

The Fairfield Partnership Essex Planning Survey

METHODOLOGY NOTE

ComRes interviewed 400 adults in Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow, Takeley, Newport and Elsenham by telephone from 3rd to 11th September 2012. Data were weighted to be representative of all adults aged 18+ in Uttlesford by age and gender. ComRes is a member of the British Polling Council and abides by its rules.

All press releases or other publications must be checked with ComRes before use. ComRes requires 48 hours to check a press release unless otherwise agreed.

SQ1. Just to check, could you please tell me the name of the town or village in which you live? Base: All respondents

		Ger	Ider			Ar	ea					Ag	e I				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (0)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
Saffron Walden	213 53%	106 53%	107 53%	213 100%DH	-	-	-	-	-	21 60%	26 57%	41 52%	43 52%	36 52%	46 52%	46 58%	84 52%	82 52%
Great Dunmow	107 27%	53 27%	54 27%	-	107 100%CH	-	-	-	-	10 30%	13 29%	20 26%	22 26%	18 26%	23 26%	23 29%	42 26%	41 26%
Takeley	32 8%	16 8%	16 8%	-	-	32 100%	-	-	32 40%CD	-	4 9%	7 9%	7 9%	6 9%	8 9%	4 5%	14 9%	14 9%
Newport	32 8%	16 8%	16 8%	-	-	-	32 100%	-	32 40%CD	4 10%	-	7 9%j	7 9%j	6 9%j	8 9%j	4 4%	14 9%	14 9%
Elsenham	16 4%	8 4%	8 4%	- -	-	-	-	16 100%	16 20%CD	-	2 5%	3 4%	4 4%	3 4%	4 4%	2 3%	7 4%	7 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Base: All respondents



Page 1

SQ1. Just to check, could you please tell me the name of the town or village in which you live? Base: All respondents

			Property S	Status (D1)			Y	ears in Area (D2	2)			Childre	n (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
Saffron Walden	213	67	92	159	48	46	50	40	27	50	41	41	77	134
	53%	53%	54%	54%	50%	46%	60%eh	59%	44%	57%	51%	57%	57%	52%
Great Dunmow	107	32	44	75	30	31	21	14	22	20	20	15	32	72
	27%	25%	26%	25%	31%	30%	25%	21%	36%gi	22%	25%	22%	24%	28%
Takeley	32	10	16	26	6	15	4	5	3	4	8	8	14	17
	8%	8%	10%	9%	6%	14%fhl	5%	8%	5%	5%	10%	12%	10%	7%
Newport	32	14	10	23	9	5	5	7	7	9	6	4	7	25
	8%	11%	6%	8%	9%	5%	5%	10%	12%e	10%	7%	5%	5%	10%l
Elsenham	16	5	8	13	3	5	4	1	1	5	5	3	5	11
	4%	4%	5%	4%	3%	5%	5%	2%	2%	6%	6%	4%	4%	4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Prepared by ComRes

Page 2

SQ1. Just to check, could you please tell me the name of the town or village in which you live? Base: All respondents

	-	3,300 new homes (Q1)		Addit	ional Homes (Q2	2)			Fairfield Pro	posal (Q3)			Extra Hon	nes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
Saffron Walden	213 53%	76 41%	123 65%A	74 69%DE	50 47%	40 43%	31 64%l	90 58%l	36 51%	33 38%	121 60%K	70 44%	72 46%	120 57%L
Great Dunmow	107 27%	65 35%B	35 19%	19 18%	29 28%c	30 33%C	11 23%	40 26%	20 29%	24 28%	51 25%	45 28%	50 32%m	48 23%
Takeley	32 8%	20 11%b	10 5%	1 1%	12 11%C	8 9%C	3 6%	12 7%	6 8%	8 9%	14 7%	14 9%	16 10%	14 7%
Newport	32 8%	16 8%	15 8%	7 7%	8 8%	10 11%	3 7%	11 7%	5 7%	11 12%	15 7%	16 10%	11 7%	20 10%
Elsenham	16 4%	10 5%	5 3%	5 4%	6 6%	3 4%	-	2 1%	4 5%g	10 12%FG	2 1%	14 9%J	7 4%	7 3%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Absolutes/col percents

Page 3

SQ2. Which of the following age groups do you fall into? Base: All respondents

		Geno	der	AreaNET								Age I					Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NE1: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (0)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
NET: 18-34	80 20%	40 20%	40 20%	46 22%h	23 22%	4 13%	4 11%	2 13%	10 12%	34 100%	45 100%KLMN	-	-	-	-	80 100%PQ	-	-
NET: 35-54	162 40%	76 38%	86 43%	84 40%	42 40%	14 44%	14 45%	7 44%	35 44%	-	-	78 100%JMN	84 100%JMN	-	-	-	162 100%OQ	-
NET: 55+	158 40%	83 42%	75 37%	82 39%	41 39%	14 43%	14 44%	7 43%	35 43%	-	-	-	-	69 100%JKL	89 100%JKL	-	-	158 100%OP
18 to 24	34 9%	19 10%	15 8%	21 10%	10 10%	-	4 11%	-	4 4%	34 100%	-	-	-	-	-	34 43%PQ	-	-
25 to 34	45 11%	21 10%	25 12%	26 12%	13 12%	4 13%	-	2 13%	6 8%	-	45 100%KLMN	-	-	-	-	45 57%PQ	-	-
35 to 44	78 20%	27 14%	51 25%A	41 19%	20 19%	7 21%	7 22%	3 21%	17 21%	-	-	78 100%JLMN	- I -	-	-	-	78 48%OQ	-
45 to 54	84 21%	49 25%b	35 17%	43 20%	22 20%	7 23%	7 23%	4 23%	18 23%	-	-	-	84 100%JKM	- N -	-	-	84 52%OQ	-
55 to 64	69 17%	38 19%	31 15%	36 17%	18 17%	6 19%	6 19%	3 19%	15 19%	-	-	- -	- -	69 100%JKLN	-	-	-	69 44%OP
65 and older	89 22%	45 23%	44 22%	46 22%	23 22%	8 24%	8 25%	4 24%	19 24%	-	-	- -	- -	-	89 100%JKLM	- A -	-	89 56%OP
Mean	49.13	49.90	48.36	48.56	48.56	51.50	51.19	51.50	51.38	21.00	29.50	39.50	49.50	59.50	70.00	25.83	44.66O	65.39OP
Standard deviation Standard error	15.68 0.78	15.74 1.13	15.62 1.09	15.95 1.09	15.99 1.55	14.03 2.48	15.45 2.73	14.26 3.56	14.47 1.62	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	4.24 0.57	5.01 0.37	5.23 0.41

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Prepared by ComRes

Page 4

SQ2. Which of the following age groups do you fall into? Base: All respondents

	_		Property St	atus (D1)			Ye	ars in Area (D2)				Children	(D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
NET: 18-34	80 20%	6 5%	39 23%AC	45 15%A	33 35%AbC	40 39%FgHI	10 12%l	17 26%Fl	12 20%l	-	26 33%KLM	-	26 19%K	52 20%K
NET: 35-54	162 40%	29 23%	95 56%ACD	124 42%A	33 35%a	38 38%h	48 57%EGHI	27 39%h	14 24%	35 40%H	52 66%M	68 96%JLM	105 78%JM	53 20%
NET: 55+	158 40%	92 72%BCD	36 21%	128 43%BD	29 30%	23 23%	26 31%	24 35%	34 56%EFG	52 60%EFG	1 2%	3 4%	4 3%	155 60%JKL
18 to 24	34 9%	3 2%	11 6%	14 5%a	20 21%ABC	17 16%fHI	6 8%HI	11 17%fHI	-	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	31 12%JKL
25 to 34	45 11%	3 2%	28 16%AC	31 10%A	13 14%A	23 23%FGI	4 5%l	6 9%l	12 20%Fgl	-	25 31%KLM	-	25 18%KM	21 8%K
35 to 44	78 20%	7 5%	52 31%ACd	58 20%A	18 19%A	24 24%gi	26 32%GHI	8 12%	8 14%	11 13%	44 55%KLM	25 35%M	57 42%KM	19 7%
45 to 54	84 21%	23 18%	43 25%d	66 22%	15 16%	14 14%	21 26%eH	18 27%eH	6 10%	24 27%EH	8 11%	43 61%JLM	48 36%JM	34 13%
55 to 64	69 17%	29 23%D	31 18%d	59 20%D	9 10%	4 4%	15 18%E	12 18%E	16 27%E	22 25%E	1 2%	2 3%	3 2%	67 26%JKL
65 and older	89 22%	63 50%BCD	6 3%	68 23%B	20 21%B	19 19%	10 12%	12 18%	17 29%F	31 35%EFG	-	1 1%	1 1%	88 34%JKL
Mean	49.13	60.28BCD	43.78	50.83BD	43.96	42.13	47.59E	47.04e	52.66EFg	57.83EFGH	37.49	46.60JL	41.68J	53.24JKL
Standard deviation Standard error	15.68 0.78	12.25 1.07	12.21 0.93	14.69 0.84	17.74 1.89	16.77 1.74	13.42 1.45	16.55 2.05	15.36 1.95	10.78 1.11	7.19 0.79	5.96 0.67	8.29 0.69	17.10 1.08

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Page 5

SQ2. Which of the following age groups do you fall into? Base: All respondents

	-	3,300 new h	nomes (Q1)	Ado	ditional Homes (Q2	2)			Fairfield Prop	oosal (Q3)			Extra Hor	mes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
NET: 18-34	80	46	31	23	20	22	16	40	8	8	56	16	37	38
	20%	25%b	16%	22%	19%	24%	33%HI	26%HI	11%	9%	28%K	10%	24%	18%
NET: 35-54	162	72	80	47	47	37	17	65	31	35	82	66	61	85
	40%	39%	43%	44%	45%	40%	35%	42%	43%	40%	40%	42%	40%	41%
NET: 55+	158	68	76	37	38	33	15	49	32	44	65	76	56	86
	40%	37%	41%	34%	36%	36%	32%	32%	45%g	51%FG	32%	48%J	36%	41%
18 to 24	34	22	11	10	8	10	5	23	3	2	28	5	17	16
	9%	12%b	6%	9%	8%	11%	11%l	15%HI	4%	2%	14%K	3%	11%	8%
25 to 34	45	24	20	13	12	12	11	18	5	6	29	11	21	22
	11%	13%	11%	12%	12%	13%	23%gHI	11%	7%	7%	14%K	7%	13%	10%
35 to 44	78	35	39	23	24	18	9	31	15	16	40	32	26	44
	20%	19%	21%	21%	23%	19%	19%	20%	22%	19%	20%	20%	17%	21%
45 to 54	84	37	41	24	23	19	7	34	15	19	42	35	35	41
	21%	20%	22%	23%	22%	21%	15%	22%	22%	22%	21%	22%	22%	20%
55 to 64	69	31	36	13	18	17	8	24	15	14	32	29	25	40
	17%	17%	19%	12%	17%	18%	17%	15%	21%	16%	16%	18%	16%	19%
65 and older	89	37	40	24	20	16	7	26	17	30	33	47	32	46
	22%	20%	21%	22%	19%	18%	15%	17%	24%	35%FG	16%	30%J	20%	22%
Mean	49.13	47.46	49.94	48.03	48.39	47.41	44.86	45.98	51.75FG	54.48FG	45.72	53.26J	47.81	49.57
Standard deviation	15.68	16.22	14.88	15.86	15.13	15.82	16.14	16.09	14.30	14.17	16.07	14.25	16.09	15.42
Standard error	0.78	1.21	1.07	1.52	1.48	1.69	2.41	1.31	1.70	1.47	1.15	1.11	1.31	1.06

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Prepared by ComRes

Page 6

SQ3. Gender Base: All respondents

	-	Geno	der			Ar	ea					Age					Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (o)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
Male	199 50%	199 100%B	-	106 50%	53 50%	16 50%	16 50%	8 50%	40 50%	19 55%	21 46%	27 35%	49 58%K	38 55%K	45 51%K	40 50%	76 47%	83 53%
Female	201 50%	-	201 100%A	107 50%	54 50%	16 50%	16 50%	8 50%	40 50%	15 45%	25 54%	51 65%LMN	35 42%	31 45%	44 49%	40 50%	86 53%	75 47%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing





Absolutes/col percents

Prepared by ComRes

Page 7

SQ3. Gender Base: All respondents

			Property S	Status (D1)			١	ears in Area (D2	2)			Childre	en (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
Male	199 50%	70 55%	80 47%	150 51%	45 47%	47 46%	46 56%	31 46%	30 50%	44 50%	37 47%	34 48%	62 46%	134 52%
Female	201 50%	57 45%	89 53%	146 49%	51 53%	54 54%	37 44%	36 54%	30 50%	44 50%	43 53%	37 52%	73 54%	125 48%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base





Prepared by ComRes

Page 8

SQ3. Gender Base: All respondents

		3,300 new h	nomes (Q1)	Add	itional Homes (Q2	2)			Fairfield Pro	posal (Q3)			Extra Hor	mes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
Male	199 50%	94 51%	92 49%	58 55%	61 58%e	41 44%	27 57%h	75 49%	28 39%	48 55%H	103 51%	76 48%	76 49%	101 48%
Female	201 50%	91 49%	95 51%	48 45%	44 42%	51 56%d	21 43%	80 51%	44 61%fl	39 45%	100 49%	83 52%	78 51%	108 52%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base





Prepared by ComRes

Page 9

Page 10

Essex Planning Survey CATI Fieldwork Dates: 3rd - 11th September 2012

Absolutes/col percents

Q1. Latest government figures show that the population of Uttlesford district has grown by 15 per cent over the last ten years and will continue to grow at a similar rate for the next 20 years. Uttlesford District Council plans to deliver 3,300 new homes in the district over the next 15 years - to 2028 - to help deal with this growth. The Council itself would not actually build all of these homes; the majority would be built by developers. The Council's previous plan was to deliver most of the new homes together in a single, new settlement. The Council's current plan is to add the new homes to existing towns and villages, with the majority of new homes being built in the existing towns and villages of Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow, Elsenham, Newport and Takeley.

Do you think it would be better to have the new homes added to these existing towns and villages, or built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

		Ger	nder			Ar	rea					Ag	el				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (0)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
Added to existing towns and villages	185 46%	94 47%	91 45%	76 36%	65 60%C	20 62%	16 49%	10 60%	45 56%C	22 63%	24 53%	35 45%	37 44%	31 45%	37 41%	46 57%q	72 44%	68 43%
Built together in a single, new settlement	188 47%	92 46%	95 47%	123 58%DH	35 H 33%	10 30%	15 46%	5 32%	30 37%	11 32%	20 44%	39 50%	41 49%	36 52%	40 45%	31 39%	80 50%	76 48%
Don't know	27 7%	12 6%	15 7%	14 7%	7 7%	3 8%	2 5%	1 8%	5 7%	2 5%	2 3%	4 5%	6 7%	2 3%	12 13%kM	3 4%	10 6%	14 9%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing

Composition

Page 11

Essex Planning Survey CATI Fieldwork Dates: 3rd - 11th September 2012

Absolutes/col percents

Q1. Latest government figures show that the population of Uttlesford district has grown by 15 per cent over the last ten years and will continue to grow at a similar rate for the next 20 years. Uttlesford District Council plans to deliver 3,300 new homes in the district over the next 15 years - to 2028 - to help deal with this growth. The Council itself would not actually build all of these homes; the majority would be built by developers. The Council's previous plan was to deliver most of the new homes together in a single, new settlement. The Council's current plan is to add the new homes to existing towns and villages, with the majority of new homes being built in the existing towns and villages of Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow, Elsenham, Newport and Takeley.

Do you think it would be better to have the new homes added to these existing towns and villages, or built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

			Property S	Status (D1)			``	lears in Area (D2	2)			Childre	en (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
Added to existing towns and villages	185 46%	52 41%	72 42%	123 42%	61 63%ABC	56 55%l	35 43%	34 50%	26 43%	34 39%	36 45%	39 55%	66 49%	119 46%
Built together in a single, new settlement	188 47%	64 50%D	90 53%D	153 52%D	31 32%	39 38%	47 56%E	29 43%	31 51%	43 48%	42 52%	30 42%	65 48%k	118 45%
Don't know	27 7%	11 9%	9 5%	20 7%	4 5%	7 7%f	1 1%	5 7%f	3 6%	11 13%F	2 2%	3 4%	3 3%	23 9%jL

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Page 12

Essex Planning Survey CATI Fieldwork Dates: 3rd - 11th September 2012

Absolutes/col percents

Q1. Latest government figures show that the population of Uttlesford district has grown by 15 per cent over the last ten years and will continue to grow at a similar rate for the next 20 years. Uttlesford District Council plans to deliver 3,300 new homes in the district over the next 15 years - to 2028 - to help deal with this growth. The Council itself would not actually build all of these homes; the majority would be built by developers. The Council's previous plan was to deliver most of the new homes together in a single, new settlement. The Council's current plan is to add the new homes to existing towns and villages, with the majority of new homes being built in the existing towns and villages of Saffron Walden, Great Dunmow, Elsenham, Newport and Takeley.

Do you think it would be better to have the new homes added to these existing towns and villages, or built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

		3,300 new homes (Q1)		Addit	tional Homes (Q2	2)			Fairfield Pro	posal (Q3)			Extra Hon	nes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
Added to existing towns and villages	185 46%	185 100%B	-	35 33%	61 58%C	57 63%C	21 43%	68 44%	33 47%	45 51%	89 44%	78 49%	123 79%M	53 26%
Built together in a single, new settlement	188 47%	-	188 100%A	68 64%DE	40 38%	27 30%	26 55%l	79 51%l	35 49%	31 36%	105 52%k	66 42%	23 15%	151 73%L
Don't know	27 7%	-	-	3 3%	4 4%	7 8%	1 2%	8 5%	3 4%	11 13%FGł	9 1 4%	14 9%j	9 6%m	4 2%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All respondents

		Gen	der			Ar	ea					Ag	e I				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (o)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
None	49 12%	23 12%	26 13%	25 12%	15 14%	4 14%	3 8%	2 14%	9 12%	2 5%	4 8%	10 13%	10 12%	15 21%jN	9 10%	5 7%	20 12%	24 15%
1-5%	107 27%	58 29%	48 24%	74 35%DH	19 18%	1 5%	7 22%	5 29%	13 16%	10 28%	13 29%	23 29%	24 29%	13 18%	24 27%	23 29%	47 29%	37 23%
6-10%	105 26%	61 31%B	44 22%	50 23%	29 28%	12 36%	8 25%	6 36%	26 32%	8 23%	12 27%	24 30%	23 27%	18 26%	20 23%	20 25%	47 29%	38 24%
11-20%	56 14%	31 16%	24 12%	24 11%	20 19%c	4 12%	6 18%	2 11%	11 14%	8 24%	7 15%	11 14%	12 14%	9 14%	9 10%	15 19%	22 14%	18 12%
21-30%	20 5%	6 3%	14 7%a	7 3%	7 7%	2 6%	2 7%	2 10%	6 7%	-	4 9%	6 7%	3 4%	3 5%	4 5%	4 5%	9 5%	7 5%
31+%	16 4%	4 2%	12 6%A	9 4%	2 2%	2 7%	2 7%	-	4 6%	2 5%	1 3%	1 2%	4 5%	4 6%	3 4%	3 4%	5 3%	8 5%
NET: 11+%	92 23%	41 20%	51 25%	40 19%	30 28%c	8 25%	10 32%	3 21%	21 27%	10 28%	12 27%	18 23%	19 23%	17 24%	16 18%	22 27%	37 23%	33 21%
As many as are needed	11 3%	4 2%	7 3%	6 3%d	-	4 13%	1 2%	-	5 6%D	-	1 3%	1 1%	4 5%	1 2%	4 4%	1 2%	5 3%	5 3%
Don't know	37 9%	11 6%	26 13%A	19 9%	13 12%	2 8%	3 10%	-	6 7%	5 16%	3 6%	3 4%	3 4%	6 9%	16 18%jKL	8 n 10%	7 4%	22 14%F
Mean	10.56	8.92	12.36A	9.26	11.22	13.81	14.67	9.66	13.20C	10.24	10.48	10.00	11.00	11.19	10.30	10.38	10.51	10.73
Standard deviation Standard error	12.10 0.64	7.94 0.59	15.23 1.15	10.54 0.77	12.38 1.27	13.44 2.64	19.04 3.60	7.83 1.96	15.01 1.79	9.42 2.28	9.27 1.66	9.64 1.05	14.26 1.58	15.34 1.92	11.39 1.32	9.26 1.34	12.17 0.94	13.36 1.14
Median	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	6	8	8	7	8	8	6	6	9	8	7

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Prepared by ComRes

Page 13

Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All respondents

			Property S	Status (D1)			Ň	Years in Area (D2)			Childre	n (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
None	49	18	25	43	5	3	12	8	14	12	9	7	15	33
	12%	14%D	15%D	14%D	5%	3%	14%E	13%E	23%E	14%E	12%	10%	11%	13%
1-5%	107	34	51	86	19	28	27	15	17	19	24	20	39	65
	27%	27%	30%d	29%d	20%	28%	33%	22%	29%	22%	30%	28%	29%	25%
6-10%	105	33	43	76	26	28	25	17	14	21	25	22	42	63
	26%	26%	25%	26%	28%	27%	29%	26%	23%	24%	32%	30%	31%	24%
11-20%	56	14	25	38	17	12	10	11	8	14	10	11	20	34
	14%	11%	14%	13%	18%	12%	12%	16%	13%	16%	13%	16%	15%	13%
21-30%	20	4	9	13	7	6	4	5	2	3	5	3	7	13
	5%	3%	5%	5%	7%	6%	5%	7%	3%	4%	7%	4%	5%	5%
31+%	16	6	3	8	7	5	1	1	2	7	1	1	1	14
	4%	4%	2%	3%	8%Bc	5%	1%	1%	4%	8%Fg	2%	2%	1%	6%L
NET: 11+%	92	23	37	60	32	23	16	17	12	24	17	15	28	62
	23%	18%	22%	20%	33%AbC	23%	19%	24%	20%	28%	21%	22%	21%	24%
As many as are needed	11	3	4	7	3	3	1	3	1	3	2	5	6	5
	3%	2%	3%	2%	3%	3%	1%	5%	1%	3%	3%	7%M	5%	2%
Don't know	37	16	9	25	11	16	4	7	2	8	2	3	5	32
	9%	13%BC	6%	9%B	12%	16%FH	4%	10%	4%	9%	3%	4%	4%	12%JKL
Mean	10.56	10.10	8.73	9.29	15.12ABC	11.64	8.97	10.04	8.50	12.95fh	9.70	9.84	9.37	11.33
Standard deviation	12.10	13.06	8.76	10.73	15.16	10.56	11.81	9.02	10.65	16.02	8.97	9.34	8.31	13.79
Standard error	0.64	1.23	0.69	0.65	1.75	1.21	1.31	1.22	1.40	1.76	1.02	1.09	0.72	0.94
Median	8	6	7	7	9	9	6	9	5	8	8	8	8	8

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Absolutes/col percents

Page 14

Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All respondents

		3,300 new h	nomes (Q1)	Addi	tional Homes (Q2))			Fairfield Pro	posal (Q3)			Extra Hor	nes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
None	49 12%	6 3%	38 20%A	-	-	-	7 14%g	9 6%	10 15%G	17 19%G	16 8%	27 17%J	4 3%	37 18%L
1-5%	107 27%	35 19%	68 36%A	107 100%DE	-	-	10 21%	51 33%	17 24%	23 26%	61 30%	40 25%	26 17%	71 34%L
6-10%	105 26%	61 33%B	40 21%	-	105 100%CE	-	10 20%	43 28%	24 34%	21 24%	53 26%	46 29%	50 32%m	49 23%
11-20%	56 14%	36 20%B	15 8%	-	-	56 61%CD	7 15%	22 14%	8 11%	13 14%	29 14%	20 13%	34 22%M	19 9%
21-30%	20 5%	12 6%	7 4%	-	-	20 22%CD	4 9%	5 3%	3 4%	3 3%	10 5%	6 4%	6 4%	11 5%
31+%	16 4%	9 5%	6 3%	-	-	16 17%CD	5 9%	6 4%	3 4%	2 3%	10 5%	5 3%	11 7%M	4 2%
NET: 11+%	92 23%	57 31%B	27 14%	-	-	92 100%CD	16 33%	34 22%	14 19%	18 20%	49 24%	31 20%	51 33%M	35 17%
As many as are needed	11 3%	5 3%	3 2%	-	-	-	2 4%	5 3%	1 1%	2 2%	6 3%	3 2%	4 3%	4 2%
Don't know	37 9%	20 11%	12 7%	-	-	-	4 8%	14 9%	5 7%	7 8%	17 8%	12 7%	19 12%m	13 6%
Mean	10.56	13.16B	8.06	3.73	9.70C	25.14CD	14.67ghi	10.35	9.78	9.09	11.38	9.40	14.33M	8.47
Standard deviation Standard error	12.10 0.64	11.97 0.96	11.68 0.88	1.65 0.16	0.90 0.09	15.21 1.62	17.31 2.74	10.47 0.90	10.94 1.36	12.88 1.41	12.52 0.94	12.00 0.98	13.46 1.18	10.91 0.79
Median	8	9	5	4	9	19	8	8	8	5	8	7	9	5

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Absolutes/col percents

Page 15

Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All giving percentage

		Gen	der			Ar	ea					Ag	e I				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (I)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (o)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	353	179	174	188	95	26	28	16	70	17	31	85	82	64	74	48	167	138
Weighted Base	352	183	168	188	94*	25**	28**	16**	69*	29**	41**	74*	76*	62*	69*	70*	151	131
None	49 14%	23 13%	26 15%	25 13%	15 16%	4 17%	3 10%	2 14%	9 13%	2 6%	4 9%	10 13%	10 13%	15 24%n	9 13%	5 7%	20 13%	24 18%0
1-5%	107 30%	58 32%	48 29%	74 39%D⊦	19 21%	1 6%	7 25%	5 29%	13 19%	10 34%	13 32%	23 31%	24 32%	13 21%	24 34%m	23 33%	47 31%	37 28%
6-10%	105 30%	61 33%	44 26%	50 26%	29 31%	12 46%	8 29%	6 36%	26 37%	8 27%	12 30%	24 32%	23 30%	18 29%	20 29%	20 29%	47 31%	38 29%
11-20%	56 16%	31 17%	24 14%	24 13%	20 22%c	4 15%	6 21%	2 11%	11 16%	8 28%	7 16%	11 14%	12 15%	9 15%	9 13%	15 21%	22 15%	18 14%
21-30%	20 6%	6 3%	14 9%A	7 4%	7 8%	2 8%	2 7%	2 10%	6 8%	-	4 10%	6 8%	3 4%	3 5%	4 6%	4 6%	9 6%	7 6%
31+%	16 4%	4 2%	12 7%A	9 5%	2 3%	2 8%	2 8%	-	4 6%	2 6%	1 3%	1 2%	4 5%	4 7%	3 5%	3 4%	5 4%	8 6%
NET: 11+%	92 26%	41 22%	51 30%a	40 21%	30 32%C	8 31%	10 36%	3 21%	21 31%	10 34%	12 29%	18 24%	19 25%	17 27%	16 24%	22 31%	37 24%	33 25%
Mean	10.56	8.92	12.36A	9.26	11.22	13.81	14.67	9.66	13.20C	10.24	10.48	10.00	11.00	11.19	10.30	10.38	10.51	10.73
Standard deviation Standard error	12.10 0.64	7.94 0.59	15.23 1.15	10.54 0.77	12.38 1.27	13.44 2.64	19.04 3.60	7.83 1.96	15.01 1.79	9.42 2.28	9.27 1.66	9.64 1.05	14.26 1.58	15.34 1.92	11.39 1.32	9.26 1.34	12.17 0.94	13.36 1.14
Median	8	8	8	5	8	8	8	6	8	8	7	8	8	6	6	9	8	7

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Page 16

Absolutes/col percents

Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All giving percentage

			Property S	Status (D1)			`	Years in Area (D2)			Childre	en (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	353	112	160	272	75	76	81	55	58	83	77	73	132	216
Weighted Base	352	108	156	264	82*	82*	79*	57*	57*	77*	75*	64*	124	223
None	49	18	25	43	5	3	12	8	14	12	9	7	15	33
	14%	17%D	16%D	16%D	6%	3%	15%E	15%E	24%E	16%E	13%	11%	12%	15%
1-5%	107	34	51	86	19	28	27	15	17	19	24	20	39	65
	30%	32%	33%	33%	23%	34%	34%	26%	31%	25%	31%	31%	32%	29%
6-10%	105	33	43	76	26	28	25	17	14	21	25	22	42	63
	30%	30%	28%	29%	32%	34%	31%	31%	24%	28%	34%	34%	34%	28%
11-20%	56	14	25	38	17	12	10	11	8	14	10	11	20	34
	16%	12%	16%	14%	21%	15%	13%	19%	14%	18%	13%	18%	16%	15%
21-30%	20	4	9	13	7	6	4	5	2	3	5	3	7	13
	6%	4%	6%	5%	9%	8%	6%	8%	3%	4%	7%	4%	6%	6%
31+%	16	6	3	8	7	5	1	1	2	7	1	1	1	14
	4%	5%	2%	3%	9%BC	6%	1%	2%	4%	9%Fg	2%	2%	1%	6%L
NET: 11+%	92	23	37	60	32	23	16	17	12	24	17	15	28	62
	26%	21%	23%	23%	39%ABC	28%	20%	29%	21%	31%f	22%	24%	23%	28%
Mean	10.56	10.10	8.73	9.29	15.12ABC	11.64	8.97	10.04	8.50	12.95fh	9.70	9.84	9.37	11.33
Standard deviation	12.10	13.06	8.76	10.73	15.16	10.56	11.81	9.02	10.65	16.02	8.97	9.34	8.31	13.79
Standard error	0.64	1.23	0.69	0.65	1.75	1.21	1.31	1.22	1.40	1.76	1.02	1.09	0.72	0.94
Median	8	6	7	7	9	9	6	9	5	8	8	8	8	8

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Page 17

Absolutes/col percents

Q2. Based on the current number of homes in (your town) specifically, to your best estimate, what percentage of additional homes do you think would be acceptable to be built? Base: All giving percentage

3,300 new homes (Q1) Additional Homes (Q2) Fairfield Proposal (Q3) Extra Homes (Q4) Strongly Tend to Added to Add to Single Tend to Strongly Single existing Total settlement 1-5% 6-10% 11%+ support support oppose oppose NET: Support NET: Oppose existing settlement (a) (b) (c) (e) ίh) '(i) (I) (m) (d) (f) (n) (i) (k)Unweighted Base 155 177 109 88 40 136 65 84 192 353 104 176 149 131 Weighted Base 352 160 173 107 105* 92* 43* 137 65* 79* 180 144 132 191 None 49 6 38 7 9 10 17 16 27 4 37 22%A 19%L 14% 4% 16%g 7% 16%G 21%G 9% 19%J 3% 1-5% 107 35 68 107 10 51 17 23 61 40 26 71 30% 22% 39%A 100%DE 24% 37% 26% 29% 34% 28% 20% 37%L 6-10% 105 61 40 105 -10 43 24 21 53 46 50 49 30% 38%B 23% 100%CE 23% 32% 37% 30% 32% 38%M 25% 27% -11-20% 56 36 15 56 7 22 13 29 20 34 19 8 16% 23%B 61%CD 17% 16% 16% 16% 14% 26%M 9% 12% 10% 21-30% 20 12 7 20 3 10 11 Λ 5 3 6 6 6% 7% 4% 22%CD 10% 4% 5% 3% 5% 4% 5% 6% 2 31+% 16 q 6 -16 5 6 3 10 5 11 4 6% 3% 17%CD 11%i 4% 5% 3% 4% 9%M 2% 4% 6% -NET: 11+% 92 57 27 92 16 34 14 18 49 31 51 35 --36%B 100%CD 37%hi 24% 39%M 26% 16% 21% 22% 28% 22% 18% --Mean 10.56 13.16B 8.06 3.73 9.70C 25.14CD 14.67ghi 10.35 9.78 9.09 9.40 14.33M 8.47 11.38 17.31 10.47 10.94 12.88 12.52 Standard deviation 12.10 11.97 11.68 1.65 0.90 15.21 12.00 13.46 10.91 0.94 0.64 0.96 0.88 0.16 0.09 1.62 2.74 1.41 0.98 1.18 Standard error 0.90 1.36 0.79 Median 9 5 4 9 19 8 8 5 8 7 9 8 8 5

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - I/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Prepared by ComRes

Page 18

Q3. The Fairfield Partnership is proposing to deliver a new settlement on land to the North-East of Elsenham, with new housing and associated infrastructure, facilities and services. The development would be delivered in phases, starting with around 800 homes and growing in stages to around 3,000 homes as and when the Council decides it needs more homes.

To what extent do you support or oppose The Fairfield Partnership's proposed plan? Base: All respondents

			Gen	der			Are	ea					Age	e l				Age II	
		Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (l)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (o)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base		400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base		400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
NET: Support		203 51%	103 52%	100 50%	121 57%H	51 48%	14 45%	15 46%	2 11%	31 39%	28 81%	29 63%N	40 51%n	42 50%n	32 46%	33 37%	56 71%PQ	82 51%q	65 41%
Strongly support	(5)	48 12%	27 14%	21 10%	31 14%	11 10%	3 9%	3 10%	-	6 8%	5 15%	11 24%LN	9 12%	7 9%	8 12%	7 8%	16 20%рq	17 10%	15 10%
Tend to support	(4)	155 39%	75 38%	80 40%	90 42%h	40 38%	12 36%	11 35%	2 11%	25 31%	23 66%	18 39%	31 40%	34 41%n	24 34%	26 29%	40 51%Q	65 40%q	49 31%
Neither support nor oppose	(3)	31 8%	18 9%	14 7%	18 8%	8 8%	4 12%	2 5%	-	5 7%	2 6%	6 12%	4 5%	6 8%	8 11%	6 6%	8 9%	10 6%	13 8%
Tend to oppose	(2)	71 18%	28 14%	44 22%A	36 17%	20 19%	6 18%	5 16%	4 23%	14 18%	3 9%	5 11%	15 20%	15 19%	15 22%	17 19%	8 10%	31 19%	32 20%o
Strongly oppose	(1)	87 22%	48 24%	39 19%	33 16%	24 23%	8 26%	11 33%	10 66%	29 37%Cd	2 5%	6 13%	16 21%	19 23%	14 20%	30 34%JKIm	8 10%	35 22%O	44 28%O
NET: Oppose		158 40%	76 38%	83 41%	70 33%	45 42%	14 43%	16 49%	14 89%	44 55%C	5 13%	11 24%	32 40%	35 41%j	29 42%j	47 53%Jk	16 20%	66 41%O	76 48%O
Don't know		7 2%	3 1%	4 2%	5 2%	2 2%	-	-	-	-	-	-	3 3%	1 1%	1 2%	3 3%	-	3 2%	4 2%
Mean		3.02	3.03	3.00	3.23dH	2.94h	2.85	2.73	1.57	2.55	3.78	3.49lmN	3.02N	2.95n	2.95n	2.57	3.62PQ	2.98	2.74
Standard deviation Standard error		1.40 0.07	1.44 0.10	1.36 0.10	1.34 0.09	1.40 0.14	1.40 0.25	1.50 0.26	0.99 0.25	1.44 0.16	0.97 0.22	1.34 0.23	1.41 0.15	1.38 0.15	1.37 0.16	1.44 0.15	1.20 0.16	1.39 0.10	1.42 0.11

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Page 19

Q3. The Fairfield Partnership is proposing to deliver a new settlement on land to the North-East of Elsenham, with new housing and associated infrastructure, facilities and services. The development would be delivered in phases, starting with around 800 homes and growing in stages to around 3,000 homes as and when the Council decides it needs more homes.

To what extent do you support or oppose The Fairfield Partnership's proposed plan? Base: All respondents

				Property S	tatus (D1)			Y	ears in Area (D2	2)			Childre	en (D3)	
		Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base		400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base		400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
NET: Support		203 51%	50 39%	87 51%AC	137 46%A	65 68%ABC	54 53%	48 58%hi	37 55%	26 43%	39 44%	39 48%	39 55%	70 52%	133 51%
Strongly support	(5)	48 12%	13 10%	14 8%	27 9%	21 22%ABC	14 14%	11 13%	5 7%	6 9%	13 14%	12 15%	6 9%	18 13%	31 12%
Tend to support	(4)	155 39%	36 29%	74 43%AC	110 37%A	44 46%A	39 39%	37 45%l	32 48%l	20 34%	26 30%	27 34%	33 46%jl	53 39%	103 40%
Neither support nor oppose	(3)	31 8%	7 5%	13 7%	19 7%	9 9%	11 11%	4 5%	5 7%	2 4%	10 11%	5 6%	4 6%	9 7%	21 8%
Tend to oppose	(2)	71 18%	27 21%D	36 21%D	63 21%D	6 6%	16 16%	12 14%	12 18%	16 26%f	16 18%	15 19%	9 13%	23 17%	44 17%
Strongly oppose	(1)	87 22%	39 31%BCD	31 18%	70 24%B	15 16%	19 19%	16 19%	12 18%	16 27%	24 27%	19 24%	18 25%	30 22%	57 22%
NET: Oppose		158 40%	66 52%BCD	67 40%D	133 45%BD	22 23%	35 35%	28 33%	24 36%	32 53%EFg	39 45%	35 44%	27 38%	53 39%	101 39%
Don't know		7 2%	4 3%d	3 2%	7 2%	-	2 2%	4 4%i	2 3%	- -	-	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%	4 2%
Mean		3.02	2.65	3.02AC	2.86A	3.52ABC	3.14h	3.19h	3.09	2.71	2.87	2.95	3.02	3.04	3.02
Standard deviation Standard error		1.40 0.07	1.46 0.13	1.32 0.10	1.39 0.08	1.34 0.14	1.38 0.14	1.39 0.15	1.31 0.17	1.42 0.18	1.46 0.15	1.47 0.16	1.41 0.16	1.42 0.12	1.40 0.09

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Absolutes/col percents

Page 20

Q3. The Fairfield Partnership is proposing to deliver a new settlement on land to the North-East of Elsenham, with new housing and associated infrastructure, facilities and services. The development would be delivered in phases, starting with around 800 homes and growing in stages to around 3,000 homes as and when the Council decides it needs more homes.

To what extent do you support or oppose The Fairfield Partnership's proposed plan? Base: All respondents

		_	3,300 new h	nomes (Q1)	Add	itional Homes (Q	2)			Fairfield Prop	osal (Q3)			Extra Hor	nes (Q4)
		Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base		400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base		400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
NET: Support		203 51%	89 48%	105 56%	61 57%	53 51%	49 54%	48 100%HI	155 100%HI	-	-	203 100%K	-	75 48%	117 56%
Strongly support	(5)	48 12%	21 11%	26 14%	10 9%	10 9%	16 17%	48 100%GHI	-	-	-	48 24%K	-	20 13%	25 12%
Tend to support	(4)	155 39%	68 37%	79 42%	51 48%	43 41%	34 37%	-	155 100%FHI	-	-	155 76%K	-	54 35%	93 44%l
Neither support nor oppose	(3)	31 8%	13 7%	15 8%	5 5%	5 5%	8 9%	-	-	-	-	-	-	14 9%	11 5%
Tend to oppose	(2)	71 18%	33 18%	35 18%	17 16%	24 23%	14 15%	-	-	71 100%FGI	-	-	71 45%J	24 16%	41 20%
Strongly oppose	(1)	87 22%	45 24%b	31 17%	23 22%	21 20%	18 19%	-	-	-	87 100%FGF	- 	87 55%J	40 26%m	36 17%
NET: Oppose		158 40%	78 42%	66 35%	40 37%	46 44%	31 34%	-	-	71 100%FG	87 100%FG	-	158 100%J	64 41%	77 37%
Don't know		7 2%	5 3%	2 1%	-	1 1%	3 3%c	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	3 1%
Mean		3.02	2.93	3.19a	3.08	2.96	3.19	5.00	4.00	2.00	1.00	4.24K	1.45	2.94	3.14
Standard deviation Standard error		1.40 0.07	1.42 0.11	1.35 0.10	1.37 0.13	1.37 0.13	1.42 0.15	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.00 0.00	0.43 0.03	0.50 0.04	1.45 0.12	1.35 0.09

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Page 21

Absolutes/col percents

Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

		Ger	Gender			Ar	ea					Ag	e I				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (I)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (0)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	400	193	207	213	107	32	32	16	80	20	35	90	89	71	95	55	179	166
Weighted Base	400	199	201	213	107*	32**	32**	16**	80*	34**	45*	78*	84*	69*	89*	80*	162	158
Added to existing towns and villages	155 39%	76 38%	78 39%	72 34%	50 47%C	16 49%	11 33%	7 43%	33 41%	17 49%	21 45%	26 34%	35 42%	25 35%	32 35%	37 47%	61 38%	56 35%
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 52%	101 51%	108 53%	120 56%d	48 45%	14 44%	20 62%	7 46%	41 52%	16 46%	22 48%	44 56%	41 49%	40 57%	46 52%	38 47%	85 53%	86 54%
It depends on where the other 3,300 houses have been built	20 5%	9 5%	10 5%	9 4%	6 5%	1 4%	2 5%	2 12%	5 6%	-	1 3%	5 7%	3 4%	3 4%	7 7%	1 2%	9 5%	10 6%
Don't know	17 4%	12 6%b	5 2%	12 6%	4 3%	1 4%	-	-	1 2%	2 5%	2 4%	2 3%	5 5%	2 3%	5 5%	3 4%	7 4%	7 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Prepared by ComRes

Page 22

Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

	_		Property S	status (D1)			١	/ears in Area (D2	:)			Childre	n (D3)	
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned	NET: Rented	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	400	131	173	304	88	93	86	65	62	94	82	80	143	251
Weighted Base	400	127	170	297	96*	101*	83*	68*	60*	88*	80*	71*	135	260
Added to existing towns and villages	155 39%	47 37%	59 35%	106 36%	47 49%BC	47 47%Fg	25 30%	21 31%	23 38%	38 44%f	34 43%	34 48%m	60 44%	94 36%
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 52%	63 50%	101 59%D	164 55%d	42 43%	49 49%	46 55%	40 59%	33 54%	41 47%	39 49%	35 49%	66 49%	140 54%
It depends on where the other 3,300 houses have been built	20 5%	9 7%	6 3%	14 5%	4 4%	4 4%	7 8%h	2 3%	1 1%	6 7%	4 5%	1 1%	5 4%k	14 5%
Don't know	17 4%	7 6%	4 3%	12 4%	3 3%	1 1%	5 6%e	5 7%E	4 7%e	2 3%	3 3%	2 2%	4 3%	12 4%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Prepared by ComRes

Page 23

Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All respondents

	_	3,300 new h	omes (Q1)	Addit	ional Homes (Q2	2)			Fairfield Pro	posal (Q3)			Extra Hon	nes (Q4)
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)
Unweighted Base	400	179	193	109	104	88	45	152	71	93	197	164	151	210
Weighted Base	400	185	188	107	105*	92*	48*	155	71*	87*	203	158	155	209
Added to existing towns and villages	155 39%	123 66%B	23 12%	26 25%	50 48%C	51 56%C	20 42%	54 35%	24 34%	40 46%	75 37%	64 40%	155 100%M	-
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 52%	53 29%	151 81%A	71 66%DE	49 46%	35 38%	25 51%	93 60%l	41 58%l	36 41%	117 58%	77 49%	-	209 100%L
It depends on where the other 3,300 houses have been built	20 5%	6 3%	8 4%	5 5%	4 4%	2 2%	1 2%	7 4%	3 4%	3 4%	8 4%	6 4%	-	-
Don't know	17 4%	4 2%	6 3%	5 4%	2 2%	3 3%	2 4%	2 1%	3 4%	8 9%G	4 2%	11 7%J	-	-

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base

Prepared by ComRes

Page 24



Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All answering main two options

		Ger	nder			Ar	ea					Ag	e I				Age II	
	Total	Male (a)	Female (b)	Saffron Walden (c)	Great Dunmow (d)	Takeley (e)	Newport (f)	Elsenham (g)	NET: Other - smaller (h)	18 - 24 (i)	25 - 34 (j)	35 - 44 (k)	45 - 54 (I)	55 - 64 (m)	65 + (n)	18-34 (0)	35-54 (p)	55+ (q)
Unweighted Base	361	171	190	191	97	29	30	14	73	19	32	81	80	66	83	51	161	149
Weighted Base	363	178	186	192	98*	30**	30**	14**	74*	33**	42*	71*	76*	64*	78*	75*	146	142
Added to existing towns and villages	155 43%	76 43%	78 42%	72 37%	50 51%C	16 53%	11 35%	7 48%	33 44%	17 51%	21 49%	26 37%	35 46%	25 38%	32 41%	37 50%	61 42%	56 39%
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 57%	101 57%	108 58%	120 63%D	48 49%	14 47%	20 65%	7 52%	41 56%	16 49%	22 51%	44 63%	41 54%	40 62%	46 59%	38 50%	85 58%	86 61%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e/f/g/h - i/j/k/l/m/n - o/p/q Overlap formulae used. * small base; ** very small base (under 30) ineligible for sig testing



Prepared by ComRes

Page 25

Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All answering main two options

			Property S	Status (D1)				Years in Area (D2	2)	Children (D3)				
	Total	Owned outright (a)	Owned with mortgage (b)	NET: Owned (c)	NET: Rented (d)	Up to 6 years (e)	7-15 years (f)	16-25 years (g)	26-35 years (h)	36+ years (i)	Yes - under 11 (j)	Yes - 11+ (k)	Yes - any (I)	No (m)
Unweighted Base	361	114	162	276	81	88	74	58	56	85	75	77	133	224
Weighted Base	363	111	160	271	89*	96*	71*	61*	55*	79*	73*	69*	125	234
Added to existing towns and villages	155 43%	47 43%	59 37%	106 39%	47 53%BC	47 49%	25 35%	21 35%	23 41%	38 48%	34 46%	34 50%	60 48%	94 40%
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 57%	63 57%	101 63%D	164 61%D	42 47%	49 51%	46 65%	40 65%	33 59%	41 52%	39 54%	35 50%	66 52%	140 60%

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b/c/d - e/f/g/h/i - j/k/l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Prepared by ComRes

Page 26

Q4. If the Council decided that the area needed even more homes than the 3,300 currently planned, would you want the extra homes to be added to existing towns and villages, or would you want the extra homes to be built together in a single, new settlement? Base: All answering main two options

		3,300 new h	omes (Q1)	Additional Homes (Q2)			Fairfield Proposal (Q3)							Extra Homes (Q4)	
	Total	Add to existing (a)	Single settlement (b)	1-5% (c)	6-10% (d)	11%+ (e)	Strongly support (f)	Tend to support (g)	Tend to oppose (h)	Strongly oppose (i)	NET: Support (j)	NET: Oppose (k)	Added to existing (I)	Single settlement (m)	
Unweighted Base	361	168	180	98	98	83	42	144	65	80	186	145	151	210	
Weighted Base	363	176	175	97*	99*	86*	45*	147	65*	76*	192	141	155	209	
Added to existing towns and villages	155 43%	123 70%B	23 13%	26 27%	50 51%C	51 59%C	20 45%	54 37%	24 37%	40 53%Gh	75 39%	64 45%	155 100%M	-	
Built together in a single, new settlement	209 57%	53 30%	151 87%A	71 73%DE	49 49%	35 41%	25 55%	93 63%l	41 63%i	36 47%	117 61%	77 55%	-	209 100%L	

Proportions/Means: Columns Tested (5%, 10% risk level) - a/b - c/d/e - f/g/h/i - j/k - l/m Overlap formulae used. * small base



Page 27

Absolutes/col percents