

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 1: Which one of the following best describes your view on the future of the House of Lords?

Base: All respondents

	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
	Total	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The House of Lords should be entirely appointed	48	15	18	1	15	41	7	-	2	3	17	21	6	30	18
	48%	52%	59%	6%	48%	47%	54%	-	36%	34%	64%	43%	52%	41%	68%
The House of Lords should be entirely elected	9	-	6	4	-	7	3	-	-	-	-	8	2	7	3
	9%	-	19%	38%	-	8%	21%	-	-	-	-	16%	17%	9%	10%
The House of Lords should be partially elected and partially appointed	18	5	3	5	5	17	1	-	2	1	4	11	-	13	5
	18%	17%	9%	50%	17%	20%	10%	-	29%	12%	15%	23%	-	18%	18%
The upper house should be abolished entirely	2	-	1	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	2	-
	2%	-	3%	-	4%	3%	-	-	-	16%	-	-	9%	3%	-
The status quo should continue	15	7	1	-	7	14	1	-	1	2	4	5	2	14	1
	15%	24%	3%	-	22%	16%	8%	-	14%	27%	17%	10%	22%	18%	4%
Not stated	7	2	2	1	3	6	1	-	1	1	1	4	-	7	-
	7%	7%	6%	6%	9%	7%	8%	-	21%	12%	4%	8%	-	10%	-

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Peers should be appointed for their expertise rather than for party-political reasons

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross-bench	Pre-1950	1950-1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960-1979	1980-1994	1995-2004	2005-2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	29	9	3	1	16	25	4	-	2	4	9	11	3	17	12
		29%	31%	9%	6%	52%	29%	28%	-	35%	46%	35%	22%	25%	23%	46%
Agree	(1.0)	39	15	9	4	11	32	7	-	3	2	11	20	3	30	8
		39%	52%	31%	38%	35%	37%	50%	-	45%	27%	40%	41%	31%	41%	32%
Disagree	(-1.0)	22	3	13	4	1	21	1	-	-	2	4	12	4	16	6
		22%	10%	44%	44%	4%	24%	10%	-	-	27%	17%	24%	32%	22%	22%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	3	-	2	1	-	3	1	-	-	-	-	2	1	3	-
		3%	-	6%	13%	-	3%	5%	-	-	-	-	4%	12%	4%	-
Don't know		1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
		1%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
Not stated		7	2	2	-	3	6	1	-	1	-	2	3	-	7	-
		7%	7%	6%	-	9%	6%	8%	-	21%	-	8%	7%	-	9%	-
Mean Score		.74	1.11	-.07	-.19	1.48	.70	.94	-	1.44	.93	1.01	.58	.25	.62	1.02
Std Deviation		1.23	.89	1.25	1.30	.74	1.24	1.13	-	.55	1.31	1.08	1.24	1.50	1.24	1.18
Std Error		.127	.172	.233	.326	.163	.139	.315	-	.247	.464	.225	.183	.451	.151	.231

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Religious representation in the House of Lords should reflect the religious make up of the country as a whole

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	14	2	8	1	3	11	3	-	-	-	3	8	3	8	6
		14%	7%	28%	13%	9%	13%	25%	-	-	-	12%	16%	31%	11%	23%
Agree	(1.0)	40	15	7	3	15	37	3	-	3	5	13	15	3	30	9
		40%	52%	22%	31%	48%	42%	23%	-	49%	62%	49%	31%	30%	41%	36%
Disagree	(-1.0)	21	8	4	3	7	18	3	-	2	1	4	12	1	17	4
		21%	28%	13%	25%	22%	20%	25%	-	30%	16%	15%	26%	12%	23%	16%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	12	1	5	3	3	10	1	-	-	1	2	5	3	8	4
		12%	3%	16%	31%	9%	12%	10%	-	-	11%	9%	11%	26%	11%	14%
Don't know		5	1	3	-	1	4	1	-	-	1	1	3	-	3	2
		5%	3%	9%	-	4%	4%	10%	-	-	11%	4%	7%	-	4%	7%
Not stated		8	2	4	-	3	7	1	-	1	-	3	4	-	8	1
		8%	7%	13%	-	9%	9%	8%	-	21%	-	11%	9%	-	10%	4%
Mean Score		.28	.35	.44	-.31	.30	.27	.35	-	.23	.28	.48	.18	.28	.23	.43
Std Deviation		1.36	1.13	1.61	1.57	1.25	1.34	1.54	-	1.08	1.22	1.27	1.41	1.70	1.32	1.47
Std Error		.145	.221	.322	.392	.280	.155	.443	-	.484	.461	.276	.215	.514	.165	.307

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Religious representation in the House of Lords should be phased out

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	15	-	8	4	3	12	3	-	-	1	1	9	4	8	6
		15%	-	28%	38%	9%	14%	20%	-	-	11%	4%	18%	39%	11%	25%
Agree	(1.0)	13	1	6	3	4	12	1	-	2	-	3	8	-	8	6
		13%	3%	19%	25%	13%	14%	10%	-	35%	-	10%	17%	-	10%	21%
Disagree	(-1.0)	31	14	9	3	4	23	7	-	2	2	11	12	3	24	6
		31%	48%	31%	31%	13%	27%	55%	-	29%	23%	43%	25%	31%	33%	23%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	28	10	3	1	15	26	2	-	1	4	10	11	2	24	5
		28%	34%	9%	6%	48%	30%	15%	-	15%	43%	40%	23%	21%	32%	18%
Don't know		2	1	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	2	-	1	1
		2%	3%	-	-	4%	3%	-	-	-	-	-	5%	-	2%	4%
Not stated		11	3	4	-	4	11	-	-	1	2	1	6	1	9	2
		11%	10%	13%	-	13%	12%	-	-	21%	23%	4%	11%	9%	12%	9%
Mean Score		-.51	-1.32	.29	.56	-.95	-.53	-.37	-	-.32	-1.13	-1.10	-.19	.04	-.74	.13
Std Deviation		1.50	.69	1.51	1.49	1.50	1.52	1.44	-	1.35	1.46	1.09	1.58	1.82	1.41	1.60
Std Error		.160	.138	.286	.372	.344	.177	.385	-	.604	.596	.223	.241	.575	.175	.334

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Existing rules should be tightened in order to make Lords more accountable to the public

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross-bench	Pre-1950	1950-1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960-1979	1980-1994	1995-2004	2005-2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	20	4	8	2	5	17	3	-	-	-	4	11	4	12	8
		20%	14%	28%	19%	17%	20%	20%	-	-	-	16%	24%	37%	16%	30%
Agree	(1.0)	40	7	13	6	13	35	5	-	4	4	7	19	5	29	11
		40%	24%	44%	63%	43%	40%	40%	-	65%	42%	27%	40%	51%	39%	41%
Disagree	(-1.0)	23	8	7	2	7	21	2	-	1	4	7	10	1	19	4
		23%	28%	22%	19%	22%	24%	18%	-	14%	46%	28%	20%	12%	26%	16%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	7	5	1	-	1	5	2	-	-	1	3	3	-	6	1
		7%	17%	3%	-	4%	6%	15%	-	-	12%	12%	7%	-	8%	5%
Don't know		4	2	1	-	1	4	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	3	1
		4%	7%	3%	-	4%	5%	-	-	-	9%	4%	-	-	4%	4%
Not stated		6	3	-	-	3	5	1	-	1	-	2	2	-	5	1
		6%	10%	-	-	9%	5%	8%	-	21%	-	8%	5%	-	6%	4%
Mean Score		.46	-.12	.74	.81	.55	.48	.33	-	.64	-.27	.11	.59	1.12	.34	.80
Std Deviation		1.31	1.48	1.21	1.00	1.23	1.29	1.48	-	.85	1.20	1.45	1.30	.97	1.31	1.25
Std Error		.137	.303	.218	.250	.274	.146	.412	-	.382	.425	.316	.192	.292	.161	.255

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Constitutional reform should be one of the top items on the current political agenda

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	12	1	3	7	1	10	3	-	1	-	1	8	2	9	3
		12%	3%	9%	69%	4%	11%	19%	-	15%	-	5%	16%	17%	12%	13%
Agree	(1.0)	23	4	8	3	8	18	5	-	2	2	3	14	2	16	7
		23%	14%	28%	25%	26%	21%	38%	-	35%	23%	10%	29%	22%	21%	29%
Disagree	(-1.0)	34	9	13	1	11	31	2	-	-	2	9	16	6	29	4
		34%	31%	44%	6%	35%	36%	17%	-	-	27%	36%	34%	52%	40%	16%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	26	13	5	-	8	22	3	-	1	3	12	9	1	16	10
		26%	45%	16%	-	26%	26%	26%	-	14%	38%	45%	19%	9%	21%	38%
Don't know		1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-
		1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	15%	-	-	-	-	1%	-
Not stated		5	1	1	-	3	5	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	4	1
		5%	3%	3%	-	9%	5%	-	-	21%	11%	4%	3%	-	5%	4%
Mean Score		-.40	-1.07	-.29	1.56	-.57	-.48	.08	-	.57	-.91	-1.10	-.10	-.14	-.41	-.39
Std Deviation		1.44	1.21	1.32	.83	1.32	1.41	1.59	-	1.64	1.30	1.19	1.45	1.40	1.39	1.60
Std Error		.147	.232	.238	.208	.287	.156	.425	-	.820	.492	.242	.208	.422	.166	.319

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 2: Thinking about the future of the House of Lords, how strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Summary table of mean scores

Base: All respondents

	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
	Total	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
Peers should be appointed for their expertise rather than for party-political reasons	.74	1.11	-.07	-.19	1.48	.70	.94	-	1.44	.93	1.01	.58	.25	.62	1.02
Religious representation in the House of Lords should reflect the religious make up of the country as a whole	.28	.35	.44	-.31	.30	.27	.35	-	.23	.28	.48	.18	.28	.23	.43
Religious representation in the House of Lords should be phased out	-.51	-1.32	.29	.56	-.95	-.53	-.37	-	-.32	-1.13	-1.10	-.19	.04	-.74	.13
Existing rules should be tightened in order to make Lords more accountable to the public	.46	-.12	.74	.81	.55	.48	.33	-	.64	-.27	.11	.59	1.12	.34	.80
Constitutional reform should be one of the top items on the current political agenda	-.40	-1.07	-.29	1.56	-.57	-.48	.08	-	.57	-.91	-1.10	-.10	-.14	-.41	-.39

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 3: Which, if any, of the following aspects of constitutional reform should be the highest priority over the next five years? Please select one of the following:

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER	
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
House of Lords reform	3	1	1	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-	2	1	3	-
	3%	3%	3%	-	4%	1%	18%	-	-	-	-	5%	9%	4%	-
Proportional representation in general elections	16	1	7	8	1	13	3	-	1	2	-	12	2	11	5
	16%	3%	22%	75%	4%	15%	26%	-	14%	23%	-	24%	17%	15%	20%
Giving electors powers of recall over Parliamentarians	5	4	-	1	-	3	2	-	1	1	1	1	1	5	-
	5%	14%	-	6%	-	3%	15%	-	15%	12%	4%	1%	9%	6%	-
Increasing the powers of Select Committees	33	13	12	-	8	31	3	-	1	3	13	12	4	24	10
	33%	45%	41%	-	26%	35%	21%	-	15%	34%	49%	26%	39%	32%	36%
Independent oversight of parliamentary pay and expenses by a non-parliamentary body	42	10	12	3	18	38	4	-	1	1	14	22	4	29	13
	42%	34%	41%	25%	57%	44%	33%	-	21%	11%	55%	45%	34%	39%	51%
None of these	11	4	3	1	4	8	3	-	1	4	2	4	1	9	2
	11%	14%	9%	6%	13%	10%	23%	-	14%	43%	8%	8%	9%	12%	9%
Not stated	5	2	-	-	3	5	-	-	1	1	1	1	-	5	-
	5%	7%	-	-	9%	5%	-	-	21%	12%	4%	3%	-	6%	-

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 4: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The recent expenses revelations have moved constitutional reform up the political agenda to the point where it has become an inevitability

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	21	3	7	3	8	17	4	-	2	1	6	9	2	12	9
		21%	10%	22%	31%	26%	19%	32%	-	36%	12%	23%	20%	18%	17%	33%
Agree	(1.0)	38	8	17	5	8	31	7	-	1	2	8	22	5	30	8
		38%	28%	56%	50%	26%	35%	55%	-	14%	23%	32%	45%	45%	41%	31%
Disagree	(-1.0)	29	14	5	2	8	28	1	-	-	4	9	12	4	23	6
		29%	48%	16%	19%	26%	32%	5%	-	-	50%	33%	24%	37%	31%	23%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	6	2	1	-	3	5	1	-	-	1	2	2	-	3	2
		6%	7%	3%	-	9%	5%	8%	-	-	16%	8%	5%	-	5%	9%
Don't know		3	1	1	-	1	3	-	-	2	-	-	1	-	2	1
		3%	3%	3%	-	4%	4%	-	-	29%	-	-	3%	-	3%	5%
Not stated		4	1	-	-	3	4	-	-	1	-	1	1	-	4	-
		4%	3%	-	-	9%	4%	-	-	21%	-	4%	3%	-	5%	-
Mean Score		.43	-.15	.81	.94	.40	.33	1.00	-	1.71	-.36	.31	.54	.45	.37	.59
Std Deviation		1.30	1.26	1.08	1.08	1.46	1.31	1.14	-	.54	1.39	1.39	1.23	1.22	1.25	1.43
Std Error		.134	.243	.194	.271	.325	.146	.305	-	.313	.490	.284	.178	.368	.151	.286

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 4: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Public anger with politicians has been provoked solely by revelations about expenses

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	10	2	4	1	3	6	3	-	-	1	3	5	-	7	2
		10%	7%	13%	13%	9%	7%	25%	-	-	11%	13%	11%	-	10%	9%
Agree	(1.0)	32	11	10	4	7	29	3	-	2	2	11	14	2	21	11
		32%	38%	34%	38%	22%	34%	20%	-	35%	23%	43%	30%	18%	28%	43%
Disagree	(-1.0)	52	14	14	5	19	46	6	-	3	6	10	26	8	39	13
		52%	48%	47%	50%	61%	53%	47%	-	45%	66%	37%	54%	72%	53%	48%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	1	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	1	-
		1%	-	3%	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	-	2%	-	1%	-
Not stated		6	2	1	-	3	5	1	-	1	-	2	1	1	6	-
		6%	7%	3%	-	9%	5%	8%	-	21%	-	7%	3%	9%	8%	-
Mean Score		-.03	.04	.06	.13	-.24	-.07	.24	-	-.12	-.21	.34	-.05	-.60	-.08	.12
Std Deviation		1.16	1.13	1.24	1.23	1.13	1.13	1.38	-	1.10	1.21	1.17	1.20	.85	1.17	1.14
Std Error		.119	.217	.222	.307	.246	.125	.384	-	.493	.427	.243	.171	.268	.141	.223

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 4: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Public anger with politicians is based on dissatisfaction about wider issues than just expenses

Base: All respondents

	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER			
	Total	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross-bench	Pre-1950	1950-1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960-1979	1980-1994	1995-2004	2005-2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	21	6	6	3	7	17	5	-	2	-	4	11	4	17	5
		21%	21%	19%	31%	22%	19%	37%	-	36%	-	16%	23%	37%	23%	19%
Agree	(1.0)	54	12	16	6	20	47	7	-	2	8	11	27	7	42	12
		54%	41%	53%	56%	65%	54%	50%	-	29%	88%	42%	55%	63%	57%	45%
Disagree	(-1.0)	17	7	8	1	1	15	2	-	1	-	7	9	-	9	8
		17%	24%	25%	13%	4%	18%	12%	-	14%	-	26%	19%	-	12%	32%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	1	1	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	1	-
		1%	3%	-	-	-	1%	-	-	-	-	4%	-	-	1%	-
Not stated		7	3	1	-	3	7	-	-	1	1	3	1	-	6	1
		7%	10%	3%	-	9%	8%	-	-	21%	12%	11%	3%	-	8%	4%
Mean Score		.83	.58	.68	1.06	1.14	.78	1.13	-	1.09	1.00	.46	.84	1.37	.95	.52
Std Deviation		1.02	1.24	1.08	.95	.65	1.03	.96	-	1.20	-	1.24	1.01	.51	.95	1.17
Std Error		.105	.243	.194	.237	.142	.115	.257	-	.536	-	.265	.144	.153	.114	.233

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 4: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

The public will view Peers more negatively as a result of the expenses revelations

Base: All respondents

	Total	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
		Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross-bench	Pre-1950	1950-1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960-1979	1980-1994	1995-2004	2005-2007	Male	Female	
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26	
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26	
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	
Agree strongly	(2.0)	10	3	1	5	9	2	-	-	-	2	5	3	7	3	
		10%	3%	9%	13%	17%	10%	12%	-	-	7%	11%	31%	10%	13%	
Agree	(1.0)	53	15	19	7	12	45	8	-	5	3	16	23	5	37	16
		53%	52%	63%	69%	39%	52%	59%	-	79%	38%	62%	47%	51%	49%	62%
Disagree	(-1.0)	22	7	8	2	5	19	2	-	-	3	6	11	2	17	5
		22%	24%	25%	19%	17%	22%	18%	-	-	34%	23%	23%	19%	22%	20%
Disagree strongly	(-2.0)	2	1	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	2	-
		2%	3%	-	-	4%	3%	-	-	-	16%	-	2%	-	3%	-
Don't know		8	4	-	-	4	7	1	-	-	1	-	7	-	7	1
		8%	14%	-	-	13%	8%	10%	-	-	12%	-	15%	-	9%	5%
Not stated		5	1	1	-	3	5	-	-	1	-	2	1	-	5	-
		5%	3%	3%	-	9%	5%	-	-	21%	-	7%	3%	-	6%	-
Mean Score		.54	.33	.58	.75	.61	.51	.74	-	1.00	-.31	.58	.51	.94	.47	.72
Std Deviation		1.08	1.09	.99	.95	1.23	1.10	.97	-	-	1.29	.97	1.11	1.07	1.12	.96
Std Error		.114	.223	.178	.238	.291	.126	.270	-	-	.486	.202	.170	.324	.140	.193

PEERS PANEL SURVEY - JUNE 2009

Question 4: How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

Summary table of mean scores

Base: All respondents

	PARTY				DATE OF BIRTH			LENGTH OF SERVICE					GENDER		
	Total	Cons	Lab	Lib Dems	Other/ Cross- bench	Pre- 1950	1950- 1959	1960+	1960 or before	1960- 1979	1980- 1994	1995- 2004	2005- 2007	Male	Female
Unweighted Total	100	29	32	16	23	86	14	-	6	8	25	50	11	74	26
Weighted Total	100	29	30	10	31	87	13	-	7	9	26	48	11	74	26
	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	-	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%	100%
The recent expenses revelations have moved constitutional reform up the political agenda to the point where it has become an inevitability	.43	-.15	.81	.94	.40	.33	1.00	-	1.71	-.36	.31	.54	.45	.37	.59
Public anger with politicians has been provoked solely by revelations about expenses	-.03	.04	.06	.13	-.24	-.07	.24	-	-.12	-.21	.34	-.05	-.60	-.08	.12
Public anger with politicians is based on dissatisfaction about wider issues than just expenses	.83	.58	.68	1.06	1.14	.78	1.13	-	1.09	1.00	.46	.84	1.37	.95	.52
The public will view Peers more negatively as a result of the expenses revelations	.54	.33	.58	.75	.61	.51	.74	-	1.00	-.31	.58	.51	.94	.47	.72