Absolutes/col percents

Privatising Police Services Survey ONLINE Fieldwork: 8th - 10th June 2012

Table 1
Q1. To what extent do you support or oppose allowing private companies to run policing services in your local area?
Base: All respondents

	_	Gen	der		Age						Social	Grade				Region			Emplo: Sec	yment ctor	Vo	te Intenti	on
	Total_	<u>Male</u>	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>	C2	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	Lab	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base 20	2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base 20	2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Support	478	275	204	81	86	86	53	49	123	158	141	89	90	139	123	117	62	37	68	216	196	134	31
	24%	28%	20%	33%	27%	23%	16%	16%	29%	29%	24%	21%	19%	27%	23%	23%	22%	21%	19%	28%	39%	22%	19%
Support strongly (4)	87	60	27	16	15	23	10	6	17	32	23	12	21	31	21	16	11	8	15	43	46	20	4
	4%	6%	3%	7%	5%	6%	3%	2%	4%	6%	4%	3%	5%	6%	4%	3%	4%	5%	4%	6%	9%	3%	2%
Tend to support (3)	391	214	177	65	72	63	43	43	105	127	118	77	69	107	102	101	52	29	53	173	150	114	27
	20%	22%	17%	27%	22%	17%	13%	14%	25%	23%	20%	18%	15%	21%	19%	20%	18%	16%	15%	23%	30%	19%	17%
Tend to oppose (2)	531	250	282	70	87	99	89	82	106	132	154	130	115	137	140	119	97	38	96	224	134	151	74
	26%	25%	28%	29%	27%	26%	26%	27%	25%	24%	26%	31%	25%	27%	27%	24%	34%	22%	27%	29%	27%	25%	46%
Oppose strongly (1)	710	376	335	34	73	141	158	136	168	196	203	142	170	160	198	187	91	74	144	234	125	264	40
	35%	38%	33%	14%	23%	37%	46%	45%	40%	36%	35%	34%	37%	31%	37%	37%	31%	43%	40%	31%	25%	43%	25%
NET: Oppose 12	1242	625	617	104	159	239	247	218	274	328	357	272	285	297	338	306	188	112	239	458	260	415	114
	62%	64%	60%	43%	50%	63%	73%	73%	65%	61%	61%	65%	62%	58%	64%	61%	65%	64%	67%	60%	52%	68%	71%
Don't know	286	83	203	56	75	56	40	34	25	55	84	60	87	74	68	80	39	25	50	92	41	64	16
	14%	8%	20%	23%	23%	15%	12%	11%	6%	10%	14%	14%	19%	14%	13%	16%	13%	15%	14%	12%	8%	10%	10%
Mean 1	1.92	1.95	1.87	2.34	2.12	1.90	1.69	1.69	1.93	1.99	1.92	1.89	1.84	2.02	1.88	1.87	1.93	1.80	1.80	2.04	2.26	1.80	1.96
Standard deviation 0	0.91	0.96	0.86	0.88	0.90	0.95	0.84	0.82	0.93	0.96	0.91	0.85	0.92	0.95	0.91	0.90	0.86	0.93	0.89	0.93	0.97	0.89	0.75
Standard error 0	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.06



Table 1
Q1. To what extent do you support or oppose allowing private companies to run policing services in your local area?
Base: All respondents

					Which	of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Support		478 24%	284 25%	225 26%	59 24%	194 22%	17 13%	22 21%	24 21%	102 30%	29 16%
Support strongly	(4)	87 4%	57 5%	48 5%	9 4%	30 3%	3 2%	7 7%	2 2%	13 4%	4 2%
Tend to support	(3)	391 20%	227 20%	177 20%	50 21%	165 19%	15 11%	15 14%	22 19%	88 26%	25 13%
Tend to oppose	(2)	531 26%	320 28%	247 28%	73 30%	211 24%	36 26%	26 25%	33 30%	82 24%	34 18%
Oppose strongly	(1)	710 35%	378 34%	302 34%	75 31%	333 38%	57 41%	30 29%	43 38%	141 41%	63 33%
NET: Oppose		1242 62%	698 62%	550 62%	148 61%	544 62%	93 67%	56 54%	76 68%	222 65%	97 51%
Don't know		286 14%	142 13%	108 12%	34 14%	144 16%	29 21%	25 24%	12 11%	16 5%	62 33%
Mean		1.92	1.96	1.96	1.97	1.85	1.67	1.99	1.84	1.92	1.77
Standard deviation		0.91	0.92	0.93	0.89	0.90	0.80	0.98	0.85	0.93	0.89
Standard error		0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.07	0.11	0.08	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Absolutes/col percents

Table 2

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?

				Q2 Su	mmary		
		Fairness in how the police treat the public	The police treating all members of the public equally	Corruption in the police force	Standards of service to the public	Accountability of the police force to the British public	Security and confidentiality of police records
Unweighted base		2006	2006	2006	2006	2006	2006
Weighted base		2006	2006	2006	2006	2006	2006
NET: Improve		300 15%	274 14%	333 17%	419 21%	338 17%	240 12%
Improve a great deal	(5)	77 4%	84 4%	80 4%	94 5%	99 5%	78 4%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	223 11%	191 10%	253 13%	325 16%	239 12%	162 8%
Make no difference	(3)	769 38%	811 40%	608 30%	417 21%	505 25%	468 23%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	351 17%	337 17%	393 20%	500 25%	472 24%	545 27%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	313 16%	314 16%	368 18%	421 21%	450 22%	498 25%
NET: Worsen		664 33%	652 32%	760 38%	921 46%	922 46%	1043 52%
Don't know		273 14%	269 13%	305 15%	249 12%	241 12%	254 13%
Mean		2.65	2.65	2.58	2.53	2.47	2.30
Standard deviation		1.05	1.05	1.12	1.19	1.17	1.11
Standard error		0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03



Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Fairness in how the police treat the public

			Ger	nder			Ad	ae				Social	Grade				Region			Employ Sec		Vo	te Intenti	on
															South	Mid-	North Eng-	Wales & South	Scot-		Pri-			
		Total	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	<u>AB</u>	<u>C1</u>	<u>C2</u>	DE	East	lands	<u>land</u>	West	land	Public	<u>vate</u>	Con	_Lab_	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Improve		300 15%	163 17%	137 13%	58 24%	63 20%	62 16%	35 10%	29 10%	52 12%	82 15%	84 14%	63 15%	71 15%	94 18%	67 13%	69 14%	41 14%	29 17%	56 16%	131 17%	88 18%	112 18%	20 13%
Improve a great deal	(5)	77 4%	40 4%	37 4%	18 7%	16 5%	23 6%	8 2%	6 2%	7 2%	18 3%	16 3%	19 5%	24 5%	27 5%	20 4%	21 4%	4 1%	6 3%	16 5%	37 5%	19 4%	31 5%	3 2%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	223 11%	123 13%	100 10%	40 17%	47 15%	39 10%	27 8%	24 8%	46 11%	64 12%	68 12%	44 10%	46 10%	67 13%	47 9%	48 10%	37 13%	23 13%	40 11%	94 12%	69 14%	81 13%	18 11%
Make no difference	(3)	769 38%	395 40%	374 37%	73 30%	117 36%	137 36%	144 42%	123 41%	176 42%	226 42%	240 41%	147 35%	157 34%	193 38%	207 39%	196 39%	110 38%	63 36%	127 36%	300 39%	232 47%	201 33%	74 46%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	351 17%	171 17%	180 18%	28 11%	49 15%	68 18%	68 20%	68 23%	70 17%	92 17%	102 18%	81 19%	75 16%	88 17%	104 20%	82 16%	58 20%	18 10%	62 18%	132 17%	77 15%	119 19%	32 20%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	313 16%	175 18%	138 13%	22 9%	41 13%	68 18%	63 18%	50 17%	69 16%	87 16%	88 15%	58 14%	80 17%	70 14%	80 15%	78 16%	43 15%	41 24%	69 19%	114 15%	50 10%	127 21%	20 12%
NET: Worsen		664 33%	346 35%	317 31%	50 21%	90 28%	136 36%	131 38%	118 39%	139 33%	179 33%	190 33%	140 33%	155 34%	159 31%	184 35%	160 32%	101 35%	59 34%	131 37%	246 32%	126 25%	246 40%	51 32%
Don't know		273 14%	79 8%	194 19%	60 25%	50 16%	47 12%	31 9%	31 10%	54 13%	55 10%	67 12%	72 17%	80 17%	64 13%	71 13%	78 16%	37 13%	23 13%	42 12%	89 12%	49 10%	54 9%	14 9%
Mean		2.65	2.65	2.66	3.03	2.81	2.64	2.51	2.51	2.59	2.66	2.65	2.67	2.63	2.76	2.61	2.65	2.61	2.57	2.60	2.72	2.85	2.59	2.67
Standard deviation		1.05	1.07	1.03	1.13	1.08	1.13	0.99	0.96	0.99	1.03	1.01	1.07	1.13	1.08	1.03	1.06	0.99	1.16	1.12	1.07	0.96	1.15	0.92
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	80.0



Absolutes/col percents

Table 3

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Fairness in how the police treat the public

					Which	of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve		300 15%	187 17%	143 16%	44 18%	113 13%	19 14%	15 14%	15 13%	41 12%	22 12%
Improve a great deal	(5)	77 4%	53 5%	45 5%	8 3%	24 3%	7 5%	6 6%	2 2%	5 2%	3 2%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	223 11%	135 12%	98 11%	36 15%	88 10%	12 9%	9 8%	13 11%	36 10%	19 10%
Make no difference	(3)	769 38%	427 38%	341 39%	86 36%	342 39%	40 29%	38 37%	37 33%	149 44%	78 41%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	351 17%	195 17%	152 17%	42 18%	156 18%	23 17%	22 21%	19 17%	67 20%	25 14%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	313 16%	183 16%	148 17%	34 14%	130 15%	30 22%	9 9%	20 18%	52 15%	19 10%
NET: Worsen		664 33%	377 34%	301 34%	77 32%	286 32%	54 38%	31 30%	39 34%	119 35%	44 24%
Don't know		273 14%	131 12%	98 11%	33 14%	142 16%	27 19%	19 19%	22 19%	30 9%	44 23%
Mean		2.65	2.68	2.67	2.72	2.62	2.50	2.78	2.55	2.60	2.73
Standard deviation		1.05	1.09	1.09	1.06	1.01	1.18	1.02	1.06	0.96	0.92
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.11	0.11	0.11	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Table 4

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?

-The police treating all members of the public equally

			Ge	nder			Ag	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Emplo Sec		Vo	te Intenti	<u>on</u>
		<u>Total</u>	_Male	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>	<u>C2</u>	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	_Lab_	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Improve		274 14%	143 15%	131 13%	53 22%	62 19%	63 16%	28 8%	22 7%	47 11%	80 15%	82 14%	48 11%	64 14%	81 16%	65 12%	67 13%	38 13%	23 13%	44 12%	125 16%	79 16%	98 16%	17 11%
Improve a great deal	(5)	84 4%	47 5%	36 4%	18 7%	23 7%	25 7%	8 2%	4 1%	6 1%	21 4%	20 3%	18 4%	25 5%	30 6%	23 4%	21 4%	6 2%	5 3%	15 4%	46 6%	26 5%	31 5%	4 3%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	191 10%	96 10%	95 9%	35 15%	40 12%	37 10%	20 6%	17 6%	41 10%	59 11%	63 11%	30 7%	39 8%	52 10%	42 8%	46 9%	32 11%	18 11%	29 8%	79 10%	54 11%	67 11%	13 8%
Make no difference	(3)	811 40%	409 42%	402 39%	75 31%	118 37%	137 36%	153 45%	129 43%	198 47%	223 41%	245 42%	173 41%	171 37%	209 41%	225 42%	192 38%	113 39%	72 41%	137 38%	307 40%	260 52%	211 34%	74 46%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	337 17%	174 18%	163 16%	31 13%	47 15%	64 17%	59 17%	71 24%	64 15%	98 18%	99 17%	72 17%	68 15%	90 18%	94 18%	80 16%	58 20%	15 8%	60 17%	135 18%	76 15%	111 18%	33 21%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	314 16%	177 18%	138 13%	22 9%	41 13%	68 18%	65 19%	52 17%	65 15%	86 16%	85 15%	59 14%	83 18%	64 13%	83 16%	83 16%	42 15%	42 24%	72 20%	111 14%	39 8%	135 22%	17 11%
NET: Worsen		652 32%	351 36%	301 29%	54 22%	89 28%	133 35%	125 37%	123 41%	129 31%	184 34%	185 32%	132 31%	152 33%	155 30%	177 33%	163 32%	100 35%	56 32%	133 37%	245 32%	115 23%	246 40%	50 31%
Don't know		269 13%	79 8%	189 19%	59 25%	52 16%	48 13%	35 10%	27 9%	47 11%	55 10%	70 12%	68 16%	75 16%	65 13%	62 12%	81 16%	38 13%	23 13%	42 12%	89 12%	42 8%	57 9%	20 12%
Mean		2.65	2.63	2.67	2.97	2.83	2.66	2.50	2.46	2.62	2.65	2.67	2.65	2.62	2.76	2.63	2.63	2.61	2.54	2.54	2.73	2.89	2.55	2.68
Standard deviation		1.05	1.07	1.02	1.12	1.12	1.15	0.98	0.92	0.95	1.04	1.02	1.02	1.12	1.05	1.03	1.07	0.98	1.11	1.09	1.08	0.92	1.15	0.91
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.03	0.09	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.09	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.08



Table 4 Absolutes/col percents

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?

-The police treating all members of the public equally

					Which	of the following I		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve		274 14%	169 15%	134 15%	35 14%	105 12%	17 12%	16 15%	14 12%	36 11%	23 12%
Improve a great deal	(5)	84 4%	61 5%	53 6%	8 3%	23 3%	3 2%	10 10%	2 2%	6 2%	2 1%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	191 10%	108 10%	81 9%	26 11%	83 9%	13 10%	6 5%	12 11%	31 9%	22 11%
Make no difference	(3)	811 40%	445 40%	347 39%	98 41%	367 42%	42 30%	38 37%	45 40%	167 49%	75 40%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	337 17%	195 17%	153 17%	42 17%	142 16%	24 17%	18 18%	17 15%	56 17%	26 14%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	314 16%	183 16%	150 17%	33 14%	131 15%	29 21%	8 8%	17 15%	53 16%	23 12%
NET: Worsen		652 32%	378 34%	303 34%	75 31%	273 31%	53 38%	27 26%	35 31%	110 32%	49 26%
Don't know		269 13%	132 12%	99 11%	33 14%	137 16%	28 20%	22 21%	19 17%	27 8%	41 22%
Mean		2.65	2.67	2.66	2.68	2.63	2.45	2.88	2.61	2.61	2.68
Standard deviation		1.05	1.09	1.10	1.02	0.99	1.09	1.10	0.99	0.94	0.95
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.10	0.12	0.10	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Corruption in the police force

			Ger	nder			Ag	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Employ Sec		Vo	te Intenti	on
		<u>Total</u>	_Male_	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	_AB_	<u>C1</u>	C2	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	_Lab_	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Improve		333 17%	185 19%	148 14%	66 27%	75 23%	67 18%	35 10%	27 9%	63 15%	111 21%	108 18%	54 13%	60 13%	86 17%	85 16%	86 17%	51 18%	24 14%	63 18%	146 19%	118 24%	113 19%	24 15%
Improve a great deal	(5)	80 4%	41 4%	38 4%	19 8%	18 6%	17 5%	10 3%	7 2%	9 2%	19 3%	21 4%	15 4%	25 5%	26 5%	19 4%	24 5%	6 2%	4 2%	15 4%	38 5%	24 5%	27 4%	4 2%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	253 13%	144 15%	109 11%	47 19%	57 18%	50 13%	25 7%	20 7%	54 13%	93 17%	86 15%	39 9%	36 8%	60 12%	66 12%	62 12%	45 16%	20 12%	48 13%	108 14%	94 19%	86 14%	20 12%
Make no difference	(3)	608 30%	323 33%	285 28%	45 19%	79 25%	101 27%	109 32%	112 37%	162 38%	169 31%	190 33%	109 26%	140 30%	156 31%	159 30%	151 30%	82 29%	59 34%	90 25%	219 29%	174 35%	172 28%	53 33%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	393 20%	187 19%	206 20%	34 14%	73 23%	84 22%	70 21%	59 19%	73 17%	97 18%	108 19%	100 24%	88 19%	101 20%	118 22%	96 19%	55 19%	23 13%	67 19%	173 23%	93 19%	115 19%	46 28%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	368 18%	191 19%	176 17%	32 13%	47 15%	81 21%	77 23%	64 21%	66 16%	105 19%	105 18%	75 18%	83 18%	84 17%	91 17%	92 18%	62 21%	39 22%	89 25%	135 18%	59 12%	147 24%	20 12%
NET: Worsen		760 38%	378 39%	382 37%	66 27%	120 37%	165 43%	147 43%	123 41%	139 33%	202 37%	213 37%	175 42%	170 37%	185 36%	209 39%	188 37%	117 40%	62 36%	156 44%	308 40%	152 31%	262 43%	65 41%
Don't know		305 15%	96 10%	209 20%	64 27%	47 15%	48 13%	50 15%	39 13%	57 14%	59 11%	71 12%	83 20%	92 20%	82 16%	77 15%	78 15%	39 14%	28 16%	48 13%	94 12%	52 11%	65 11%	18 11%
Mean		2.58	2.61	2.54	2.93	2.73	2.51	2.38	2.41	2.64	2.63	2.63	2.46	2.55	2.63	2.57	2.60	2.52	2.50	2.46	2.62	2.84	2.51	2.59
Standard deviation		1.12	1.13	1.11	1.27	1.17	1.16	1.07	1.02	1.02	1.13	1.11	1.09	1.14	1.13	1.09	1.14	1.12	1.11	1.20	1.14	1.07	1.18	0.98
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	80.0



Absolutes/col percents

Table 5

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Corruption in the police force

						of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick		Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve		333 17%	209 19%	166 19%	44 18%	124 14%	19 13%	18 18%	9 8%	58 17%	20 10%
Improve a great deal	(5)	80 4%	53 5%	45 5%	9 4%	26 3%	3 2%	5 5%	4 3%	9 3%	6 3%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	253 13%	156 14%	121 14%	35 15%	97 11%	15 11%	13 13%	5 5%	49 15%	14 7%
Make no difference	(3)	608 30%	309 28%	244 28%	65 27%	299 34%	36 26%	28 27%	41 37%	136 40%	58 31%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	393 20%	240 21%	190 22%	50 21%	153 17%	22 15%	22 22%	22 20%	54 16%	33 17%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	368 18%	224 20%	179 20%	45 19%	144 16%	35 25%	14 14%	16 14%	53 16%	27 14%
NET: Worsen		760 38%	464 41%	369 42%	95 39%	297 34%	56 40%	37 36%	38 34%	107 31%	59 31%
Don't know		305 15%	141 13%	104 12%	37 15%	164 19%	29 21%	20 20%	24 22%	38 11%	52 27%
Mean		2.58	2.57	2.57	2.57	2.60	2.38	2.66	2.54	2.69	2.56
Standard deviation		1.12	1.16	1.17	1.14	1.06	1.15	1.12	0.99	1.04	1.05
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.12	0.10	0.06	0.08



Absolutes/col percents

Table 6

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?
-Standards of service to the public

			Ger	nder			Ag	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Employ Sec		Vo	te Intenti	on
		<u>Total</u>	_Male_	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>	C2	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	_Lab_	LibDem
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Improve		419 21%	223 23%	196 19%	81 33%	80 25%	79 21%	42 12%	44 15%	94 22%	129 24%	127 22%	79 19%	84 18%	120 24%	102 19%	105 21%	60 21%	31 18%	67 19%	176 23%	156 32%	136 22%	26 16%
Improve a great deal	(5)	94 5%	53 5%	42 4%	21 9%	24 8%	23 6%	11 3%	5 2%	10 2%	28 5%	23 4%	20 5%	23 5%	32 6%	19 4%	28 5%	11 4%	4 2%	13 4%	51 7%	36 7%	32 5%	2 2%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	325 16%	170 17%	155 15%	60 25%	56 17%	57 15%	30 9%	39 13%	84 20%	101 19%	104 18%	58 14%	62 13%	88 17%	83 16%	78 15%	49 17%	27 16%	54 15%	125 16%	121 24%	104 17%	23 15%
Make no difference	(3)	417 21%	218 22%	199 19%	45 19%	81 25%	70 18%	76 22%	57 19%	87 21%	117 22%	127 22%	81 19%	93 20%	112 22%	111 21%	96 19%	56 19%	42 24%	61 17%	175 23%	119 24%	105 17%	38 24%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	500 25%	249 25%	251 25%	24 10%	62 19%	92 24%	103 30%	102 34%	116 27%	140 26%	144 25%	106 25%	110 24%	117 23%	150 28%	130 26%	73 25%	30 17%	78 22%	193 25%	116 23%	158 26%	61 38%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	421 21%	228 23%	193 19%	28 11%	47 15%	92 24%	88 26%	72 24%	93 22%	112 21%	119 21%	90 21%	99 21%	100 20%	111 21%	97 19%	63 22%	50 29%	109 31%	139 18%	66 13%	171 28%	22 14%
NET: Worsen		921 46%	477 49%	444 43%	52 22%	110 34%	185 48%	192 56%	174 58%	209 50%	253 47%	263 45%	196 46%	209 45%	217 43%	261 49%	227 45%	136 47%	80 46%	187 52%	332 43%	182 37%	328 54%	83 51%
Don't know		249 12%	65 7%	184 18%	63 26%	51 16%	47 12%	31 9%	26 9%	31 7%	43 8%	65 11%	65 16%	76 16%	61 12%	55 10%	75 15%	37 13%	21 12%	42 12%	83 11%	39 8%	43 7%	14 8%
Mean		2.53	2.53	2.52	3.12	2.81	2.48	2.27	2.28	2.49	2.58	2.55	2.48	2.48	2.63	2.47	2.55	2.49	2.38	2.31	2.64	2.88	2.42	2.48
Standard deviation		1.19	1.21	1.17	1.25	1.21	1.25	1.09	1.06	1.15	1.20	1.17	1.19	1.20	1.23	1.15	1.20	1.19	1.19	1.23	1.21	1.18	1.24	0.99
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.10	0.07	0.05	0.06	0.05	0.08



Absolutes/col percents

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?
-Standards of service to the public

Base: All respondents

Table 6

						of the following	best describes you	r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve		419 21%	243 22%	189 21%	54 23%	176 20%	23 17%	26 26%	22 20%	73 22%	31 16%
Improve a great deal	(5)	94 5%	64 6%	53 6%	11 5%	30 3%	6 4%	9 9%	2 2%	9 3%	4 2%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	325 16%	179 16%	136 15%	43 18%	146 17%	17 13%	17 16%	20 18%	64 19%	27 14%
Make no difference	(3)	417 21%	236 21%	192 22%	44 18%	181 21%	21 15%	24 23%	20 18%	78 23%	38 20%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	500 25%	271 24%	213 24%	58 24%	229 26%	33 24%	19 19%	28 25%	99 29%	50 27%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	421 21%	249 22%	196 22%	52 22%	172 19%	36 26%	12 12%	26 24%	73 21%	25 13%
NET: Worsen		921 46%	519 46%	409 46%	111 46%	401 45%	69 50%	31 31%	54 48%	171 50%	75 40%
Don't know		249 12%	125 11%	93 11%	32 13%	124 14%	26 19%	21 21%	16 14%	17 5%	45 24%
Mean		2.53	2.54	2.54	2.54	2.52	2.33	2.90	2.41	2.50	2.55
Standard deviation		1.19	1.22	1.22	1.22	1.15	1.21	1.23	1.16	1.13	1.07
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.14	0.11	0.06	0.08



Absolutes/col percents

Table 7

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?

-Accountability of the police force to the British public

Base: All respondents

				Ger	nder			Ag	ge				Social	Grade				Region North	Wales &		Employ Sec		Vo	te Intentio	on
			Total	<u>Male</u>	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>	C2	DE	South East	Mid- lands	Eng- land	South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	<u>Lab</u>	<u>LibDem</u>
l	Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
l	Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
	NET: Improve		338 17%	189 19%	149 15%	57 24%	66 21%	70 18%	44 13%	34 11%	66 16%	101 19%	97 17%	66 16%	74 16%	109 21%	72 14%	80 16%	49 17%	27 15%	64 18%	141 18%	112 23%	119 19%	19 12%
	Improve a great deal	(5)	99 5%	59 6%	41 4%	23 10%	19 6%	21 5%	14 4%	4 1%	17 4%	30 5%	21 4%	20 5%	29 6%	25 5%	30 6%	25 5%	10 3%	9 5%	20 6%	44 6%	37 7%	33 5%	2 1%
	Improve a fair amount	(4)	239 12%	130 13%	109 11%	34 14%	47 15%	49 13%	30 9%	30 10%	49 12%	71 13%	76 13%	47 11%	45 10%	84 16%	42 8%	55 11%	39 14%	18 10%	44 12%	97 13%	76 15%	86 14%	17 11%
	Make no difference	(3)	505 25%	267 27%	237 23%	48 20%	85 27%	88 23%	83 24%	81 27%	120 28%	130 24%	161 28%	94 22%	120 26%	125 25%	135 25%	125 25%	73 25%	46 27%	66 19%	210 27%	155 31%	123 20%	52 32%
	Worsen a fair amount	(2)	472 24%	231 23%	241 24%	40 17%	65 20%	93 24%	96 28%	86 28%	92 22%	138 25%	132 23%	112 27%	91 20%	117 23%	140 26%	110 22%	72 25%	34 19%	85 24%	192 25%	119 24%	143 23%	56 35%
	Worsen a great deal	(1)	450 22%	232 24%	218 21%	30 13%	53 17%	91 24%	88 26%	76 25%	112 27%	132 24%	136 23%	86 20%	97 21%	98 19%	122 23%	117 23%	63 22%	50 29%	102 29%	149 19%	79 16%	180 29%	22 14%
	NET: Worsen		922 46%	463 47%	460 45%	71 29%	118 37%	184 48%	184 54%	161 54%	204 48%	270 50%	267 46%	198 47%	187 41%	215 42%	262 49%	227 45%	134 46%	84 48%	187 52%	341 45%	198 40%	323 53%	78 48%
	Don't know		241 12%	64 6%	178 17%	65 27%	51 16%	40 10%	30 9%	25 8%	31 7%	42 8%	57 10%	63 15%	80 17%	61 12%	60 11%	71 14%	32 11%	17 10%	39 11%	73 10%	31 6%	47 8%	12 8%
l	Mean		2.47	2.51	2.42	2.88	2.68	2.46	2.32	2.28	2.40	2.46	2.46	2.45	2.53	2.60	2.40	2.45	2.46	2.37	2.36	2.56	2.73	2.38	2.47
	Standard deviation		1.17	1.19	1.14	1.28	1.18	1.20	1.12	1.03	1.15	1.19	1.14	1.15	1.20	1.18	1.15	1.18	1.13	1.20	1.24	1.16	1.16	1.24	0.92
ĺ	Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.11	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.10	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.08



Table 7

Absolutes/col percents

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened?
-Accountability of the police force to the British public
Base: All respondents

					Which	of the following	best describes you	r current working sta	atus?		
	_1	Total _	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick		Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base	20	006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base	20	006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve	;	338 17%	206 18%	154 17%	52 22%	132 15%	20 14%	17 16%	14 13%	59 17%	23 12%
Improve a great deal	(5)	99 5%	64 6%	53 6%	11 5%	35 4%	4 3%	7 7%	3 3%	14 4%	6 3%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	239 12%	141 13%	100 11%	41 17%	97 11%	16 11%	9 9%	11 10%	44 13%	17 9%
Make no difference	(3)	505 25%	277 25%	225 26%	52 21%	228 26%	23 16%	28 27%	33 29%	96 28%	47 25%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	472 24%	277 25%	220 25%	57 24%	195 22%	29 20%	28 27%	19 17%	80 24%	39 21%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	450 22%	251 22%	199 22%	53 22%	199 22%	40 28%	12 12%	27 24%	88 26%	31 17%
NET: Worsen	9	922 46%	528 47%	419 47%	110 46%	394 45%	68 49%	40 39%	47 42%	168 50%	71 38%
Don't know	2	241 12%	112 10%	85 10%	27 11%	129 15%	29 20%	18 18%	18 16%	16 5%	48 25%
Mean	2	2.47	2.50	2.49	2.53	2.44	2.24	2.68	2.40	2.43	2.47
Standard deviation	1	1.17	1.19	1.18	1.20	1.14	1.19	1.12	1.13	1.16	1.09
Standard error	0	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.11	0.12	0.11	0.06	0.08



Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Security and confidentiality of police records

			Ge	nder			Ag	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Emplo Sec		Vc	te Intenti	on
		<u>Total</u>	Male	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>	<u>C2</u>	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	_Lab_	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Improve		240 12%	129 13%	112 11%	49 20%	56 18%	52 14%	28 8%	21 7%	34 8%	70 13%	71 12%	44 10%	56 12%	78 15%	54 10%	55 11%	34 12%	19 11%	49 14%	100 13%	75 15%	94 15%	13 8%
Improve a great deal	(5)	78 4%	41 4%	37 4%	17 7%	15 5%	20 5%	12 4%	4 1%	10 2%	19 4%	17 3%	16 4%	25 6%	25 5%	26 5%	16 3%	6 2%	5 3%	17 5%	38 5%	29 6%	27 4%	1 1%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	162 8%	87 9%	75 7%	32 13%	42 13%	32 8%	16 5%	17 6%	25 6%	51 9%	54 9%	28 7%	30 7%	53 10%	28 5%	39 8%	28 10%	14 8%	32 9%	62 8%	46 9%	67 11%	12 7%
Make no difference	(3)	468 23%	253 26%	215 21%	53 22%	83 26%	90 24%	73 21%	60 20%	109 26%	141 26%	142 24%	86 20%	99 21%	124 24%	122 23%	119 24%	59 20%	43 25%	67 19%	201 26%	145 29%	111 18%	47 29%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	545 27%	280 28%	265 26%	47 20%	76 24%	98 26%	91 27%	108 36%	125 30%	149 28%	166 29%	119 28%	111 24%	130 26%	158 30%	133 26%	86 30%	38 22%	82 23%	206 27%	145 29%	173 28%	60 37%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	498 25%	251 26%	247 24%	31 13%	59 18%	98 26%	110 32%	85 28%	115 27%	138 26%	139 24%	101 24%	119 26%	111 22%	137 26%	117 23%	76 26%	57 33%	114 32%	175 23%	92 19%	179 29%	30 18%
NET: Worsen		1043 52%	531 54%	513 50%	78 33%	135 42%	196 51%	201 59%	193 64%	240 57%	287 53%	305 53%	220 52%	230 50%	241 47%	295 56%	250 50%	162 56%	95 54%	196 55%	381 50%	238 48%	352 58%	89 56%
Don't know		254 13%	71 7%	184 18%	61 25%	47 15%	43 11%	39 11%	27 9%	38 9%	44 8%	63 11%	71 17%	76 17%	67 13%	58 11%	78 16%	34 12%	17 10%	45 13%	85 11%	38 8%	55 9%	11 7%
Mean		2.30	2.33	2.27	2.76	2.55	2.35	2.10	2.07	2.19	2.32	2.31	2.25	2.30	2.44	2.25	2.30	2.23	2.18	2.22	2.39	2.51	2.26	2.30
Standard deviation		1.11	1.11	1.11	1.21	1.14	1.17	1.08	0.95	1.02	1.10	1.08	1.10	1.18	1.15	1.11	1.09	1.07	1.11	1.20	1.13	1.11	1.17	0.90
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.04	0.10	0.07	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.07	0.09	0.07	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Table 8

Q2. If private companies were involved in providing certain police services in your local area, to what extent you think each of the following would be improved or worsened? -Security and confidentiality of police records

						of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Improve		240 12%	148 13%	112 13%	36 15%	92 10%	17 12%	18 18%	8 7%	30 9%	19 10%
Improve a great deal	(5)	78 4%	55 5%	46 5%	9 4%	23 3%	5 3%	7 7%	2 2%	7 2%	3 1%
Improve a fair amount	(4)	162 8%	94 8%	67 8%	27 11%	69 8%	13 9%	11 11%	5 5%	23 7%	17 9%
Make no difference	(3)	468 23%	268 24%	222 25%	47 19%	199 23%	23 16%	20 19%	25 22%	88 26%	44 23%
Worsen a fair amount	(2)	545 27%	288 26%	222 25%	65 27%	257 29%	38 27%	31 31%	30 27%	114 34%	44 23%
Worsen a great deal	(1)	498 25%	289 26%	232 26%	57 24%	209 24%	36 26%	16 16%	32 28%	86 25%	39 21%
NET: Worsen		1043 52%	577 51%	454 51%	123 51%	467 53%	74 53%	47 46%	62 55%	200 59%	83 44%
Don't know		254 13%	130 12%	95 11%	35 15%	124 14%	25 18%	17 17%	18 16%	21 6%	42 22%
Mean		2.30	2.33	2.33	2.34	2.26	2.22	2.55	2.11	2.22	2.31
Standard deviation		1.11	1.15	1.15	1.15	1.05	1.13	1.18	1.02	0.99	1.05
Standard error		0.03	0.04	0.05	0.07	0.04	0.10	0.13	0.10	0.05	0.08



Absolutes/col percents

Table 9

Q3. If some of your local police force's services were being run by a private company, would you trust your local police force more, less, or would it make no difference to you? Base: All respondents

			Ge	nder			Αç	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Emplo: Sec		Vc	te Intenti	on
		_Total	Male	Female	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	C1	C2	DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	Con	Lab	LibDem
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: Trust more		184 9%	120 12%	63 6%	34 14%	52 16%	50 13%	20 6%	12 4%	16 4%	58 11%	41 7%	40 9%	45 10%	57 11%	43 8%	49 10%	24 8%	10 6%	42 12%	90 12%	58 12%	80 13%	11 7%
Trust a great deal more	(5)	47 2%	34 3%	13 1%	7 3%	14 4%	16 4%	6 2%	2 1%	2	10 2%	10 2%	17 4%	11 2%	17 3%	9 2%	13 3%	4 2%	4 2%	13 4%	29 4%	18 4%	21 3%	*
Trust a fair amount more	(4)	136 7%	86 9%	50 5%	27 11%	38 12%	34 9%	14 4%	10 3%	14 3%	49 9%	31 5%	23 5%	34 7%	40 8%	34 6%	37 7%	20 7%	6 4%	29 8%	60 8%	40 8%	59 10%	10 6%
It would make no difference to me	(3)	678 34%	335 34%	343 34%	84 35%	101 31%	113 30%	108 32%	108 36%	165 39%	176 33%	213 37%	125 30%	163 35%	191 38%	182 34%	154 31%	90 31%	60 34%	87 24%	261 34%	211 43%	146 24%	64 40%
Trust a fair amount less	(2)	507 25%	229 23%	278 27%	46 19%	85 26%	91 24%	94 28%	82 27%	108 26%	135 25%	146 25%	125 30%	102 22%	113 22%	140 26%	121 24%	93 32%	41 23%	105 29%	193 25%	124 25%	160 26%	45 28%
Trust a great deal less	(1)	500 25%	266 27%	235 23%	40 17%	53 17%	100 26%	103 30%	86 28%	119 28%	147 27%	149 26%	91 22%	113 25%	116 23%	132 25%	135 27%	67 23%	51 29%	102 29%	177 23%	89 18%	192 31%	35 22%
NET: Trust less		1007 50%	495 50%	513 50%	86 36%	138 43%	191 50%	197 58%	167 56%	227 54%	282 52%	295 51%	215 51%	215 47%	229 45%	272 51%	255 51%	159 55%	92 53%	207 58%	370 48%	213 43%	352 57%	80 50%
Don't know		137 7%	33 3%	104 10%	36 15%	30 9%	27 7%	16 5%	14 5%	14 3%	25 5%	33 6%	41 10%	39 8%	32 6%	33 6%	44 9%	16 5%	12 7%	22 6%	45 6%	14 3%	35 6%	6 4%
Mean		2.32	2.36	2.27	2.59	2.56	2.37	2.16	2.17	2.19	2.30	2.28	2.34	2.36	2.43	2.29	2.28	2.28	2.21	2.25	2.41	2.53	2.23	2.33
Standard deviation		1.03	1.09	0.95	1.06	1.08	1.13	0.98	0.92	0.91	1.04	0.98	1.04	1.04	1.06	0.99	1.06	0.97	1.01	1.10	1.07	1.00	1.13	0.91
Standard error		0.02	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.07	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.08	0.06	0.04	0.05	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Privatising Police Services Survey ONLINE Fieldwork: 8th - 10th June 2012

Table 9

Q3. If some of your local police force's services were being run by a private company, would you trust your local police force more, less, or would it make no difference to you? Base: All respondents

		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Which Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	of the following NET: Not working	best describes you Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	r current working sta Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: Trust more		184 9%	132 12%	104 12%	28 12%	52 6%	14 10%	7 7%	4 3%	15 4%	12 6%
Trust a great deal more	(5)	47 2%	43 4%	38 4%	5 2%	5 1%	-	-	1 1%	1	3 1%
Trust a fair amount more	(4)	136 7%	89 8%	66 7%	23 10%	47 5%	14 10%	7 7%	3 3%	14 4%	9 5%
It would make no difference to me	(3)	678 34%	348 31%	270 31%	78 32%	330 37%	39 28%	39 38%	41 37%	144 42%	68 36%
Trust a fair amount less	(2)	507 25%	298 26%	232 26%	65 27%	209 24%	26 19%	27 27%	34 30%	81 24%	41 22%
Trust a great deal less	(1)	500 25%	279 25%	225 25%	54 22%	222 25%	45 32%	17 16%	28 25%	96 28%	36 19%
NET: Trust less		1007 50%	576 51%	458 52%	119 49%	431 49%	71 51%	44 43%	62 55%	176 52%	77 41%
Don't know		137 7%	67 6%	51 6%	16 7%	70 8%	16 11%	13 13%	5 5%	5 1%	31 16%
Mean		2.32	2.36	2.35	2.37	2.27	2.18	2.39	2.20	2.24	2.37
Standard deviation		1.03	1.08	1.10	1.02	0.94	1.05	0.88	0.89	0.93	0.96
Standard error		0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.09	0.09	0.08	0.05	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Table 10

Q4. If a private company was answering 999 calls in your area, would you feel more or less safe, or would it make no difference to you?

Base: All respondents

l				Ger	nder			Ąç	ge				Social	Grade				Region			Emplo Sec		Vc	te Intenti	on
l			_Total	_Male_	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	AB	<u>C1</u>		DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	_Con_	_Lab_	LibDem
ı	Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
ı	Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
l	NET: More safe		70 4%	39 4%	31 3%	15 6%	16 5%	16 4%	9 3%	6 2%	8 2%	20 4%	22 4%	12 3%	17 4%	21 4%	13 3%	19 4%	9 3%	8 5%	14 4%	30 4%	17 3%	23 4%	10 6%
l	A great deal more safe	(5)	20 1%	15 1%	6 1%	9 4%	3 1%	3 1%	-	4 1%	1	3 1%	6 1%	7 2%	3 1%	6 1%	2	6 1%	1 *	5 3%	4 1%	8 1%	4 1%	7 1%	2 1%
l	A fair amount more safe	(4)	50 2%	24 2%	26 3%	5 2%	13 4%	14 4%	9 3%	2 1%	7 2%	16 3%	16 3%	5 1%	13 3%	15 3%	11 2%	13 3%	8 3%	3 2%	10 3%	22 3%	14 3%	16 3%	8 5%
l	It would make no difference to me	(3)	741 37%	357 36%	384 38%	83 35%	125 39%	135 35%	109 32%	111 37%	179 42%	202 37%	226 39%	141 34%	172 37%	204 40%	205 39%	167 33%	107 37%	58 34%	116 33%	286 37%	239 48%	174 28%	61 38%
l	A fair amount less safe	(2)	576 29%	289 29%	286 28%	71 30%	102 32%	109 29%	98 29%	84 28%	112 27%	167 31%	153 26%	136 32%	120 26%	153 30%	131 25%	149 30%	96 33%	47 27%	112 31%	225 29%	131 26%	191 31%	56 35%
l	A great deal less safe	(1)	482 24%	245 25%	237 23%	39 16%	47 14%	93 24%	108 32%	93 31%	103 24%	127 23%	148 25%	90 21%	117 25%	102 20%	149 28%	129 26%	57 20%	46 26%	95 27%	174 23%	94 19%	193 31%	28 17%
l	NET: Less safe		1058 53%	534 54%	524 51%	110 46%	149 46%	202 53%	206 60%	176 59%	216 51%	294 54%	301 52%	226 54%	237 51%	255 50%	279 53%	278 55%	153 53%	93 53%	207 58%	399 52%	225 45%	383 63%	84 53%
l	Don't know		136 7%	52 5%	84 8%	33 14%	32 10%	28 7%	17 5%	8 3%	18 4%	26 5%	32 6%	41 10%	36 8%	29 6%	31 6%	40 8%	20 7%	15 9%	19 5%	52 7%	15 3%	32 5%	5 3%
ı	Mean		2.22	2.22	2.23	2.40	2.39	2.22	2.06	2.12	2.23	2.23	2.23	2.22	2.22	2.31	2.17	2.18	2.26	2.21	2.16	2.25	2.38	2.06	2.35
I	Standard deviation		0.90	0.92	0.88	0.97	0.84	0.91	0.88	0.92	0.86	0.88	0.92	0.89	0.91	0.88	0.90	0.91	0.84	0.98	0.91	0.90	0.85	0.92	0.88
I	Standard error		0.02	0.03	0.03	0.08	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.08	0.05	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.07



Absolutes/col percents

Privatising Police Services Survey ONLINE Fieldwork: 8th - 10th June 2012

Table 10

Q4. If a private company was answering 999 calls in your area, would you feel more or less safe, or would it make no difference to you?

Base: All respondents

						of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick		Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: More safe		70 4%	44 4%	39 4%	4 2%	27 3%	3 2%	10 10%	3 2%	5 1%	6 3%
A great deal more safe	(5)	20 1%	12 1%	12 1%	1	8 1%	1 1%	4 4%	2 1%	1	-
A fair amount more safe	(4)	50 2%	32 3%	28 3%	4 2%	19 2%	2 1%	6 6%	1 1%	4 1%	6 3%
It would make no difference to me	(3)	741 37%	403 36%	317 36%	85 35%	339 38%	46 33%	31 30%	51 45%	151 44%	60 32%
A fair amount less safe	(2)	576 29%	337 30%	253 29%	84 35%	239 27%	35 25%	36 35%	25 23%	87 26%	54 29%
A great deal less safe	(1)	482 24%	269 24%	217 25%	52 22%	213 24%	40 29%	17 17%	26 23%	89 26%	41 22%
NET: Less safe		1058 53%	606 54%	471 53%	135 56%	452 51%	76 54%	53 52%	51 46%	176 52%	96 51%
Don't know		136 7%	71 6%	55 6%	16 6%	65 7%	15 11%	8 8%	7 7%	8 2%	27 14%
Mean		2.22	2.22	2.23	2.19	2.23	2.11	2.41	2.30	2.22	2.19
Standard deviation		0.90	0.90	0.92	0.82	0.90	0.92	1.01	0.91	0.86	0.86
Standard error		0.02	0.03	0.04	0.05	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.06



Absolutes/col percents

Table 11

Q5. Would you be more or less likely to support a political party that wanted to use private companies to provide certain police services, or would it make no difference to you? Base: All respondents

			Gei	nder			Ag	je				Social	Grade				Region			Employ Sec		Vo	te Intentio	on
		<u>Total</u>	_Male_	<u>Female</u>	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+_	AB	<u>C1</u>		DE	South East	Mid- lands	North Eng- land	Wales & South West	Scot- land	Public	Pri- vate	<u>Con</u>	_Lab_	<u>LibDem</u>
Unweighted base		2006	915	1091	187	310	382	358	326	443	671	526	275	534	510	511	526	282	177	314	742	489	617	158
Weighted base		2006	983	1023	241	321	381	341	301	421	541	582	421	462	510	529	503	289	174	357	766	496	612	160
NET: More likely		171 9%	115 12%	56 5%	42 17%	43 13%	35 9%	13 4%	9 3%	30 7%	53 10%	43 7%	41 10%	34 7%	55 11%	40 8%	36 7%	30 10%	9 5%	34 10%	73 10%	60 12%	66 11%	13 8%
Much more likely	(4)	42 2%	32 3%	10 1%	8 3%	9 3%	14 4%	3 1%	3 1%	5 1%	14 3%	8 1%	11 3%	9 2%	18 4%	10 2%	9 2%	5 2%	-	15 4%	18 2%	19 4%	18 3%	*
Fairly more likely	(3)	128 6%	83 8%	46 4%	33 14%	34 11%	21 5%	10 3%	6 2%	24 6%	39 7%	34 6%	30 7%	24 5%	37 7%	30 6%	28 5%	25 9%	9 5%	19 5%	55 7%	41 8%	47 8%	12 8%
Fairly less likely	(2)	348 17%	164 17%	184 18%	59 24%	53 16%	57 15%	65 19%	50 17%	64 15%	99 18%	102 18%	77 18%	70 15%	86 17%	102 19%	92 18%	43 15%	25 14%	54 15%	147 19%	96 19%	107 18%	36 22%
Much less likely	(1)	718 36%	389 40%	329 32%	54 23%	85 27%	153 40%	149 44%	129 43%	148 35%	200 37%	198 34%	159 38%	160 35%	154 30%	192 36%	184 37%	112 39%	77 44%	158 44%	266 35%	102 21%	297 48%	58 36%
NET: Less likely		1066 53%	553 56%	513 50%	113 47%	138 43%	209 55%	214 63%	179 60%	212 50%	299 55%	300 52%	236 56%	230 50%	240 47%	294 55%	275 55%	156 54%	101 58%	212 59%	413 54%	198 40%	404 66%	94 58%
It would make no difference - I would support a political party irrespective of their wishes to use private companies to provide certain police services		414 21%	205 21%	210 21%	23 9%	58 18%	69 18%	62 18%	63 21%	139 33%	129 24%	134 23%	63 15%	88 19%	117 23%	114 22%	88 18%	59 20%	36 21%	57 16%	159 21%	189 38%	82 13%	32 20%
It would make no difference - I would not support a political party anyway		135 7%	55 6%	80 8%	17 7%	26 8%	27 7%	20 6%	22 7%	24 6%	18 3%	33 6%	34 8%	49 11%	31 6%	28 5%	47 9%	19 6%	10 6%	24 7%	50 7%	14 3%	17 3%	8 5%
Don't know		220 11%	56 6%	165 16%	47 20%	56 18%	40 11%	32 9%	28 9%	17 4%	42 8%	72 12%	46 11%	60 13%	67 13%	53 10%	56 11%	26 9%	18 10%	29 8%	71 9%	36 7%	44 7%	14 9%
Mean		1.59	1.64	1.54	1.97	1.81	1.58	1.42	1.37	1.53	1.62	1.57	1.61	1.56	1.73	1.58	1.56	1.58	1.39	1.56	1.64	1.91	1.55	1.58
Standard deviation		0.81	0.88	0.72	0.89	0.91	0.87	0.64	0.62	0.77	0.83	0.77	0.83	0.80	0.90	0.78	0.77	0.83	0.64	0.88	0.82	0.91	0.83	0.71
Standard error		0.02	0.04	0.03	0.08	0.07	0.06	0.04	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.04	0.04	0.06	0.06	0.06	0.04	0.06	0.04	0.07



Table 11

Absolutes/col percents

Q5. Would you be more or less likely to support a political party that wanted to use private companies to provide certain police services, or would it make no difference to you? Base: All respondents

						of the following		r current working sta	atus?		
		Total	NET: Working	Working full time - working 30 hours per week or more	Working part time - working between 8 and 29 hours per week	NET: Not working	Not working but seeking work or temporarily unemployed or sick	Not working and not seeking work	Retired on a state pension only	Retired with a private pension	House person, housewife, househusband, etc.
Unweighted base		2006	1056	712	344	950	150	101	125	362	212
Weighted base		2006	1123	883	241	883	140	103	112	340	188
NET: More likely		171 9%	108 10%	80 9%	27 11%	63 7%	9 7%	12 12%	6 5%	26 8%	10 5%
Much more likely	(4)	42 2%	33 3%	28 3%	5 2%	10 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 2%	5 1%	1
Fairly more likely	(3)	128 6%	75 7%	52 6%	23 9%	53 6%	8 6%	11 11%	4 4%	22 6%	9 5%
Fairly less likely	(2)	348 17%	201 18%	163 19%	37 16%	147 17%	18 13%	26 25%	26 23%	46 13%	31 16%
Much less likely	(1)	718 36%	424 38%	334 38%	90 37%	294 33%	55 39%	26 25%	33 30%	124 37%	56 30%
NET: Less likely		1066 53%	625 56%	498 56%	127 53%	441 50%	73 52%	52 50%	59 53%	170 50%	87 46%
It would make no difference - I would support a political party irrespective of their wishes to use private companies to provide certain police services		414 21%	216 19%	170 19%	47 19%	198 22%	21 15%	12 12%	29 26%	110 32%	25 13%
It would make no difference - I would not support a political party anyway		135 7%	74 7%	56 6%	18 7%	61 7%	15 11%	8 8%	9 8%	16 5%	13 7%
Don't know		220 11%	100 9%	79 9%	22 9%	120 14%	21 15%	19 18%	8 7%	18 5%	53 28%
Mean		1.59	1.61	1.61	1.63	1.56	1.46	1.81	1.61	1.53	1.53
Standard deviation		0.81	0.84	0.84	0.84	0.76	0.74	0.79	0.73	0.79	0.69
Standard error		0.02	0.03	0.04	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.10	0.08	0.05	0.06

