

## Survey of London MPs on behalf of College Hill October - November 2009

In your experience, how accountable to you and your constituents are the following local organisations.  
*Please rate from 1-5, where 1=not at all accountable and 5=very accountable.*

| Score 1-5  | Local Authority Housing Department | ALMO (or similar) | Primary Care Trust | Hospital Trust | Housing Association | Learning Skills Council |
|------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|----------------|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 1          | 3                                  | 1                 | 4                  | 5              | 7                   | 15                      |
| 2          | 4                                  | 3                 | 9                  | 4              | 7                   | 5                       |
| 3          | 6                                  | 5                 | 3                  | 8              | 5                   | 2                       |
| 4          | 7                                  | 5                 | 2                  | 3              | 2                   | 0                       |
| 5          | 3                                  | 1                 | 5                  | 3              | 1                   | 0                       |
| Don't know | 0                                  | 1                 | 0                  | 0              | 0                   | 0                       |
| Not stated | 0                                  | 7                 | 0                  | 0              | 1                   | 1                       |
| Mean score | <b>3.13</b>                        | <b>3.13</b>       | <b>2.96</b>        | <b>2.78</b>    | <b>2.23</b>         | <b>1.41</b>             |

## Survey of London MPs on behalf of College Hill October - November 2009

How successful, or otherwise, do you believe that Housing Associations have been in your constituency in each of the following areas?

|                          | Delivering value for money |     | Undertaking repairs and maintenance |     | Managing housing nominations from local authorities |     | Housing management |     | Dealing with anti-social behaviour |     |
|--------------------------|----------------------------|-----|-------------------------------------|-----|---|-----|--------------------|-----|------------------------------------|-----|
| Very successful (2)      | 3                          | 15% | 2                                   | 10% | 1   | 5%  | 1                  | 5%  | 1                                  | 5%  |
| Fairly successful (1)    | 11                         | 55% | 13                                  | 65% | 13  | 65% | 12                 | 60% | 3                                  | 15% |
| Fairly unsuccessful (-1) | 3                          | 15% | 5                                   | 25% | 4   | 20% | 5                  | 25% | 11                                 | 55% |
| Very unsuccessful (-2)   | 0                          | 0%  | 0                                   | 0%  | 0   | 0%  | 2                  | 10% | 5                                  | 25% |
| Don't know               | 3                          | 15% | 0                                   | 0%  | 2   | 10% | 0                  | 0%  | 0                                  | 0%  |
| Not stated               | 3                          |     | 3                                   |     | 3   |     | 3                  |     | 3                                  |     |
| Mean score               | 0.61                       |     | 0.60                                |     | 0.55  |     | 0.22               |     | -0.70                              |     |

## Survey of London MPs on behalf of College Hill October - November 2009

In your view, how successful have Housing Associations in your constituency been in delivering each of the following?

|                          | Providing decent homes |     | Regeneration |     | Social Investment Programme |     | New homes with a modern design |     | Involving residents |     |
|--------------------------|------------------------|-----|--------------|-----|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|-----|---------------------|-----|
| Very successful (2)      | 4                      | 20% | 3            | 15% | 2                           | 10% | 3                              | 15% | 2                   | 10% |
| Fairly successful (1)    | 11                     | 55% | 8            | 40% | 8                           | 40% | 8                              | 40% | 4                   | 20% |
| Fairly unsuccessful (-1) | 3                      | 15% | 7            | 35% | 4                           | 20% | 4                              | 20% | 10                  | 50% |
| Very unsuccessful (-2)   | 2                      | 10% | 2            | 10% | 3                           | 15% | 4                              | 20% | 3                   | 15% |
| Don't know               | 0                      | 0%  | 0            | 0%  | 3                           | 15% | 1                              | 5%  | 1                   | 5%  |
| Not stated               | 3                      |     | 3            |     | 3                           |     | 3                              |     | 3                   |     |
| Mean score               | 0.52                   |     | 0.13         |     | 0.09                        |     | 0.09                           |     | -0.35               |     |

## Survey of London MPs on behalf of College Hill October - November 2009

How, strongly would you agree or disagree with each of the following statements about housing associations?

|                               | They have a big role to play in shared ownership schemes |     | They will become more important in delivering social policy over the next few years |     | In the future, local authorities should build more new homes than housing associations |     | They are now too profit-focused and have lost sight of related social objectives |     |
|-------------------------------|--|-----|---|-----|--|-----|--|-----|
| <b>Agree strongly (2)</b>     | 5  | 24% | 5   | 23% | 5  | 24% | 5  | 25% |
| <b>Agree (1)</b>              | 14   | 67% | 14  | 64% | 5  | 24% | 4  | 20% |
| <b>Disagree (-1)</b>          | 2  | 10% | 1   | 5%  | 10   | 48% | 8  | 40% |
| <b>Disagree strongly (-2)</b> | 0  | 0%  | 2   | 9%  | 1  | 5%  | 2  | 10% |
| <b>Don't know</b>             | 0  | 0%  | 0   | 0%  | 0  | 0%  | 1  | 5%  |
| <b>Not stated</b>             | 2  |     | 1   |     | 2  |     | 3  |     |
| <b>Mean score</b>             | <b>0.96</b>  |     | <b>0.83</b>   |     | <b>0.13</b>  |     | <b>0.10</b>  |     |

## Survey of London MPs on behalf of College Hill October - November 2009

To what extent, if at all, do you value the work done by Housing Associations in your constituency?

*Please rate the value of work done by Housing Associations in your constituency on a scale of 1-5, where 1=of little or no value and 5=very valuable.*

| Score 1-5  | Value of work done<br>by Housing<br>Associations |
|------------|--|
| 1          | 0  |
| 2          | 4  |
| 3          | 1  |
| 4          | 10   |
| 5          | 7  |
| Don't know | 0  |
| Not stated | 1  |
| Mean score | 3.74   |