	87	263	171
Weighted Total	4004	2295	2802	1202	1961	1249	795	2491	1131	382	1727	2155	122	429	103	277	177
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
White	3851	2217	2707	1144	1894	1201	756	2411	1086	354	1652	2083	115	420	99	261	168
	96%	97%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	97%	96%	93%	96%	97%	94%	98%	96%	94%	95%
		c	c					C	c					c			
Mixed	42	19	30	13	17	11	14	22	12	8	20	23	-	3	-	9	2
	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%	-	1%	-	3%	1%
							a			a						a	
Asian	14	3	3	11	6	3	5	9	-	4	5	8	-	-	-	2	2
	*	*	*	1%	*	*	1%	*	-	1%	*	*	-	-	-	1%	1%
				AB				b		aB							
Black	22	9	9	13	14	6	3	12	7	3	13	10	-	-	3	-	-
	1%	*	*	1%	1%	*	*	*	1%	1%	1%	*	-	-	3%	-	-
				aB											Ac		
Chinese	6	3	3	3	2	3	1	3	3	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-
	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	-	-	*	-	-	-	-	-
												a					
Other ethnic group	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Refused	69	44	51	18	28	26	15	34	23	12	37	26	7	7	1	5	5
	2%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	1%	6%	2%	1%	2%	3%
										A	b		aB				
<b>NETS</b>																	
Net: White	3851	2217	2707	1144	1894	1201	756	2411	1086	354	1652	2083	115	420	99	261	168
	96%	97%	97%	95%	97%	96%	95%	97%	96%	93%	96%	97%	94%	98%	96%	94%	95%
		c	c					C	c					c			
Net: Non-white	84	34	44	40	39	22	23	47	22	15	38	46	-	3	3	11	3
	2%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	4%	2%	2%	-	1%	3%	4%	2%
				AB						Ab						A	

Columns Tested: A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C - A,B,C,D