

# Eurogroup for Animals, Cultivated Meat Research – Poland February, 2020

Methodology: Savanta ComRes interviewed 1,049 adults in Poland aged 18+ online between 11th and 17th February 2020. Data were weighted to be nationally representative of adults aged 18+ by age, gender and region. Savanta ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules. Full tables at www.comresglobal.com

All press releases or other publications must be checked with Savanta ComRes before use. Savanta ComRes requires 48-hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

For information about commissioning research please contact info@comresglobal.com or call +44 (0)20 7871 8660

To register for Pollwatch, featuring commentary and insight from the Savanta ComRes team, please email: pollwatch@comresglobal.com

Classified: Public www.comresglobal.com

Savanta: ComRes

#### <u>Page: i</u> <u>Table of Contents</u>

Q6. Food companies and universities are currently developing a production method for 'growing meat'. This is done by taking a sample of cells from a living animal and using these to grow the meat in a controlled condition, such as a laboratory. Evidence shows this new category meat could reduce the number of animals killed for meat production. This could reduce our environmental impact, reduce the use of antibiotics (traditionally used in animal feed) and improve food safety compared to traditional meat, whilst retaining the same properties (e.g. taste, texture). Before taking this survey, had you heard of this new category of meat before?  BASE: All respondents	1
Q7. Which of the following, if any, do you think would be a good name for this new category of meat?	4
Q8. Provided everything was the same as traditional meat (e.g. taste, price, texture etc.), how likely, if at all, would you be to try this new category of meat?  BASE: All respondents	7
Q9 Summary: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	10
Q9 Nets summary (Important): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?  BASE: All respondents	11
Q9 Nets summary (Unimportant): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	14
Q9_1. The environment: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?  BASE: All respondents	17
Q9_2. The welfare of animals: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?  BASE: All respondents	20
Q9_3. Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	23
Q9_4. Health and nutrition: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	26
Q9_5. Cost: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	29
Q9_6. Convenience: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?  BASE: All respondents	32
Q9_7. Taste: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?	35
Q10. You've mentioned you are likely to try this new category of meat. Please think about replacing traditional meat consumption with this new category of meat and the reasons why you might do this Which of the following factors, if any, appeal to you about this new category of meat?  BASE: All who say they are likely to try 'new category meat'	38
Gender	41
Age	44
Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?	47
D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?	53



Q6. Food companies and universities are currently developing a production method for 'growing meat'. This is done by taking a sample of cells from a living animal and using these to grow the meat in a controlled condition, such as a laboratory. Evidence shows this new category meat could reduce the number of animals killed for meat production. This could reduce our environmental impact, reduce the use of antibiotics (traditionally used in animal feed) and improve food safety compared to traditional meat, whilst retaining the same properties (e.g. taste, texture). Before taking this survey, had you heard of this new category of meat before?

		Poland regions												
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, I had heard of it and had a general understanding of how it is made	180 17%	18 23%	15 26%	6 10%	7 25%	11 16%	14 15%	27 19%	1 4%	6 10%	3 9%	13 21%	15 12%	9 25%
Yes, I had heard of it but I did not understand how it		23%	20%	10%	23%	10%	13%	19%	476	10%	976	2170	1270	23%
is made	394	27	13	25	10	28	35	52	11	22	15	19	45	13
	38%	34%	23%	43%	37%	41%	38%	36%	41%	38%	45%	31%	35%	36%
No, I had not heard of it	444	30	28	23	10	28	40	62	14	27	13	30	62	14
	42%	38%	50%	39%	37%	40%	44%	43%	50%	47%	41%	48%	49%	39%
I can't remember	29	4	1	5	-	2	2	2	1	2	2	-	4	-
	3%	5%	2%	8%	-	3%	2%	1%	4%	4%	6%	-	3%	-
NET: Heard of	574 55%	45 57%	28 49%	31 53%	17 63%	39 57%	48 53%	80 55%	13 46%	28 48%	17 54%	32 52%	60 48%	21 61%



Q6. Food companies and universities are currently developing a production method for 'growing meat'. This is done by taking a sample of cells from a living animal and using these to grow the meat in a controlled condition, such as a laboratory. Evidence shows this new category meat could reduce the number of animals killed for meat production. This could reduce our environmental impact, reduce the use of antibiotics (traditionally used in animal feed) and improve food safety compared to traditional meat, whilst retaining the same properties (e.g. taste, texture). Before taking this survey, had you heard of this new category of meat before?

			Gei	nder			Ag	e			Age				
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Yes, I had heard of it and had a general															
understanding of how it is made	180	5	22	8	106	74	26	46	36	20	20	32	72	56	51
	17%	13%	24%	17%	21%	14%	27%	24%	18%	13%	11%	15%	25%	16%	13%
					b		def	def					bc		
Yes, I had heard of it but I did not understand how it is															
made	394	21	39	18	195	199	29	79	71	71	70	72	108	143	142
	38%	53%	42%	39%	39%	36%	29%	41%	35%	46%	39%	34%	37%	40%	36%
								а		af					
No, I had not heard of it	444	13	29	21	184	260	41	67	87	59	85	105	108	147	189
	42%	32%	31%	44%	37%	47%	41%	35%	43%	38%	47%	49%	37%	41%	48%
						а					b	bd			ab
I can't remember	29	1	3	-	15	14	3	1	8	6	6	6	5	13	11
	3%	2%	3%	-	3%	3%	3%	1%	4%	4%	3%	3%	2%	4%	3%
									b	b					
NET: Heard of	574	26	62	26	301	273	55	125	107	92	90	104	181	199	194
	55%	65%	65%	56%	60%	50%	56%	65%	53%	59%	50%	48%	62%	55%	49%
					l h			cef					C		



Q6. Food companies and universities are currently developing a production method for 'growing meat'. This is done by taking a sample of cells from a living animal and using these to grow the meat in a controlled condition, such as a laboratory. Evidence shows this new category meat could reduce the number of animals killed for meat production. This could reduce our environmental impact, reduce the use of antibiotics (traditionally used in animal feed) and improve food safety compared to traditional meat, whilst retaining the same properties (e.g. taste, texture). Before taking this survey, had you heard of this new category of meat before?

		Diet								Awareness of 'nev		Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'		
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Yes, I had heard of it and had a general understanding of how it is made	180 17%	134 16%	42 24% a	22 20%	2 14%	5 31%	6 31%	7 43%	180 31% cd	180 100% acd	-	-	136 20% b	35 12%
Yes, I had heard of it but I did not understand how it is made	394 38%	324 38%	63 37%	41 37%	7 54%	5 30%	6 31%	5 31%	394 69% bd	- -	394 100% abd		292 44% b	88 30%
No, I had not heard of it	444 42%	369 43%	65 38%	45 41%	4 32%	6 39%	7 37%	3 19%	- -	-	-	444 100% abc	225 34%	165 56% a
I can't remember	29 3%	24 3%	3 2%	2 2%		-		1 7%	-	-	-	-	12 2%	5 2%
NET: Heard of	574 55%	459 54%	105 61%	63 57%	8 68%	10 61%	12 63%	11 74%	574 100% d	180 100% d	394 100% d	-	428 64% b	123 42%



# Q7. Which of the following, if any, do you think would be a good name for this new category of meat?

	Total	Dolnoslaski
Unweighted Total	1049	
Total	1047	
	100%	1
	0.17	
Clean meat	217	
	21%	
Cell-based meat	192	
	18%	
Cultivated meat	189	
	18%	
Cultured meat	160	
	15%	
Slaughter-free meat	153	
-	15%	
Other	20	
	2%	
Don't know	117	
	1	1

					-	Pola	nd regions						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
217	21	11	14	7	18	21	32	2	6	4	12	21	9
21%	27%	19%	23%	24%	27%	23%	22%	8%	10%	13%	19%	17%	27%
192	13	9	13	8	10	13	27	9	10	6	11	21	9
18%	16%	15%	22%	27%	15%	14%	19%	33%	18%	18%	17%	16%	25%
189	13	7	10	2	13	17	28	5	8	10	11	26	9
18%	17%	12%	17%	7%	19%	19%	20%	16%	14%	30%	18%	20%	25%
160	9	14	9	6	7	16	23	2	17	7	6	13	1
15%	11%	25%	15%	21%	11%	18%	16%	8%	30%	22%	10%	10%	3%
153	10	10	7	2	7	13	22	6	6	4	11	20	5
15%	13%	18%	11%	6%	10%	15%	15%	21%	10%	11%	18%	16%	14%
20	2	1	-	2	3	-	4	-	-	-	2	4	1
2%	2%	2%	-	7%	4%	-	3%	-	-	-	3%	3%	3%
117	11	5	7	2	10	10	8	4	10	2	9	21	1
11%	14%	9%	12%	6%	14%	11%	5%	13%	18%	6%	15%	17%	3%



# Q7. Which of the following, if any, do you think would be a good name for this new category of meat?

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Clean meat
Cell-based meat
Cultivated meat
Cultured meat
Slaughter-free meat
Other
Don't know

		Poland regions		Gen	der			Ag	е			Age				
Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+		
	а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С		
1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408		
1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395		
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%		
217	11	19	9	104	114	27	43	36	33	40	39	70	68	79		
21%	28%	20%	19%	21%	21%	27%	22%	18%	21%	22%	18%	24%	19%	20%		
192	9	17	8	97	95	21	38	39	35	27	33	59	74	59		
18%	24%	19%	16%	19%	17%	22%	19%	19%	22%	15%	15%	20%	21%	15%		
													С			
189	3	14	14	83	106	11	41	45	24	26	42	52	69	69		
18%	7%	14%	31% ab	17%	19%	11%	21% a	22% a	15%	15%	20%	18%	19%	17%		
160	9	16	5	82	78	15	30	22	27	29	37	45	50	66		
15%	22%	17%	10%	16%	14%	15%	15%	11%	17%	16%	17%	15%	14%	17%		
153	3	19	8	69	83	13	32	38	21	22	27	45	59	49		
15%	7%	20%	16%	14%	15%	13%	16%	19%	14%	12%	13%	15%	16%	12%		
20	1	-	-	10	10	1	3	-	2	7	7	4	2	13		
2%	2%	-	-	2%	2%	1%	2%	-	1%	4%	3%	1%	1%	3%		
										С	С			b		
117	4	10	4	54	62	11	8	23	15	30	30	19	38	60		
11%	9%	10%	8%	11%	11%	11%	4%	11%	9%	17%	14%	6%	11%	15%		
						b		b		b	b	l		á		



Q7. Which of the following, if any, do you think would be a good name for this new category of meat?

							Awareness of 'nev		Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'						
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173		110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%		110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Clean meat	217 21%	173 20%	40 23%		25 23%	1 7%	2 12%	8 42%	4 27%	139 24% d	44 25% d	94 24% d	75 17%	183 28% b	26 9%
Cell-based meat	192 18%	155 18%	36 20%		26 24%	4 32%	2 11%	1 6%	2 14%	129 23% d	40 22% d	89 23% d	63 14%	145 22% b	34 12%
Cultivated meat	189 18%	162 19%	26 15%		15 14%	5 37%	2 13%	1 5%	3 20%	102 18%	30 17%	72 18%	83 19%	99 15%	77 26% a
Cultured meat	160 15%	135 16%	20 12%		14 12%	-	2 13%	1 6%	3 20%	69 12%	19 11%	50 13%	89 20% abc	66 10%	87 30% a
Slaughter-free meat	153 15%	118 14%	31 18%		20 18%	1 7%	5 32%	5 25%	1 6%	95 17%	38 21% d	58 15%	55 12%	120 18% b	24 8%
Other	20 2%	14 2%	5 3%		4 4%	-	1 5%	-	-	13 2%	5 3%	8 2%	7 1%	17 3%	2 1%
Don't know	117 11%	96 11%	15 9%		6 5%	2 16%	2 13%	3 16%	2 13%	26 5%	4 2%	23 6% b	72 16% abc	34 5%	43 15% a



Q8. Provided everything was the same as traditional meat (e.g. taste, price, texture etc.), how likely, if at all, would you be to try this new category of meat?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions  Total Polandelegia Kujayaka Pomerskia Lubalskia Lubalskia Ladrikia Malanalskia Marayakaka Podkarnaskia Podkarnaskia Pomerskia Shakia Swistekray												
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely	(4.0)	283	22	15	16	9	18	26	46	8	9	9	18	31	10
		27%	28%	26%	28%	31%	27%	28%	32%	29%	16%	27%	29%	25%	30%
Fairly likely	(3.0)	381	31	17	22	7	25	30	59	9	22	11	20	42	14
		36%	39%	29%	37%	24%	36%	33%	41%	33%	38%	33%	32%	33%	40%
Fairly unlikely	(2.0)	134	9	13	7	5	7	12	11	2	8	8	9	16	2
		13%	11%	23%	12%	18%	11%	14%	8%	8%	14%	23%	14%	12%	6%
Very unlikely	(1.0)	160	11	7	9	5	15	13	16	5	9	4	11	24	5
		15%	14%	12%	15%	17%	22%	14%	11%	17%	16%	11%	17%	19%	14%
Don't know		89	7	6	5	3	3	10	11	3	9	2	5	13	4
		8%	8%	10%	9%	10%	5%	11%	8%	13%	16%	6%	7%	11%	11%
NET: Likely		665	53	32	38	15	43	56	105	17	31	19	38	73	24
		63%	67%	56%	65%	55%	63%	61%	73%	62%	54%	60%	61%	58%	70%
NET: Unlikely		294	20	20	16	10	22	25	28	7	17	11	20	40	7
		28%	25%	35%	27%	35%	32%	28%	19%	25%	30%	34%	31%	31%	19%
Base for stats		958	73	51	54	25	65	81	132	24	49	31	58	112	31
Mean Score		2.82	2.88	2.77	2.85	2.76	2.72	2.85	3.01	2.84	2.64	2.80	2.79	2.71	2.97
Standard Deviation		1.035	1.016	1.022	1.043	1.143	1.114	1.050	.964	1.109	1.005	1.003	1.085	1.094	1.021
Standard Error		.033	.117	.142	.141	.224	.143	.123	.083	.237	.155	.177	.141	.101	.181
Error variance		*	.01	.02	.02	.05	.02	.02	.01	.06	.02	.03	.02	.01	.03



Q8. Provided everything was the same as traditional meat (e.g. taste, price, texture etc.), how likely, if at all, would you be to try this new category of meat?

BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions			Gender				Age					Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very likely (4.0)	283	14	25	7	150	134	35	52	49	34	45	69	87	82	114
	27%	35% c	26%	16%	30% b	24%	35% cd	27%	24%	21%	25%	32% d	30% b	23%	29%
Fairly likely (3.0)	381	18	35	21	177	204	37	78	79	68	56	63	115	147	120
(c.c)	36%	46%	37%	45%	35%	37%	37%	40%	39%	43%	31%	30%	39%	41%	30%
								f	f	ef			С	С	
Fairly unlikely (2.0)	134	4	11	11	62	72	11	30	25	23	20	23	41	49	44
	13%	9%	11%	23%	12%	13%	11%	16%	13%	15%	11%	11%	14%	14%	11%
Very unlikely (1.0)	160	4	17	6	76	84	12	22	30	17	36	42	34	47	78
	15%	10%	18%	14%	15%	15%	12%	12%	15%	11%	20% bd	20% bd	12%	13%	20% ab
Don't know	89	-	7	1	35	54	5	10	19	15	23	16	15	34	39
	8%	-	7%	2%	7%	10%	5%	5%	10%	9%	13% b	7%	5%	10%	10%
											_			а	а
NET: Likely	665 63%	32 81%	60 64%	29 61%	327 65%	337 62%	72 72%	130 67%	127 63%	102 65%	101 56%	133 62%	202 69%	229 64%	234 59%
	0370	C	0470	0170	0370	0270	e	e	0370	0370	3070	0270	C	0470	3370
NET: Unlikely	294	8	27	17	138	155	23	53	55	41	56	66	75	96	122
	28%	19%	29%	37%	28%	28%	23%	27%	27%	26%	31%	31%	26%	27%	31%
Base for stats	958	39	87	46	465	493	94	183	183	142	157	198	278	325	356
Mean Score	2.82	3.06	2.78	2.65	2.86	2.79	3.01	2.87	2.80	2.83	2.70	2.80	2.92	2.81	2.76
Standard Deviation	1.035	c .926	1.066	.922	1.045	1.026	e .995	.964	1.014	.928	1.115	1.136	.975	.976	1.126
Standard Error	.033	.146	.112	.133	.050	.045	.106	.071	.073	.082	.088	.079	.059	.055	.059
Error variance	*	.02	.01	.02	*	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	*	*



Q8. Provided everything was the same as traditional meat (e.g. taste, price, texture etc.), how likely, if at all, would you be to try this new category of meat?

BASE: All respondents

						Awareness of 'new category meat'					Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	(		*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	a	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100		16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very likely (4.0)	283 27%	224 26%	57 33%	44 4( 8	14%	3 19%	5 24%	3 22%	194 34% d	83 46% acd	111 28% d	87 20%	283 43% b	-
Fairly likely (3.0)	381 36%	322 38%	55 32%	35 37	6 % 52%	4 24%	5 27%	5 33%	234 41% bd	53 29%	181 46% bd	138 31%	381 57% b	-
Fairly unlikely (2.0)	134 13%	110 13%	18 10%	13 12	s - 2% -	-	2 12%	3 19%	60 11%	17 9%	43 11%	74 17% abc	-	134 46% a
Very unlikely (1.0)	160 15%	120 14%	33 19%	13 12	3 25% 25%	6 38%	7 37%	4 26%	63 11%	18 10%	45 11%	92 21% abc	-	160 54% a
Don't know	89 8%	75 9%	10 6%	6	5 1 5% 9%	3 19%	-	-	22 4%	9 5%	13 3%	54 12% abc		-
NET: Likely	665 63%	546 64%	112 65%	78 71		7 43%	10 51%	9 55%	428 75% d	136 76% d	292 74% d	225 51%	665 100% b	-
NET: Unlikely	294 28%	231 27%	51 30%	26 23	3 3% 25%	6 38%	9 49%	7 45%	123 22%	35 19%	88 22%	165 37% abc		294 100% a
Base for stats Mean Score	958 2.82	777 2.84	163 2.83	10 <sup>2</sup> 3.05 8	i 2.61	13 2.31	19 2.38	16 2.52	551 3.01 d	171 3.18 cd	380 2.94 d	390 2.56	665 3.43 b	294 1.46
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	1.035 .033 *	1.012 .036 *	1.118 .088 .01	1.024 .100 .01	.317	1.327 .368 .14	1.240 .284 .08	1.136 .293 .09	.959 .041 *	.989 .076 .01	.938 .048 *	1.079 .054 *	.495 .019 *	.499 .029 *



Q9 Summary: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Taste
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases)
Health and nutrition
The environment
Cost
The welfare of animals
Convenience

Total	Very important	Fairly important	Fairly unimportant	Very unimportant	Don't know	NET: Important	NET: Unimportant
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
1047	706	304	18	7	11	1010	25
100%	67%	29%	2%	1%	1%	96%	2%
1047	797	204	21	8	16	1002	30
100%	76%	20%	2%	1%	1%	96%	3%
1047	674	310	42	11	11	984	53
100%	64%	30%	4%	1%	1%	94%	5%
1047	521	433	62	6	25	954	68
100%	50%	41%	6%	1%	2%	91%	7%
1047	445	496	82	11	14	941	93
100%	42%	47%	8%	1%	1%	90%	9%
1047	497	433	76	11	30	930	87
100%	47%	41%	7%	1%	3%	89%	8%
1047	288	537	179	17	25	825	197
100%	28%	51%	17%	2%	2%	79%	19%



Q9 Nets summary (Important): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

							Pola	nd regions						
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taste	1010	75	55	57	26	66	85	140	28	57	31	61	124	34
	96%	94%	97%	96%	93%	97%	94%	97%	100%	98%	94%	98%	98%	97%
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food														
borne diseases)	1002	75	56	56	27	66	84	134	26	57	30	59	123	34
	96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	97%	92%	93%	96%	98%	92%	95%	98%	97%
Health and nutrition	984	72	56	52	26	65	88	132	26	57	31	60	118	33
	94%	90%	98%	88%	92%	95%	96%	92%	95%	98%	94%	96%	94%	94%
The environment	954	67	54	53	24	63	78	129	26	58	28	58	117	32
	91%	85%	95%	90%	85%	91%	86%	90%	96%	100%	85%	94%	93%	91%
Cost	941	70	53	51	25	65	78	130	26	56	29	57	114	33
	90%	88%	93%	86%	88%	95%	86%	90%	96%	96%	89%	92%	91%	95%
The welfare of animals	930	69	53	55	23	59	72	128	25	53	27	57	114	33
	89%	87%	93%	93%	82%	86%	79%	89%	91%	92%	82%	92%	91%	94%
Convenience	825	64	41	45	21	52	69	118	24	51	27	49	98	30
	79%	80%	72%	76%	76%	76%	75%	82%	87%	88%	83%	79%	78%	86%
						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								



Q9 Nets summary (Important): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gend	ler			Age	)				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Taste	1010	38	91	44	472	538	95	185	190	154	178	209	280	344	386
	96%	97%	97%	94%	94%	98%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98% c	97%	96%	96%	98%
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne						а					C				
diseases)	1002	38	92	45	468	534	90	183	188	155	178	208	273	343	386
dioddod)	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%	98%	90%	95%	93%	99%	98%	97%	93%	96%	98%
	3370	0.70	30,0	30,0	0.70	а	0070	0070	0070	ac	abc	ac	0070	0070	а
Health and nutrition	984	38	92	41	457	527	86	176	190	149	176	207	263	339	382
	94%	95%	98%	87%	91%	96%	87%	91%	94%	95%	97%	96%	90%	94%	97%
			С			а			а	а	ab	ab		а	а
The environment	954	36	89	42	431	523	78	176	184	148	172	196	254	332	368
	91%	92%	95%	90%	86%	96%	79%	91%	91%	94%	95%	92%	87%	92%	93%
						а		а	а	а	а	а		а	а
Cost	941	31	83	40	434	507	84	168	177	143	171	199	252	319	369
	90%	79%	88%	85%	87%	93%	84%	87%	87%	91%	94%	93%	86%	89%	94%
						а					abc	ab			ab
The welfare of animals	930	36	84	43	418	512	80	170	181	135	167	197	250	316	364
	89%	92%	90%	91%	84%	94%	80%	88%	89%	86%	93%	92%	85%	88%	92%
						а			а		а	а			а
Convenience	825	31	68	38	365	460	76	148	155	129	150	167	224	284	317
	79%	79%	73%	80%	73%	84%	76%	77%	77%	82%	83%	78%	76%	79%	80%
						а									



Q9 Nets summary (Important): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

					Diet						Awareness of 'new	v category meat		Likeliness o	of trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173		110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%		110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Taste	1010 96%	827 97% b	163 94%		106 96%	10 82%	15 94%	18 95%	13 86%	559 97%	173 96%	386 98%	427 96%	656 99% b	280 96%
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases)	1002 96%	818 96%	165 95%		106 96%	11 91%	16 100%	19 100%	12 78%	551 96%	168 93%	383 97% b	428 96%	645 97%	279 95%
Health and nutrition	984 94%	804 94%	162 94%		106 96%	11 91%	15 94%	19 100%	11 73%	541 94%	166 92%	375 95%	419 94%	633 95% b	270 92%
The environment	954 91%	780 92%	156 90%		103 93%	9 73%	16 100%	17 89%	11 73%	524 91%	159 88%	365 93%	407 92%	616 93%	261 89%
Cost	941 90%	774 91% b	147 85%		95 86%	10 84%	15 94%	15 78%	11 73%	516 90%	153 85%	363 92% b	401 90%	602 91%	265 90%
The welfare of animals	930 89%	755 89%	157 91%		102 92%	9 73%	16 100%	18 94%	12 79%	520 91%	167 93% d	352 89%	388 87%	603 91%	255 87%
Convenience	825 79%	682 80%	130 75%		84 76%	9 68%	13 81%	12 65%	11 73%	468 82% d	145 80%	323 82% d	336 76%	538 81%	226 77%



Q9 Nets summary (Unimportant): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

							Pola	and regions						
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The environment	68	9	2	5	2	4	8	14	1	-	4	2	6	2
	7%	11%	3%	9%	7%	5%	9%	10%	4%	-	12%	3%	5%	6%
The welfare of animals	87	7	2	2	4	6	17	15	1	5	4	3	10	2
	8%	9%	4%	4%	14%	9%	19%	10%	4%	8%	12%	5%	8%	6%
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food														
borne diseases)	30	2	-	1	1	-	5	9	1	1	2	2	1	1
	3%	3%	-	2%	4%	-	5%	6%	4%	2%	6%	3%	1%	3%
Health and nutrition	53	7	-	6	2	1	2	11	1	1	1	2	7	2
	5%	8%	-	10%	8%	2%	2%	7%	5%	2%	3%	4%	6%	6%
Cost	93	9	3	6	3	1	10	14	1	2	3	5	11	1
	9%	11%	6%	10%	12%	1%	11%	10%	4%	4%	9%	8%	8%	3%
Convenience	197	14	13	13	6	13	18	25	3	5	4	12	23	5
	19%	17%	23%	22%	21%	19%	20%	18%	13%	8%	14%	20%		14%
Taste	25	3	1	1	2	-	5	4	-	1	1	1	1	-
	2%	4%	2%	2%	7%	-	5%	3%	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	-



Q9 Nets summary (Unimportant): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gend	der			Age	)				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The environment	68	2	4	4	48	20	18	12	10	7	7	14	30	17	22
	7%	5%	4%	8%	10%	4%	18%	6%	5%	4%	4%	7%	10%	5%	5%
					b		bcdef						bc		
The welfare of animals	87	1	5	3	63	24	15	17	15	16	10	14	33	31	24
	8%	3%	5%	7%	13%	4%	16%	9%	7%	10%	5%	6%	11%	9%	6%
					b		cef						С		
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne															
diseases)	30	-	2	2	19	10	7	8	8	1	2	4	15	9	6
	3%	-	2%	4%	4%	2%	7%	4%	4%	1%	1%	2%	5%	2%	2%
							def						С		
Health and nutrition	53	1	2	6	32	20	11	15	8	7	5	7	26	15	12
	5%	2%	2%	13%	6%	4%	11%	8%	4%	4%	3%	3%	9%	4%	3%
				b	b		cef	ef					bc		
Cost	93	6	11	7	55	38	15	22	21	13	9	13	36	34	23
	9%	16%	12%	15%	11%	7%	15%	11%	10%	8%	5%	6%	12%	9%	6%
					b		ef	е					С		
Convenience	197	7	26	9	114	83	23	42	41	25	25	42	65	65	67
	19%	18%	27%	20%	23%	15%	23%	22%	20%	16%	14%	19%	22%	18%	17%
					b			е							
Taste	25	-	3	3	18	7	3	6	7	2	3	4	9	9	7
	2%	-	3%	6%	4%	1%	3%	3%	3%	2%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%
					b										



Q9 Nets summary (Unimportant): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

BASE: All respondents

				Die	t					Awareness of 'nev	v category meat'			of trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
The environment	68 7%	52 6%	14 8%	5 5%	2 18%	-	2 11%	4 27%	44 8%	20 11% cd	24 6%	22 5%	39 6%	26 9%
The welfare of animals	87 8%	74 9%	11 6%	4 4%	3 27%		1 6%	2 15%	46 8%	13 7%	33 8%	41 9%	54 8%	26 9%
Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases)	30 3%	22 3%	6 3%	1 1%	1 9%	-		3 22%	20 3% d	11 6% cd	9 2%	7 1%	16 2%	11 4%
Health and nutrition	53 5%	40 5%	9 5%	4 3%	1 9%	1 6%	-	3 20%	32 6%	14 8%	17 4%	20 4%	29 4%	21 7%
Cost	93 9%	66 8%	26 15% a	15 13%	2 16%	1 6%	4 22%	4 27%	56 10%	26 14% cd	30 8%	36 8%	60 9%	25 9%
Convenience	197 19%	149 18%	41 24%	23 21%	4 32%	3 19%	7 35%	4 27%	103 18%	35 20%	67 17%	90 20%	121 18%	62 21%
Taste	25 2%	16 2%	10 6% a	3 3%	2 18%	1 6%	1 5%	2 14%	14 2%	7 4%	7 2%	10 2%	8 1%	10 3% a



## Q9\_1. The environment: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

								Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	521	38	31	30	11	40	41	71	14	31	18	30	59	22
		50%	48%	54%	51%	41%	58%	46%	49%	50%	53%	55%	48%	47%	63%
Fairly important	(3.0)	433	29	23	23	12	23	37	58	13	27	10	28	58	10
		41%	37%	41%	39%	44%	33%	41%	40%	46%	47%	30%	46%	46%	28%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	62	8	2	4	2	4	8	11	1	-	4	2	5	2
		6%	10%	3%	7%	7%	5%	9%	8%	4%	-	12%	3%	4%	6%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	6	1	-	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	1	-
		1%	1%	-	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Don't know		25	3	1	1	2	2	5	1	-	-	1	2	3	1
		2%	4%	2%	2%	8%	3%	5%	1%	-	-	3%	3%	2%	3%
NET: Important		954	67	54	53	24	63	78	129	26	58	28	58	117	32
		91%	85%	95%	90%	85%	91%	86%	90%	96%	100%	85%	94%	93%	91%
NET: Unimportant		68	9	2	5	2	4	8	14	1	-	4	2	6	2
		7%	11%	3%	9%	7%	5%	9%	10%	4%	-	12%	3%	5%	6%
Base for stats		1022	76	56	58	26	66	86	143	28	58	32	60	123	34
Mean Score		3.44	3.37	3.51	3.41	3.37	3.55	3.39	3.37	3.46	3.53	3.45	3.46	3.42	3.59
Standard Deviation		.635	.723	.568	.708	.640	.601	.654	.719	.589	.503	.714	.568	.612	.613
Standard Error		.020	.081	.075	.092	.123	.076	.074	.059	.118	.071	.124	.072	.054	.104
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	.01



## Q9\_1. The environment: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gen	der			Age	е	_			Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	521	17	44	24	217	304	38	78	101	78	105	121	116	179	226
	50%	44%	47%	52%	43%	56%	38%	41%	50%	49%	58%	57%	40%	50%	57%
						а					ab	ab		а	ab
Fairly important (3.0)	433	19	45	18	213	219	41	97	83	70	67	75	138	153	141
	41%	48%	48%	38%	43%	40%	41%	50% ef	41%	45%	37%	35%	47% c	43%	36%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	62	2	4	4	44	19	16	11	9	7	6	13	27	16	20
	6%	5%	4%	8%	9% b	3%	16% bcdef	6%	5%	4%	3%	6%	9% bc	5%	5%
					_										
Very unimportant (1.0)	6 1%	-	-	-	4 1%	2	2 2%	1	1	-	1 1%	1	3 1%	1	2
		-	-	•	170		270			-	170		1 70		
Don't know	25	1	1	1	21	4	3	5	8	2	2	4	9	10	6
	2%	3%	1%	2%	4% b	1%	3%	3%	4%	1%	1%	2%	3%	3%	1%
NET: Important	954	36	89	42	431	523	78	176	184	148	172	196	254	332	368
	91%	92%	95%	90%	86%	96%	79%	91%	91%	94%	95%	92%	87%	92%	93%
						а		а	а	а	а	а		а	а
NET: Unimportant	68	2	4	4	48	20	18	12	10	7	7	14	30	17	22
·	7%	5%	4%	8%	10%	4%	18%	6%	5%	4%	4%	7%	10%	5%	5%
					b		bcdef						bc		
Base for stats	1022	38	93	46	479	543	96	188	194	155	179	210	284	349	389
Mean Score	3.44	3.40	3.43	3.44	3.34	3.52	3.19	3.35	3.46	3.46	3.54	3.50	3.29	3.46	3.52
Standard Deviation	.635	.597	.578	.655	.680	a .582	.786	.614	a .612	a .583	ab .593	ab .638	.680	a .598	a .617
Standard Error	.020	.096	.059	.095	.032	.024	.082	.045	.043	.050	.044	.043	.041	.032	.031
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_1. The environment: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

				Di	et		-			Awareness of 'nev	v category meat		Likeliness o categor	f trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%	Total	a	b	C C	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	C	d	a	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 6 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	521 50%	406 48%	108 62% a	72 669 a	6 % 52%	12 75%	11 57%	6 39%	288 50%	92 51%	196 50%	219 49%	348 52%	134 46%
Fairly important (3.0)	433 41%	374 44% bc	49 28%	31 289	3 6 21%	4 25%	6 32%	5 34%	236 41%	67 37%	169 43%	188 42%	268 40%	128 43%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	62 6%	49 6%	12 7%	5 5%	1 6 9%	-	2 11%	3 20%	39 7%	19 11% cd	20 5%	22 5%	36 5%	24 8%
Very unimportant (1.0)	6 1%	4	2 1%	-	1 9%	:	-	1 7%	5 1%	1	4 1% d	-	3 *	2 1%
Don't know	25 2%	20 2%	3 2%	2 29	1 % 9%	-	-	- -	6 1%	1	5 1%	15 3% ab	9 1%	6 2%
NET: Important	954 91%	780 92%	156 90%	103 93%	9 6 73%	16 100%	17 89%	11 73%	524 91%	159 88%	365 93%	407 92%	616 93%	261 89%
NET: Unimportant	68 7%	52 6%	14 8%	5 5%	2 6 18%	-	2 11%	4 27%	44 8%	20 11% cd	24 6%	22 5%	39 6%	26 9%
Base for stats Mean Score	1022 3.44	832 3.42	170 3.54 a	108 3.62 a	11 3.28	16 3.75	19 3.46	16 3.04	568 3.42	179 3.40	388 3.43	429 3.46	655 3.47 b	287 3.37
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.635 .020 *	.623 .022 *	.682 .052 *	.579 .056 *	1.037 .299 .09	.447 .112 .01	.708 .162 .03	.964 .249 .06	.659 .028 *	.698 .052 *	.641 .033 *	.594 .028 *	.622 .024 *	.665 .039 *



# Q9\_2. The welfare of animals: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

								Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	497	36	31	29	8	39	42	68	14	26	16	29	58	22
		47%	45%	54%	49%	31%	56%	47%	47%	49%	46%	49%	47%	46%	63%
Fairly important	(3.0)	433	33	22	26	14	21	29	60	12	27	11	28	55	11
		41%	42%	38%	44%	52%	30%	32%	42%	42%	46%	33%	45%	44%	31%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	76	6	2	2	3	6	16	12	1	5	2	2	8	2
		7%	8%	4%	4%	10%	9%	18%	8%	4%	8%	6%	3%	6%	6%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	11	1	-	-	1	-	1	3	-	-	2	1	2	-
		1%	1%	-	-	4%	-	1%	2%	-	-	6%	2%	2%	-
Don't know		30	3	2	2	1	3	2	1	1	-	2	2	2	-
		3%	4%	4%	4%	3%	5%	3%	1%	5%	-	6%	3%	1%	-
NET: Important		930	69	53	55	23	59	72	128	25	53	27	57	114	33
		89%	87%	93%	93%	82%	86%	79%	89%	91%	92%	82%	92%	91%	94%
NET: Unimportant		87	7	2	2	4	6	17	15	1	5	4	3	10	2
		8%	9%	4%	4%	14%	9%	19%	10%	4%	8%	12%	5%	8%	6%
Base for stats		1017	76	55	57	27	65	89	143	26	58	31	60	124	35
Mean Score		3.39	3.36	3.52	3.47	3.13	3.50	3.27	3.35	3.48	3.37	3.34	3.41	3.37	3.58
Standard Deviation		.674	.695	.576	.574	.772	.662	.802	.724	.589	.636	.860	.646	.683	.606
														.060	.101
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.02	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	.01
Standard Error Error variance		.021 *	.078 .01	.077 .01	.075 .01	.146 .02	.085 .01	.090 .01	.060	.120 .01	.090 .01	.152 .02	.082 .01	.060	.101



# Q9\_2. The welfare of animals: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gene	der			Age	9				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	497	19	38	22	194	303	44	80	100	68	100	104	124	169	204
	47%	49%	40%	47%	39%	55%	44%	42%	50%	44%	56%	48%	42%	47%	52%
						а					bd				а
Fairly important (3.0)	433	17	47	21	224	209	36	90	81	67	67	93	126	147	160
	41%	44%	50%	45%	45% b	38%	36%	47%	40%	43%	37%	43%	43%	41%	40%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	76	1	5	3	55	21	12	14	14	16	8	12	27	30	20
	7%	3%	5%	7%	11%	4%	12%	7%	7%	10%	4%	6%	9%	8%	5%
					b		ef			е			С		
Very unimportant (1.0)	11	-	-	-	8	3	3	3	1	-	2	2	6	1	4
	1%	-	-	-	2%	*	3%	2%	*	-	1%	1%	2%	*	1%
							cd						b		
Don't know	30	2	5	1	19	11	4	6	7	6	4	4	10	12	8
	3%	5%	5%	2%	4%	2%	4%	3%	3%	4%	2%	2%	3%	3%	2%
NET: Important	930	36	84	43	418	512	80	170	181	135	167	197	250	316	364
	89%	92%	90%	91%	84%	94%	80%	88%	89%	86%	93%	92%	85%	88%	92%
						а			а		а	а			а
NET: Unimportant	87	1	5	3	63	24	15	17	15	16	10	14	33	31	24
	8%	3%	5%	7%	13%	4%	16%	9%	7%	10%	5%	6%	11%	9%	6%
					b		cef						С		
Base for stats	1017	38	89	46	481	536	95	188	195	151	177	210	283	347	387
Mean Score	3.39	3.48	3.37	3.41	3.26	3.51	3.26	3.32	3.43	3.35	3.50	3.42	3.30	3.40	3.46
Standard Deviation	.674	.562	.586	.621	.723	a .601	.816	.683	.645	.665	abd .641	.642	.730	.654	.642
Standard Error	.021	.091	.061	.090	.034	.025	.086	.050	.045	.057	.048	.043	.044	.035	.032
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_2. The welfare of animals: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

		Diet Eat meat,								Awareness of 'nev	v category meat'		Likeliness o	f trying 'new 'y meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	d *e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	1	10	3 16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 5 100%	173 100%			2 16 0% 100%	19 5 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4	0) 497 47%	392 46%	100 58% a	!		5 12 7% 75%	13 68%	7 47%	286 50%	87 48%	199 51%	198 45%	340 51% b	123 42%
Fairly important (3	0) 433 41%	364 43% b	57 33%			4 4 6% 25%	5 5 26%	5 33%	233 41%	80 45%	153 39%	190 43%	263 40%	132 45%
Fairly unimportant (2	0) 76 7%	64 7%	10 6%			3 - 7% -	1 6%	1 8%	40 7%	11 6%	29 7%	36 8%	47 7%	21 7%
Very unimportant (1	0) 11	10 5 1%	1 1%			- -	-	1 7%	6 1%	2 1%	4 1%	5 1%	6 1%	5 2%
Don't know	30 3%	23 3%	5 3%		4 4%		-	1 6%	8 1%	-	8 2% b	15 3% ab	8 1%	12 4% a
NET: Important	930 89%	755 5 89%	157 91%			9 16 3% 100%	18 5 94%	12 79%	520 91%	167 93% d	352 89%	388 87%	603 91%	255 87%
NET: Unimportant	87 8%	74 9%	11 6%			3 - 7% -	1 6%	2 15%	46 8%	13 7%	33 8%	41 9%	54 8%	26 9%
Base for stats Mean Score	1017 3.39	829 3.37	168 3.52 a	3.5		2 16 0 3.75	19 3.62	15 3.26	565 3.42	180 3.40	385 3.42	429 3.35	657 3.43 b	282 3.33
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.674 .021 *	.678 .024 *	.641 .049 *	.5 .09		0 .112	.613 .141 .02	.935 .250 .06	.668 .028 *	.654 .049 *	.675 .035 *	.687 .033 *	.668 .026 *	.694 .041 *



# Q9\_3. Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

	[							Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	797	61	46	48	17	55	66	106	22	41	27	51	98	29
		76%	77%	81%	81%	62%	80%	73%	74%	79%	71%	83%	82%	78%	83%
Fairly important	(3.0)	204	14	10	8	9	11	18	28	5	15	3	8	25	5
	` /	20%	18%	17%	14%	34%	16%	20%	19%	17%	27%	9%	13%	20%	15%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	21	2	-	-	1	-	2	6	1	1	2	1	1	1
		2%	3%	-	-	4%	-	2%	4%	4%	2%	6%	2%	1%	3%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	8	-	-	1	-	-	2	3	-	-	-	1	-	-
		1%	-	-	2%	-	-	3%	2%	-	-	-	2%	-	-
Don't know		16	2	1	2	-	2	2	1	-	-	1	1	2	-
		1%	2%	2%	4%	-	3%	2%	1%	-	-	3%	1%	2%	-
NET: Important		1002	75	56	56	27	66	84	134	26	57	30	59	123	34
		96%	95%	98%	95%	96%	97%	92%	93%	96%	98%	92%	95%	98%	97%
NET: Unimportant		30	2	-	1	1	-	5	9	1	1	2	2	1	1
		3%	3%	-	2%	4%	-	5%	6%	4%	2%	6%	3%	1%	3%
Base for stats		1031	77	56	57	28	66	89	143	28	58	32	61	123	35
Mean Score		3.74	3.77	3.82	3.81	3.58	3.83	3.67	3.66	3.75	3.69	3.79	3.79	3.78	3.80
Standard Deviation		.532	.484	.385	.512	.581	.376	.658	.661	.528	.506	.537	.553	.432	.470
Standard Error		.017	.054	.051	.067	.108	.048	.074	.054	.106	.072	.093	.070	.038	.078
Error variance	l	, i	^		•	.01		.01	*	.01	.01	.01			.01



# Q9\_3. Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gend	ler			Age	9				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	797	30	66	34	367	431	66	137	147	117	146	185	202	264	331
	76%	77%	70%	73%	73%	79%	66%	71%	73%	75%	81%	87%	69%	73%	84%
						а					ab	abcd			ab
Fairly important (3.0)	204	8	26	11	101	103	24	46	41	38	32	23	70	79	55
	20%	20%	28%	23%	20%	19%	24%	24%	20%	24%	18%	11%	24%	22%	14%
							1		ı	'	'		С	С	
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	21	-	2 2%	1	13	9	5	5	4	1	2	4	11	5	6
	2%	-	2%	2%	3%	2%	5% de	3%	2%	1%	1%	2%	4%	1%	2%
Very unimportant (1.0)	8 1%	-	-	1   2%	6 1%	2	1 1%	3 2%	4 2%	-	-	-	4 1%	4 1%	-
	176	-	-	276	170		1 76	270	f	-	-	-	C	C	-
Don't know	16	1	-	-	13	3	3	2	6	1	1	2	6	7	3
	1%	3%	-	-	3%	1%	3%	1%	3%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
					b										
NET: Important	1002	38	92	45	468	534	90	183	188	155	178	208	273	343	386
	96%	97%	98%	96%	94%	98% a	90%	95%	93%	99% ac	98% abc	97% ac	93%	96%	98% a
						a				ac		ac			a
NET: Unimportant	30	-	2 2%	2 4%	19 4%	10 2%	7	8 4%	8 4%	1	2 1%	4 2%	15 5%	9 2%	6
	3%	-	2%	4%	4%	2%	7% def	4%	4%	1%	1%	2%	5% C	2%	2%
Base for stats Mean Score	1031 3.74	38 3.79	94 3.68	47 3.66	487 3.70	544 3.77	96 3.60	191 3.66	196 3.69	156 3.74	180 3.80	212 3.85	287 3.64	352 3.71	392
IVIEATI SCOTE	3.74	3.79	3.08	3.00	3.70	3.77 a	3.00	3.00	3.09	3.74	3.80 abc	3.85 abcd	3.04	3.71	3.83 ab
Standard Deviation	.532	.412	.509	.637	.585	.479	.653	.615	.613	.455	.426	.405	.627	.549	.415
Standard Error	.017	.066	.052	.091	.027	.020	.068	.044	.043	.039	.032	.027	.037	.029	.021
Error variance	*	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_3. Food safety (i.e. the likelihood of catching food borne diseases): How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

					Diet						Awareness of 'nev	v category meat'		Likeliness c	of trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173		110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%		110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	797 76%	655 77%	130 75%		85 77%	10 77%	12 75%	15 80%	8 49%	437 76%	128 71%	310 79% b	345 78%	511 77%	228 78%
Fairly important (3.0)	204 20%	163 19%	35 20%		21 19%	2 14%	4 25%	4 20%	5 29%	114 20%	41 23%	73 19%	83 19%	134 20%	51 17%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	21 2%	15 2%	5 3%		1 1%	-	-	-	3 22%	13 2%	7 4% d	6 2%	5 1%	13 2%	8 3%
Very unimportant (1.0)	8 1%	7 1%	1 1%		-	1 9%	-	-	- -	7 1%	4 2% d	3 1%	1	3	3 1%
Don't know	16 1%	12 1%	3 2%		3 3%	-	-	-	-	3 1%	1 1%	2	9 2% ac	4 1%	4 1%
NET: Important	1002 96%	818 96%	165 95%		106 96%	11 91%	16 100%	19 100%	12 78%	551 96%	168 93%	383 97% b	428 96%	645 97%	279 95%
NET: Unimportant	30 3%	22 3%	6 3%		1 1%	1 9%		-	3 22%	20 3% d	11 6% cd	9 2%	7 1%	16 2%	11 4%
Base for stats Mean Score	1031 3.74	840 3.74	170 3.72		107 3.79	12 3.59	16 3.75	19 3.80	16 3.27	571 3.72	179 3.63	392 3.76 b	435 3.78 b	661 3.74	290 3.74
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.532 .017 *	.526 .018 *	.543 .042 *		.438 .042 *	.925 .257 .07	.445 .111 .01	.413 .095 .01	.823 .213 .05	.567 .024 *	.666 .050 *	.511 .026 *	.463 .022 *	.507 .020 *	.557 .033 *



# Q9\_4. Health and nutrition: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

								Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	674	51	40	34	18	49	56	85	23	37	27	39	79	24
		64%	64%	70%	57%	64%	71%	62%	59%	83%	63%	82%	64%	63%	69%
Fairly important	(3.0)	310	21	16	18	8	17	31	47	3	20	4	20	39	9
		30%	26%	28%	31%	28%	24%	35%	33%	13%	35%	12%	33%	31%	25%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	42	5	-	4	2	1	1	8	1	1	1	2	6	1
		4%	6%	-	7%	8%	2%	1%	5%	5%	2%	3%	4%	5%	3%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	11	2	-	2	-	-	1	3	-	-	-	-	1	1
		1%	2%	-	4%	-	-	1%	2%	-	-	-	-	1%	3%
Don't know		11	1	1	1	-	2	1	1	-	-	1	-	1	-
		1%	1%	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	1%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-
NET: Important		984	72	56	52	26	65	88	132	26	57	31	60	118	33
		94%	90%	98%	88%	92%	95%	96%	92%	95%	98%	94%	96%	94%	94%
NET: Unimportant		53	7	-	6	2	1	2	11	1	1	1	2	7	2
		5%	8%	-	10%	8%	2%	2%	7%	5%	2%	3%	4%	6%	6%
Base for stats		1036	78	56	58	28	66	90	143	28	58	32	62	125	35
Mean Score		3.59	3.54	3.71	3.44	3.56	3.71	3.59	3.50	3.78	3.61	3.82	3.60	3.57	3.60
Standard Deviation		.621	.718	.458	.785	.651	.494	.583	.696	.521	.531	.457	.565	.624	.693
Standard Error		.019	.080	.061	.102	.121	.063	.065	.057	.104	.075	.079	.071	.055	.115
Error variance		*	.01	*	.01	.01	*	*	*	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01



# Q9\_4. Health and nutrition: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions			Gend	ler			Age	)				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	674	28	58	27	296	377	50	114	133	94	124	159	164	227	283
	64%	70%	62%	58%	59%	69%	50%	59%	66%	60%	69%	74%	56%	63%	72%
						а			а		а	abd			ab
Fairly important (3.0)	310	10	34	14	161	149	37	62	57	55	52	48	99	112	99
	30%	25%	36%	29%	32%	27%	37%	32%	28%	35%	29%	22%	34%	31%	25%
							t	Ť		ţ			С		
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	42	1	2	5	27	15	9	12	7	7	4	3	21	14	7
	4%	2%	2%	11%	5% b	3%	9% ef	6%	4%	4%	2%	1%	7%	4%	2%
				b	D		ы	ı					С		
Very unimportant (1.0)	11	-	-	1	5	6	2	3	1	-	1	4	5	1	5
	1%	-	-	2%	1%	1%	2%	1%	*	-	1%	2%	2% b	*	1%
Don't know	11	1	_	-	11	-	2	2	4	1	-	1	5	5	1
	1%	3%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	1%	-	*	2%	1%	*
					b		е								
NET: Important	984	38	92	41	457	527	86	176	190	149	176	207	263	339	382
	94%	95%	98%	87%	91%	96%	87%	91%	94%	95%	97%	96%	90%	94%	97%
			С			а			а	а	ab	ab		а	а
NET: Unimportant	53	1	2	6	32	20	11	15	8	7	5	7	26	15	12
	5%	2%	2%	13%	6%	4%	11%	8% ef	4%	4%	3%	3%	9%	4%	3%
				b	b		cef	eı					bc		
Base for stats	1036	38	94	47	489	547	97	191	198	156	181	213	288	354	394
Mean Score	3.59	3.69	3.60	3.43	3.53	3.64	3.37	3.51	3.63	3.56	3.65 ab	3.70 abd	3.46	3.60 a	3.68 a
Standard Deviation	.621	.518	.535	.775	.651	a .587	.746	.684	a .580	a .581	.552	.588	.707	.581	.571
Standard Error	.019	.083	.054	.111	.030	.025	.078	.049	.040	.049	.041	.039	.042	.031	.028
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_4. Health and nutrition: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices?

		Diet								Awareness of 'nev	v category meat'		Likeliness o categor	f trying 'new y meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	674 64%	535 63%	128 74% a	84 76% a	8 61%	13 81%	15 80%	8 53%	382 67%	120 67%	262 67%	276 62%	433 65%	192 65%
Fairly important (3.0)	310 30%	269 32% bc	35 20%	22 20%	4 30%	2 13%	4 20%	3 20%	159 28%	46 25%	114 29%	143 32%	200 30%	78 27%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	42 4%	35 4%	6 3%	3 3%	-	1 6%	-	2 15%	28 5%	13 7% d	14 4%	13 3%	24 4%	16 6%
Very unimportant (1.0)	11 1%	6 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 9%			1 6%	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	7 2%	5 1%	5 2%
Don't know	11 1%	8 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 7%	1 *	-	1	5 1% a	2	2 1%
NET: Important	984 94%	804 94%	162 94%	106 96%	11 91%	15 94%	19 100%	11 73%	541 94%	166 92%	375 95%	419 94%	633 95% b	270 92%
NET: Unimportant	53 5%	40 5%	9 5%	4 3%	1 9%	1 6%	-	3 20%	32 6%	14 8%	17 4%	20 4%	29 4%	21 7%
Base for stats Mean Score	1036 3.59	844 3.58	171 3.68	109 3.72 a	12 3.43	16 3.75	19 3.80	14 3.29	573 3.61	180 3.58	393 3.62	439 3.57	663 3.60	291 3.57
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.621 .019 *	.606 .021 *	.629 .048 *	.554 .053 *	.916 .254 .06	.579 .145 .02	.413 .095 .01	.977 .261 .07	.614 .026 *	.652 .049 *	.596 .030 *	.632 .030 *	.598 .023 *	.676 .040 *



# Q9\_5. Cost: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

									and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	445	38	23	24	9	32	32	68	17	31	18	23	48	13
		42%	48%	40%	41%	34%	46%	35%	47%	63%	54%	54%	37%	38%	39%
Fairly important	(3.0)	496	31	30	26	15	34	47	62	9	24	11	34	66	19
		47%	40%	53%	45%	55%	49%	51%	43%	33%	42%	34%	54%	52%	56%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	82	6	3	6	2	1	10	11	1	1	3	5	11	1
		8%	7%	6%	10%	7%	1%	11%	7%	4%	2%	9%	8%	8%	3%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	11	3	-	-	1	-	-	3	-	1	-	-	-	-
		1%	4%	-	-	4%	-	-	2%	-	2%	-	-	-	-
Don't know		14	1	1	2	-	2	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
		1%	1%	2%	4%	-	3%	3%	-	-	-	3%	-	1%	3%
NET: Important		941	70	53	51	25	65	78	130	26	56	29	57	114	33
		90%	88%	93%	86%	88%	95%	86%	90%	96%	96%	89%	92%	91%	95%
NET: Unimportant		93	9	3	6	3	1	10	14	1	2	3	5	11	1
		9%	11%	6%	10%	12%	1%	11%	10%	4%	4%	9%	8%	8%	3%
Base for stats		1033	78	56	57	28	66	89	144	28	58	32	62	125	34
Mean Score		3.33	3.34	3.35	3.32	3.18	3.46	3.24	3.36	3.59	3.48	3.47	3.29	3.30	3.37
Standard Deviation		.667	.776	.589	.663	.750	.532	.649	.714	.579	.640	.662	.615	.620	.544
Standard Error		.021	.086	.078	.087	.139	.068	.073	.059	.116	.091	.115	.077	.054	.092
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.02	*	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01



# Q9\_5. Cost: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions				Gen	der			Age	9	_			Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	445	15	31	22	203	242	40	73	84	64	83	101	113	148	184
	42%	37%	33%	47%	41%	44%	40%	38%	42%	40%	46%	47%	39%	41%	47% a
Fairly important (3.0)	496	17	52	18	231	265	44	95	92	79	88	97	139	171	185
	47%	42%	55%	39%	46%	48%	44%	49%	46%	50%	49%	45%	47%	48%	47%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	82	6	9	6	47	34	11	18	20	13	7	13	29	33	20
	8%	16%	9%	12%	9%	6%	11% e	9% e	10% e	8%	4%	6%	10% c	9% c	5%
Very unimportant (1.0)	11	_	2	1	7	4	3	4	1		2	4	7	1	,
very unimportant (1.0)	1%	-	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	*	-	2 1%	*	3%	*	3 1%
							cdf						b		
Don't know	14	2	-	-	12	2	1	3	5	1	1	2	5	6	3
	1%	5% b	-	-	2% b	*	1%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	2%	1%
NET: Important	941	31	83	40	434	507	84	168	177	143	171	199	252	319	369
	90%	79%	88%	85%	87%	93%	84%	87%	87%	91%	94% abc	93% ab	86%	89%	94% ab
						а									
NET: Unimportant	93 9%	6 16%	11 12%	7 15%	55 11%	38 7%	15 15%	22 11%	21 10%	13 8%	9 5%	13 6%	36 12%	34 9%	23 6%
	0,0	10%	.2%	.070	b	. 70	ef	е	1070	0,0	0,0	0,0	c	0,0	0,0
Base for stats	1033	38	94	47	488	545	98	190	197	156	180	212	288	353	392
Mean Score	3.33	3.22	3.20	3.30	3.29	3.37	3.22	3.25	3.32	3.32	3.40 ab	3.41 ab	3.24	3.32	3.40
Standard Deviation	.667	.721	.693	.775	.701	.633	.782	.711	.670	.625	.622	.622	.735	.650	.621
Standard Error	.021	.117	.070	.111	.033	.027	.081	.051	.046	.053	.046	.042	.044	.035	.031
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_5. Cost: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

		Diet Awareness of 'new category meat'									Likeliness o categor			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	445 42%	375 44%	63 36%	44 40%	4 32%	5 33%	5 24%	5 32%	241 42%	85 47%	157 40%	190 43%	274 41%	132 45%
Fairly important (3.0)	496 47%	399 47%	84 48%	51 46%	6 52%	10 61%	10 54%	6 40%	275 48% b	69 38%	206 52% b	211 48% b	327 49%	134 46%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	82 8%	58 7%	23 13% a	12 11%	2 16%	1 6%	4 22%	3 20%	47 8%	22 12% c	25 6%	35 8%	57 9%	19 7%
Very unimportant (1.0)	11 1%	8 1%	3 2%	2 2%	-	-	-	1 7%	9 1%	3 2%	5 1%	2	3 *	6 2% a
Don't know	14 1%	12 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-		-	-	2 *	1 1%	1	6 1%	3	3 1%
NET: Important	941 90%	774 91% b	147 85%	95 86%	10 84%	15 94%	15 78%	11 73%	516 90%	153 85%	363 92% b	401 90%	602 91%	265 90%
NET: Unimportant	93 9%	66 8%	26 15% a	15 13%	2 16%	1 6%	4 22%	4 27%	56 10%	26 14% cd	30 8%	36 8%	60 9%	25 9%
Base for stats Mean Score	1033 3.33	840 3.36 b	173 3.20	109 3.25	12 3.16	16 3.28	19 3.03	16 2.98	572 3.31	179 3.31	393 3.31	438 3.35	662 3.32	291 3.35
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.667 .021 *	.652 .022 *	.733 .056 *	.733 .070 *	.705 .196 .04	.579 .145 .02	.693 .159 .03	.933 .241 .06	.684 .029 *	.761 .057 *	.648 .033 *	.640 .030 *	.647 .025 *	.695 .041 *



## Q9\_6. Convenience: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

								Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	288	26	13	14	5	24	19	39	7	13	9	19	35	16
		28%	33%	22%	24%	18%	35%	20%	27%	25%	22%	29%	31%	28%	47%
Fairly important	(3.0)	537	38	28	31	16	28	50	80	17	38	18	29	63	14
		51%	47%	50%	52%	58%	41%	55%	55%	63%	66%	54%	47%	50%	39%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	179	13	12	12	6	13	12	22	3	4	4	12	23	5
		17%	16%	21%	20%	21%	19%	13%	15%	13%	6%	11%	19%	18%	14%
Very unimportant	(1.0)	17	1	1	1	-	-	6	4	-	1	1	1	-	-
		2%	1%	2%	2%	-	-	6%	3%	-	2%	3%	1%	-	-
Don't know		25	2	3	1	1	3	5	-	-	2	1	1	5	-
		2%	2%	5%	2%	3%	5%	5%	-	-	4%	3%	1%	4%	-
NET: Important		825	64	41	45	21	52	69	118	24	51	27	49	98	30
		79%	80%	72%	76%	76%	76%	75%	82%	87%	88%	83%	79%	78%	86%
NET: Unimportant		197	14	13	13	6	13	18	25	3	5	4	12	23	5
		19%	17%	23%	22%	21%	19%	20%	18%	13%	8%	14%	20%	18%	14%
Base for stats		1022	77	54	58	27	65	86	144	28	56	32	61	121	35
Mean Score		3.07	3.15	2.98	3.00	2.98	3.17	2.94	3.07	3.12	3.12	3.13	3.10	3.10	3.33
Standard Deviation		.722	.731	.733	.732	.645	.742	.789	.726	.610	.608	.726	.750	.686	.719
Standard Error		.023	.082	.099	.095	.122	.095	.089	.060	.122	.088	.126	.094	.061	.120
Error variance		*	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	.01	*	.01	.01	.02	.01	*	.01



## Q9\_6. Convenience: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

	Poland regions			Gender				Age				Age			
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	288	10	24	15	115	173	29	51	51	39	57	61	80	90	118
	28%	26%	26%	32%	23%	32% a	29%	26%	25%	25%	32%	28%	27%	25%	30%
Fairly important (3.0)	537	21	44	22	250	287	46	97	105	89	93	106	144	194	199
	51%	53%	47%	48%	50%	52%	46%	50%	52%	57%	52%	49%	49%	54%	50%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	179	7	25	8	105	75	22	36	39	22	23	38	58	61	61
	17%	18%	26%	18%	21% b	14%	22% e	18%	19%	14%	13%	18%	20%	17%	15%
Very unimportant (1.0)	17 2%	-	1 1%	1 2%	9 2%	8 2%	1 1%	6 3%	2 1%	2 2%	2 1%	4 2%	7 2%	4 1%	6 1%
			170												
Don't know	25 2%	1 3%	-	-	21 4%	4 1%	1 1%	3 2%	6 3%	3 2%	5 3%	6 3%	5 2%	10 3%	11 3%
	270	370		-	b	170	170	270	370	270	370	370	270	370	370
NET: Important	825	31	68	38	365	460	76	148	155	129	150	167	224	284	317
	79%	79%	73%	80%	73%	84% a	76%	77%	77%	82%	83%	78%	76%	79%	80%
NET: Unimportant	197	7	26	9	114	83	23	42	41	25	25	42	65	65	67
	19%	18%	27%	20%	23% b	15%	23%	22% e	20%	16%	14%	19%	22%	18%	17%
Base for stats	1022	38	94	47	479	543	98	190	196	154	175	208	288	350	384
Mean Score	3.07	3.09	2.97	3.11	2.98	3.15	3.05	3.01	3.04	3.08	3.17	3.07	3.03	3.06	3.12
Standard Deviation	722	670	754	704	722	a 704	750	765	706	670	b	727	760	602	717
Standard Deviation Standard Error	.722 .023	.678 .109	.751 .076	.764 .109	.732 .034	.704 .030	.752 .078	.765 .055	.706 .049	.678 .058	.690 .052	.737 .050	.760 .045	.693 .037	.717 .036
Error variance	*	.01	.01	.01	*	.000	.01	.000	.043	.000	*	.050	*	*	.030
	$\overline{}$														



# Q9\_6. Convenience: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

		Diet								Awareness of 'nev	Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'			
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	288 28%	229 27%	53 30%	32 29%	4 30%	8 51%	5 26%	4 25%	174 30% d	68 38% cd	106 27%	106 24%	188 28%	81 28%
Fairly important (3.0)	537 51%	454 53% b	77 44%	52 47%	5 38%	5 30%	7 39%	7 48%	294 51% b	77 43%	217 55% b	231 52% b	351 53%	145 49%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	179 17%	140 16%	34 20%	21 19%	2 14%	2 13%	7 35%	3 19%	93 16%	32 18%	61 15%	83 19%	115 17%	53 18%
Very unimportant (1.0)	17 2%	10 1%	7 4% a	2 2%	2 18%	1 5%	-	1 8%	10 2%	3 2%	7 2%	7 2%	6 1%	9 3% a
Don't know	25 2%	20 2%	3 2%	3 3%	-	-	-	-	3 1%	-	3 1%	18 4% abc	5 1%	5 2%
NET: Important	825 79%	682 80%	130 75%	84 76%	9 68%	13 81%	12 65%	11 73%	468 82% d	145 80%	323 82% d	336 76%	538 81%	226 77%
NET: Unimportant	197 19%	149 18%	41 24%	23 21%	4 32%	3 19%	7 35%	4 27%	103 18%	35 20%	67 17%	90 20%	121 18%	62 21%
Base for stats Mean Score	1022 3.07	832 3.08	170 3.03	107 3.06	12 2.81	16 3.27	19 2.91	16 2.90	571 3.11	180 3.16 d	391 3.08	426 3.02	660 3.09	289 3.03
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.722 .023 *	.695 .024 *	.818 .063 *	.761 .074 .01	1.104 .306 .09	.916 .229 .05	.800 .183 .03	.887 .229 .05	.726 .030 *	.777 .058 *	.701 .036 *	.714 .034 *	.699 .027 *	.769 .045 *



# Q9\_7. Taste: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

								Pola	and regions						
		Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total		1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total		1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important	(4.0)	706	54	38	40	13	53	54	91	22	41	23	48	85	24
		67%	69%	66%	68%	48%	78%	59%	64%	80%	72%	71%	77%	68%	69%
Fairly important	(3.0)	304	20	17	17	12	13	31	48	6	15	8	14	38	10
		29%	25%	30%	29%	44%	19%	35%	34%	20%	26%	23%	22%	31%	28%
Fairly unimportant	(2.0)	18	2	1	-	1	-	2	3	-	1	1	-	1	-
		2%	2%	2%	-	3%	-	2%	2%	-	2%	3%	-	1%	-
Very unimportant	(1.0)	7	1	-	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	-
		1%	1%	-	2%	4%	-	3%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-
Don't know		11	2	1	1	-	2	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	1
		1%	2%	2%	2%	-	3%	1%	-	-	-	3%	-	1%	3%
NET: Important		1010	75	55	57	26	66	85	140	28	57	31	61	124	34
		96%	94%	97%	96%	93%	97%	94%	97%	100%	98%	94%	98%	98%	97%
NET: Unimportant		25	3	1	1	2	-	5	4	-	1	1	1	1	-
		2%	4%	2%	2%	7%	-	5%	3%	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	-
Base for stats		1036	77	56	58	28	66	90	144	28	58	32	62	125	34
Mean Score		3.65	3.66	3.66	3.65	3.37	3.80	3.52	3.60	3.80	3.69	3.70	3.73	3.68	3.71
Standard Deviation		.552	.590	.511	.589	.751	.400	.677	.568	.409	.510	.521	.542	.486	.460
Standard Error		.017	.066	.068	.077	.140	.051	.075	.047	.082	.072	.091	.068	.043	.078
Error variance		*	*	*	.01	.02	*	.01	*	.01	.01	.01	*	*	.01



# Q9\_7. Taste: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gen	der			Age	е				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Very important (4.0)	706	27	60	31	324	381	58	118	131	108	136	156	176	239	292
	67%	69%	64%	67%	65%	70%	58%	61%	65%	69%	75% abc	73% ab	60%	66%	74% ab
Fairly important (3.0)	304	11	31	12	148	156	37	67	60	46	42	53	105	105	94
	29%	28%	33%	27%	30%	29%	38% ef	35% ef	29%	29%	23%	25%	36% c	29%	24%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	18	-	3	3	13	5	1	4	5	2	2	4	5	7	6
	2%	-	3%	6%	3%	1%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	1%
Very unimportant (1.0)	7	-	-	-	6	2	2	2	2	-	1	-	4	2	1
	1%	-	-	-	1%	•	2% f	1%	1%	-	•	-	1%	1%	•
Don't know	11	1	-	-	10	2	1	2	5	1	-	2	3	6	2
	1%	3%	-	-	2% b	*	1%	1%	2% e	1%	-	1%	1%	2%	*
NET: Important	1010	38	91	44	472	538	95	185	190	154	178	209	280	344	386
	96%	97%	97%	94%	94%	98%	96%	96%	94%	98%	98%	97%	96%	96%	98%
						а					С				
NET: Unimportant	25 2%	-	3 3%	3 6%	18 4%	7 1%	3 3%	6 3%	7 3%	2 2%	3 2%	4 2%	9 3%	9 3%	7 2%
	270		0,0	078	b	170	070	070	070	270	270	270	070	070	2,0
Base for stats	1036	38	94	47	491	545	98	191	197	156	181	212	290	353	393
Mean Score	3.65	3.71	3.61	3.61	3.61	3.68 a	3.53	3.57	3.62	3.68	3.73 abc	3.72 ab	3.56	3.64	3.72 ab
Standard Deviation	.552	.460	.554	.608	.597	.507	.640	.596	.592	.501	.500	.489	.611	.554	.494
Standard Error	.017	.074	.056	.087	.028	.021	.066	.043	.041	.043	.037	.033	.036	.030	.024
Error variance	*	.01	*	.01	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*



# Q9\_7. Taste: How important or unimportant are each of the following when making your food choices? BASE: All respondents

				Diet			-			Awareness of 'new	category meat		Likeliness of categor	
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Very important (4.0)	706 67%	579 68%	113 65%	78 71%	7 59%	11 70%	10 53%	6 40%	398 69%	127 70%	272 69%	291 66%	467 70%	193 66%
Fairly important (3.0)	304 29%	247 29%	50 29%	28 25%	3 24%	4 23%	8 43%	7 46%	161 28%	47 26%	114 29%	136 31%	189 28%	88 30%
Fairly unimportant (2.0)	18 2%	12 1%	6 4% a	3 3%	-	1 6%	1 5%	1 7%	10 2%	5 3%	5 1%	8 2%	8 1%	7 2%
Very unimportant (1.0)	7 1%	4 *	3 2% a	-	2 18%	-	-	1 7%	4 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2	- -	3 1% a
Don't know	11 1%	9 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	-	1	7 2% ac	1 *	3 1% a
NET: Important	1010 96%	827 97% b	163 94%	106 96%	10 82%	15 94%	18 95%	13 86%	559 97%	173 96%	386 98%	427 96%	656 99% b	280 96%
NET: Unimportant	25 2%	16 2%	10 6% a	3 3%	2 18%	1 6%	1 5%	2 14%	14 2%	7 4%	7 2%	10 2%	8 1%	10 3% a
Base for stats Mean Score	1036 3.65	842 3.66	173 3.58	109 3.68	12 3.23	16 3.64	19 3.48	16 3.18	573 3.66	180 3.65	393 3.67	437 3.64	664 3.69 b	291 3.62
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	.552 .017 *	.529 .018 *	.659 .050 *	.527 .050 *	1.165 .323 .10	.616 .154 .02	.602 .138 .02	.878 .227 .05	.548 .023 *	.594 .044 *	.527 .027 *	.546 .026 *	.488 .019 *	.592 .035 *



Q10. You've mentioned you are likely to try this new category of meat. Please think about replacing traditional meat consumption with this new category of meat and the reasons why you might do this ... Which of the following factors, if any, appeal to you about this new category of meat?

BASE: All who say they are likely to try 'new category meat'

							Pola	and regions						
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	665	54	32	39	16	40	50	107	16	27	20	39	76	25
Total	665	53	32	38	15	43	56	105	17	31	19	38	73	24
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Reducing the number of animals which are farmed														
and killed for traditional meat production	407	37	21	19	9	23	34	68	12	19	13	25	47	13
	61%	70%	65%	49%	56%	53%	61%	65%	73%	62%	66%	66%	64%	55%
Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat	352	27	17	22	8	26	28	46	7	15	12	25	34	14
	53%	52%	53%	58%	50%	60%	50%	44%	39%	48%	60%	66%	47%	59%
Eating a safer alternative to traditional meat (i.e.														
less likely to carry food borne diseases)	340	28	16	11	7	24	30	49	10	22	11	24	36	13
	51%	54%	49%	28%	43%	55%	54%	47%	60%	70%	55%	63%	49%	52%
Reducing my environmental footprint	340	24	21	17	7	20	24	57	11	16	12	22	40	13
	51%	46%	65%	45%	43%	45%	44%	54%	66%	52%	60%	57%	55%	56%
Seeing the difference between this new category of														
meat and traditional meat	159	13	9	9	4	12	9	26	4	5	4	14	22	4
	24%	24%	27%	23%	25%	27%	17%	25%	26%	15%	20%	36%	30%	16%
Other	6	1	-	-	-	2	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
	1%	2%	-	-	-	5%	-	1%	7%	-	-	-	-	-
None of these appeal to me	13	1	1	-	2	1	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
	2%	2%	4%	-	13%	3%	4%	1%	-	-	-	-	-	4%
Don't know	11	1	-	1	-	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	3	-
	2%	2%	-	3%		3%	2%	1%	7%	-	-	-	4%	-



Q10. You've mentioned you are likely to try this new category of meat. Please think about replacing traditional meat consumption with this new category of meat and the reasons why you might do this ... Which of the following factors, if any, appeal to you about this new category of meat?

BASE: All who say they are likely to try 'new category meat'

			Poland regions		Gen	der			Age	)	_			Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		a	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	665	32	62	30	311	352	68	131	135	91	102	138	199	226	240
Total	665	32	60	29	327	337	72	130	127	102	101	133	202	229	234
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Reducing the number of animals which are farmed															
and killed for traditional meat production	407	16	36	16	186	221	36	78	82	65	58	87	114	148	145
	61%	50%	59%	55%	57%	66% a	51%	60%	64%	64%	57%	66% a	57%	64%	62%
Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat	352	16	37	18	164	188	31	64	66	61	57	73	95	127	130
	53%	50%	63%	62%	50%	56%	43%	49%	52%	60%	56%	55%	47%	55%	56%
										а					
Eating a safer alternative to traditional meat (i.e. less		4-5		40	450	407							404	440	40-
likely to carry food borne diseases)	340	15	30	16	153	187	32	69	57	55	51	76	101	112	127
	51%	47%	50%	55%	47%	55% a	45%	53%	44%	54%	51%	57% c	50%	49%	54%
Reducing my environmental footprint	340	9	33	13	169	171	30	64	57	59	57	73	94	116	130
	51%	29%	56%	46%	52%	51%	42%	49%	44%	58%	57%	55%	46%	51%	56%
			а							ac					
Seeing the difference between this new category of															
meat and traditional meat	159	2	16	8	77	82	20	32	31	25	24	27	52	57	50
	24%	7%	26% a	27%	23%	24%	27%	25%	24%	25%	24%	20%	26%	25%	22%
			а	а											
Other	6	-	-	-	6	-	-	1	1	1	-	2	1	2	2
	1%	-	-	-	2% b	-	-	1%	1%	1%	-	2%	1%	1%	1%
					D										
None of these appeal to me	13	1	2	1	9	4	1	1	1	1	3	6	2	2	9
	2%	3%	4%	4%	3%	1%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	4%	1%	1%	4% b
Don't know	11	-	1	1	6	5	2	-	1	1	4	3	2	2	7
	2%	-	2%	3%	2%	1%	3%	-	1%	1%	4%	2%	1%	1%	3%
							b				b				l



Q10. You've mentioned you are likely to try this new category of meat. Please think about replacing traditional meat consumption with this new category of meat and the reasons why you might do this ... Which of the following factors, if any, appeal to you about this new category of meat?

BASE: All who say they are likely to try 'new category meat'

Fat meat, fish, dark													_			of trying 'new
Part						Diet			I			Awareness of 'nev	w category meat		catego	ry meat'
Significance Level: 95%			fish, dairy and/or other animal	Reduced intake of animal									doesn't			
Unweighted Total 665 546 112 78 9 7 10 8 425 136 29 228 665 -  Total 665 546 112 78 8 9 7 10 9 428 136 29 228 665 -  Total 665 546 112 78 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 70 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 70 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 8 7 10 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 9 7 10 8 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 9 7 10 8 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 8 9 7 10 8 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 7 10 8 8 425 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 8 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 8 9 9 7 10 8 9 428 136 292 225 665 -  Total 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9	Significance Level: 059/	Total	-		Flexetarian/reducetarian											
Total    Comparison of Compari			a			C	u	е	'	y	a	ь		u	a	ь
Reducing the number of animals which are farmed and killed for traditional meat production  A07 327 78 61 4 5 5 3 262 79 183 139 407 - 61% 60% 70% 78% 54% 74% 48% 37% 61% 58% 63% 62% 61% - 8  Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat at 1 2 22 85 64 45 7 3 6 3 222 66 156 119 352 - 53% 52% 57% 58% 79% 42% 60% 38% 52% 49% 54% 53% 53% 53% 52% 57%  Eating a safer alternative to traditional meat (i.e. less likely to carry food borne diseases)  A08 285 52 41 1 2 2 4 3 218 54 163 118 340 - 51% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55% 55%	Unweighted Total	665	546	112		78	9	7	10	8	425	136	289	228	665	-
and killed for traditional meat production    407   61%   60%   70%   78%   54%   74%   48%   37%   61%   58%   63%   62%   61%   -	Total							•								
Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat   352   285   64   45   7   3   6   3   222   66   156   119   352   - 53%   52%   57%   58%   79%   42%   60%   38%   52%   49%   54%   53%   53%   53%   - 52%   58																
Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat  352	and killed for traditional meat production															
Eating a safer alternative to traditional meat (i.e. less likely to carry food borne diseases)  340		61%	60%				54%	74%	48%	31%	61%	58%	63%	62%	61%	-
Eating a safer alternative to traditional meat (i.e. less likely to carry food borne diseases)  340 285 52 41 1 2 4 3 218 54 163 118 340 - 53% 51% 52% 46% 53% 51% -  Reducing my environmental footprint 340 264 74 59 55 5 4 1 236 78 157 101 340 - 51% 51% 55% 57% 54% 66% 77% 39% 12% 55% 57% 54% 66% 51% -  Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat  159 24% 23% 23% 218 54 163 118 340 - 55% 55% 57% 40% 56% 71% 39% 12% 55% 57% 54% 13 43 70 44 159 - 24% 35% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30	Eating a healthier alternative to traditional meat						•									-
likely to carry food borne diseases)       340       285       52       41       1       2       4       3       218       54       163       118       340       -         Reducing my environmental footprint       340       264       74       59       5       5       4       1       236       78       157       101       340       -         Reducing my environmental footprint       340       264       74       59       5       5       4       1       236       78       157       101       340       -         51%       48%       66%       75%       56%       71%       39%       12%       55%       57%       54%       45%       51%       -         Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat       159       128       30       19       3       2       4       2       113       43       70       44       159       -         24%       23%       27%       24%       35%       30%       38%       25%       26%       31%       24%       19%       24%       -         Other       6       4       1       1       -       -		53%	52%	57%		58%	79%	42%	60%	38%	52%	49%	54%	53%	53%	-
Reducing my environmental footprint  340 264 74 59 5 5 5 4 1 236 78 157 101 340 - 51% 48% 66% 75% 56% 71% 39% 12% 55% 57% 54% 45% 51% -  48% 45% 51% -  51% 48% 66% 75% 56% 71% 39% 12% 55% 57% 54% 45% 51% -  30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30																
Reducing my environmental footprint  340 264 74 59 59 5 5 4 1 236 78 157 101 340 - 51% 48% 66% 75% 56% 71% 39% 12% 55% 57% 54% 45% 51% - a  Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat  159 24% 23% 27% 19 39% 12 18 30 19 3 2 4 2 4 2 113 43 70 44 159 - a  Cherry 159 24% 35% 30% 38% 25% 4 1 1 236 78 157 57% 54% 45% 51% - 5	likely to carry food borne diseases)						•									-
Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat  159 24% 23% 27% 24% 31 30 21 24% 35% 35% 30% 38% 25% 31% 38% 25% 31% 31% 31% 31% 32% 35% 30% 38% 35% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30% 30		51%	52%	40%		53%	10%	30%	39%	40%		40%			51%	-
Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat  159 24% 23% 27% 24% 35% 30% 38% 25% 26% 31% 24% 19% 24% 19% 24% 10% 24% 24% 25% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26% 26	Reducing my environmental footprint	340	264			59					236				340	-
Seeing the difference between this new category of meat and traditional meat		51%	48%				56%	71%	39%	12%	1			45%	51%	-
meat and traditional meat				а		а					d	d	d			
24%     23%     27%     24%     35%     30%     38%     25%     26%     31%     24%     19%     24%     -       Other     6     4     1     1     -     -     -     -     -     2     -     2     3     6     -		450	400	22		40	0			0	440	40	70	44	450	
Other 6 4 1 1 2 - 2 3 6 -	meat and traditional meat										1					
	Other					-	-	-	-	-		-				-
1% 1% 1% 1% 1% 1% -		1%	1%	1%		1%	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%	1%	1%	-
None of these appeal to me 13 11 2 1 1 7 2 5 5 13 -	None of these appeal to me					•	-	-	-		1					-
2%   2% 2% 1% 10%   2% 1% 2% 2% 2% -		2%	2%	2%		1%	-	-	-	10%	2%	1%	2%	2%	2%	-
Don't know 11 11 3 - 3 8 11 -	Don't know					-	-	-	-	-		-				-
2% 2% 1% - 1% 4% 2% - abc		2%	2%	-		-	-	-	-	-	1%	-	1%		2%	-



Prefer not to say

**Gender**BASE: All respondents

Unweighted Total
Total
Male
Female
Non-binary
In some other way

						Pola	nd regions						
Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	34	21	35	15	30	47	75	9	30	14	31	62	11
48%	42%	38%	59%	54%	43%	52%	52%	34%	51%	44%	50%	49%	33%
547	46	36	24	13	39	44	68	18	28	18	31	64	23
52%	58%	62%	41%	46%	57%	48%	48%	66%	49%	56%	50%	51%	67%
_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_		_
_	_	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_	_	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	_	_	_	_	_	-
_	_	-	_	_	-	_	_	-	_	-	_	_	-



**Gender**BASE: All respondents

		Poland regions		Gen	der			Ag	Δ				Age	
Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie		7aahadaianamandia	Male		18-24	25-34	35-44		55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Total		Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie		Female				45-54		00+			
	а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	16	46	23	500	-	41	59	75	94	101	130	100	169	231
48%	40%	49%	50%	100%	-	41%	31%	37%	60%	56%	61%	34%	47%	58%
				b					abc	abc	abc		а	ab
547	24	48	23	-	547	59	134	128	63	80	84	193	190	164
52%	60%	51%	50%	-	100%	59%	69%	63%	40%	44%	39%	66%	53%	42%
					а	def	def	def				bc	С	
_	-	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	_	-	_	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



**Gender**BASE: All respondents

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Male
Female
Non-binary
In some other way
Prefer not to say

			Die	t					Awareness of 'new	v category meat			of trying 'new ry meat'
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
	а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444	665	294
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
500	413	76	52	4	4	6	9	301	106	195	184	327	138
48%	48%	44%	47%	36%	27%	33%	58%	52% d	59% cd	49% d	42%	49%	47%
547	439	98	58	8	12	13	7	273	74	199	260	337	155
52%	52%	56%	53%	64%	73%	67%	42%	48%	41%	51% b	58% abc	51%	53%
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



**Age**BASE: All respondents

								and regions						
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100
18-24	100	11	3	7	3	8	9	18	-	5	4	5	9	1
	10%	13%	6%	12%	12%	12%	10%	13%	-	8%	13%	9%	7%	3
25-34	193	7	16	6	6	10	22	29	7	9	7	8	17	13
	18%	9%	29%	10%	23%	14%	25%	20%	25%	16%	23%	12%	14%	37
35-44	202	20	9	8	7	15	16	33	7	10	5	11	21	5
	19%	26%	16%	14%	26%	22%	18%	23%	24%	18%	14%	18%	17%	16
45-54	157	10	12	12	1	6	13	18	4	13	5	9	23	1
	15%	13%	22%	20%	4%	9%	14%	12%	13%	23%	17%	15%	18%	3
55-64	181	12	10	18	3	11	14	15	3	13	7	15	23	7
	17%	15%	18%	31%	11%	17%	16%	11%	12%	23%	20%	25%	18%	20
55+	214	19	6	7	7	19	16	31	7	7	4	14	32	7
	20%	24%	10%	12%	24%	27%	17%	21%	25%	12%	14%	22%	26%	22
NET: 18-34	293	17	20	13	10	18	32	47	7	14	12	13	26	14
	28%	22%	35%	22%	35%	26%	35%	33%	25%	24%	36%	21%	21%	40
NET: 35-54	359	30	21	20	8	21	29	50	10	24	10	20	44	7
	34%	38%	37%	34%	30%	30%	32%	35%	38%	41%	30%	32%	35%	19
NET: 55+	395	32	16	26	10	30	30	46	10	20	11	29	55	14
	38%	40%	28%	44%	35%	44%	33%	32%	37%	35%	34%	47%	44%	41
Base for stats	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
Mean Score	46.42	47.22	43.85	47.02	44.22	48.10	44.06	44.30	46.80	46.42	44.73	48.68	50.06	45.98
Standard Deviation	16.338	16.854	14.971	15.106	16.602	17.524	16.460	17.039	16.631	14.022	16.259	15.800	16.535	16.58
Standard Error	.504	1.861	1.966	1.950	3.083	2.190	1.818	1.401	3.326	1.983	2.788	1.975	1.445	2.76
Error variance	.25	3.46	3.86	3.80	9.50	4.80	3.30	1.96	11.06	3.93	7.78	3.90	2.09	7.64



**Age**BASE: All respondents

			Poland regions		Gen				Age					Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
18-24	100	8	5	2	41	59	100	-	-	-	-	-	100	-	-
	10%	19% bc	6%	4%	8%	11%	100% bcdef	-	-	-	-	-	34% bc	-	-
25-34	193	6	22	8	59	134	-	193	-	_	_	_	193	_	-
	18%	15%	23%	16%	12%	24% a	-	100% acdef	-	-	-	-	66% bc	-	-
35-44	202	8	18	8	75	128	_	acuei	202	_	_	_	-	202	_
30-44	19%	21%	20%	17%	15%	23%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	56%	-
						а			abdef					ac	
45-54	157	4	14	11	94	63	-	-	-	157	-	-	-	157	-
	15%	11%	15%	23%	19% b	11%	-	-	-	100% abcef	-	-	-	44% ac	-
55-64	181	5	16	6	101	80	-	-	-	-	181	-	-	-	181
	17%	12%	18%	12%	20% b	15%	-	-	-	-	100% abcdf	-	-	-	46% ab
65+	214	9	18	13	130	84	-	-	-	-	-	214	-	-	214
	20%	22%	19%	27%	26% b	15%	-	-	-	-	-	100% abcde	-	-	54% ab
NET: 18-34	293	13	27	10	100	193	100	193	-	-	-	_	293	-	-
	28%	34%	29%	21%	20%	35% a	100% cdef	100% cdef	-	-	-	-	100% bc	-	-
NET: 35-54	359	13	33	19	169	190	cuei	cuei	202	157			-	359	_
NET. 55-54	34%	33%	35%	40%	34%	35%	-	-	100%	100%	-	-	-	100%	-
									abef	abef				ac	
NET: 55+	395 38%	13 34%	34 36%	18 39%	231 46%	164 30%	-	-	-	-	181 100%	214 100%	-	-	395 100%
	30%	3476	30%	39%	b	30%	-	-	-	-	abcd	abcd	-	-	ab
Base for stats	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
Mean Score	46.42	43.32	46.02	49.27	50.08 b	43.07	21.34	29.15 a	38.61 ab	48.55 abc	58.96 abcd	68.91 abcde	26.49	42.95 a	64.36 ab
Standard Deviation	16.338	17.258	16.325	15.305	16.140	15.806	1.930	2.811	3.010	2.851	2.815	3.541	4.496	5.746	5.915
Standard Error	.504	2.729	1.658	2.186	.740	.661	.199	.202	.206	.241	.208	.236	.265	.306	.293
Error variance	.25	7.45	2.75	4.78	.55	.44	.04	.04	.04	.06	.04	.06	.07	.09	.09



**Age**BASE: All respondents

					Diet			<del></del>		,	Awareness of 'new	category meat'			of trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173		110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%		110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
18-24	100 10%	78 9%	20 11%		11 10%	1 9%	2 12%	2 12%	3 22%	55 10%	26 15% cd	29 7%	41 9%	72 11%	23 8%
25-34	193 18%	153 18%	38 22%		20 18%	3 22%	5 30%	6 32%	4 26%	125 22% d	46 25% d	79 20%	67 15%	130 20%	53 18%
35-44	202 19%	171 20%	30 17%		17 15%	4 30%	3 19%	4 19%	3 20%	107 19%	36 20%	71 18%	87 20%	127 19%	55 19%
45-54	157 15%	125 15%	29 17%		21 19%	1 9%	1 7%	3 18%	2 15%	92 16%	20 11%	71 18% b	59 13%	102 15%	41 14%
55-64	181 17%	144 17%	30 17%		24 22%	1 7%	2 13%	2 10%	1 6%	90 16%	20 11%	70 18% b	85 19% b	101 15%	56 19%
65+	214 20%	181 21%	27 16%		18 16%	3 22%	3 18%	2 10%	2 11%	104 18%	32 18%	72 18%	105 24% a	133 20%	66 22%
NET: 18-34	293 28%	230 27%	57 33%		31 28%	4 31%	7 43%	8 44%	7 48%	181 32% d	72 40% acd	108 28%	108 24%	202 30%	75 26%
NET: 35-54	359 34%	296 35%	59 34%		37 34%	5 39%	4 26%	7 37%	5 34%	199 35%	56 31%	143 36%	147 33%	229 34%	96 33%
NET: 55+	395 38%	325 38%	57 33%		42 38%	4 30%	5 31%	4 19%	3 18%	194 34%	51 29%	142 36%	189 43% ab	234 35%	122 42%
Base for stats Mean Score	1047 46.42	852 46.65	173 44.53		110 6.53	12 42.96	16 42.68	19 40.89	16 38.04	574 45.20	180 42.48	394 46.45 b	444 47.95 ab	665 45.41	294 47.93 a
Standard Deviation Standard Error Error variance	16.338 .504 .25	16.299 .557 .31	16.247 1.235 1.53	1.9	.792 .506 2.27	17.261 4.787 22.92	18.888 4.722 22.30	15.769 3.618 13.09	15.563 4.018 16.15	16.080 .673 .45	16.808 1.253 1.57	15.599 .789 .62	16.666 .786 .62	16.419 .637 .41	16.338 .951 .90



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

Wielkopolskie

							ind regions						
Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
79	79	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
8%	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
57	-	57	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
5%	-	100%		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59	-	-	59	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
6%	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
3%	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
69	-	-	-	-	69	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
7%	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
91	-	-	-	-	-	91	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9%	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144	-	-	-	-	-	-	144	-	-	-	-	-	-
14%	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-	-
28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	28	-	-	-	-	-
3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-	-
58	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	58	-	-	-	-
6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-	-
33	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	33	-	-	-
3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-	-
62	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	62	-	-
6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-	-
126	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	126	-
12%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100%	-
35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35
3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	100
39	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
94	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
9%	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	_	-	_	-	-	-



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

Unweighted Total Total Zachodniopomorskie

						Pola	nd regions						
Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
47	_												
4%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

			Poland regions		Gend	ler			Age	1				Age	
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Dolnoslaskie	79 8%	-	-	-	34 7%	46 8%	11 11%	7 4%	20 10%	10 6%	12 7%	19 9%	17 6%	30 8%	32 8%
	0,0			_	1 70	070	b	470	b	070	7 70	b	070	070	070
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57			-	21	36	3	16	9	12	10	6	20	21	16
Rujawsko-r omorskie	5%	-	-	-	4%	7%	3%	9%	4%	8%	6%	3%	7%	6%	4%
								f		f					
Lubelskie	59	-	_	-	35	24	7	6	8	12	18	7	13	20	26
	6%	-	-	-	7%	4%	7%	3%	4%	8%	10%	3%	4%	6%	7%
											bcf				
Lubuskie	28	-	-	-	15	13	3	6	7	1	3	7	10	8	10
	3%	-	-	-	3%	2%	3%	3%	4%	1%	2%	3%	3%	2%	2%
Lodzkie	69	-	-	-	30	39	8	10	15	6	11	19	18	21	30
	7%	-	-	-	6%	7%	8%	5%	7%	4%	6%	9%	6%	6%	8%
Malopolskie	91	-	-	-	47	44	9	22	16	13	14	16	32	29	30
	9%	-	-	-	9%	8%	9%	12%	8%	8%	8%	7%	11%	8%	8%
Mazowieckie	144	-	-	-	75	68	18	29	33	18	15	31	47	50	46
	14%	-	-	-	15%	13%	18%	15%	16%	11%	8%	14%	16%	14%	12%
							е	е	е						
Opolskie	28	-	-	-	9	18	-	7	7	4	3	7	7	10	10
	3%	-	-	-	2%	3%	-	4%	3%	2%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%
Podkarpackie	58	-	-	-	30	28	5	9	10	13	13	7	14	24	20
	6%	-	-	-	6%	5%	5%	5%	5%	9% f	7%	3%	5%	7%	5%
										'					
Podlaskie	33 3%	-	-	-	14 3%	18	4 4%	7 4%	5 2%	5 3%	7 4%	4	12 4%	10	11
		-	-	-		3%		470				2%		3%	3%
Pomorskie	62	-	-	-	31	31	5	8	11	9	15	14	13	20	29
	6%	-	-	-	6%	6%	5%	4%	5%	6%	9%	6%	4%	6%	7%
Slaskie	126	-	-	-	62	64	9	17	21	23	23	32	26	44	55
	12%	-	-	-	12%	12%	9%	9%	10%	14%	13%	15% b	9%	12%	14% a
									_		_			_	
Swietokrzyskie	35 3%	-	-	-	11 2%	23 4%	1 1%	13 7%	5 3%	1 1%	7 4%	7 3%	14 5%	7 2%	14 4%
	0,0				270	470	170	ad	0,0	170	470	070	b	270	470
Warminsko-Mazurskie	39	39	_	_	16	24	8	6	8	4	5	9	13	13	13
TTGTTTHIONO-INICECUIONIC	4%	100%	-	-	3%	4%	8%	3%	4%	3%	3%	4%	5%	4%	3%
		bc													
Wielkopolskie	94	-	94	-	46	48	5	22	18	14	16	18	27	33	34
·	9%	-	100%	-	9%	9%	5%	11%	9%	9%	9%	8%	9%	9%	9%
			ac										0		



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

Significance Level: 95% Unweighted Total Total Zachodniopomorskie

		Poland regions		Gen	der			Ag	е	-			Age	
Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
	а	b	С	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
1047 100%	39 100%	94 100%	47 100%	500 100%	547 100%	100 100%	193 100%	202 100%	157 100%	181 100%	214 100%	293 100%	359 100%	395 100%
47 4%	-	-	47 100% ab	23 5%	23 4%	2 2%	8 4%	8 4%	11 7%	6 3%	13 6%	10 3%	19 5%	18 5%



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

				Diet						Awareness of 'nev	v category meat			of trying 'new ry meat'
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%	110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
Dolnoslaskie	79 8%	64 8%	11 6%	8 7%	-	1 7%	-	2 13%	45 8%	18 10%	27 7%	30 7%	53 8%	20 7%
Kujawsko-Pomorskie	57 5%	50 6%	7 4%	5 4%	-	-	2 11%	-	28 5%	15 8% c	13 3%	28 6% c	32 5%	20 7%
Lubelskie	59 6%	50 6%	8 5%	6 5%	1 9%	-	-	1 6%	31 5%	6 3%	25 6%	23 5%	38 6%	16 5%
Lubuskie	28 3%	20 2%	7 4%	5 5%		-	-	1 7%	17 3%	7 4%	10 3%	10 2%	15 2%	10 3%
Lodzkie	69 7%	52 6%	15 9%	10 9%	1 9%	3 20%	-	1 7%	39 7%	11 6%	28 7%	28 6%	43 7%	22 8%
Malopolskie	91 9%	70 8%	19 11%	9 8%	1 9%	2 13%	3 17%	3 22%	48 8%	14 8%	35 9%	40 9%	56 8%	25 9%
Mazowieckie	144 14%	115 13%	28 16%	17 16%	3 23%	2 12%	4 21%	2 13%	80 14%	27 15%	52 13%	62 14%	105 16% b	28 9%
Opolskie	28 3%	23 3%	5 3%	5 4%	-	-	-	-	13 2%	1 1%	11 3%	14 3%	17 3%	7 2%
Podkarpackie	58 6%	46 5%	7 4%	3 3%	-	2 13%	1 6%	-	28 5%	6 3%	22 6%	27 6%	31 5%	17 6%
Podlaskie	33 3%	28 3%	5 3%	4 4%	1 7%	-	-	-	17 3%	3 2%	15 4%	13 3%	19 3%	11 4%
Pomorskie	62 6%	52 6%	9 5%	6 5%	-	1 5%	1 5%	1 7%	32 6%	13 7%	19 5%	30 7%	38 6%	20 7%
Slaskie	126 12%	103 12%	20 11%	15 14%	1 7%	1 6%	3 15%	-	60 10%	15 9%	45 11%	62 14%	73 11%	40 13%
Swietokrzyskie	35 3%	27 3%	7 4%	5 4%	-	-	2 10%	-	21 4%	9 5%	13 3%	14 3%	24 4%	7 2%
Warminsko-Mazurskie	39 4%	33 4%	5 3%	3 3%	-		1 5%	1 6%	26 4%	5 3%	21 5%	13 3%	32 5%	8 3%



Region: Poland. Which one of these regions do you live in?

Significance Level: 95%
Unweighted Total
Total
Wielkopolskie
Zachodniopomorskie

			Die	ı					Awareness of 'new	category meat'		Likeliness of categor	
Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian	Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Total	a	b	C	*d	*e	*f	*g	a	b	C	d	a	b
	u u		0	ŭ	Č	·	9	u u		ŭ	ŭ	ų .	
1049	855	173	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
1047	852	173	110	12	16	19	16	574	180	394	444	665	294
100%	100%	100%	100%		100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
94	79	15	6	3	3	1	3	62	22	39	29	60	27
9%	9%	9%	5%	22%	17%	5%	19%	11%	12%	10%	7%	9%	9%
								d	d				
47	39	8	4	2	1	1	-	26	8	18	21	29	17
4%	5%	4%	4%	14%	6%	5%	-	5%	4%	5%	5%	4%	6%



# **D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?**BASE: All respondents

							Pola	ind regions						
	Total	Dolnoslaskie	Kujawsko-Pomorskie	Lubelskie	Lubuskie	Lodzkie	Malopolskie	Mazowieckie	Opolskie	Podkarpackie	Podlaskie	Pomorskie	Slaskie	Swietokrzyskie
Unweighted Total	1049	82	58	60	29	64	82	148	25	50	34	64	131	36
Total	1047	79	57	59	28	69	91	144	28	58	33	62	126	35
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852	64	50	50	20	52	70	115	23	46	28	52	103	27
	81%	81%	88%	85%	73%	75%	77%	80%	83%	80%	85%	84%	82%	78%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish														
for at least half of my meals)	110	8	5	6	5	10	9	17	5	3	4	6	15	5
	11%	10%	8%	10%	20%	14%	10%	12%	17%	6%	12%	10%	12%	14%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12	_	_	1	_	1	1	3	_	_	1	_	1	_
Daily not (i at not out auny)	1%	-	-	2%	-	2%	1%	2%	-	-	3%	-	1%	-
5		_												
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16 2%	1%	-	-	-	3 5%	2 2%	2 1%	-	2 4%	-	1 1%	1 1%	
	270	170				370	270	170		470		170	170	-
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19	-	2	-	-	-	3	4	-	1	-	1	3	2
	2%	-	4%	-	-	-	4%	3%	-	2%	-	1%	2%	5%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal														
products)	16	2	-	1	1	1	3	2	-	-	-	1	-	-
	1%	2%	-	1%	4%	2%	4%	1%	-	-	-	2%	-	-
Other	22	4		1	1	2	2	1	-	5	-	1	3	1
	2%	5%	-	2%	3%		2%	1%	-	8%	-	2%	2%	3%
NET: Deduced intoles of eximal anadysts	470	44	7		-	45	40	00	-	7	-	0	00	7
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173 17%	11 14%	7 12%	8 14%	7 24%	15 22%	19 21%	28 20%	5 17%	7 12%	5 15%	9 14%	20 16%	19%
	1170	14%	12%	14%	24%	2270	∠1%	20%	11%	12%	15%	14%	10%	19%



# **D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?**BASE: All respondents

			Gender Age							Age					
	Total	Warminsko-Mazurskie	Wielkopolskie	Zachodniopomorskie	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	18-34	35-54	55+
Significance Level: 95%		а	b	С	а	р	а	b	С	d	е	f	а	b	С
Unweighted Total	1049	40	97	49	476	571	94	194	213	140	183	225	288	353	408
Total	1047	39	94	47	500	547	100	193	202	157	181	214	293	359	395
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852	33	79	39	413	439	78	153	171	125	144	181	230	296	325
	81%	85%	84%	84%	83%	80%	78%	79%	85%	79%	80%	84%	79%	82%	82%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish															
for at least half of my meals)	110	3	6	4	52	58	11	20	17	21	24	18	31	37	42
, ,	11%	8%	6%	8%	10%	11%	11%	10%	8%	13%	13%	8%	11%	10%	11%
Deinstead (I de met est deim)	40			0			1	•				•		-	
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12 1%	_	3 3%	2 4%	1%	8 1%	1%	3 1%	4 2%	1%	1 *	3 1%	1%	5 1%	4 1%
	1 /0		370	770	170	170	170	170	270	170		1 70	170	170	170
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16	-	3	1	4	12	2	5	3	1	2	3	7	4	5
	2%	-	3%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	1%	1%	1%	2%	1%	1%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19	1	1	1	6	13	2	6	4	3	2	2	8	7	4
,	2%	2%	1%	2%	1%	2%	2%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	3%	2%	1%
Name (I do not not made following a discount of the control of the															
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal products)	16	1	3	_	9	7	3	4	3	2	1	2	7	5	3
productory	1%	2%	3%	-	2%	1%	3%	2%	2%	1%	1%	1%	3%	1%	1%
													С		
Other	00	_			40	40	0	•			•	•	_	-	40
Other	22 2%	2%	-	-	12 2%	10 2%	2 2%	3 2%	1 *	4 2%	6 4%	6 3%	5 2%	5 1%	12 3%
	2 /0	270	-	•	270	270	270	270		2/0	4 /0 C	370	270	1 /0	376
											ŭ				
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173	5	15	8	76	98	20	38	30	29	30	27	57	59	57
	17%	13%	16%	16%	15%	18%	20%	20%	15%	18%	17%	13%	20%	16%	15%



# **D4. Which of the following best describes your diet?**BASE: All respondents

		Diet								Awareness of 'nev	Likeliness of trying 'new category meat'				
	Total	Eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	NET: Reduced intake of animal products	Flexetarian/reducetarian		Dairy-free	Pescatarian	Vegetarian	Vegan	NET: Heard of	Heard of and understands	Heard of, but doesn't understand	Not heard of	NET: Likely	NET: Unlikely
Significance Level: 95%		а	b		С	*d	*e	*f	*g	а	b	С	d	а	b
Unweighted Total	1049	855	173	•	110	13	16	19	15	571	180	391	449	665	295
Total	1047 100%	852 100%	173 100%		110 100%	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	574 100%	180 100%	394 100%	444 100%	665 100%	294 100%
I eat meat, fish, dairy and/or other animal products	852 81%	852 100% bc			-	-	-	-	-	459 80%	134 75%	324 82% b	369 83% b	546 82%	231 79%
Flexitarian or reducetarian (I do not eat meat or fish for at least half of my meals)	110 11%	- -	110 64% a		110 100% ab	-	:		-	63 11%	22 12%	41 10%	45 10%	78 12%	26 9%
Dairy-free (I do not eat dairy)	12 1%	- -	12 7% ac		-	12 100%	-	-	-	8 1%	2 1%	7 2%	4 1%	8 1%	3 1%
Pescatarian (I do not eat fish)	16 2%	- -	16 9% ac		-	-	16 100%	-	-	10 2%	5 3%	5 1%	6 1%	7 1%	6 2%
Vegetarian (I do not eat meat or fish)	19 2%	-	19 11% ac		-	-	-	19 100%	-	12 2%	6 3%	6 2%	7 2%	10 1%	9 3%
Vegan (I do not eat meat, fish, diary or other animal products)	16 1%	- -	16 9% ac		- -	-	-	-	16 100%	11 2%	7 4% cd	5 1%	3 1%	9 1%	7 2%
Other	22 2%	-	- -		-	-	-	-	-	10 2%	4 2%	6 2%	10 2%	7 1%	12 4% a
NET: Reduced intake of animal products	173 17%	-	173 100% a		110 100% a	12 100%	16 100%	19 100%	16 100%	105 18%	42 23% cd	63 16%	65 15%	112 17%	51 17%

