Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence Q1 - Thinking about your neighbourhood, would you describe it as overdeveloped or underdeveloped, or fine the way it is? Base: All respondents

				A	ae			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	•
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	с С	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Overdeveloped	68 16%	1 28%	4 16%	6 21%	12 16%	26 23% F	18 11%	46 16%	22 18%	28 15%	20 15%	15 26% a	2 11%	3 14%	34 17%	33 16%
Fine the way it is	237 58%	1 36%	11 43%	20 64%	43 58%	62 56%	99 60%	169 58%	68 56%	128 68% BE	58 44%	33 58% be	12 66% BE	7 37%	125 61%	113 55%
Underdeveloped	104 25%	1 36%	10 37% ce	5 15%	17 23%	23 21%	48 29%	73 25%	31 25%	31 17%	52 40% ACD	9 16%	3 19%	8 45% ACD	45 22%	58 29%
Don't know	2 1%	-	1 4% EF	-	1 2% f	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 4% A	1 4% A	2 1%	1 *

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 1/1

Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence Q1 - Thinking about your neighbourhood, would you describe it as overdeveloped or underdeveloped, or fine the way it is? Base: All respondents

								Regior	า							C	Council ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Overdeveloped	68 16%	5 13%	1 3%	10 18% B	1 6%	5 11%	14 20% Bk	10 21% Bk	4 16%	13 31% aBDEKL	4 18% b	11 11%	16 12%	36 23% BdeKL	11 13%	9 25%	7 13%	13 31% ACE	28 14%
Fine the way it is	237 58%	24 57%	22 59%	35 66%	13 54%	30 62%	41 59%	26 57%	11 46%	21 52%	13 53%	55 56%	81 62%	88 56%	48 56%	19 53%	31 57%	21 52%	117 61%
Underdeveloped	104 25%	12 30%	14 38% CfIM	8 16%	10 40% CfIM	12 25%	15 21%	10 22%	9 38% Clm	6 15%	7 29%	31 32% CIM	35 26%	31 20%	27 32% D	8 21%	16 30% d	6 15%	46 24%
Don't know	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 3% I	-	-	-	1 3% I	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 3%	1

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

Page 2



Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence Q2 - Over the coming few years, would you like to see more new development or less new development in your neighbourhood? Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%	Total	a A	b B	с С	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
More new development in my neighbourhood	204 50%	2 64%	16 63%	14 45%	39 52%	51 46%	81 49%	150 52%	54 45%	78 42%	84 64% AC	23 40%	9 53%	10 56%	99 48%	105 51%
Less new development in my neighbourhood	183 45%	1 36%	9 33%	12 39%	33 44%	52 47%	76 46%	123 42%	60 50%	103 55% Be	37 28%	29 50% B	8 47% b	7 36%	95 46%	89 43%
Don't know	23 6%	-	1 4%	5 15% DF	2 3%	7 6%	9 5%	17 6%	7 6%	7 4%	9 7%	6 10% ad	-	1 8%	12 6%	11 6%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q2 - Over the coming few years, would you like to see more new development or less new development in your neighbourhood? Base: All respondents

Region Council type SOUTH York NORTH OF ENG shire & OF ENG East West East North North South South MID Metro Total Midlands Midlands England East West East West Humber London Wales LAND LANDS LAND Unitary County politan London District Significance Level: 90% а b d е f h k m а b С d е С g Е F Significance Level: 95% В С D Н Κ L Μ А В С D Е А G 1 J Unweighted Total 411 38 32 46 18 50 82 54 21 42 28 88 38 48 42 195 89 116 178 Weighted Total 37 53 25 70 45 25 132 55 41 191 411 41 49 25 41 99 156 87 37 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% 100% More new development in my neighbourhood 20 22 22 20 15 13 47 33 204 14 31 33 14 60 64 67 13 14 97 50% 50% 59% 41% 57% 63% 48% 44% 59% 34% 53% 60% 49% 43% 54% 34% 61% 34% 51% CfgIIM i CgIIM BD BD bd 9 Less new development in my neighbourhood 183 19 32 8 13 10 12 32 40 22 15 23 33 24 23 61 79 84 45% 47% 25% 59% 34% 27% 47% 52% 41% 56% 47% 32% 46% 51% 46% 59% 27% 56% 44% BdEK BEK BEK С be BEk be BEk BEK Ce С С 6 3 2 7 7 9 Don't know 23 1 2 5 -4 2 7 4 10 --..... 6% 3% 16% 9% 10% 5% 4% 10% 7% 5% 6% 7% 12% 10% 5% _ _ С Ci aCfghJlm Ci С А Ae А А С

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

COMRES

Private housing Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	148 36%	3 100% BCDeF	8 31%	10 33%	20 27%	47 43% D	60 36%	114 39% B	34 28%	85 45% BCE	40 31%	15 26%	6 31%	4 19%	79 38%	69 34%
Somewhat support	181 44%	-	13 50%	14 47%	41 56% aEF	43 39%	69 42%	126 43%	56 46%	73 39%	62 47%	30 52% a	8 46%	9 48%	84 41%	97 47%
Somewhat oppose	53 13%		5 19% d	4 14%	5 7%	14 12%	24 15% d	32 11%	21 17%	23 13%	16 12%	8 14%	2 11%	3 18%	30 15%	23 11%
Strongly oppose	22 5%	-	-	1 2%	4 6%	6 5%	11 7%	13 5%	9 7%	4 2%	12 9% A	3 5%	1 7%	1 8%	9 4%	13 6%
Don't know	7 2%	-	-	2 5% f	3 4% F	1 1%	1 1%	4 1%	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	2 3%	1 4%	1 7% AB	4 2%	3 1%
SUPPPORT	330 80%	3 100%	21 81%	24 79%	62 83%	90 82%	128 78%	240 83% B	90 74%	158 84% E	101 78%	44 77%	14 77%	13 67%	163 79%	166 81%
OPPOSE	75 18%	-	5 19%	5 16%	9 12%	20 18%	36 22% d	45 16%	29 24% A	28 15%	28 21%	11 19%	3 19%	5 26%	39 19%	36 18%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Online Survey



Private housing Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 5 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Strongly support	148 36%	16 39%	15 40%	16 31%	8 34%	20 41%	26 37%	14 31%	13 53% cgim	12 28%	8 31%	42 42%	47 36%	52 33%	30 35%	8 22%	22 41% b	12 28%	76 40% B
Somewhat support	181 44%	16 38%	20 53% Ek	26 49% e	10 40%	14 29%	35 50% EK	20 44%	10 41%	19 47% e	12 47%	34 35%	61 47% Ek	74 47% Ek	40 46%	18 48%	22 41%	19 47%	82 43%
Somewhat oppose	53 13%	6 15% b	1 3%	6 11%	4 17% b	10 20% Bl	8 11%	8 17% b	1 5%	6 14%	3 12%	15 5 16% b	14 10%	21 13%	12 14%	7 20%	6 11%	6 14%	22 11%
Strongly oppose	22 5%	2 6%	1 3%	5 9% Fm	2 9% F	4 9% F	1 1%	3 6% f	-	2 5%	1 5%	7 5 7% f	9 7% f	5 3%	4 4%	2 6%	4 7%	2 5%	10 5%
Don't know	7 2%	1 2%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 6% ckL	1 4%	1 5 1%	1 1%	4 3%	1 1%	2 4%	-	3 6% cE	2 1%
SUPPPORT	330 80%	32 77%	35 93% acdEGljK	42 79%	18 74%	34 70%	61 87%	34 76%	23 95%	31 75%	19 78%	76 77%	109 83%	126 80%	70 80%	26 71%	45 82%	31 75%	158 83%
			'n				Egik		adEgik				е						b
OPPOSE	75 18%	9 21% b	2 7%	11 21% b	6 26% bh	14 29% BFHIm	8 12%	10 23% bfh	1 5%	8 19%	4 17%	22 22% bfh	22 17%	26 17%	16 18%	9 25%	10 18%	8 19%	32 17%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 3/2

ComRes

Social housing Base: All respondents

				Ag	e			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	217 53%	2 64%	13 51%	11 37%	42 56% c	58 53%	90 55% c	145 50%	72 59% a	60 32%	102 78% ACD	33 57% A	8 46%	13 71% Ad	111 54%	105 51%
Somewhat support	122 30%	-	8 29%	9 28%	19 26%	32 29%	54 33%	89 31%	34 28%	74 39% Be	20 15%	18 32% B	6 35% B	4 22%	66 32%	57 28%
Somewhat oppose	46 11%	1 36% f	3 12%	6 20% F	9 13%	13 12%	14 8%	37 13%	10 8%	33 18% BD	7 5%	5 9%	-	1 7%	15 8%	31 15% A
Strongly oppose	22 5%	-	2 7%	5 15% DF	2 2%	7 6%	6 4%	17 6%	5 4%	18 10% BCe	1 1%	-	3 15% BCE	-	11 5%	10 5%
Don't know	4 1%	-	-	-	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 2%	1 4% B	-	2 1%	2 1%
SUPPPORT	339 83%	2 64%	21 80%	20 66%	61 82% c	90 81% c	145 88% C	233 81%	106 87%	134 72%	122 94% AD	51 89% A	15 81%	17 93% A	177 86% b	162 79%
OPPOSE	68 17%	1 36%	5 20%	11 34% DEF	11 15%	20 18%	19 12%	54 19% b	14 12%	51 27% BCE	8 6%	5 9%	3 15%	1 7%	27 13%	41 20% A

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Social housing Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council typ	pe	
	Total	East Midlands	West Vidlands E	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID (LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Strongly support	217 53%	20 49%	21 56%	29 54% /	21 83% AbCEFg HI	26 52%	31 44%	28 62%	13 51%	17 41%	12 49%	59 60%	70 53%	76 48%	45 52%	13 36%	39 71%	17 41%	102 53%
					JkLM			Fim				Fim					ABDE		b
Somewhat support	122 30%	13 32% d	9 25%	16 30%	3 11%	13 26%	29 42% DelKl	15 33% d	9 38% d	8 19%	7 29%	25 25%	38 29%	52 33% di	28 32%	14 39% d	13 23%	8 19%	60 5 31%
Somewhat oppose	46 11%	3 8%	3 9%	7 14% G	1 6%	9 18% G	8 11% G	1 2%	3 11%	9 21% Glm	2 9%	13 13% G	14 11% G	17 11% G	8 9%	7 19% c	3 6%	9 21% aCe	20 5 10%
Strongly oppose	22 5%	2 5%	3 9% k	1 2%	-	2 4%	2 3%	2 3%	-	6 16% CdeFGh KL	2 10%	2 2%	7 5%		5 6%		-	6 16%	8 4%
										m	k			k	С	С		aCE	
Don't know	4	2 5% eFgKM	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	1 3%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1	-	-	1 2%	2 5 1%
SUPPPORT	339 83%	33 81%	30 81% i	45 84%	23 94% I	38 78% i	60 86%	43 95% AbcEflJk	22 89%	25 61%	19 78%	84 85%	108 82%	128 82%	74 85%	28 75%	51 94%	25 61%	162 85%
			I	I	I	I	' '	L M	I			I	I	I	D		BDe		D
OPPOSE	68 17%	6 14%	7 19% g	8 16% g	1 6%	11 22% G	10 14% g	2 5%	3 11% /	15 37% AbCDFG H	5 19%	15 15%	21 16%	28 18%	13 15%	9 25%	3 6%	15 37%	27 5 14%
										KLM	g	g	g	G		Ce		ACE	
Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M ComRes Online Survey Page 8	1 - A,B,C,D,E															Co	(MRI	S	り
1 490 0																			





Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Shared equity housing (by this we mean housing where individuals own a portion of the property and pay rent on the remainder of the property) Base: All respondents

				Aç	je			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	195 47%	2 64%	14 56% C	9 29%	37 50% C	58 52% C	75 45% c	134 46%	61 50%	85 46%	63 48%	33 57% D	6 31%	8 44%	95 46%	99 48%
Somewhat support	175 43%	1 36%	10 41%	18 60% Ef	34 46%	42 38%	69 42%	127 44%	48 40%	78 42%	56 43%	22 38%	10 57%	9 46%	89 43%	86 42%
Somewhat oppose	13 3%	-	1 4% d	2 5% d	-	1 1%	9 6% De	8 3%	6 5%	9 5% c	4 3%	-	1 4%	-	8 4%	5 3%
Strongly oppose	18 4%	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	7 6% d	9 5%	14 5%	5 4%	13 7% b	3 2%	1 2%	1 4%	1 7%	9 4%	9 5%
Don't know	10 2%	-	-	1 5%	3 3%	3 2%	3 2%	8 3%	2 2%	2 1%	5 4%	2 3%	1 4%	1 4%	5 2%	5 2%
SUPPPORT	370 90%	3 100%	25 96%	27 88%	70 95% f	100 90%	144 87%	260 90%	109 90%	164 88%	119 91%	54 95%	16 89%	17 89%	185 90%	185 90%
OPPOSE	31 8%	-	1 4%	2 7% d	1 1%	8 7% d	18 11% D	21 7%	10 8%	21 11% bC	6 5%	1 2%	1 7%	1 7%	16 8%	15 7%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes Online Survey



Table 5/1

Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Shared equity housing (by this we mean housing where individuals own a portion of the property and pay rent on the remainder of the property) Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	ре	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	с С	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	195 47%	18 43%	18 48%	26 49% i	10 40%	22 44%	34 49% i	27 60% I	16 64% I	13 32%	11 45%	47 48% i	62 47% i	74 48% i	40 46%	16 45%	27 50% d	13 32%	98 51% D
Somewhat support	175 43%	18 44%	14 39%	23 44%	11 43%	20 41%	32 45%	16 36%	8 31%	22 54% ghk	10 41%	38 39%	56 43%	71 45%	37 42%	17 45%	21 38%	22 54%	78 41%
Somewhat oppose	13 3%	-	2 6% g	1 2%	1 6% g	3 6% g	2 2%	-	-	1 2%	3 11% AfGIM	4 4%	4 3%	3 2%	4 4%	1 3%	2 4%	1 2%	5 3%
Strongly oppose	18 4%	3 8%	2 6%	2 5%	1 6%	1 2%	2 3%	2 4%	1 5%	2 5%	1 3%	4 4%	8 6%	6 4%	5 6%	2 5%	1 2%	2 5%	8 4%
Don't know	10 2%	2 5% f	-	-	1 6% Fg	4 8% cFGLM	-	-	-	3 7% cFGIm	- , -	5 5% Fg	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 2%	3 6% E	3 7% aE	2 1%
SUPPPORT	370 90%	36 87%	32 87%	50 93%	20 83%	42 85%	66 94% dek	44 96% dEik	23 95%	35 86%	21 86%	86 87%	118 90%	145 93% e	77 88%	33 90%	48 88%	35 86%	176 92%
OPPOSE	31 8%	3 8%	5 13%	4 7%	3 11%	4 8%	4 6%	2 4%	1 5%	3 7%	3 14%	8 8%	12 9%	8 5%	9 10%	3 8%	4 7%	3 7%	13 7%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 5/2

Offices

Base: All respondents

				Aç	le			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 1009
Strongly support	87 21%	1 36%	7 27%	11 35% DF	12 16%	28 25% f	28 17%	65 22%	22 18%	40 21%	32 24%	8 14%	4 22%	4 22%	43 21%	44 229
Somewhat support	187 46%	2 64%	15 60% с	11 37%	34 46%	49 45%	75 46%	131 45%	56 46%	85 45%	59 45%	27 47%	10 55%	8 41%	103 50% b	84 419
Somewhat oppose	83 20%	-	2 9%	3 9%	17 23%	19 17%	42 25% bc	58 20%	25 21%	40 21% b	18 14%	19 34% aBD	2 11%	4 19%	40 19%	43 219
Strongly oppose	35 8%	-	-	4 12% b	9 12% b	7 7%	14 8%	22 7%	13 11%	17 9% c	13 10% C	1 2%	2 11% c	1 8%	13 6%	22 119
Don't know	19 5%	-	1 4%	2 7%	2 3%	7 6%	7 4%	14 5%	5 4%	5 3%	10 7% a	2 4%	-	2 11% Ad	7 3%	12 6'
SUPPPORT	275 67%	3 100%	22 87% DeF	22 72%	46 62%	77 70%	103 62%	196 68%	78 65%	125 67%	90 69%	34 60%	14 77%	12 62%	146 71% b	129 639
OPPOSE	117 29%	-	2 9%	7 22%	26 35% B	27 24% b	55 33% Be	79 27%	38 31%	57 31%	30 23%	20 36% b	4 23%	5 27%	53 26%	65 329

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

Offices

Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	87 21%	9 22%	13 34% Cfhim	7 14%	7 29%	10 20%	13 18%	11 25%	3 11%	7 16%	8 33% Cfh	20 20%	29 22%	31 20%	21 24%	8 23%	15 28%	7 16%	36 19%
Somewhat support	187 46%	20 48%	11 29%	30 56% BE	10 40%	17 35%	31 44%	24 54% Be	16 65% BEfikm	17 41%	11 46%	43 44%	61 46% b	72 46% b	37 43%	15 41%	20 37%	17 41%	98 51% c
Somewhat oppose	83 20%	8 19%	7 18%	13 24%	3 11%	9 19%	20 28% gk	7 15%	3 14%	10 23%	4 16%	16 16%	27 21%	36 23%	17 19%	11 29%	10 19%	10 23%	36 19%
Strongly oppose	35 8%	3 8%	5 13% h	2 5%		9 19% CfGHLM	6 8%	2 4%	-	4 9%	1 5%	11 12%	10 8%	11 7%	10 11%	1 2%	7 12%	4 9%	14 7%
Don't know	19 5%	1 3%	2 6%	1 1%	3 11% cFgj	3 7% f	1 1%	1 2%	3 10% cFj	4 10% cFgjlm	-	9 9% cFglm	4 3%	6 4%	3 3%	2 5%	2 4%	4 10% a	8 4%
SUPPPORT	275 67%	29 70%	23 63%	37 70%	17 68%	27 55%	44 62%	36 79% EFlkm	19 76%	24 57%	19 79% Ei	63 64%	90 68%	103 66%	58 67%	23 63%	35 65%	24 57%	134 70%
OPPOSE	117 29%	11 27%	11 30%	15 29%	5 20%	19 38% GH	25 36% GH	9 19%	3 14%	13 33%	5 21%	27 27%	38 29%	48 30%	26 30%	12 31%	17 31%	13 33%	49 26%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 6/2

Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Smaller convenience food stores (like Tesco Metro, Sainsbury's Local) Base: All respondents

				A	ge			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	63 15%	-	7 25%	6 19%	9 12%	20 18%	22 13%	48 17%	15 12%	31 17%	18 14%	8 14%	1 8%	4 22%	33 16%	29 14%
Somewhat support	173 42%	3 100% CdEF	13 49%	11 37%	35 47%	41 37%	69 42%	133 46% B	39 33%	84 45% e	54 41%	25 43%	6 31%	5 26%	86 42%	87 42%
Somewhat oppose	81 20%	-	3 12%	7 24%	17 23%	28 25% f	26 16%	49 17%	33 27% A	27 14%	30 23% a	14 25% a	5 27% a	5 26%	41 20%	40 20%
Strongly oppose	74 18%	-	3 10%	5 17%	6 9%	18 16%	41 25% bDe	45 16%	28 23% a	37 20%	18 14%	8 15%	5 26%	5 26%	41 20%	33 16%
Don't know	20 5%	-	1 4%	1 3%	6 9%	5 4%	7 4%	15 5%	6 5%	8 4%	10 7%	2 3%	1 8%		5 2%	15 7% A
SUPPPORT	236 57%	3 100%	19 74% ef	17 56%	44 59%	61 55%	91 55%	181 63% B	54 45%	115 62% D	72 55%	32 57%	7 39%	9 48%	119 58%	116 57%
OPPOSE	155 38%	-	6 22%	13 41%	24 32%	45 41% b	67 41% b	94 32%	61 50% A	64 34%	49 37%	23 40%	10 54% a	10 52% a	82 40%	73 36%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Smaller convenience food stores (like Tesco Metro, Sainsbury's Local) Base: All respondents

								Regi	ion							C	ouncil ty	pe	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	63 15%	6 15%	4 10%	10 18%	7 28% bfIM	7 14%	8 12%	6 13%	5 19%	3 7%	8 31% BeFGILM	19 19% im	20 15%	17 11%	18 21% D	8 22% d	7 12%	3 7%	27 0 14%
Somewhat support	173 42%	15 37%	16 45%	23 42%	12 49%	16 33%	35 50% ej	21 47%	12 49%	15 37%	7 30%	40 41%	54 41%	71 46%	33 38%	19 52%	28 51%	15 37%	78 41%
Somewhat oppose	81 20%	8 18%	8 23%	12 22% g	1 6%	11 23% g	15 21% g	4 10%	2 8%	14 33% DGHKm	6 24% g	15 15%	28 21% g	33 21% g	15 17%	3 9%	10 18%	14 33% ABe	40 21% b
Strongly oppose	74 18%	10 25%	7 20%	8 15%	3 11%	12 24%	10 15%	10 23%	3 13%	7 16%	3 12%	18 18%	26 20%	27 17%	15 18%	4 11%	5 10%	7 16%	42 22% c
Don't know	20 5%	2 4%	1 3%	1 2%	1 6%	3 6%	2 2%	4 8%	3 11% f	3 7%	1 3%	7 7%	4 3%	8 5%	5 6%	2 7%	5 9% E	3 7%	5 3%
SUPPPORT	236 57%	21 52%	20 54%	32 60%	19 77% aEllm	23 47%	43 62% ei	27 60%	17 67% i	18 43%	15 61%	59 60% i	74 56%	88 56%	52 60% d	27 73% DE	34 63% d	18 43%	104 55%
OPPOSE	155 38%	18 44% dh	16 43% d	20 37%	4 17%	23 47% Dh	25 36%	15 32%	5 22%	20 49% DgHk	9 36%	33 33%	54 41% d	60 38% d	30 35%	7 20%	15 28%	20 49% BC	82 43% Bc

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



COMRES

ComRes

Online Survey

Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Larger supermarkets (like a large Tesco, Asda, or Sainsbury's) Base: All respondents

		Age						Ge	ender			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 5 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	28 7%	1 36% DF	2 9%	3 11% f	3 5%	12 11% F	5 3%	19 6%	9 8%	15 8%	11 8%	1 2%	-	1 4%	15 7%	13 6%
Somewhat support	93 23%	1 36%	14 55% CDEF	8 25%	10 13%	24 22%	36 22%	74 25% B	20 5 16%	39 21%	40 31% AC	7 12%	3 19%	5 26% c	43 21%	50 25%
Somewhat oppose	104 25%	1 28%	4 15%	11 37% bf	24 33% bf	27 24%	37 23%	73 25%	31 5 26%	49 26% D	29 22% d	22 38% aBDE	1 8%	3 15%	54 26%	50 24%
Strongly oppose	159 39%	-	4 16%	6 21%	29 40% Bc	41 37% Bc	76 46% BC	107 37%	52 53 43%	69 37%	42 32%	25 43%	13 74% ABC	10 55% aB	83 40%	76 37%
Don't know	26 6%	-	1 4%	2 6%	7 10%	6 6%	10 6%	18 6%	9 5 7%	15 8%	9 7%	3 5%	-	-	10 5%	16 8%
SUPPPORT	121 30%	2 72% Df	17 64% CDEF	11 36% D	13 18%	37 33% D	41 25%	92 32%	29 5 24%	54 29% C	51 39% aCd	8 14%	3 19%	6 30% c	58 28%	63 31%
OPPOSE	263 64%	1 28%	8 31%	18 58% B	53 72% B	68 61% B	113 69% B	180 62%	83 69%	119 63%	70 54%	46 81% AB	15 81% aB	13 70%	137 67%	126 61%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes Online Survey



Table 8/1

Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Larger supermarkets (like a large Tesco, Asda, or Sainsbury's) Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary		Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Strongly support	28 7%	2 6%	4 10% m	5 9% fm	4 17% FgIM	4 8% m	2 2%	2 4%	3 10% m	1 2%	2 9%	11 5 11% FiM	11 8% fM	4 3%	7 8% b	-	6 11% B	1 2%	14 5 8% 5
Somewhat support	93 23%	8 19%	6 16%	10 18%	10 40% bcEfL	8 16%	15 21%	11 24%	7 28%	12 30%	8 31%	25 25%	23 18%	38 24%	20 23%	4 11%	17 32% B	12 30% B	40 5 21%
Somewhat oppose	104 25%	12 29%	10 27%	11 20%	5 20%	11 23%	22 32% k	10 21%	4 15%	12 30%	7 27%	20 20%	33 25%	44 28%	20 23%	12 32%	10 19%	12 30%	49 26%
Strongly oppose	159 39%	15 37%	16 44%	25 46% d	6 22%	25 51% Dijm	27 38%	17 38%	9 36%	13 30%	7 28%	40 40%	56 43%	56 36%	33 38%	18 49% d	21 39%	13 30%	74 5 39%
Don't know	26 6%	3 8%	1 3%	4 7%	-	1 2%	4 6%	6 14% EK	3 11%	3 7%	1 4%	4 4%	8 6%	14 9%	6 7% c	3 8% C	-	3 7% c	14 5 7% c
SUPPPORT	121 30%	10 25%	9 25%		14 57% ABCEFGi	12 24%	16 23%	12 27%	9 38%	13 32%	10 40%	35 36%	34 26%	42 27%	27 31%	4 11%	23 43%	13 32%	54 28%
					LM						f	f			В		Be	В	В
OPPOSE	263 64%	27 67% d	26 71% d	35 66% d	11 43%	36 74% Dhj	49 70% D	27 59%	13 51%	25 61%	14 55%	60 60%	89 68% D	101 64% d	54 61%	30 81% ACDE	31 57%	25 61%	123 5 64%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E





A quarry Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	e	f	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	а	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F	A	В	А	В	С	D	E	А	В
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 5 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	8 2%	-	-	1 3%	-	4 3%	3 2%	4 1%	4 3%	4 2%	1 1%	1 2%	2 11% ABce	-	5 3%	2 1%
Somewhat support	48 12%	-	1 3%	4 14%	12 16% b	11 10%	20 12%	36 13%	11 9%	29 16% B	6 4%	7 12% b	4 20% B	2 11%	26 12%	22 11%
Somewhat oppose	85 21%	2 72% CDeF	7 28%	6 18%	12 16%	25 23%	33 20%	60 21%	25 21%	42 23% d	26 20%	12 21%	1 7%	3 14%	41 20%	44 22%
Strongly oppose	203 49%	1 28%	14 54%	17 54%	37 51%	53 48%	80 48%	145 50%	58 48%	91 48%	67 51%	32 55%	8 43%	7 37%	98 48%	105 51%
Don't know	67 16%	-	4 16%	3 11%	13 17%	18 16%	29 18%	44 15%	23 5 19%	21 11%	30 23% AC	5 9%	3 19%	7 38% AC	36 17%	31 15%
SUPPPORT	56 14%	-	1 3%	5 17% b	12 16% b	15 14%	23 14%	40 14%	15 5 12%	33 18% B	7 5%	8 14% b	6 31% Bce	2 11%	31 15%	25 12%
OPPOSE	288 70%	3 100%	21 81%	22 72%	49 67%	78 70%	113 68%	205 71%	83 68%	133 71% DE	93 71% De	44 77% DE	9 50%	10 52%	139 68%	149 73%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes Online Survey



Table 9/1

A quarry Base: All respondents

								Region								Co	ouncil typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	8 2%	-	-	1 2%	-	2 4%	2 2%	3 7% iL	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	5 3%	-	-	-	-	8 4% a
Somewhat support	48 12%	3 7%	7 18% fl	11 20% aeFlkM	3 11%	4 7%	4 6%	9 20% aeFlkM	3 14% i	1 2%	3 14% i	10 10%	20 16% Fl	14 9%	12 14% D	10 26% aCDE	4 7%	1 2%	21 11% d
Somewhat oppose	85 21%	13 30% Chl	10 27% Ci	5 9%	8 34% Chl	8 17%	18 26% Cl	10 22% c	3 11%	4 10%	6 26% ci	19 20%	27 21% c	32 20% c	23 27% D	6 15%	10 19%	4 10%	42 22% d
Strongly oppose	203 49%	19 46%	17 45%	30 57% G	12 49%	24 48%	35 50% G	15 32%	12 51%	29 71% ABEFGJ K	10 43%	48 49%	66 50%	79 50%	39 45%	14 38%	31 58%	29 71%	90 47%
										LM		g	G	G			b	ABE	
Don't know	67 16%	7 16%	4 10%	6 12%	1 6%	12 25% bdl	11 15%	9 19%	6 25%	7 17%	4 18%	20 20%	16 12%	26 17%	12 14%	8 21%	9 16%	7 17%	31 16%
SUPPPORT	56 14%	3 7%	7 18% I	12 23% aFlkm	3 11%	5 11%	6 9%	12 27% AEFIKM	3 14% i	1 2%	3 14% i	11 12% i	22 16% I	19 12% i	12 14% D	10 26% aCDe	4 7%	1 2%	29 15% D
OPPOSE	288 70%	31 77% G	27 73% g	35 66%	21 83% G	32 65%	53 76% G	25 54%	15 61%	33 80% eG	17 69%	67 68% g	93 71% G	111 71% G	63 72% B	20 53%	42 77% B	33 80% B	131 69% b

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Page 18





Waste facilities (landfill, recycling, incineration, composting) Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Ge	ender			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	e	f	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	а	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F	A	В	A	В	С	D	E	А	В
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 % 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	66 16%	-	3 10%	4 12%	5 6%	19 17% D	36 22% D	53 18% B	12 6 10%	26 14%	19 15%	6 11%	8 42% ABC	7 36% ABC	36 17%	30 15%
Somewhat support	126 31%	2 64% B	4 14%	11 35% b	26 35% b	41 37% Bf	43 26%	85 29%	41 % 34%	56 30%	44 34%	17 30%	5 27%	4 23%	67 33%	59 29%
Somewhat oppose	64 16%	-	8 33% EF	4 15%	16 21% e	13 11%	23 14%	45 15%	19 % 16%	29 16%	19 15%	13 23% D	1 4%	2 11%	35 17%	29 14%
Strongly oppose	124 30%	1 36%	8 30%	9 30%	21 29%	32 29%	51 31%	88 31%	36 % 30%	65 35%	34 26%	17 29%	4 23%	4 23%	57 28%	67 33%
Don't know	31 8%	-	4 14%	3 8%	6 9%	7 6%	12 7%	18 6%	13 6 11%	11 6%	14 11%	4 6%	1 4%	1 7%	11 5%	20 10% a
SUPPPORT	192 47%	2 64%	6 24%	14 47% b	30 41%	59 54% Bd	79 48% B	138 48%	53 % 44%	82 44%	63 48%	23 41%	12 69% AbC	11 59%	103 50%	89 43%
OPPOSE	188 46%	1 36%	16 63% Ef	14 45%	37 50%	45 40%	74 45%	133 46%	55 % 46%	94 50% D	53 41%	30 52% D	5 27%	6 34%	92 45%	96 47%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Waste facilities (landfill, recycling, incineration, composting) Base: All respondents

								Region								. (Council typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West 1idlands E	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 5 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	66 16%	7 18% I	7 20% I	6 11% I	7 29% cl	7 14% I	13 19% I	9 20% I	5 19% I	-	4 18% I	19 19% I	21 16% I	22 5 14% 1	13 15% D	4 11% D	11 20% D	-	37 20% D
Somewhat support	126 31%	10 24%	12 32% I	21 40% eflm	11 45% eflm	11 23%	18 26% i	18 41% efIM	11 46% aeflm	5 12%	7 30% i	34 35% I	43 33% I	41 5 26% i	33 38% De	13 36% D	22 39% D	5 12%	54 28% D
Somewhat oppose	64 16%	8 21% eG	6 16%	5 9%	4 17%	4 8%	13 19% eG	3 6%	5 19% g	10 24% cEG	6 26% cEG	13 13%	19 15%	26 5 16% g	15 17%	6 16%	5 9%	10 24% с	29 15%
Strongly oppose	124 30%	14 33% Bd	4 10%	16 30% Bd	2 9% I	21 43% 3DgHJk	24 34%	12 26%	4 15%	aBCDFG	5 21%	27 28%	33 25%	58 37%	24 28%	10 28%	11 19%	22 55%	57 30%
						L	BDh	b		H JKLM		Bd	b	BDHjL				ABCE	
Don't know	31 8%	2 4%	8 23% ADFgHJ K	5 9%	-	6 11%	2 2%	4 8%	-	4 10%	1 4%	6 6%	15 11%	9 6%	2 2%		7 13%		15 8%
SUPPPORT	192 47%	17 42% I	M 19 52% I	f 27 51% I A	18 74% EFIJLM	F 18 37% I	31 44% I	27 60% aEfIM	16 65% aEfIM	f 5 12%	12 48% I	53 53% elM	Fm 64 49% I	63 5 40% 1	46 53% D	a 17 47% D	A 32 59% D	a 5 12%	a 91 47% D
OPPOSE	188 46%	22 54% BdG	9 25%	21 40%	6 26%	25 52% BdG	37 53% BDGI	14 32%	9 35%	32 78% ABCDEF G	12 47%	40 41%	53 40%	84 54%	39 45%	16 44%	15 28%	32 78%	86 45%
Columns Tested: A B C D F F G H L I K I										HJKLM	b			BcDGkL	с			ABCE	С

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 10/2

Power stations (coal, oil, gas, nuclear) Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	29 7%	1 36% BcDe	-	2 5%	4 5%	8 7%	14 8%	23 8%	5 4%	19 10% C	7 5%	1 2%	1 4%	1 7%	16 8%	13 6%
Somewhat support	65 16%	-	3 13%	4 12%	9 12%	21 19%	28 17%	52 18% b	13 11%	32 17%	23 18%	6 10%	3 16%	1 7%	35 17%	30 15%
Somewhat oppose	65 16%	1 28%	4 16%	4 14%	10 13%	15 14%	31 19%	45 15%	20 17%	29 16%	16 12%	15 26% aBe	4 23%	1 8%	31 15%	34 16%
Strongly oppose	208 51%	1 36%	15 58%	19 61% f	43 58% F	55 50%	73 44%	142 49%	66 55%	90 48%	67 52%	30 52%	10 54%	11 60%	101 49%	107 52%
Don't know	44 11%	-	3 14%	3 9%	9 12%	11 10%	18 11%	28 10%	17 14%	17 9%	17 13%	6 11%	1 4%	3 18%	23 11%	21 10%
SUPPPORT	94 23%	1 36%	3 13%	5 17%	12 17%	29 26%	42 26%	75 26% B	18 15%	51 27% C	30 23% c	7 11%	3 19%	3 14%	51 25%	43 21%
OPPOSE	273 66%	2 64%	19 73%	23 74%	53 72%	70 64%	104 63%	187 64%	86 71%	119 64%	83 64%	44 78% ab	14 77%	13 68%	132 64%	141 69%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Power stations (coal, oil, gas, nuclear) Base: All respondents

								Region						_	1	(Council typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 5 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 6 100%
Strongly support	29 7%	2 6%	2 5%	5 9%	1 6%	4 8%	4 6%	7 15% fl	1 5%	1 3%	1 4%	7 7%	9 7%	12 5 8%	7 8% C	2 6% c	-	1 3%	18 6 10% C
Somewhat support	65 16%	8 20% I	4 10%	10 18% i	6 23% I	4 8%	16 23% El	8 19% I	5 19% i	2 5%	3 14%	14 14%	21 16% i	26 5 17% I	13 15%	4 12%	5 9%	2 5%	41 6 21% cD
Somewhat oppose	65 16%	9 21% Ef	8 21% E	8 16%	8 34% EFikM	3 6%	7 10%	7 16% e	3 13%	5 12%	6 25% EFm	15 15%	25 19% Ef	19 5 12%	18 21%	6 17%	9 16%	5 12%	27 6 14%
Strongly oppose	208 51%	18 43%	20 55%	27 50%	8 32%	33 67%	36 51%	17 39%	13 52%	27 66%	9 36%	54 54%	65 49%	80 52%	41 47%	17 46%	35 64%	27 66%	89 % 46%
					ŀ	AcDfGJL m				ADGJIm		dgj		g			aE	AbE	
Don't know	44 11%	4 10%	4 10%	4 7%	1 6%	6 11%	7 11%	5 12%	3 11%	6 14%	5 20% cl	10 10%	11 9%	18 5 12%	9 11%	7 18% e	6 11%	6 149	17 6 9%
SUPPPORT	94 23%	11 26% I	5 15%	14 27% I	7 28% I	8 16%	20 28% I	15 33% bEl	6 24% i	3 7%	4 18%	21 21% i	30 23% I	38 5 24% 1	19 22% cD	7 18%	5 9%	3 7%	59 6 31% CD
OPPOSE	273 66%	26 64%	28 76% g	35 66%	16 66%	36 73% g	43 61%	25 55%	16 65%	32 79% FGm	15 61%	68 69% g	90 68% g	100 64%	58 67%	23 63%	43 79% E	32 79% E	115 60%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Page 22





Wind farms

Base: All respondents

				Aç	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	49 12%	1 36% f	5 19% f	3 11%	10 14%	16 14%	14 8%	35 12%	14 12%	7 4%	19 15% A	16 28% ABd	2 11%	5 25% A	26 13%	23 11%
Somewhat support	109 27%	1 28%	6 21%	13 41% F	27 37% F	30 27%	33 20%	63 22%	46 38% A	24 13%	47 36% A	23 40% A	10 54% Ab	6 32% A	55 27%	54 26%
Somewhat oppose	65 16%	-	4 15%	4 13%	12 16%	14 13%	31 19%	43 15%	23 19%	31 17%	22 17%	6 11%	2 12%	3 18%	39 19% b	26 13%
Strongly oppose	153 37%	1 36%	5 18%	9 30%	18 24%	44 40% BD	73 44% BD	128 44% B	25 20%	115 61% BCDE	20 15%	10 18%	3 19%	5 25%	69 33%	84 41%
Don't know	35 8%	-	7 26% CDEF	1 5%	6 9%	6 6%	14 8%	22 7%	13 11%	11 6%	22 17% ACdE	1 2%	1 4%	-	17 8%	18 9%
SUPPPORT	158 39%	2 64%	11 41%	16 52% F	37 51% F	46 41% F	47 28%	98 34%	61 50% A	31 17%	66 51% A	39 68% AB	12 65% A	11 57% A	81 39%	77 38%
OPPOSE	218 53%	1 36%	9 33%	13 43%	30 41%	59 53% b	105 63% BCDe	171 59% B	47 39%	146 78% BCDE	42 32%	17 29%	6 31%	8 43%	108 52%	110 54%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

COMRES

Wind farms

Base: All respondents

					1	C	ouncil typ	e											
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	Reg South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	49 12%	6 14% d	2 6%	4 7%	-	7 15% d	5 7%	7 15% d	6 26% BCDFLm	8 19% cdFl	5 19% df	14 14% d	12 9%	19 12%	9 11%	5 12%	9 17%	8 19% e	19 10%
Somewhat support	109 27%	14 34% IJ	10 28% ij	12 23%	9 38% IJ	11 22%	20 28% Ij	17 37% elJ	8 34% IJ	5 12%	2 10%	29 29% IJ	37 28% IJ	41 27% Ij	19 22%	9 24%	19 36% aD	5 12%	57 30% D
Somewhat oppose	65 16%	3 7%	5 15%	14 27% AEGhKm	4 17%	4 8%	11 16%	5 10%	2 9%		8 34% AbEFGH K	10 10%	23 17%	24 15%	18 21%	3 9%	5 9%	8 19%	31 16%
Strongly oppose	153 37%	15 37% h	15 41% h	21 40% h	8 34%	23 46% H	31 44% H	15 34%	4 15%	13 33%	LM 7 29%	35 35% h	52 39% H	59 38% H	c 37 43% C	17 47% C	12 23%	13 33%	72 38% c
Don't know	35 8%	3 7%	4 10%	2 5%	3 11%	4 9%	3 5%	2 4%	4 16% fg	7 18% CFGLm	2 8%	11 11%	9 7%	13 8%	3 4%	3 8%	9 16% AE	7 18% AE	12 6%
SUPPPORT	158 39%	20 48% c	13 35%	16 29%	9 38%	18 37%	25 35%	24 52% CflJlm	15 60% bCeFIJLm	13 31%	7 29%	42 43%	48 37%	61 39%	28 33%	13 36%	28 52% AD	13 31%	76 40%
OPPOSE	218 53%	18 44%	21 56% H	35 66% AGHK	13 51% h	27 54% H	42 60% gHk	20 44%	6 24%	21 52% H	16 63% H	45 46% h	74 56% H	83 53% H	55 64% C	21 56% C	17 32%	21 52% c	104 54% C

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Student housing Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	45 11%	1 36% c	2 7%	2 6%	8 11%	13 12%	19 12%	31 11%	13 11%	12 6%	26 20% AC	4 7%	1 8%	1 7%	20 10%	25 12%
Somewhat support	163 40%	2 64%	8 29%	18 58% BF	38 51% bF	46 41%	53 32%	113 39%	50 41%	71 38%	44 34%	29 50% B	10 54% b	10 53% b	86 42%	77 38%
Somewhat oppose	80 19%	-	4 15%	6 19%	12 16%	24 22%	35 21%	57 20%	23 19%	47 25% B	19 15%	9 16%	3 15%	2 11%	37 18%	43 21%
Strongly oppose	55 13%	-	4 16%	2 5%	8 11%	14 13%	25 15%	39 13%	16 13%	28 15% c	19 14%	4 6%	1 8%	3 15%	25 12%	30 14%
Don't know	68 17%	-	8 33% cDE	4 13%	8 11%	14 13%	34 21% de	50 17%	18 15%	29 16%	22 17%	12 21%	3 15%	3 14%	38 18%	31 15%
SUPPPORT	208 51%	3 100% Bf	9 36%	20 64% BF	46 62% BF	59 53%	72 43%	144 50%	64 52%	83 44%	70 54%	32 57% a	11 62% a	11 60%	106 52%	102 50%
OPPOSE	135 33%	-	8 31%	7 24%	20 27%	38 35%	59 36%	95 33%	39 32%	75 40% bCd	38 29%	13 22%	4 23%	5 26%	62 30%	72 35%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Student housing Base: All respondents

								Regio	n							C	Council typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	45 11%	2 6%	4 10%	7 14%	4 17% j	6 13%	5 8%	4 9%	3 14%	7 17% fj	1 3%	14 14% j	13 10%	16 11%	10 11%	2 5%	7 12%	7 17% b	19 10%
Somewhat support	163 40%	16 40%	15 41%	23 43%	7 28%	15 31%	34 49% Elk	21 47% ei	12 49%	12 28%	8 33%	34 35%	54 41%	67 43% i	32 37%	13 36%	20 37%	12 28%	86 45% D
Somewhat oppose	80 19%	8 19%	7 19%	14 25% hK	3 11%	7 14%	14 19%	8 17%	1 5%	11 26% hK	8 34% dEgHKm	11 11%	28 22% hk	32 20% hk	16 19%	11 29%	8 16%	11 26%	34 18%
Strongly oppose	55 13%	7 17%	4 11%	5 9%	5 20%	11 22% cfhm	7 10%	6 13%	1 5%	7 16%	2 9%	17 18%	16 12%	20 13%	16 18% e	3 9%	10 18%	7 16%	20 11%
Don't know	68 17%	8 19%	7 19%	5 9%	6 23%	10 20%	10 14%	7 15%	6 26% c	5 13%	5 20%	22 22% cm	20 15%	22 14%	14 16%	8 21%	9 17%	5 13%	32 17%
SUPPPORT	208 51%	19 46%	19 50%	30 56% j	11 45%	21 44%	39 56% j	25 56% j	16 63% j	19 46%	9 36%	48 49%	68 51%	83 53% j	42 48%	15 41%	27 50%	19 46%	105 55%
OPPOSE	135 33%	15 36% H	11 30% h	18 35% H	8 32%	18 36% H	20 29% h	14 30% h	3 11%	17 42% H	11 43% H	28 29% h	44 34% H	51 33% H	32 36%	14 37%	18 33%	17 42% e	54 28%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 13/2

Schools

Base: All respondents

				Ą	ge			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	lanning iittee
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 5 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	216 53%	2 72%	14 56%	15 48%	38 52%	63 57%	83 50%	154 53%	63 52%	99 53%	71 54%	28 49%	9 50%	10 51%	113 55%	103 50%
Somewhat support	140 34%	1 28%	8 31%	14 45% e	26 36%	30 27%	60 37%	104 36%	36 30%	63 33%	42 32%	22 39%	6 35%	6 34%	64 31%	75 37%
Somewhat oppose	19 5%	-	1 4%	2 5%	4 6%	7 7%	4 3%	11 4%	8 7%	10 5%	6 4%	1 2%	1 7%	1 7%	10 5%	9 5%
Strongly oppose	15 4%	-	-	-	2 3%	4 4%	8 5%	8 3%	7 6%	9 5%	5 4%	1 2%	-	-	10 5%	5 3%
Don't know	21 5%	-	2 9%	1 2%	3 4%	6 5%	9 6%	13 5%	8 6%	6 3%	7 6%	5 8%	1 7%	1 7%	9 4%	12 6%
SUPPPORT	356 87%	3 100%	22 87%	28 93%	65 88%	93 84%	143 87%	257 89% B	99 81%	162 86%	113 87%	50 88%	15 85%	16 85%	178 86%	178 87%
OPPOSE	34 8%	-	1 4%	2 5%	7 9%	11 10%	12 7%	19 7%	15 5 12% a	19 10%	10 8%	2 4%	1 7%	1 7%	20 10%	15 7%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Online Survey



Schools

Base: All respondents

								Region								С	ouncil typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North Of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan		District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 5 100%	132 100%	156 0 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Strongly support	216 53%	26 63%	20 55%	26 49%	14 57%	24 49%	36 52%	22 50%	14 59%	21 50%	12 48%	53 54%	73 55%	79 51%	48 56% B	13 36%	34 63% B	21 50%	100 52% b
Somewhat support	140 34%	15 37%	10 26%	16 30%	8 32%	13 25%	27 39%	18 40%	8 31%	15 36%	11 46% ek	28 28%	41 31%	60 38% e	27 31%	16 45% с	14 26%	15 36%	68 35%
Somewhat oppose	19 5%	-	1 3%	6 10% Ag	-	3 5%	5 7% a	1 2%	1 5%	1 3%	2 6%	4 5 4%	7 5%	7 5%	5 6%	2 5%	2 3%	1 3%	10 5%
Strongly oppose	15 4%	-	-	5 9% abFM	1 6%	5 11% AbFgjlM	1 1%	1 2%	-	2 5%	-	7 7% fm	5 4%	4 2%	3 4%	3 9% C	-	2 5%	7 3%
Don't know	21 5%	-	6 16% ACFJLM	1 1%	1 6%	5 9% acF	1 1%	3 7% f	1 5%	3 7% af	-	7 7% af	7 5%	7 4%	4 4%	2 6%	4 8%	3 7%	8 4%
SUPPPORT	356 87%	41 100% BCDEFG H IKLM	30 81%	42 79%	22 89%	37 75%	63 90% cE	40 89% e	22 89%	35 86%	23 94% cE	81 5 82%	113 86% e	139 5 89% cE	75 86%	30 81%	48 89%	35 86%	167 87%
OPPOSE	34 8%	-	1 3%	10 20% ABfGilM	1 6%	8 16% AbGM	6 9% a	2 4%	1 5%	3 7% a	2	11 5 11% A	12 9% a	11	9 10%	5 13% c	2 3%	3 7%	16 9%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 14/2

Q3 - Thinking about potential future developments in your local area, to what extent would you support or oppose each of the following?

Road improvements Base: All respondents

				Ag	e			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Strongly support	307 75%	3 100%	24 91% CDE	19 63%	51 70%	78 70%	131 79% Ce	212 73%	95 78%	144 77% cE	100 77% E	37 65%	15 85% cE	10 55%	153 74%	154 75%
Somewhat support	85 21%		2 9%	9 29% b	17 24%	29 26% bf	27 16%	64 22%	21 17%	35 19%	25 19%	17 29% ad	2 11%	6 34% ad	42 20%	44 21%
Somewhat oppose	9 2%	-	-	2 6%	2 2%	2 2%	4 2%	6 2%	4 3%	6 3%	2 2%	-	-	1 8% bC	8 4% b	2 1%
Strongly oppose	4 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	2 4% A	-	-	3 2%	1 1%
Don't know	5 1%	-	-	1 3%	2 3%	1 1%	1 1%	4 1%	1 1%	1 *	2 2%	1 2%	1 4% a	1 4%	1 *	5 2% a
SUPPPORT	392 95%	3 100%	26 100%	28 91%	69 93%	106 96%	158 96%	276 95%	115 95%	180 96%	124 96%	54 95%	17 96%	17 89%	195 94%	197 96%
OPPOSE	14 3%	-	-	2 6%	3 4%	3 3%	6 4%	9 3%	5 4%	7 4%	3 3%	2 4%	-	1 8%	11 5% B	3 2%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Road improvements Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	ре	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Strongly support	307 75%	23 57%	28 75% i	41 76% al	18 74%	42 86% AILm	56 80% Al	35 77% Al	20 81% al	23 55%	21 85% All	80 81% All	92 70% i	113 73% al	71 82% BD	24 64%	43 79% D	23 55%	146 76% D
Somewhat support	85 21%	15 36% EFHjKm	8 22% E	11 21% E	6 26% E	3 6%	12 17% e	9 21% E	3 11%	14 35% EFHjKm	4 15%	12 12%	34 26% EK	35 23% EK	15 17%	11 29%	10 19%	14 35% AcE	35 18%
Somewhat oppose	9 2%	2 5% g	-	-	-	3 6% g	3 4%	-	1 3%	1 3%	-	4 4%	2 2%	4 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 3%	7 4% a
Strongly oppose	4 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 5% Fgm	1 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	2 1%
Don't know	5 1%	1 2%	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	2 5% F	-	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	-	3 7% AcE	-	2 5% AE	1
SUPPPORT	392 95%	38 93%	36 97%	52 98%	25 100%	45 91%	67 96%	44 98% i	23 92%	37 90%	25 100% i	92 93%	126 96%	149 95%	86 99% D	34 93%	53 98%	37 90%	181 95%
OPPOSE	14 3%	2 5% g	-	1 2%	-	4 8% G	3 4%	-	2 8% G	2 5%	-	6 6% g	3 3%	5 3%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 5%	9 5%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Summary table Base: All respondents

		Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Don't		
	Total	support	support	oppose	oppose	know		OPPOSE
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	C	d	e	f	g
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F	G
Road improvements	411	307	85	9	4	5	392	14
	100%	75%	21%	2%	1%	1%	95%	3%
Shared equity housing (by this we mean housing where individuals own a portion of the property and pay rent on the remainder of the property)	411 100%	195 47%	175 43%	13 3%	18 4%	10 2%	370 90%	31 8%
Schools	411 100%	216 53%	140 34%	19 5%	15 4%	21 5%	356	34 8%
Social housing	411 100%	217 53%	122 30%	46 11%	22 5%	4 1%	339 83%	68 17%
Private housing	411 100%	148 36%	181 44%	53 13%	22 5%	7 2%	330 80%	75 18%
Offices	411 100%	87 21%	187 46%	83 20%	35 8%	19 5%	275 67%	117 29%
Smaller convenience food stores (like Tesco Metro,								
Sainsbury's Local)	411 100%	63 15%	173 42%	81 20%	74 18%	20 5%	236 57%	155 38%
Student housing	411 100%	45 11%	163 40%	80 19%	55 13%	68 17%	208 51%	135 33%
Waste facilities (landfill, recycling, incineration, composting)	411 100%	66 16%	126 31%	64 16%	124 30%	31 8%	192 47%	188 46%
Wind farms	411 100%	49 12%	109 27%	65 16%	153 37%	35 8%	158 39%	218 53%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G

ComRes Online Survey



Table 16/1

Summary table Base: All respondents

		Strongly	Somewhat	Somewhat	Strongly	Don't		
	Total	support	support	oppose	oppose	know	SUPPPORT	OPPOSE
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
Significance Level: 95%		А	В	С	D	E	F	G
Larger supermarkets (like a large Tesco, Asda, or								
Sainsbury's)	411	28	93	104	159	26	121	263
	100%	7%	23%	25%	39%	6%	30%	64%
Power stations (coal, oil, gas, nuclear)	411	29	65	65	208	44	94	273
	100%	7%	16%	16%	51%	11%	23%	66%
A quarry	411	8	48	85	203	67	56	288
	100%	2%	12%	21%	49%	16%	14%	6 70%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of jobs Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl commi	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	C C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	4 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	2 1%	3 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	4 2% b	-
	(1.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	- 2 2%	- 1 1%	- 3 1%	-	- 2 1%	- 1 1%	-	-	-	- 2 1%	- 1 19
	(3.0)	9 2%	-	1 5% e	1 3%	2 3%	1 1%	4 2%	1 *	8 7% A	4 2%	1 1%	3 5% b	-	1 4%	4 2%	5 3%
	(4.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 *	-	2 3% ab	-	-	2 1%	1 *
	(5.0)	12 3%	-	-	2 6%	1 2%	3 3%	6 3%	11 4%	2 1%	5 2%	2 2%	3 5%	1 8%	1 4%	7 3%	5 2%
	(6.0)	24 6%	-	1 4%	2 7%	6 8%	7 7%	8 5%	21 7% b	3 2%	13 7% B	2 2%	8 14% Bd	-	1 4%	12 6%	13 6%
	(7.0)	59 14%	-	4 16%	8 26% eF	14 19%	13 12%	18 11%	45 15%	14 12%	27 14% b	10 7%	16 29% ABd	2 12%	4 19% b	26 13%	32 16%
	(8.0)	47 11%	1 28%	6 22% ce	2 6%	8 11%	11 10%	19 12%	36 12%	11 9%	23 12%	16 12%	6 11%	2 11%	1 4%	20 10%	27 139
	(9.0)	77 19%	-	6 22%	4 13%	10 13%	23 21%	34 21%	56 19%	21 17%	35 19%	28 22% c	5 9%	4 23%	5 26% c	33 16%	44 21%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes

Online Survey



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of jobs Base: All respondents

				Ą	ge			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100	3 6 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely important (1	0.0) 174 42'	6 2 72%	8 30%	12 39%	32 44%	46 42%	73 44%	113 39%	61 50% A	76 40% C	70 54% AC	12 22%	8 47% C	8 40% c	97 47% b	77 38%
NET 0-2	7 2'		-	-	-	3 3%	3 2%	6 2%	1 1%	5 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	6 3% b	1 1%
NET 3-7	107 26'	6 -	6 25%	13 42% EF	23 32%	27 24%	36 22%	79 27%	28 23%	50 27% B	16 12%	32 57% ABDE	3 19%	6 30% B	51 25%	56 27%
NET 8-10	298 72'	3 6 100%	19 75%	18 58%	50 68%	81 73%	126 76% C	205 71%	93 76%	133 71% C	114 87% ACE	24 42%	14 81% C	13 70% C	150 73%	148 72%
Mean Score	8.43	9.43	8.34	8.18	8.46	8.42	8.53	8.39	8.55	8.34 C	9.02 AC	7.27	8.81 C	8.49 C	8.43	8.44
Std Deviation Std Error	1.95 .096	1.09 .631	1.74 .348	1.90 .337	1.77 .203	2.01 .192	1.96 .153	1.88 .110	2.11 .194	2.05 .149	1.48 .140	2.19 .290	1.54 .301	1.85 .357	2.14 .148	1.75 .123

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Table 17/2

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of jobs Base: All respondents

									Region								C	ouncil typ	e	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London		North Df Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	4 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 2%	2 1%
	(1.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	3 1%	1 3%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 3%	-	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	1 3%	1 1%
	(3.0)	9 2%	1 3%	-	2 5%	1 6% g	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 5% g	1 2%	-	3 3%	4 3%	2 1%	1 2%	1 3%	2 4%	1 2%	3 2%
	(4.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	1 4% fL	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 2% e	1 2% E	-
	(5.0)	12 3%	3 7% cG	-	-	1 6% g	1 2%	4 6% cg	-	1 3%	2 5%	-	3 3%	3 2%	6 4%	2 3%	2 5%	-	2 5%	6 3%
	(6.0)	24 6%	2 5%	6 16% defhKM	4 8% k	-	2 4%	3 5%	4 8% k	-	2 5%	1 4%	2 2%	12 9% K	9 6%	2 3%	6 16% AcdE	2 4%	2 5%	11 6%
	(7.0)	59 14%	2 4%	1 3%	12 22% ABhl	2 9%	7 15% b	10 15% b	7 16% ab	1 5% /	13 31% ABdeFgH	3 13%	11 11%	15 11%	30 19%	9 10%	7 20%	5 10%	13 31%	24 13%
											J KLm				ABkl				ACE	
	(8.0)	47 11%	4 10%	1 3%	7 14%	1 6%	3 5%	11 16% bek	9 19% BEjKl	3 11%	7 17% bek	1 4%	7 7%	13 10%	27 17% BEjKl	8 9%	4 12% c	1 2%	7 17% C	26 14% C

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey





Table 17/3

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of jobs Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
(9.0)	77 19%	9 21%	8 21%	12 22%	6 23%	5 10%	12 17%	6 14%	7 29% e	6 14%	7 28% Em	18 18%	28 21% e	24 15%	18 20%	6 18%	11 21%	6 14%	36 19%
Extremely important (10.0)	174 42%	19 47% I	21 57% CfIM	16 30%	13 51% I	29 58% CFgIIM	26 38% I	19 42% I	11 46% I	8 19%	12 47% I	53 54% CFIM	56 43% I	53 34% i	44 51% BD	10 26%	30 56% BD	8 19%	82 43% bD
NET 0-2	7 2%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 2%	2 2%	1 2%	-	2 5%	-	1 1%	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	-	1 2%	2 5%	3 1%
NET 3-7	107 26%	8 20%	7 19%	18 34% hk	5 20%	12 24%	19 27%	11 23%	3 14%	19 45% ABdEFG	5 20%	20 21%	33 25%	48 31%	16 18%	16 44%	11 20%	19 45%	45 24%
										JKLm				k		ACE		ACE	
NET 8-10	298 72%	32 78% I	30 81% I	35 66%	20 80% I	36 74% I	49 71% I	34 75% I	21 86% clm	21 50%	20 80% I	77 78% IM	97 74% I	104 66% I	70 81% BD	21 56%	43 79% BD	21 50%	143 75% BD
Mean Score	8.43	8.48 I	9.00 CfIM	8.21 i	8.72 I	8.67 Im	8.27 I	8.51 I	8.81 I	7.42	8.88 Im	8.72 IM	8.52 Im	8.12 i	8.81 BD	7.87	8.73 bD	7.42	8.51 bD
Std Deviation Std Error	1.95 .096	2.12 .344	1.49 .263	1.76 .260	1.98 .467	2.12 .299	2.02 .223	1.82 .247	1.82 .397	2.22 .342	1.53 .290	1.99 .211	1.83 .170	2.05 .154	1.73 .185	1.84 .298	2.15 .310	2.22 .342	1.87 .134

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on traffic Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl commi	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	2 *	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 *	1 1%	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 1%
	(1.0)	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 *	-	1 *	-	-	-	-	1 *	-
	(2.0)	3 1%	-	1 4% dE	1 3% e	-	-	1 1%	3 1%	-	2 1%	-	1 2%	-	-	2 1%	1 *
	(3.0)	5 1%	-	-	-	-	4 4% dF	1 *	5 2%	-	-	2 2% a	1 2% a	1 4% A	1 4% A	3 1%	2 19
	(4.0)	6 1%	-	2 9% cDeF	-	-	2 2%	2 1%	5 2%	1 1%	2 1%	3 2%	-	1 4%	-	4 2%	2 19
	(5.0)	29 7%	1 28% C	2 8%	1 2%	5 7%	6 6%	14 8%	25 9% b	4 3%	8 4%	16 12% Ac	2 4%	1 7%	2 11%	11 6%	18 9%
	(6.0)	33 8%	1 36% eF	2 9%	5 16% F	10 13% F	7 7%	7 4%	27 9%	6 5%	12 6%	15 11%	3 5%	1 4%	3 15% a	13 6%	20 109
	(7.0)	54 13%	1 36% e	12 48% CDEF	6 19% E	11 14%	8 7%	15 9%	45 15% B	9 8%	25 13%	19 15%	6 10%	2 12%	2 11%	21 10%	33 16% a
	(8.0)	72 17%	-	4 16%	5 16%	12 16%	19 17%	32 19%	48 17%	23 19%	37 20%	20 15%	11 20%	1 8%	2 11%	37 18%	35 17%
	(9.0)	67 16%	-	-	8 27% BF	11 15% B	28 25% BdF	20 12% b	48 17%	19 16%	31 17%	15 11%	14 25% B	3 19%	4 21%	31 15%	37 18%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes

Online Survey



Table 18/1

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on traffic Base: All respondents

					Aç	je			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely important ((10.0)	140 34%	-	2 7%	5 17%	25 34% Bc	37 33% Bc	72 43% BCe	83 29%	57 47% A	68 37%	41 31%	19 33%	8 43%	5 26%	83 40% B	57 28%
NET 0-2		6 1%	-	1 4% dE	1 3% e	-	-	3 2%	5 2%	1 1%	5 2% b	-	1 2%	-	-	4 2%	2 1%
NET 3-7		126 31%	3 100% CDEF	19 73% CDEF	11 37%	26 35% f	27 25%	39 23%	106 36% В	20 17%	46 24%	55 43% AC	12 21%	5 31%	8 41% aC	52 25%	74 36% A
NET 8-10		279 68%	-	6 23%	18 60% aB	48 65% AB	83 75% ABc	123 75% ABc	180 62%	100 82% A	137 73% B	75 57%	44 78% Be	12 69%	11 59%	150 73% B	129 63%
Mean Score		8.14	6.07	6.69	7.86 aB	8.19 AB	8.30 AB	8.37 AB	7.89	8.72 A	8.30 B	7.81	8.42 b	8.28	7.80	8.30 b	7.98
Std Deviation		1.94	.97	1.71	1.78	1.68	1.88	1.98	1.99	1.69	1.93	1.97	1.76	2.14	2.02	2.00	1.87
Std Error		.096	.558	.343	.314	.193	.180	.154	.117	.155	.141	.186	.233	.420	.388	.139	.131

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Table 18/2

Table 18/3

COMRES

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on traffic Base: All respondents

	1								Region								C	ouncil typ	e	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	2 *	1 3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 2%	1 1%
	(1.0)	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *
	(2.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	2 5% KL	-	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	-	-	2 5% E	-
	(3.0)	5 1%	-	1 3%	-	-	2 3% f	-	-	-	1 3%	1 3%	2 2%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	3 5% E	1 3% E	-
	(4.0)	6 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	-	2 5% FK	1 4% fK	-	2 1%	3 2%	1 1%	-	-	2 5%	3 1%
	(5.0)	29 7%	2 6%	2 6%	4 7%	3 11%	4 8%	3 5%	2 5%	3 14%	4 10%	1 3%	10 10%	8 6%	10 6%	8 9% b	-	6 10% B	4 10% b	12 6%
	(6.0)	33 8%	3 7%	1 3%	5 9%	2 9%	3 6%	6 9%	5 11%	1 5%	3 7%	2 10%	7 7%	9 7%	14 9%	5 6%	4 10%	4 8%	3 7%	16 8%
	(7.0)	54 13%	4 11%	7 18%	7 13%	3 11%	5 11%	8 11%	4 9%	6 24% gj	8 19%	2 7%	14 15%	18 14%	20 13%	7 8%	4 11%	9 17% a	8 19% a	26 13%
	(8.0)	72 17%	9 21%	5 13%	10 19%	6 23%	9 18%	12 17%	7 15%	1 5%	10 24% h	4 15%	16 16%	23 18%	29 18%	13 15%	6 16%	6 11%	10 24%	37 19%
	(9.0)	67 16%	5 13%	5 13%	11 20%	3 11%	6 13%	15 22%	5 11%	6 26%	5 12%	6 24%	15 16%	21 16%	25 16%	16 19%	10 27% dE	11 20%	5 12%	26 13%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes

Online Survey



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on traffic Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 5 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely important (10	0) 140 34%	5 16 38% I	16 43% I	16 30% i	8 34% i	20 40% I	24 35% I	20 45% Im	6 25%	5 13%	8 34% I	35 5 35% I	47 36% I	50 32% I	35 41% D	13 36% D	15 28% d	5 13%	71 37% D
NET 0-2	6 1%	5 3%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	3 7% cefKL	-	-	1 1%	5 3%	1 1%	-	-	3 7% acE	2 1%
NET 3-7	126 31%	10 25%	11 31%	17 31%	8 32%	14 29%	17 25%	12 27%	11 44% f	18 44% aFgIm	7 27%	33 33%	39 29%	48 31%	21 25%	8 21%	22 41% Ab	18 44% ABe	56 29%
NET 8-10	279 68%	30 72%	25 69% i	37 69% i	17 68%	35 71% I	52 74% I	32 71% I	14 56%	20 49%	18 73% I	66 67% i	92 70% I	104 66% I	65 74% cD	29 79% cD	32 59%	20 49%	133 70% D
Mean Score	8.14	8.19	8.38	8.18 I	8.16 i	8.28	8.41	8.33	8.00 i	6.96	8.26	8.18 I	8.24	8.00	8.39 D	8.66 CD	7.86 d	6.96	8.26 D
Std Deviation	1.94	2.15	1.87	1.70	1.76	1.91	1.68	2.03	1.76	2.37	1.95	1.83	1.89	2.07	1.89	1.35	2.03	2.37	1.85
Std Error	.096	.349	.331	.251	.414	.271	.185	.276	.385	.365	.368	.193	.175	.155	.201	.220	.294	.365	.132

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E





Table 18/4

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of additional shops Base: All respondents

					Ag	je			Geno	der			Party			Sit on p comm	
		Tatal	18-24	25-34			55-64	CE .	Mala	amala	Can	Lah	Lib	Indon	Other	Vaa	No
Significance Level: 90%		Total	18-24 a	25-34 b	35-44 с	45-54 d	55-64 e	65+ f	Male F a	emale b	Con a	Lab b	Dem c	Indep d	Other e	Yes	No b
Significance Level: 95%			A	B	č	D	Ē	F	A	B	A	B	c	D	Ē	A	B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	15 4%	-	-	1 4%	4 5%	5 4%	4 3%	6 2%	10 8% A	8 4%	4 3%	1 2%	1 4%	1 4%	8 4%	7 4%
	(1.0)	7 2%	-	-	-	1 2%	2 2%	5 3%	6 2%	2 1%	3 2%	3 3%	-	1 4%	1 4%	4 2%	4 2%
	(2.0)	15 4%	-	2 6% D	2 7% D	-	5 5% d	6 3%	11 4%	4 3%	7 4%	1 1%	4 7% B	1 8% B	1 7% B	9 4%	6 3%
	(3.0)	36 9%	1 36% b	1 4%	3 10%	7 9%	10 9%	14 9%	24 8%	11 9%	10 5%	14 11% ad	9 16% AD	-	3 15% aD	16 8%	20 10%
	(4.0)	26 6%	-	1 5%	3 11% e	7 10% e	3 3%	11 7%	21 7%	5 4%	13 7%	9 7%	3 5%	-	1 8%	16 8%	10 5%
	(5.0)	83 20%	-	6 25%	6 18%	13 18%	28 25%	31 19%	57 20%	26 22%	35 19%	28 22%	13 24%	4 23%	2 11%	42 20%	42 20%
	(6.0)	57 14%	-	4 15%	5 15%	12 16%	14 13%	23 14%	43 15%	14 11%	32 17% b	12 9%	8 15%	3 15%	2 11%	28 14%	29 14%
	(7.0)	52 13%	-	5 18%	5 15%	8 10%	16 14%	19 11%	42 15% b	10 8%	23 12%	15 12%	11 19%	2 12%	1 7%	18 9%	34 17% A
	(8.0)	45 11%	1 36%	3 12%	3 9%	9 12%	11 10%	18 11%	32 11%	13 11%	25 14% C	12 9%	2 3%	3 15% c	3 14% c	22 11%	23 11%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes Online Survey



Page 41

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of additional shops Base: All respondents

					Aç	ge			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
	(9.0)	31 7%	1 28%	3 11%	1 5%	7 9%	7 6%	12 7%	21 7%	10 8%	14 7%	11 9% c	1 2%	2 8%	3 15% C	19 9%	12 6%
Extremely important	(10.0)	44 11%	-	1 5%	2 7%	7 10%	11 10%	23 14%	27 9%	17 14%	17 9%	20 15%	5 8%	2 12%	1 4%	25 12%	20 10%
NET 0-2		37 9%	-	2 6%	3 11%	5 7%	12 11%	15 9%	22 8%	15 12%	18 10%	9 7%	5 8%	3 15%	3 15%	21 10%	17 8%
NET 3-7		254 62%	1 36%	17 66%	21 69%	46 63%	71 64%	97 59%	188 65% В	66 54%	112 60%	78 60%	45 79% ABDE	9 50%	10 52%	120 58%	134 65%
NET 8-10		120 29%	2 64% c	7 28%	6 20%	23 31%	28 26%	53 32%	79 27%	40 33%	57 30% C	43 33% C	7 13%	6 35% C	6 33% C	65 32%	54 26%
Mean Score		5.96	6.50	6.25	5.53	5.97	5.81	6.11	5.99	5.87	6.02	6.13 c	5.43	6.20	5.55	5.96	5.95
Std Deviation Std Error		2.56 .126	3.20 1.849	2.07 .414	2.46 .434	2.58 .296	2.55 .245	2.63 .205	2.40 .141	2.92 .267	2.52 .183	2.68 .253	2.25 .298	2.74 .536	2.85 .549	2.65 .184	2.47 .174

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

ComRes Online Survey



Table 19/2

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of additional shops Base: All respondents

								l	Region								C	ouncil typ	De	
			East	West	East	North	North	South	South	York shire &			NORTH OF ENG	MID	SOUTH OF ENG			Metro		
	1	Total		Midlands	England	East	West	East			London	Wales	LAND	LANDS	LAND	Unitary	County	politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant ((0.0)	15 4%	2 6%	1 3%	1 2%	1 6%	2 4%	2 2%	2 4%	1 3%	3 7%	-	4 4%	5 4%	6 4%	3 4%	-	1 2%	3 7%	8 4%
(*	.0)	7 2%	1 3%	-	-	-	2 5%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 3%	1 5% I	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%	2 3%	-	2 4%	1 3%	2 1%
(2	2.0)	15 4%	3 7% c	2 6% c	-	-	3 5%	2 2%	2 3%	-	3 7% c	1 3%	3 3%	5 4%	6 4%	1 1%	2 5%	2 4%	3 7% A	7 4%
(5	3.0)	36 9%	3 8%	5 13% h	7 13% h	1 6%	4 8%	5 8%	3 7%	-	5 11%	2 8%	5 5%	15 12%	13 8%	5 5%	5 15% a	6 12%	5 11%	15 8%
(4 	.0)	26 6%	1 3%	6 16% acFljM	2 5%	4 15% Fim	3 6%	1 1%	6 13% aFiM	1 5%	1 2%	1 3%	8 8% F	10 7% f	8 5%	7 9%	2 5%	6 10%	1 2%	10 5%
(!	5.0)	83 20%	7 18%	8 22%	8 15%	4 17%	13 26%	13 18%	6 14%	9 37% cfGIm	10 24%	4 18%	26 26% g	24 18%	29 19%	17 19%	7 19%	15 28%	10 24%	34 18%
((5.0)	57 14%	4 10%	4 10%	15 28% AbdEGHiK	1 6%	3 5%	19 28% ABdEGHi	3 7%	-	5 12%	3 13%	4 4%	22 17%	28 18%	10 12%	4 12%	2 4%	5 12%	35 19%
								K Im			k	hk		EgHK	EgHK					с
(7	7.0)	52 13%	4 10%	2 5%	8 16%	1 6%	5 11%	10 14%	9 21% bkl	3 11%	5 12%	4 15%	9 9%	15 11%	24 16%	9 11%	6 15%	6 11%	5 12%	26 14%
	8.0)	45 11%	7 18% gj	4 10%	6 11%	3 11%	6 12%	9 13%	3 7%	1 5%	5 12%	1 3%	10 10%	17 13%	17 11%	12 14%	6 16%	3 6%	5 12%	18 10%
Columns Tested: A B C D F F G H L I K I M	ABC	DF																		

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes

Online Survey

COMRES

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of additional shops Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
(9.0)	31 7%	2 5%	1 3%	3 6%	3 11%	4 8%	6 9%	2 4%	4 15% gl	2 5%	4 16% bgLm	10 10%	7 5%	10 6%	8 9%	2 6%	6 11%	2 5%	13 7%
Extremely important (10.0)	44 11%	5 11%	4 11%	2 5%	6 23% CFIIM	5 11% f	3 4%	8 17% cFiM	6 24% CFILM	2 5%	4 16% cF	17 17% CFilM	11 9%	12 8%	13 15% d	3 8%	5 8%	2 5%	22 12%
NET 0-2	37 9%	6 16% Cf	3 9%	1 2%	1 6%	7 14% C	4 6%	4 9%	1 3%	7 17% Cf	2 8%	9 9%	11 8%	15 10%	6 7%	2 5%	5 10%	7 17% ab	17 9%
NET 3-7	254 62%	20 50%	25 66%	41 76% ADEhjK	12 49%	27 55%	48 69% AK	29 63%	13 53%	25 61%	14 57%	52 53%	86 65% ak	102 65% ak	48 56%	24 66%	35 65%	25 61%	121 63%
NET 8-10	120 29%	14 35%	9 24%	12 22%	11 45% cfim	15 31%	17 25%	12 28%	11 44% cfim	9 22%	9 35%	37 38% cfilM	35 27%	39 25%	33 38% d	11 29%	14 25%	9 22%	53 28%
Mean Score	5.96	5.82	5.43	5.97	6.57 i	5.71	6.08 i	6.05	6.96 BcelLm	5.27	6.47 i	6.24 i	5.77	5.85	6.34 D	6.04	5.58	5.27	6.02 d
Std Deviation Std Error	2.56 .126	2.87 .466	2.56 .453	2.06 .304	2.91 .685	2.79 .395	2.11 .233	2.74 .372	2.56 .559	2.66 .410	2.68 .506	2.79 .296	2.47 .229	2.46 .185	2.62 .279	2.27 .368	2.59 .374	2.66 .410	2.54 .182

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on local economy Base: All respondents

					Aç	le			Geno	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90%		TOLAI	а	b	С	d	e	f	а	b	а	b	С	d	е	а	b
Significance Level: 95%			A	В	С	D	E	F	А	В	A	В	С	D	E	А	В
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	7 2%	-	-	-	-	2 1%	4 2%	5 2%	2 2%	4 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 4%	-	4 2%	2 1%
	(1.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	3 1%	-	-	-		2 2%	1 1%	3 1%	-	3 2%	-	-	-	-	3 1% b	-
	(3.0)	3 1%	-	-	-		2 2%	1 1%	3 1%	-	-	1 1%	1 2% a	-	1 4% A	1 *	2 1%
	(4.0)	1 *	-	1 5% DEF	-		-	-	-	1 1% a	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%
	(5.0)	19 5%	-	-	3 9%	2 2%	9 8% df	5 3%	16 5%	3 2%	6 3%	5 4%	8 13% ABd	-	1 4%	11 5%	8 4%
	(6.0)	20 5%	-	-	3 10% f	6 9% f	6 5%	5 3%	17 6%	3 2%	9 5%	3 3%	5 9% b	2 12% B	1 4%	12 6%	8 4%
	(7.0)	57 14%	1 28%	6 23%	5 18%	8 10%	15 14%	21 13%	44 15%	13 11%	23 12%	15 12%	14 25% ABd	1 7%	4 19%	25 12%	32 16%
	(8.0)	74 18%	-	4 16%	8 25%	20 27% Ef	15 13%	27 16%	60 21% B	14 11%	39 21%	24 19%	7 13%	2 12%	1 7%	31 15%	43 21% a

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on local economy Base: All respondents

					Aç	je			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
	(9.0)	73 18%	1 36%	6 21%	4 13%	15 21%	18 17%	29 17%	48 17%	25 21%	29 15%	29 23%	8 14%	3 15%	4 22%	36 18%	37 18%
Extremely important	(10.0)	154 38%	1 36%	9 34%	8 25%	23 31%	42 38%	72 44% cd	94 32%	60 50% A	75 40% C	49 38% c	13 23%	9 50% C	8 40% c	83 40%	72 35%
NET 0-2		9 2%	-	-	-	-	4 3%	5 3%	7 3%	2 2%	7 4%	1 1%	1 2%	1 4%	-	7 3%	2 1%
NET 3-7		100 24%	1 28%	7 29%	11 37% dF	16 21%	32 29% f	32 20%	80 28% B	20 16%	38 20%	26 20%	27 48% ABD	3 19%	6 30%	49 24%	51 25%
NET 8-10		301 73%	2 72%	18 71%	19 63%	58 79% с	76 68%	128 77% ce	202 70%	99 82% A	143 76% C	103 79% C	29 50%	14 77% C	13 70% с	149 72%	152 74%
Mean Score		8.34	8.79	8.45	7.97	8.48 c	8.15	8.50	8.15	8.80 A	8.39 C	8.56 C	7.56	8.55 c	8.48 c	8.30	8.38
Std Deviation Std Error		1.95 .096	1.46 .843	1.59 .318	1.63 .288	1.37 .158	2.17 .208	2.03 .159	1.98 .116	1.79 .164	2.02 .147	1.71 .161	2.06 .272	2.25 .441	1.83 .352	2.12 .147	1.76 .124

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Table 20/2

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on local economy Base: All respondents

									Region								С	ouncil typ	е	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	7 2%	1 3%	-	-	-	2 4%	2 2%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	2 2%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	-	1 2%	1 2%	3 2%
	(1.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	3 1%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	-	-	1 1%
	(3.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	1 3% I	1 4% fL	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	1 1%	1 3% E	-
	(4.0)	1 *	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1 5% FgLM	-	-	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2% E	-	-
	(5.0)	19 5%	3 8%	-	1 2%	1 6%	3 7%	5 7%	2 4%	-	3 7%	-	5 5%	5 3%	10 6%	4 5%	4 10% E	2 4%	3 7%	6 3%
	(6.0)	20 5%	3 6%	4 10% j	2 4%	-	1 2%	4 6%	4 8%	3 10% j	1 2%	-	3 4%	8 6%	9 6%	4 5%	1 3%	5 9%	1 2%	9 5%
	(7.0)	57 14%	2 6%	6 16%	10 18% a	2 9%	4 9%	8 11%	6 13%		12 29% AdEFGjK	2 10%	11 11%	18 14%	26 17%	9 10%	6 18%	3 6%	12 29%	27 14%
											m				а		С		ACE	
	(8.0)	74 18%	5 13%	8 21%	15 29% adK	1 6%	8 16%	13 18%	8 17%	3 11%	8 19%	5 20%	12 12%	28 22% k	29 18%	13 15%	6 16%	7 14%	8 19%	39 20%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on local economy Base: All respondents

								Reg	ion							(Council typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90%		a	b	c C	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k K	l	m	a	b	C	d	e
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	A	В	C	D	E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
(9.0	73 18%	8 18%	2 7%	8 16%	8 34%	10 20%	13 19%	5 11%	5 19%	6 14%	8 33%	23 23%	18 14%	24 15%	20 24%	4 12%	11 20%	6 14%	32 17%
					BGiLM						BcGiLM	Bgl							
Extremely important (10.0	154 38%	18 44% i	17 47% I	17 31%	11 45% i	19 39%	25 35%	21 45% I	9 36%	10 23%	8 34%	39 40% i	52 40% i	55 35%	33 38% d	14 38%	23 43% d	10 23%	74 39% d
NET 0-2	9 2%	2 5%	-	-	-	3 6% c	2 3%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	3 3%	2 2%	4 3%	2 2%	1 3%	1 2%	1 2%	5 2%
NET 3-7	100 24%	8 20%	9 25%	13 24%	4 15%	9 18%	17 24%	11 25%	9 34% j	17 41% AcdEfgJKL	3 14%	21 22%	30 23%	45 29% j	18 21%	11 31%	12 22%	17 41% AcE	42 22%
NET 8-10	301 73%	31 75% i	28 75%	41 76% i	21 85% I	37 75% i	50 72% i	33 73% i	16 66%	23 57%	21 86% hlm	74 75% I	99 75% I	107 68%	67 77% D	25 67%	41 76% d	23 57%	145 76% D
Mean Score	8.34	8.25	8.65 I	8.46 i	8.99 Im	8.14	8.20	8.46 i	8.30	7.75	8.72 I	8.39	8.45 I	8.15	8.45 d	8.19	8.40	7.75	8.43 D
Std Deviation Std Error	1.95 .096	2.40 .389	1.46 .257	1.33 .196	1.36 .321	2.53 .358	2.11 .233	1.95 .266	1.77 .385	2.05 .316	1.52 .286	2.12 .225	1.75 .163	2.05 .154	1.94 .207	1.97 .319	2.11 .304	2.05 .316	1.88 .134
			.201				.200	.200		.010	.200					.010	.007	.010	

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 20/4

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of new housing Base: All respondents

					Ag	le			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl commi	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	C C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	5 1%	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	2 1%	2 2%	3 2%	-	-	1 8% aBC	-	5 2% B	-
	(1.0)	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 1%	-	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 1%	-
	(2.0)	6 2%	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	2 2%	3 2%	5 2%	1 1%	5 3% b	-	-	1 4% B	1 4% B	4 2%	2 1%
	(3.0)	11 3%	-	-	2 6% f	3 4%	4 4%	2 1%	8 3%	3 2%	6 3%	1 1%	2 3%	1 4%	1 7% b	4 2%	7 3%
	(4.0)	9 2%	-	-	1 4%	2 2%	4 4%	2 1%	7 2%	2 2%	6 3%	1 1%	2 3%	-	-	7 3% b	2 1%
	(5.0)	46 11%	-	4 14%	3 11%	7 10%	15 13%	17 10%	33 12%	13 10%	27 15% B	7 5%	6 11%	3 19% B	2 11%	23 11%	23 11%
	(6.0)	43 10%	-	1 5%	5 16%	6 8%	9 8%	21 13%	35 12% b	7 6%	27 15% B	7 5%	5 8%	1 8%	3 15% b	16 8%	27 13% a
	(7.0)	63 15%	1 36%	2 8%	8 27% b	10 14%	17 16%	24 15%	50 17%	14 11%	27 14%	14 11%	17 30% ABd	2 11%	3 15%	30 15%	33 16%
	(8.0)	60 15%	-	5 18%	3 11%	16 21% f	16 15%	20 12%	45 15%	15 12%	24 13%	24 19%	7 12%	3 19%	1 7%	36 18% b	23 11%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of new housing Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
	(9.0)	62 15%	1 36% C	4 16% c	1 3%	11 15% с	15 13% с	30 18% C	43 15%	19 16%	25 13%	22 17%	10 17%	2 12%	3 18%	27 13%	34 17%
Extremely important	(10.0)	104 25%	1 28%	10 40%	6 21%	17 23%	27 24%	43 26%	59 20%	45 37% A	36 19%	53 40% ACDe	9 15%	3 16%	4 23%	52 25%	53 26%
NET 0-2		13 3%	-	-	1 2%	2 2%	4 3%	6 4%	10 3%	3 3%	9 5% bc	1 1%	-	2 11% BC	1 4%	11 5% B	2 1%
NET 3-7		172 42%	1 36%	7 27%	19 63% BDeF	29 39%	49 44%	66 40%	133 46% B	39 32%	93 50% B	31 24%	32 56% B	8 42% b	9 48% B	80 39%	92 45%
NET 8-10		226 55%	2 64%	19 73% Ce	11 35%	44 59% C	58 53% c	92 56% C	147 51%	79 65% A	85 45%	98 76% ACDE	25 44%	8 46%	9 48%	115 56%	110 54%
Mean Score		7.52	8.57	8.34 CE	6.96	7.55	7.36	7.63	7.34	7.97 A	7.04	8.44 ACDE	7.39	6.55	7.28	7.40	7.65
Std Deviation Std Error		2.25 .111	1.50 .866	1.82 .363	2.16 .382	2.18 .251	2.33 .223	2.24 .175	2.21 .129	2.30 .211	2.37 .172	1.81 .171	1.84 .244	2.91 .571	2.39 .459	2.44 .169	2.04 .143

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 21/2

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of new housing Base: All respondents

								Region								С	ouncil ty	be	
	Tota	Ea al Midland		East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a b A B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	41	1 3	8 32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	41 10		1 37 0% 100%	53 6 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant (1 - 2% -	-	1 6% el	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%	1 1%	2 2%	1 2%	1 2%	-	1 2%	2 1%
(1%	1 1 3% 3% <i>I</i> kM	- 6 -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 2% m	-	-	-	1 2%	-	1 1%
(1 - 3% -	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	2 4% k	-	-	1 5% eK	-	2 2%	3 2%	2 3%	1 2%	-	-	3 2%
(3.0) 1		2 - 4% -	-	-	1 1%	4 6% cl	1 1%	1 5%	2 5%	-	2 2%	2 1%	7 4%	1 1%	2 5%	2 4%	2 5%	4 2%
(- /		1 2 3% 6% cg	- 6 -	-	1 2%	3 4%	-	1 5% g	1 2%	-	2 2%	3 3%	4 2%	1 1%	-	2 4%	1 2%	5 3%
(5.0) 4 1		4 6 0% 16%	7 6 13%	3 11%	4 9%	8 11%	4 9%	2 8%	5 12%	4 15%	9 10%	17 13%	16 10%	10 11%	4 11%	2 4%	5 12%	25 13% c
(6.0) 4 1		3 3 8% 9%	3 6%	2 9%	7 14%	12 17% cijL	7 15% j	3 11%	2 5%	1 3%	12 12%	10 8%	20 13%	10 11%	7 18% d	4 8%	2 5%	20 11%
(7.0) 6 1		3 5 8% 13%	10 % 18%	3 11%	9 19%	11 16%	8 17%	5 19%	6 14%	4 16%	17 17%	18 14%	25 16%	12 14%	12 33% ACdE	6 12%	6 14%	27 14%
(3.0) 6 1		6 3 4% 8%	13 6 24% bfk	3 11%	6 12%	9 12%	6 14%	4 16%	8 20%	2 9%	13 13%	22 16%	23 15%	9 10%	3 9%	8 14%	8 20%	32 17%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes



Table 21/3

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Creation of new housing Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	е	f	g	h	i	j	k	I	m	а	b	С	d	е
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	К	L	М	A	В	С	D	E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
(9.0)	62 15%	8 19%	2 6%	10 20%	3 11%	6 13%	10 15%	7 15%	2 8%	8 19%	6 23% b	11 11%	21 16%	25 16%	15 18% b	2 6%	7 13%	8 19% b	29 15%
Extremely important (10.0)	104 25%	11 27%	14 38% CFM	9 17%	10 40% cFm	15 30% f	12 18%	10 23%	7 27%	9 21%	7 29%	31 32% cFM	34 26%	31 20%	25 29% b	5 14%	22 40% BdE	9 21%	43 23%
NET 0-2	13 3%	3 7% ek	1 3%	1 2%	1 6% e	-	2 2%	3 6% e	-	1 2%	1 5% e	1 1%	5 4%	5 3%	4 4%	2 4%	1 2%	1 2%	6 3%
NET 3-7	172 42%	14 33%	16 44%	20 37%	8 32%	22 45%	37 53% AcijL	19 42%	12 48%	15 38%	9 34%	42 43%	50 38%	72 46%	34 39%	24 66% ACDE	17 31%	15 38%	81 42%
NET 8-10	226 55%	24 59%	20 53%	33 61% f	15 63%	27 55%	31 45%	23 52%	13 52%	25 60%	15 60%	55 56%	77 58% f	79 51%	49 57% B	11 29%	37 67% B	25 60% B	104 54% B
Mean Score	7.52	7.36	7.57	7.73 f	7.83	7.84 F	7.07	7.42	7.51	7.53	7.79	7.75 F	7.57	7.29	7.66 b	6.81	8.06 Be	7.53	7.44
Std Deviation	2.25	2.71	2.45	1.80	2.65	1.90	2.25	2.31	2.16	2.30	2.27	2.15	2.29	2.27	2.30	2.15	2.27	2.30	2.21
Std Error	.111	.440	.434	.265	.625	.269	.248	.314	.470	.355	.429	.228	.213	.170	.245	.348	.328	.355	.158

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on the environment Base: All respondents

					Aç	le			Geno	der			Party			Sit on pl commi	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		TOLAI	a A	b B	с С	d 	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	C Delli	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	3 1%	-	-	1 3% e	-	-	2 1%	2 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	3 2% a
	(1.0)	3 1%	-	1 4% E	-	-	-	2 1%	3 1%	-	3 1%	-	-	-	-	2 1%	1 *
	(2.0)	3 1%	-	-	2 6% DEF	-	-	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	3 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	2 1%
	(3.0)	7 2%	1 36% BCDEF	-	1 3%	2 2%	2 2%	1 1%	7 2% b	-	3 1%	3 3%	-	-	1 4%	2 1%	4 2%
	(4.0)	5 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	4 2%	4 1%	1 1%	3 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	2 1%
	(5.0)	19 5%	-	3 11% d	1 2%	2 3%	7 6%	7 4%	17 6% b	2 2%	11 6%	4 3%	1 2%	2 12% bc	1 4%	11 5%	8 4%
	(6.0)	28 7%	-	2 7%	3 10%	5 7%	7 7%	11 6%	24 8% b	4 3%	16 9%	7 5%	3 5%	1 7%	1 4%	10 5%	18 9% a
	(7.0)	51 12%	-	7 29% dEF	5 17%	9 13%	11 10%	18 11%	44 15% B	7 6%	25 13%	19 14%	5 9%	1 8%	1 4%	20 10%	31 15%
	(8.0)	67 16%	2 64% bEF	4 17%	8 26% F	19 26% F	18 16% f	16 9%	47 16%	20 17%	27 14%	25 19% d	12 21% d	1 4%	3 16%	29 14%	38 19%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on the environment Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
	(9.0)	82 20%	-	3 13%	5 16%	14 19%	30 27% f	29 18%	55 19%	27 22%	33 18%	25 19%	16 28% a	3 15%	6 32% a	37 18%	45 22%
Extremely important	(10.0)	144 35%	-	5 19%	5 18%	23 31%	35 32%	75 46% BCDE	86 30%	58 48% A	62 33%	46 35%	19 34%	10 54% Abc	7 37%	91 44% B	53 26%
NET 0-2		8 2%	-	1 4% E	3 9% DE	-	-	5 3% e	6 2%	2 2%	7 4%	1 1%	-	-	-	3 1%	6 3%
NET 3-7		109 27%	1 36%	12 47% DEF	10 32%	18 24%	28 25%	40 24%	95 33% B	14 12%	58 31% ce	34 26%	10 17%	5 27%	3 15%	46 22%	63 31% A
NET 8-10		293 71%	2 64%	13 50%	18 60%	56 76% Bc	83 75% Bc	120 73% B	188 65%	105 87% A	122 65%	95 73%	47 83% A	13 73%	16 85% A	157 76% B	136 66%
Mean Score		8.23	6.20	7.50	7.34	8.35 ABC	8.36 ABC	8.40 aBC	7.97	8.85 A	7.96	8.32	8.64 A	8.66	8.66	8.52 B	7.94
Std Deviation Std Error		1.99 .098	2.91 1.682	2.03 .407	2.47 .437	1.60 .183	1.71 .164	2.15 .168	2.07 .121	1.66 .152	2.23 .163	1.86 .176	1.41 .187	1.87 .367	1.71 .329	1.87 .130	2.08 .146

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 22/2

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on the environment Base: All respondents

									Region								C	ouncil typ	е	
	Tota	al Mi	East idlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	41	1	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	41 10	1 0%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant (0		3 1%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 2%	1 1%	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	2 1%
(*		3 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	1 *
(2		3 1%	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	-	-	2 5% KL	-	-	-	3 2%	-	-	-	2 5% AE	1 *
(3	,	7 2%	-	1 3%	-	-	2 4%	3 4%	1 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	4 2%	1 1%	-	2 3%	-	4 2%
(4	/	5 1%	-	-	-	-	1 2%	2 2% I	1 2%	-	-	1 4% L	1 1%	-	3 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 2%	-	2 1%
(5	/ /	9 5%	4 9% k	2 6%	1 2%	-	2 4%	2 2%	3 6%	-	3 7%	3 12% cFK	2 2%	7 5%	7 5%	4 4%	2 5%	1 2%	3 7%	9 5%
(6		8 7%	1 3%	-	6 11% b	1 6%	4 8%	4 6%	4 9% b	1 5%	5 13% B	1 3%	7 7%	7 5%	13 9% b	8 9% b	-	2 4%	5 13% B	13 7% b
(7	.0) 5 1	1 2%	3 8% E	7 18% Eg	10 19% EGm	6 26% aEGM	-	9 12% E	3 6% e	5 21% EG	5 12% E	3 12% E	12 12% E	20 16% Eg	16 10% E	11 12%	6 17%	10 18%	5 12%	20 10%
3)		7 6%	7 17% j	4 10%	12 22% J	1 6%	7 15%	13 19% J	8 17% j	7 28% bdJ	8 19% J	1 3%	15 16% j	22 17% j	29 18% J	9 10%	4 10%	9 17%	8 19%	37 20% A
(\$		2 0%	8 18%	7 19%	14 26% k	3 11%	7 14%	15 22%	8 18%	4 15%	8 20%	8 32% eK	14 14%	29 22%	32 20%	21 24%	12 33% cE	9 16%	8 20%	32 17%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes

Online Survey



Table 22/3

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on the environment Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	e	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely important (10.0)	144 35%	18 43% Ci	16 43% Ci	10 19%	13 51% Cflm	25 51% CFILM	20 28%	18 39% Ci	8 31%	9 22%	9 35%	45 46% CFIIM	43 33% c	47 30%	31 36%	12 33%	21 39% d	9 22%	71 37% d
NET 0-2	8 2%	1 3%	-	-	-	1 2%	3 4%	1 2%	-	3 7% ckL	-	1 1%	1 1%	6 4%	2 2%	-	-	3 7% ce	4 2%
NET 3-7	109 27%	8 20%	10 28%	18 33% e	8 32%	9 17%	19 27%	11 24%	7 26%	13 32%	8 31%	23 23%	36 27%	43 27%	24 28%	9 24%	16 29%	13 32%	47 25%
NET 8-10	293 71%	32 78%	27 72%	36 67%	17 68%	40 80% I	48 69%	34 74%	18 74%	25 62%	17 69%	75 76%	94 72%	107 69%	61 70%	28 76%	39 71%	25 62%	140 73%
Mean Score	8.23	8.42	8.53 i	8.15	8.76 i	8.46 i	7.93	8.26	8.45	7.63	8.32	8.53 fIM	8.34 I	7.94	8.24	8.58 D	8.37 d	7.63	8.25 d
Std Deviation	1.99	2.13	1.82	1.37	1.47	2.33	2.27	2.10	1.29	2.25	1.94	1.91	1.76	2.22	2.08	1.53	1.82	2.25	2.01
Std Error	.098	.346	.321	.202	.346	.330	.251	.285	.281	.348	.366	.202	.163	.166	.222	.249	.262	.348	.144

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E





Table 22/4

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on community character Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
			10.01	07.04									Lib		0.1		
Circlificance Laurah 000/		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+		emale	Con	Lab	Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	с С	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	3 1%	-	-	-	-	-	3 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	1 *	2 1
	(1.0)		-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	2 *	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-
	(3.0)	5 1%	1 36% BCDEF	-	-	-	3 2%	1 1%	4 1%	1 1%	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	1 4%	2 1%	3 1
	(4.0)	4	-	-	-	2 2%	-	2 1%	4 1%	-	2 1%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	1
	(5.0)	27 7%	-	1 4%	3 11%	4 5%	6 6%	13 8%	19 7%	8 7%	8 4%	10 8%	4 7%	3 15% A	2 11%	12 6%	15 8
	(6.0)	25 6%	-	2 8%	2 6%	7 10%	4 4%	8 5%	23 8% B	2 1%	12 7%	7 5%	4 7%	1 8%	1 4%	13 6%	12 6
	(7.0)	50 12%	-	8 31% DEF	7 22% dE	6 9%	8 7%	21 13%	42 14% B	8 7%	19 10%	18 14%	11 19% a	1 8%	2 9%	21 10%	29 14
	(8.0)	70 17%	-	7 27% F	5 15%	16 21% F	24 22% F	18 11%	51 18%	18 15%	37 20% c	22 17%	6 10%	2 12%	3 14%	31 15%	39 19
	(9.0)	92 22%	1 36%	2 8%	9 29% b	18 24% b	28 25% b	35 21%	66 23%	26 22%	41 22%	28 21%	15 26%	2 11%	6 34% d	42 21%	50 25

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on community character Base: All respondents

					Aç	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Extremely important	(10.0)	134 33%	1 28%	6 23%	5 17%	22 29%	38 34% c	61 37% C	77 27%	57 47% A	64 34%	42 33%	15 26%	8 46% c	5 25%	80 39% B	54 26%
NET 0-2		5 1%	-	-	-	-	-	5 3% e	4 1%	1 1%	3 2%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	3 1%	2 1%
NET 3-7		110 27%	1 36%	11 42% E	12 39% E	19 26%	21 19%	46 28% e	91 32% B	19 16%	43 23%	37 28%	20 36% A	5 31%	5 27%	50 24%	60 29%
NET 8-10		296 72%	2 64%	15 58%	19 61%	55 74%	90 81% BCF	114 69%	195 67%	101 84% A	142 76% C	92 71%	35 62%	12 69%	14 73%	153 74%	143 70%
Mean Score		8.26	7.13	7.95	7.96	8.30	8.51 c	8.20	8.05	8.74 A	8.35	8.25	7.96	8.35	8.18	8.40	8.11
Std Deviation Std Error		1.87 .092	3.78 2.184	1.43 .286	1.59 .281	1.63 .187	1.64 .157	2.16 .168	1.88 .110	1.75 .160	1.82 .133	1.85 .175	2.06 .273	1.95 .383	1.90 .366	1.88 .130	1.85 .130

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on community character Base: All respondents

									Region								C	ouncil ty	ре	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Extremely unimportant	(0.0)	3 1%	1 3% M	-	-	-	2 4% fM	-	-	-	-	-	2 2% m	1 1%	-	1 1%	-	1 2%	-	1 1%
	(1.0)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
	(2.0)	2 *	-	-	-	-	-	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	1 1%	-	-	-	1 *
	(3.0)	5 1%	-	-	-	- - 8	4 8% acfGiLM	1 1%	-	-	-	-	4 4% LM	-	1 1%	1 1%	1 3%	2 3% e	-	1 *
	(4.0)	4 1%	-	-	-	-	-	2 3% I	2 4% kL	-	-	-	-	-	4 2%	-	1 2%	-	-	3 1%
	(5.0)	27 7%	4 9%	2 5%	2 5%	3 11% g	4 8%	3 5%	1 2%	-	5 12% G	4 14% fGh	7 7%	8 6%	9 6%	7 9%	1 4%	2 4%	5 12%	11 6%
	(6.0)	25 6%	2 4%	2 6%	4 7%	1 6%	1 2%	8 11% ek	2 5%	1 5%	2 5%	1 4%	4 4%	8 6%	12 8%	4 5%	2 5%	5 9%	2 5%	12 6%
	(7.0)	50 12%	2 6%	5 13%	6 11%	2 9%	7 14%	6 9%	9 21% AFL	5 19%	5 12%	3 12%	14 14%	13 10%	20 13%	8 9%	6 16%	12 21% aE	5 12%	20 10%
	(8.0)	70 17%	9 21% J	4 11%	13 24% gJk	3 11%	6 12%	14 20% J	5 11%	4 16%	11 27% begJK	1 3%	13 0 13%	26 20% J	31 20% J	9 10%	6 17%	8 15%	11 27% A	35 18% a

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey



Table 23/3

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Impact on community character Base: All respondents

									Regio	n					_		C	ouncil typ	e	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90%			а	b	С	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k		m	a	b	, c	d	e
Significance Level: 95%			A	В	С	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	A	В	С	D	E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
	(9.0)	92 22%	8 19%	6 16%	17 32% Ek	6 23%	6 13%	16 23%	9 20%	7 27%	8 19%	10 39% abEfgiKM	19 19%	31 24%	33 21%	24 28%	10 28%	9 17%	8 19%	41 21%
Extremely important	(10.0)	134	16	18	12	10	19	19	15	8	10		37	45	45	31	10	15	10	67
	(10.0)	33%	38%	48% CFIM	22%	40%	39% c	27%	34%	32%	25%	28%	37% c	34%		36%		28%	25%	
NET 0-2		5 1%	1 3%	-	-	-	2 4%	1 1%	1 2%	-	-	-	2 2%	1 1%	2 1%	2 2%	-	1 2%	-	2 1%
NET 3-7		110 27%	8 19%	9 24%	12 22%	6 26%	16 32%	20 28%	14 32%	6 24%	12 29%	8 31%	28 29%	28 22%	46 29%	21 24%	11 29%	20 37%	12 29%	46 24%
NET 8-10		296 72%	32 78%	28 76%	42 78%	18 74%	31 64%	49 70%	30 66%	19 76%	29 71%	17 69%	68 69%	102 78%	108 69%	64 74%	26 71%	e 33 61%	29 71%	
Mean Score		8.26	8.33	8.72 efm	8.38	8.47	7.76	8.09	8.25	8.63	8.11	8.31	8.16	e 8.46 E	8.14	8.33	8.22	7.93	8.11	с 8.36
Std Deviation		1.87	2.12	1.57	1.36	1.75	2.71	1.87	1.86	1.28	1.62	1.77	2.22	1.68	1.79	2.05	1.75	2.05	1.62	1.81
Std Error		.092	.343	.277	.201	.413	.383	.206	.254	.280	.250	.334	.235	.156	.134	.219	.284	.296	.250	.129

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Online Survey



Table 23/4

Q4 - How important or unimportant are each of the following factors to you when considering whether to support or oppose a proposed development in your local area? Please rate on each on a scale from 0-10, where 10 = extremely important and 0 = extremely unimportant.

Summary table Base: All respondents

	Total	Extremely unimportant 0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	Extremely important 10	NET 0-2	NET 3-7	NET 8-10	Mean score
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	c	d	e	f	g	h	i	j	k	I I	m	n	0
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	C	D	E	F	G	Н	I	J	K	L	М	Ν	0
Creation of jobs	411 100%	4 1%	-	3 1%	9 2%	3 1%	12 3%	24 6%	59 14%	47 11%	77 19%	174 42%	7 2%	107 26%	298 72%	8.43
Impact on local economy	411 100%	7 2%	-	3 1%	3 1%	1 *	19 5%	20 5%	57 14%	74 18%	73 18%	154 38%	9 2%	100 24%	301 73%	8.34
Impact on community character	411 100%	3 1%	-	2 *	5 1%	4 1%	27 7%	25 6%	50 12%	70 17%	92 22%	134 33%	5 1%	110 27%	296 72%	8.26
Impact on the environment	411 100%	3 1%	3 1%	3 1%	7 2%	5 1%	19 5%	28 7%	51 12%	67 16%	82 20%	144 35%	8 2%	109 27%	293 71%	8.23
Impact on traffic	411 100%	2 *	1 *	3 1%	5 1%	6 1%	29 7%	33 8%	54 13%	72 17%	67 16%	140 34%	6 1%	126 31%	279 68%	8.14
Creation of new housing	411 100%	5 1%	2 1%	6 2%	11 3%	9 2%	46 11%	43 10%	63 15%	60 15%	62 15%	104 25%	13 3%	172 42%	226 55%	7.52
Creation of additional shops	411 100%	15 4%	7 2%	15 4%	36 9%	26 6%	83 20%	57 14%	52 13%	45 11%	31 7%	44 11%	37 9%	254 62%	120 29%	5.96

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M,N,O



Table 24/1

Q5 - Over the past 18 months, do you feel that residents in your local area have had more or less say in the local planning process than they used to five years ago, or have you noticed no difference?

Base: All respondents

				A	ge			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	•
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
More say in the local planning process	146 36%	1 36%	3 11%	9 28%	24 33% B	36 32% B	74 45% BcdE	102 35%	44 36%	89 47% BCDE	35 27%	13 22%	5 27%	5 26%	80 39%	66 32%
Less say in the local planning process	109 27%	-	6 22%	5 15%	25 33% c	32 29%	41 25%	75 26%	34 28%	53 28%	29 23%	16 27%	7 38% b	4 22%	53 26%	56 27%
I haven't noticed a difference	145 35%	1 28%	13 50% df	17 56% DEF	22 30%	40 36%	51 31%	105 36%	40 33%	41 22%	61 47% A	29 50% A	6 31%	9 49% A	71 34%	75 36%
Don't know	11 3%	1 36% CDEF	4 16% CDEF	-	3 4% F	2 2% f	-	7 3%	3 3%	4 2%	5 4%	-	1 4%	1 4%	2 1%	9 4% A

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 25/1

Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence Q5 - Over the past 18 months, do you feel that residents in your local area have had more or less say in the local planning process than they used to five years ago, or have you noticed no difference?

Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	ре	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f	g G	h H	i	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
More say in the local planning process	146 36%	22 53% EfljKM	14 37% i	19 36% i	8 34%	14 29%	25 35% i	18 39% I	11 45% I	8 19%	8 32%	34 34% i	55 42% Im	50 32% i	31 35% Bcd	5 14%	11 20%	8 19%	92 5 48% ABCD
Less say in the local planning process	109 27%	7 18%	10 27%	20 38% ahK	4 17%	13 27%	22 31%	13 29%	3 14%	11 26%	5 20%	21 21%	38 29%	46 29%	23 27%	13 36% c	10 18%	11 26%	52 5 27%
I haven't noticed a difference	145 35%	12 29%	12 33%	14 26%	12 49% cl	19 38%	21 30%	14 30%		23 55% AbCFGk L	11 46%	39 39%	38 29%	57 37%	30 35%	18 48%	29 54%	23 55%	45 5 24%
										М	cl				е	E	AE	AE	
Don't know	11 3%	-	1 3%	-	-	3 6% ciL	3 4%	1 2%	2 9% aCiLm	-	1 3%	5 5% I	1 1%	3 2%	3 3%	1 2%	4 8% dE	-	3 1%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The introduction of the NPPF has made the planning process more simple and accessible for residents and businesses in my local area. Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	29 7%	1 36% BDE	1 4%	2 7%	4 6%	6 5%	15 9%	23 8%	6 5%	17 9%	7 5%	3 5%	2 11% e	-	15 7%	14 7%
Somewhat agree	106 26%	-	5 19%	9 29%	22 29%	22 20%	47 29%	67 23%	39 32% A	64 34% BCe	23 18%	11 19%	4 24%	3 18%	48 23%	58 28%
Somewhat disagree	135 33%	1 28%	5 21%	8 25%	20 27%	35 32%	66 40% bD	101 35%	34 28%	56 30%	44 33%	21 38%	7 39%	7 38%	78 38% B	57 28%
Disagree strongly	93 23%	-	8 33% F	8 27% F	21 29% F	33 30% F	22 13%	70 24%	23 19%	33 18%	41 32% AD	13 23% d	1 8%	5 25% d	50 24%	43 21%
Don't know	48 12%	1 36%	6 24% dF	4 13%	7 9%	15 13%	16 9%	30 10%	19 15%	17 9%	15 12%	9 16%	3 19%	3 18%	15 7%	34 17% A
AGREE	135 33%	1 36%	6 23%	11 35%	26 35%	28 25%	62 38% E	89 31%	45 37%	82 44% BCE	30 23%	13 23%	6 35%	3 18%	63 31%	71 35%
DISAGREE	228 55%	1 28%	14 53%	16 52%	41 56%	68 62%	87 53%	171 59% B	57 47%	89 47%	85 65% Ad	35 61% a	8 46%	12 64%	128 62% B	100 49%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Online Survey



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The introduction of the NPPF has made the planning process more simple and accessible for residents and businesses in my local area. Base: All respondents

		_					1	Region	1							(Council typ	pe	1
	Total	East Midlands M	West lidlands	East England	North East	North West	South East		York shire & łumber	London		North Df Eng Land		South F Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100
Agree strongly	29 7%	2 5%	6 16% cdhk	2 5%	-	5 10%	4 6%	3 7%	-	4 9%	2 9%	5 5%	10 8%	11 7%	6 7%	2 6%	2 4%	4 9%	15 8'
Somewhat agree	106 26%	19 47% BDEfGH IJ KIM	5 15%	16 31%	4 17%	12 24%	20 29%	11 24%	5 19%	9 23%	4 14%	21 21%	41 31% bjk	41 26%	19 22%	12 33% C	7 12%	9 23%	58 30' C
Somewhat disagree	135 33%	12 30%	9 24%	18 34%	12 49% bF	16 32%	17 24%	16 36%	11 46% F	15 36%	8 34%	39 40% F	39 30%	48 31%	28 33%	12 32%	19 35%	15 36%	61 32'
Disagree strongly	93 23%	4 10%	13 35% AEHJK	13 24% ah	8 34% AHj	8 16%	20 29% AHjk	13 28% AHj	1 5%	10 24% h	3 12%	18 18%	30 23% ah	43 27% AHjk	18 21%	9 23%	17 31%	10 24%	40 21
Don't know	48 12%	3 7%	4 10%	4 7%	-	9 19% cdGLm	8 12%	3 6% A	7 30% bCDfGI L M	3 7%	8 31% ABCDF GIL M	16 17% dglm	10 8%	14 9%	15 17% be	2 6%	10 19% be	3 7%	18 9
AGREE	135 33%	22 53% bDefGHi JK M	11 30%	19 35%	4 17%	16 33%	25 35%	14 31%	5 19%	13 32%	6 23%	25 26%	52 39% dhK	52 33%	25 29% c	14 39% C	9 16%	13 32% c	73 38 C
DISAGREE	228 55%	16 40%	22 60%	31 58%	20 83%	24 48%	37 53%	29 63%	13 51%	25 60%	11 46%	57 58%	70 53%	90 58%	47 54%	21 56%	36 65%	25 60%	100 52'

Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth. To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The NPPF will cause more harm than good.

Base: All respondents

		Age						Gen	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	e	f	а	b	a	b	c	d	e	a	b
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	Е	F	A	В	А	В	С	D	E	A	В
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	77 19%	-	6 25%	9 28% f	16 22%	21 19%	25 15%	56 19%	22 18%	27 14%	32 25% A	12 21%	2 11%	5 26%	45 22%	33 16%
Somewhat agree	128 31%	1 28% b	1 3%	7 22% B	20 27% B	34 31% B	65 39% Bcd	85 29%	42 35%	56 30%	41 31%	22 39%	5 27%	4 22%	75 36% B	53 26%
Somewhat disagree	100 24%	1 36%	7 26%	8 27%	12 16%	31 28% d	40 24%	72 25%	28 23%	48 25%	31 24%	12 22%	6 35%	3 15%	48 23%	51 25%
Disagree strongly	51 12%	1 36%	4 16%	4 13%	13 18% e	10 9%	18 11%	41 14%	10 8%	32 17% BC	10 8%	3 6%	2 11%	3 15%	24 12%	26 13%
Don't know	55 13%	-	8 31% CEF	3 10%	12 17%	15 13%	17 10%	36 12%	19 16%	24 13%	16 13%	8 13%	3 15%	4 22%	13 6%	42 20% A
AGREE	205 50%	1 28%	7 28%	15 50% b	36 49% b	55 50% B	90 54% B	141 49%	64 53%	83 44%	73 56% A	34 60% Ad	7 38%	9 48%	120 58% B	85 42%
DISAGREE	150 37%	2 72%	11 41%	12 40%	25 34%	41 37%	58 35%	112 39%	38 31%	80 43% bC	41 31%	15 27%	8 46% c	6 30%	73 35%	78 38%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth. To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The NPPF will cause more harm than good.

Base: All respondents

								Regi	on							с	ouncil ty	pe	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	с С	d D	e F	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	 	m M	a A	b B	с С	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	_ 50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Agree strongly	77 19%	3 7%	10 27% A	10 18%	6 23%	8 16%	19 27% A	7 16%	3 14%	9 22% a	3 12%	17 17%	23 17%	35 22% A	16 18%	6 16%	11 21%	9 22%	35 18%
Somewhat agree	128 31%	11 27%	8 21%	18 33%	12 49% Bfllm	18 36%	20 28%	16 35%	9 34%	9 21%	9 35%	38 39% blm	37 28%	44 28%	30 35%	14 39% d	15 28%	9 21%	60 31%
Somewhat disagree	100 24%	16 38% fGHKM	13 36% ghkm	15 28%	4 17%	11 22%	15 22%	8 18%	3 12%	10 23%	5 20%	18 18%	43 33% fGhKM	33 21%	17 19%	11 29%	14 26%	10 23%	49 25%
Disagree strongly	51 12%	8 20% Jk	2 6%	8 15% j	1 6%	6 12%	8 11%	7 16% j	1 5%	8 19% Jk	1 3%	9 9%	18 14% j	23 15% j	9 11%	2 5%	3 5%	8 19% bC	29 15% bc
Don't know	55 13%	4 9%	3 9%	3 6%	1 6%	6 13%	8 12%	7 15% /	9 35% ABCDEFg i	6 15%	8 31% ABCDeFg k	16 16%	10 8%	21 14%	15 17%	4 12%	12 21%	6 15%	19 10%
AGREE	205 50%	14 34%	18 49%	28 52%	18 71% AILm	26 52% a	38 55% A	23 51%	kLM 12 48%	18 43%	LM 12 47%	cl 55 56% A	60 45%	79 50% a	46 53%	20 54%	E 26 48%	18 43%	95 50%
DISAGREE	150 37%	24 57% DEFGHJK	16 42%	23 43%	6 23%	17 35%	23 33%	15 34%	4 17%	17 42%	6 22%	27 27%	62 47%	56 36%	26 30%	13 34%	17 31%	17 42%	78 41%
		М	h	Hjk						Hjk			dfHJKm	h					а

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Increased development in my local area would be a good thing because we urgently need to promote economic growth. Base: All respondents

				Ą	ge			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	90 22%	1 36%	8 29%	4 12%	11 15%	24 22%	42 25% d	70 24%	20 17%	43 23% c	33 25% C	6 11%	3 15%	6 30% C	46 22%	44 22%
Somewhat agree	161 39%	1 28%	10 37%	16 53% e	32 44%	38 34%	63 38%	117 40%	44 36%	63 34%	66 50% ACE	19 34%	8 46% e	4 23%	69 34%	91 45% A
Somewhat disagree	83 20%	1 36%	4 15%	8 25%	15 20%	26 24%	29 17%	57 20%	26 22%	44 24% B	15 12%	16 28% B	3 19%	4 19%	46 23%	36 18%
Disagree strongly	65 16%	-	4 14%	3 10%	12 16%	19 17%	27 16%	39 13%	27 22% A	33 17% b	12 9%	13 23% B	3 19%	4 21% b	40 20% B	25 12%
Don't know	12 3%	-	1 4%	-	4 5%	3 3%	4 2%	8 3%	4 4%	4 2%	5 4%	2 3%	-	1 7%	4 2%	8 4%
AGREE	251 61%	2 64%	17 67%	20 65%	44 59%	62 56%	105 64%	187 64% B	64 53%	106 57%	98 75% ACE	26 45%	11 61%	10 53%	115 56%	136 66% A
DISAGREE	148 36%	1 36%	8 29%	11 35%	26 35%	45 41%	56 34%	95 33%	53 44% A	77 41% B	27 21%	29 52% B	7 39% b	8 40% B	87 42% B	61 30%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Increased development in my local area would be a good thing because we urgently need to promote economic growth. Base: All respondents

								Region		_	-						Council typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West /idlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 6 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 6 100%
Agree strongly	90 22%	9 22%	11 31% ci	7 14%	7 28%	11 22%	14 21%	11 23%	8 33% ci	6 14%	6 22%	26 26% c	28 21%	31 % 20%	21 24%	8 22%	13 23%	6 14%	43 % 22%
Somewhat agree	161 39%	18 43% f	14 38%	27 50% FgjM	15 60% FGJM	21 42% f	19 27%	14 32%	10 40%	17 42%	6 26%	46 46% FgjM	58 44% FjM	51 % 32%	33 38%	13 34%	26 47%	17 42%	72 % 38%
Somewhat disagree	83 20%	6 14% d	6 16% d	10 19% d	-	8 16% d A	24 34% bcDEH K	13 28%	2 8%	9 21%	6 24%	10 10%	22 16%	45 % 29%	17 19%	7 20%	8 14%	9 21%	42 % 22%
							L	DhKl		Dk	Dk		d	aDeHKL					
Disagree strongly	65 16%	9 21%	5 12%	9 16%	3 11%	7 13%	11 15%	8 17%	3 14%	7 18%	5 21%	13 5 13%	22 17%	26 % 17%	13 15%	9 24% c	5 10%	7 18%	30 % 16%
Don't know	12 3%	-	1 3%	1 2%	-	3 7% g	2 2%	-	1 5% g	2 5%	2 6% g	5 5%	2 2%	4 6 2%	3 3%	-	3 6%	2 5%	4 % 2%
AGREE	251 61%	27 65% f	25 69% fm	34 63% a	22 89% aCeFGIJ L	32 64%	34 48%	25 55%	18 73%	23 56%	12 49%	72 5 73%	86 65%	81 % 52%	54 62%	21 56%	38 70%	23 56%	115 % 60%
					М	f			Fjm			FGiJM	FM						
DISAGREE	148 36%	14 35% d	10 28%	18 35% d	3 11%	14 29%	35 50%	20 45%	5 22%	16 40%	11 45%	23 23%	43 33%	71 % 46%	30 35%	16 44%	13 24%	16 40%	73 % 38%
		u		u		В	cDEHK L	DhK		DK	DK		d	bDEHKL		С			с

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey

Online Sulve





Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The Government's planning changes will put too much power in the hands of developers at the expense of local people. Base: All respondents

				Aç	je			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	177 43%	1 28%	9 36%	14 46%	30 41%	45 41%	76 46%	130 45%	47 39%	65 35%	67 52% AD	27 47% aD	4 23%	14 74% ABCD	94 46%	83 40%
Somewhat agree	129 32%	-	7 26%	7 21%	20 27%	41 37%	55 33%	83 29%	46 38% a	53 28% E	43 33% E	22 39% E	10 54% ABE	1 7%	68 33%	62 30%
Somewhat disagree	53 13%	1 36%	4 15%	4 13%	10 14%	15 13%	19 12%	37 13%	16 13%	39 21% BCE	9 7%	2 3%	2 12%	1 4%	26 12%	27 13%
Disagree strongly	30 7%	1 36% EF	3 11%	4 13%	8 11% ef	5 5%	8 5%	24 8%	6 5%	24 13% BC	2 2%	2 3%	1 4%	1 4%	14 7%	15 8%
Don't know	22 5%	-	3 11%	2 8%	5 7%	4 4%	7 4%	16 6%	6 5%	6 3%	8 6%	4 7%	1 8%	2 11% a	4 2%	18 9% A
AGREE	306 75%	1 28%	16 63%	21 67%	50 68%	86 78% A	130 79% Abd	213 73%	93 77%	118 63%	110 85% A	49 87% A	14 77%	15 81% a	162 79% b	145 70%
DISAGREE	82 20%	2 72% cdEF	7 26%	8 25%	19 25%	20 18%	27 16%	61 21%	22 18%	63 34% BCdE	11 9%	4 6%	3 16%	1 7%	40 19%	43 21%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Page 70



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The Government's planning changes will put too much power in the hands of developers at the expense of local people. Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Vidlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 5 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Agree strongly	177 43%	17 41%	17 47%	25 47%	12 49%	21 42%	29 41%	19 41%	8 33%	17 41%	12 50%	41 41%	59 45%	64 41%	38 44%	19 50%	25 46%	17 41%	78 5 41%
Somewhat agree	129 32%	11 27%	10 28%	18 34%	11 45%	15 31%	24 34%	12 26%	9 34%	14 33%	6 23%	35 36%	40 30%	49 31%	29 33% b	6 18%	19 34% b	14 33%	62 32% b
Somewhat disagree	53 13%	9 22% hik	6 16%	5 9%	1 6%	8 15%	8 11%	10 22% cfHIK	1 3%	3 7%	3 11%	10 10%	20 15%	21 5 13%	8 10%	7 18% c	4 7%	3 7%	31 5 16% c
Disagree strongly	30 7%	2 5%	2 6%	5 9%	-	2 4%	6 9%	3 7%	3 10% j	7 16% deJK	-	5 5%	9 7%	16 5 10% j	4 4%	2 6%	3 6%	7 16% Ae	14 5 7%
Don't know	22 5%	2 6%	1 3%	-	-	4 8% c	3 5%	2 4%	5 19% CdFGIL M	1 3%	4 16% bCdfgIL	8 9% Cl	4 3%	6 4%	8 9% e	3 8%	4 7%	1 3%	7 5 4%
AGREE	306 75%	28 67%	27 74%	44 82%	23 94%	36 73%	53 75%	30 67%	17 67%	30 74%	18 73%	76	99 75%	113 5 73%	67 77%	25 68%	44 80%	30 74%	139 5 73%
				9 /	AbefGHij kl M														
DISAGREE	82 20%	11 27% dk	8 22%	10 18%	1 6%	10 20%	14 20%	13 29% DjK	3 13%	10 23%	3 11%	14 15%	29 22%	36 23% dk	12 14%	9 24%	7 13%	10 23%	45 5 24% ac

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The changes the Government is making to the planning regime are making the process more confusing. Base: All respondents

				Ag	le			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male I	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	115 28%	1 28%	6 23%	7 21%	19 26%	32 29%	51 31%	86 30%	29 24%	43 23%	45 34% AD	18 32% d	2 12%	7 37% D	65 32%	50 24%
Somewhat agree	154 38%	-	4 17%	12 37% b	25 34%	45 41% B	67 40% B	107 37%	47 39%	67 36%	54 42%	17 30%	10 54% aC	6 33%	80 39%	74 36%
Somewhat disagree	87 21%	-	12 47% CDEF	7 21%	19 25%	19 17%	31 19%	60 21%	27 22%	52 28% Be	13 10%	16 28% Be	4 23% b	2 11%	41 20%	46 22%
Disagree strongly	24 6%	1 36% EF	2 8% e	3 10% E	7 9% E	2 2%	9 6% e	17 6%	8 6%	17 9% bc	5 4%	1 2%	1 7%	-	12 6%	12 6%
Don't know	30 7%	1 36% bdF	1 5%	3 10%	5 6%	13 11% F	7 4%	20 7%	10 9%	8 4%	13 10% a	5 9%	1 4%	3 19% Ad	7 4%	23 11% A
AGREE	270 66%	1 28%	10 39%	18 59%	44 59% b	77 70% B	118 71% Bd	193 67%	77 63%	110 59%	99 76% Ac	35 62%	12 66%	13 70%	145 71% B	124 61%
DISAGREE	111 27%	1 36%	14 55% cdEF	10 31%	25 34% E	21 19%	40 24%	77 27%	34 28%	69 37% BE	18 14%	17 29% Be	5 30% Be	2 11%	53 26%	58 28%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Page 72



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth. To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

The changes the Government is making to the planning regime are making the process more confusing. Base: All respondents

								Regio	n							C	ouncil ty	pe	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Agree strongly	115 28%	8 20%	14 37%	14 27%	8 31%	16 32%	21 31%	11 25%	5 19%	12 29%	6 25%	28 29%	36 27%	45 29%	26 30%	11 29%	18 33%	12 29%	49 26%
Somewhat agree	154 38%	14 35%	10 27%	25 47% b	13 51% b	19 38%	24 34%	15 32%	11 43%	15 35%	9 38%	42 43%	50 38%	53 34%	33 38%	17 45%	20 37%	15 35%	70 37%
Somewhat disagree	87 21%	13 32% EJK	8 23%	9 17%	3 11%	7 14%	17 24% j	13 30% eJK	5 19%	10 24%	2 9%	14 15%	31 23% j	40 26% ejK	14 17%	6 15%	10 19%	10 24%	47 5 24%
Disagree strongly	24 6%	5 11%	1 3%	4 7%	1 6%	2 3%	4 6%	3 7%	1 5%	2 5%	1 4%	4 4%	9 7%	9 6%	4 5%	1 3%	1 2%	2 5%	16 8%
Don't know	30 7%	1 3%	4 10%	1 2%	-	6 12% cl	3 5%	3 6%	3 14% c		6 23% ACDFGik	9 9%	6 5%	9 6%	10 12%	3 8%	5 8%	3 7%	9 5 5%
											L M				E				
AGREE	270 66%	22 54%	24 64%	39 74%	20 83%	35 71%	45 65%	26 58%	15 62%	27 65%	16 64%	71 72%	85 65%	98 63%	59 67%	27 73%	38 70%	27 65%	119 62%
				ag	Agm							ag							
DISAGREE	111 27%	18 43% cdEJK	10 26%	13 24%	4 17%	9 17%	21 30% ejk	17 37% EJK	6 24%	12 28%	3 14%	19 19%	40 30% ejk	49 32% EjK	18 21%	7 19%	12 22%	12 28%	63 5 33% Ab

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth.

To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I would be prepared to support a development which promised to deliver economic benefit, even if there was vocal opposition in my area against the development. Base: All respondents

				Aç	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Agree strongly	70 17%	1 36%	5 18%	4 15%	6 9%	20 18% d	34 21% D	59 20% B	11 9%	34 18% c	22 17%	5 9%	5 27% C	4 21%	33 16%	37 18%
Somewhat agree	209 51%	2 64%	10 39%	16 52%	46 63% BEf	52 47%	82 50%	146 50%	63 52%	101 54% cE	73 56% cdE	23 41%	7 38%	5 26%	108 52%	101 49%
Somewhat disagree	60 15%	-	5 20%	4 13%	10 13%	12 10%	29 18% e	39 13%	21 17%	28 15%	15 12%	11 18%	3 16%	3 16%	27 13%	33 16%
Disagree strongly	47 11%	-	2 9%	3 10%	3 4%	20 18% Df	17 11%	27 9%	20 16% A	21 11%	8 6%	11 20% aB	2 11%	5 26% AB	28 13%	20 10%
Don't know	25 6%	-	4 14% F	3 11% F	8 11% F	7 7% F	3 2%	18 6%	7 6%	4 2%	11 8% A	7 12% A	1 8% a	2 11% A	10 5%	15 7%
AGREE	279 68%	3 100%	15 57%	20 66%	53 71%	71 65%	116 70%	205 71% b	74 61%	135 72% CE	96 74% CE	28 49%	12 65%	9 47%	141 69%	138 67%
DISAGREE	107 26%	-	8 29%	7 23%	13 18%	32 29% d	47 28% d	66 23%	41 33% A	49 26%	23 18%	22 38% aB	5 27%	8 42% aB	54 26%	52 26%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B





Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth. To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

I would be prepared to support a development which promised to deliver economic benefit, even if there was vocal opposition in my area against the development. Base: All respondents

								Region								C	ouncil typ	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Agree strongly	70 17%	1 3%	7 18% A	7 14% a	6 23% A	11 22% Al	11 15% A	10 22% Al	5 19% A	8 19% A	6 23% A	21 21% Al	15 12%	28 18% A	18 21%	4 11%	8 15%	8 19%	32 17%
Somewhat agree	209 51%	28 68% DfGHJKM	18 50%	32 61% J	10 40%	25 51% j	36 51% j	21 46%	10 40%	21 51%	8 31%	45 46%	79 60% ghJKm	77 49% j	35 40%	17 47%	27 49%	21 51%	109 57% A
Somewhat disagree	60 15%	5 13%	5 13%	6 12%	5 20%	5 10%	10 15%	9 21%	4 17%	6 14%	4 15%	14 14%	16 13%	26 16%	14 16%	7 19%	7 13%	6 14%	26 13%
Disagree strongly	47 11%	5 13%	5 12%	4 8%	3 11%	6 13%	9 13%	4 9%	2 9%	4 9%	5 19%	11 11%	14 11%	17 11%	11 13%	8 21% e	6 10%	4 9%	19 10%
Don't know	25 6%	1 3%	2 7%	3 6%	1 6%	2 5%	4 6%	1 2%	4 16% aGIm	3 7%	3 12% g	8 8%	7 5%	8 5%	9 10% E	1 2%	6 12% E	3 7%	6 3%
AGREE	279 68%	29 71%	25 68%	40 74% j	15 63%	36 73%	46 66%	31 68%	15 59%	28 69%	13 55%	66 67%	94 72% j	106 68%	53 61%	22 58%	35 65%	28 69%	140 73% Ab
DISAGREE	107 26%	11 26%	9 26%	11 20%	8 31%	11 23%	19 28%	13 30%	6 25%	10 24%	8 33%	25 26%	31 23%	43 27%	25 29%	14 39% E	13 24%	10 24%	45 23%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E







Q6 - The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) was published in early 2012 as a key part of the Government's reforms which aim to make the planning system less complex and more accessible, to protect the environment and to promote sustainable growth. To what extent to you agree or disagree with each of the following statements?

Summary table Base: All respondents

		Agree	Somewhat	Somewhat	Disagree	Don't		
	Total	strongly	agree	disagree	strongly	know	AGREE	DISAGREE
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	е	f	g
Significance Level: 95%		А	В	С	D	E	F	G
The Government's planning changes will put too much power in the hands of developers at the expense of local people.	411 100%	177 43%	129 32%	53 13%	30 7%	22 5%	306 75%	82 20%
I would be prepared to support a development which promised to deliver economic benefit, even if there was vocal opposition in my area against the development	411	70	209	60	47	25	279	107
development.	411 100%	70 17%	209 51%	60 15%		25 6%		
The changes the Government is making to the planning regime are making the process more								
confusing.	411	115	154	87	24	30	270	111
	100%	28%	38%	21%	6%	7%	66%	27%
Increased development in my local area would be a good thing because we urgently need to promote								
economic growth.	411 100%	90 22%	161 39%	83 20%	65 16%	12 3%	251 61%	148 36%
The NPPF will cause more harm than good.	411 100%	77 19%	128 31%	100 24%	51 12%	55 13%	205 50%	150 37%
The introduction of the NPPF has made the planning process more simple and accessible for residents and								
businesses in my local area.	411	29	106	135	93	48 12%	135 33%	228
	100%	7%	26%	33%	23%	12%	33%	55%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G



Online Survey



High Speed rail link from London to Birmingham (HS2) Base: All respondents

					Ą	je			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	47 11%	-	7 27% DF	5 17% df	5 7%	18 16% dF	12 7%	39 13% b	8 7%	15 8%	25 19% Ade	7 12%	1 4%	1 4%	21 10%	26 13%
Second priority	(2.0)	19 5%	-	2 8% F	1 4%	2 3%	11 10% dF	2 1%	16 6% b	2 2%	4 2%	10 8% A	3 6%	-	1 4%	11 5%	8 4%
Third Priority	(3.0)	19 5%	-	1 3%	3 9%	4 6%	7 6%	5 3%	11 4%	8 7%	8 4%	5 4%	5 8%	-	1 7%	10 5%	10 5%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		293 71%	3 100%	16 62%	22 70%	58 79% bE	67 60%	126 76% E	202 70%	91 75%	148 79% Bc	78 60%	39 68%	13 73%	15 79% b	149 72%	144 70%
Mean Score		1.67	-	1.35	1.72	1.94	1.69	1.61	1.58	2.00 a	1.75	1.52	1.87	1.00	2.25 b	1.72	1.62
Std Deviation Std Error		.82 .092	-	.63 .211	.94 .313	.93 .281	.78 .135	.90 .206	.77 .096	.96 .233	.90 .176	.73 .123	.90 .232	-	1.05 .523	.83 .131	.83 .130

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence opes would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

High Speed rail link from London to Birmingham (HS2) Base: All respondents

									Region						-		c	Council typ	e	
		Total	East Midlands V	West idlands E	East ingland	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID (LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	47 11%	1 3%	14 39% ACDEF GIJ	4 7%	-	8 16%	5 7%	2 4%	5 22%	6 15%	2 8%	13 13%	19 15%	13 8%	6 7%	3 8%	14 26%	6 15%	18 9%
				KLM			adG		1	AcDfGm	adg		ag	adGm				ABE		
Second priority	(2.0)	19 5%	2 6%	2 6%	2 5%	1 6%	1 2%	1 1%	1 2%	1 5%	2 5%	4 16% cEFGKI M	4 4%	7 5%	4 2%	7 8% E	2 6%	2 5%	2 5%	5 2%
Third Priority	(3.0)	19 5%	2 6%	1 3%	2 5%	-	2 3%	4 5%	5 11% jK	-	3 8% k	-	2 2%	6 4%	12 8% k	1 1%	5 13% Ae	2 3%	3 8% A	9 5%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated		293 71%	28 68% B	14 39%	44 82% BeL	20 83% B	33 66% B	59 84% ABEHiJ KL	34 75% B	16 65% b	29 70% B	16 65% b	69 70% B	86 66% B	122 78% BeL	66 76% C	25 68%	27 50%	29 70% c	145 76% C
Mean Score		1.67	2.19 BeHK	1.26	1.86 b	2.00	1.42	1.84 b	2.43 BEHjKL	1.19	1.74	1.66	1.38	1.59	1.95 BhK	1.64	2.16 C	1.34	1.74	1.70
Std Deviation Std Error		.82 .092	.83 .370	.58 .151	.89 .335	-	.78 .236	.99 .299	.90 .300	.43 .192	.90 .273	.52 .212	.66 .160	.79 .153	.95 .170	.62 .165	.92 .291	.67 .167	.90 .273	.89 .162

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Airport capacity in the South East Base: All respondents

					Ag	e			Gei	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	37 9%	-	2 8%	1 3%	8 11%	12 10%	14 8%	28 10%	8 7%	23 12% Ce	11 9%	2 3%	1 4%	-	17 8%	19 9%
Second priority	(2.0)	30 7%	-	1 4%	4 14%	3 5%	11 10%	11 6%	23 8%	7 6%	18 10%	7 5%	2 4%	2 11%	1 7%	9 4%	21 10% A
Third Priority	(3.0)	35 9%	-	3 11%	1 3%	9 12%	7 7%	15 9%	32 11% B	4 3%	24 13% BdE	5 4%	6 11% bde	-	-	15 7%	20 10%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		276 67%	3 100%	20 77%	25 80% f	50 67%	73 66%	105 64%	185 64%	91 75% A	110 59%	95 73% A	44 77% A	11 61%	16 86% AD	149 72% B	127 62%
Mean Score		1.99	-	2.10	2.00	2.03	1.86	2.02	2.04	1.77	2.02	1.75	2.43 B	1.76	2.00	1.95	2.02
Std Deviation Std Error		.84 .082	-	.99 .403	.61 .230	.93 .204	.80 .146	.86 .133	.85 .091	.79 .176	.86 .103	.82 .175	.81 .257	.54 .270	-	.89 .135	.81 .103

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 34/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Airport capacity in the South East Base: All respondents

	[Region								Co	ouncil typ	е	
		Total	East Midlands	West Nidlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary		Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	37 9%	2 5%	-	2 5%	-	1 2%	19 27% ABCDEG H JKL	3 6%	-	8 20% aBCDEG H jKL	1 4%	1 1%	5 4% /	30 19% ABCDEGH jKL	6 7% c	3 8% C	-	8 20% ACe	20 10% C
Second priority	(2.0)	30 7%	2 5%	2 6%	4 8%	-	3 5%	8 12% ghK	2 3%	-	7 17% deGHKI	2 6%	3 3%	9 7%	17 11% gK	5 6%	3 8%	2 3%	7 17% ACE	13 7%
Third Priority	(3.0)	35 9%	2 5%	1 3%	6 11%	1 6%	4 8%	10 15% bhKl	4 8%	-	6 14% h	1 4%	5 5%	9 7%	20 13% hk	5 6%	4 12% c	1 2%	6 14% C	19 10% c
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated		276 67%	27 66% Fi	29 78% FIM	39 74% FIM	20 83% FIM	35 72% FIM	31 44%	34 75% FIM	23 91% AeFIIM	19 47%	18 74% FIM	78 80% FIM	95 72% FIM	84 54%	65 74% D	25 67% d	43 78% De	19 47%	124 65% D
Mean Score		1.99	2.00	2.33	2.29 f	3.00	2.37 fm	1.78	2.11	-	1.88	2.00	2.47 FiM	2.21 fm	1.85	1.96	2.13	2.38	1.88	1.99
Std Deviation Std Error		.84 .082	.89 .362	.55 .320	.79 .238	*	.78 .275	.86 .130	.95 .318	-	.82 .180	.89 .445	.75 .249	.77 .172	.86 .099	.86 .214	.88 .278	.60 .345	.82 .180	.87 .116

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Improvements to existing major roads Base: All respondents

					Aç	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	94 23%	1 28%	1 4%	8 25% B	15 20% b	25 22% B	44 27% B	65 22%	29 24%	56 30% BcE	22 17%	11 19%	3 16%	2 11%	46 22%	48 23%
Second priority	(2.0)	86 21%	-	4 16%	6 19%	21 28% e	19 17%	36 22%	58 20%	28 23%	48 26% B	19 14%	13 22%	4 22%	2 11%	50 24% b	35 17%
Third Priority	(3.0)	68 16%	2 72% BcDEF	4 16%	7 24% f	11 15%	22 20% f	20 12%	50 17%	18 15%	27 15%	23 18%	11 19%	2 11%	4 23%	32 16%	35 17%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		131 32%	-	17 65% ACDEF	10 32%	23 31%	37 33%	44 27%	96 33%	35 29%	43 23%	55 42% A	20 35% a	5 27%	9 48% A	62 30%	70 34%
Mean Score		1.90	2.43	2.34 F	1.99	1.93	1.96	1.77	1.91	1.85	1.78	2.02 a	2.00	1.91	2.27 A	1.89	1.90
Std Deviation Std Error		.80 .051	1.09 .631	.69 .231	.87 .186	.75 .108	.85 .106	.77 .078	.81 .062	.78 .092	.77 .067	.85 .115	.80 .138	.78 .215	.88 .253	.78 .069	.83 .077

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 35/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Improvements to existing major roads Base: All respondents

								Regio	n							C	ouncil typ	е	
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan		District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100	41 6 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority (1	0) 94 23	6 12 29% I	6 16%	15 29% I	10 40% bEflJm	7 15%	14 21% I	15 33% Eljm	8 31% I	3 7%	3 14%	25 25% I	33 25% I	32 20% I	23 27% D	10 28% D	10 18%	3 7%	47 25% D
Second priority (2	0) 86 21	4 6 11%	6 16%	12 23%	4 17%	12 25%	19 27% Ajl	9 21%	6 24%	10 24%	2 10%	22 23%	22 17%	38 25% aj	17 19%	12 31%	10 19%	10 24%	38 20%
Third Priority (3	0) 68 16	6 7 17%	4 10%	14 27% bFHM	4 15%	12 24% fhm	8 11%	7 15%	1 5%	6 16%	6 23% h	17 17%	25 19%	21 13%	17 19%	4 11%	9 16%	6 16%	32 17%
I don't think any of the above																			
infrastructure projects should be a priority	33 8	7 6 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated	131 32	6 10 25%	17 45% aCdEGKI	11 20%	4 17%	12 24%	27 39% CdegK	11 24%	8 31%	21 51% ACDEGKL	11 43% Cdegk	24 24%	38 29%	59 38% CdegK	24 28%	9 24%	17 31%	21 51% ABcE	60 31%
Mean Score	1.90	1.78	1.84	1.98	1.65	2.14 dfGHm	1.83	1.74	1.57	2.19 dfGHm	2.20 gh	1.87	1.89	1.88	1.88	1.77	1.96	2.19 be	1.87
Std Deviation Std Error	.80 .051	.89 .194	.80 .213	.85 .142	.82 .228	.78 .140	.72 .104	.80 .132	.67 .192	.69 .154	.90 .251	.80 .107	.85 .101	.75 .074	.84 .112	.72 .139	.82 .163	.69 .154	.82 .075

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 35/2

Building of new major roads Base: All respondents

					Ag	le			Gen	ıder			Party			Sit on p comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	28 7%	1 36% BEF	-	3 10%	10 13% bEf	5 4%	10 6%	18 6%	11 9%	16 8%	6 5%	4 6%	3 15% b	1 4%	19 9% B	9 4%
Second priority	(2.0)	40 10%	1 36% d	5 18%	2 7%	6 8%	10 9%	15 9%	32 11%	8 6%	25 13% c	10 8%	2 4%	1 8%	1 7%	18 9%	21 10%
Third Priority	(3.0)	39 9%	-	-	4 12% b	8 11% b	6 5%	21 13% be	28 10%	11 9%	18 10% C	16 12% C	1 2%	1 8%	3 14% C	21 10%	18 9%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		271 66%	1 28%	21 82% AdF	22 71%	46 62%	82 74% adF	99 60%	191 66%	81 66%	117 62%	87 67% d	47 83% ABD	8 46%	13 68%	131 64%	140 68%
Mean Score		2.10	1.50	2.00	2.09	1.94	2.06	2.24	2.14	2.00	2.05	2.31 C	1.60	1.76	2.43 c	2.03	2.18
Std Deviation Std Error		.79 .076	.67 .476	-	.92 .306	.89 .181	.74 .165	.79 .115	.76 .086	.87 .162	.77 .100	.78 .150	.77 .291	.92 .324	.82 .309	.84 .109	.73 .104

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 36/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Building of new major roads Base: All respondents

									Regi	on							C	ouncil typ	e	
	т	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority (*	.0)	28 7%	3 8% i	-	5 9% bl	3 11% bl	3 7% i	4 6%	6 14% Bhl	-	-	4 15% Bhl	6 6%	8 6%	10 7% i	9 11% D	1 2%	3 5%	-	16 8% d
Second priority (2	.0)	40 10%	3 7%	1 4%	6 11%	3 11%	6 12%	4 6%	7 15% f	1 5%	5 12%	3 13%	10 10%	10 8%	16 10%	9 10%	5 12%	2 5%	5 12%	19 10%
Third Priority (3	.0)	39 9%	3 7%	4 10%	2 5%	1 6%	8 16% clIM	6 9%	3 8%	5 21% CgILM	1 2%	4 18% cllm	15 15% clIM	9 7%	11 7%	13 15% DE	6 15% DE	8 14% De	1 2%	12 6%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated		271 66%	25 60%	27 74% eJ	39 73% EgJk	15 60%	26 52%	53 76% aEGJK	25 56%	16 65%	34 84% AdEGhJKI	11 44%	57 57%	91 69% EgJk	113 72% EGJK	50 57%	24 64%	33 60%	34 84% ABCE	131 68% a
Mean Score	2	2.10	1.97	2.72 CdGilm	1.82	1.80	2.27	2.14	1.84	2.81 aCDGILM	2.16	2.08	2.28 g	2.03	2.01	2.12	2.43 E	2.41 e	2.16	1.91
Std Deviation Std Error		.79 .076	.87 .290	.50 .225	.74 .224	.81 .362	.79 .190	.86 .203	.77 .172	.43 .192	.41 .166	.89 .256	.79 .151	.80 .161	.77 .116	.85 .150	.67 .192	.83 .251	.41 .166	.77 .113

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 36/2

Motorway tolls or road pricing on motorways Base: All respondents

					Ą	ge			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	lanning iittee
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	2 *	-	-	-	1 1%	-	1 1%	2 1%	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	1 *	1 *
Second priority	(2.0)	4 1%	-	-	-	3 4% Ef	-	1 1%	4 1%	-	2 1%	2 2%	-	-	-	2 1%	2 1%
Third Priority	(3.0)	13 3%	-	2 7%	-	2 2%	5 4%	5 3%	9 3%	4 3%	5 3%	3 3%	2 4%	1 4%	1 7%	5 2%	8 4%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		359 87%	3 100%	24 93%	31 100% DEF	64 87%	98 88%	138 83%	254 88%	105 87%	166 89% D	113 87% d	52 91% D	13 73%	16 86%	183 89%	177 86%
Mean Score Std Deviation Std Error		2.59 .67 .153	-	3.00	-	2.12 .71 .291	3.00	2.57 .78 .317	2.48 .72 .186	3.00 - -	2.41 .83 .276	2.60 .54 .243	3.00	3.00	3.00 - -	2.49 .74 .261	2.66 .64 .194

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Motorway tolls or road pricing on motorways Base: All respondents

									Region								C	Council ty	ре	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	с С	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	2	-	-	-	-	-	2 2% I	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 1%	-	-	-	-	2 1%
Second priority	(2.0)	4 1%	1 3%	-	1 2%	-	-	1 1%	-	-	1 2%	-	-	2 2%	2 1%	1 1%	1 3%	-	1 2%	1 5 1%
Third Priority	(3.0)	13 3%	1 3%	1 3%	2 5%	-	2 4%	2 4%	1 1%	1 5%	1 2%	1 3%	3 3%	5 4%	4 3%	3 3%	1 3%	-	1 2%	8 4%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 5 8%
Not Stated		359 87%	32 77%	31 84%	49 91% a	22 89%	41 83%	63 91% A	41 91% a	21 86%	38 93% A	21 86%	84 85%	111 85%	143 91% Ael	77 88%	32 88%	46 84%	38 93%	166 87%
Mean Score Std Deviation Std Error		2.59 .67 .153	2.52 .66 .469	3.00	2.65 .56 .322	-	3.00	2.15 .99 .406	3.00 - -	3.00	2.50 .73 .519	3.00	3.00 * *	2.67 .51 .207	2.31 .87 .291	2.76 .50 .222	2.53 .66 .464	-	2.50 .73 .519	2.56 .79 .250

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Improvements to the existing national rail network Base: All respondents

					A	ge			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	113 28%	1 36%	11 41% F	10 31%	27 37% F	31 28%	33 20%	75 26%	38 32%	28 15%	44 34% A	28 49% AbD	3 19%	10 51% AD	58 28%	55 27%
Second priority	(2.0)	107 26%	2 64% B	3 13%	10 31%	21 29%	27 24%	43 26%	73 25%	34 28%	48 26%	37 28%	15 25%	3 15%	4 23%	61 29%	46 22%
Third Priority	(3.0)	57 14%	-	1 5%	4 14%	11 15%	17 15%	23 14%	40 14%	17 14%	36 19% BcE	12 9%	5 9%	3 19% e	1 4%	24 12%	33 16%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		101 25%	-	10 40% D	7 23%	10 14%	28 25% d	45 27% D	81 28% B	20 17%	62 33% BCe	26 20%	6 11%	4 23%	3 16%	47 23%	54 26%
Mean Score		1.80	1.64	1.40	1.78	1.73	1.81 b	1.90 B	1.81	1.76	2.07 BCE	1.65	1.52	2.01 bCE	1.39	1.76	1.83
Std Deviation Std Error		.76 .046	.58 .336	.66 .171	.75 .151	.76 .097	.78 .091	.75 .076	.76 .055	.76 .081	.76 .071	.70 .078	.69 .099	.90 .239	.59 .130	.72 .060	.80 .069

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 38/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Improvements to the existing national rail network Base: All respondents

									Region								(Council ty	ре	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	113 28%	9 21%	7 19%	17 32%	7 29%	17 34%	17 24%	12 27%	5 21%	16 38% ab	6 26%	29 30%	33 25%	45 29%	24 27%	14 38% e	14 26%	16 38% e	46 5 24%
Second priority	(2.0)	107 26%	12 30% I	9 26%	17 32% I	5 20%	12 24%	21 30% I	14 30% I	6 24%	5 11%	6 23%	23 23%	39 29% I	39 25% i	21 25% d	5 15%	15 27% d	5 11%	60 5 32% BD
Third Priority	(3.0)	57 14%	3 8%	4 10%	6 12%	4 17%	7 13%	15 21% ajL	6 13%	5 21%	6 15%	1 5%	16 16%	13 10%	26 17% I	12 14%	4 10%	7 13%	6 15%	28 5 15%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 5 8%
Not Stated		101 25%	10 23%	12 33% e	12 23%	6 23%	8 16%	15 22%	10 22%	6 25%	14 34% Ek	9 35% e	20 20%	34 26%	39 25%	24 27%	12 32%	10 18%	14 34% c	42 5 22%
Mean Score		1.80	1.78	1.82	1.74	1.82	1.71	1.95 i	1.80	2.00	1.63	1.62	1.81	1.77	1.83	1.79	1.55	1.80	1.63	1.87 B
Std Deviation Std Error		.76 .046	.69 .146	.72 .176	.72 .122	.84 .242	.77 .129	.78 .099	.74 .120	.82 .228	.84 .162	.69 .177	.80 .102	.70 .082	.79 .070	.77 .102	.76 .156	.76 .134	.84 .162	.73 .063

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 38/2

Building of new nuclear power stations Base: All respondents

					Aç	je			Ger	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	33 8%	-	2 7%	3 9%	2 3%	10 9% d	15 9% d	27 9%	6 5%	31 16% BCE	1 1%	-	1 8% BC	-	17 8%	16 8%
Second priority	(2.0)	30 7%	-	4 14% d	2 6%	3 3%	10 9%	12 7%	25 9% b	4 4%	21 11% Be	6 5%	2 4%	1 4%	-	11 6%	19 9%
Third Priority	(3.0)	51 12%	-	3 12%	4 15%	8 11%	15 13%	21 13%	39 14%	11 9%	34 18% Be	8 6%	7 12%	1 8%	1 4%	37 18% B	14 7%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		264 64%	3 100%	17 67%	22 71%	57 78% EF	68 61%	96 58%	176 61%	88 72% A	89 48%	104 80% AD	45 78% Ad	10 57%	17 89% AD	125 60%	140 68%
Mean Score		2.16	-	2.13	2.20	2.49	2.12	2.12	2.13	2.26	2.04	2.45	2.75 Ad	2.00	3.00	2.30 B	1.96
Std Deviation Std Error		.85 .079	-	.80 .282	.92 .306	.77 .215	.85 .149	.87 .123	.85 .088	.87 .190	.87 .094	.65 .181	.46 .152	1.06 .476	-	.87 .107	.79 .113

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 39/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Building of new nuclear power stations Base: All respondents

									Region								C	ouncil ty	De	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	South Of Eng Land	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	33 8%	2 5%	1 3%	8 15% bdk	-	4 8%	5 7%	4 9%	1 5%	6 14% k	2 8%	5 5%	11 9%	15 9%	7 8%	2 5%	1 2%	6 14% C	18 9% c
Second priority	(2.0)	30 7%	2 5%	4 10%	2 5%	1 6%	2 4%	7 10%	2 4%	5 21% aCEGLM	3 7%	2 7%	8 9%	8 6%	11 7%	5 6%	3 9%	4 7%	3 7%	15 8%
Third Priority	(3.0)	51 12%	7 18% deiK	10 26% DEGhIJKm	8 16% diK	-	3 6%	14 20% DEIjK	4 9%	1 5%	2 5%	1 4%	4 4%	25 19% DEljK	20 13% K	7 9%	4 12%	4 8%	2 5%	33 17% aD
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated		264 64%	22 54%	18 49%	33 62%	20 83% ABfL	34 70% bl	42 61%	32 70% bl	15 60%	30 73% aBl	17 69%	70 71% aBL	73 56%	104 66% bl	61 70% e	25 69%	36 67%	30 73% e	112 58%
Mean Score		2.16	2.44 I	2.59 ceghljKm	2.03	2.00	1.89	2.34 I	2.02	2.00	1.65	1.81	1.95	2.32 I	2.12	2.03	2.28 d	2.34 d	1.65	2.23 D
Std Deviation Std Error		.85 .079	.82 .249	.66 .182	.96 .240	-	.93 .310	.80 .147	.95 .276	.61 .251	.82 .248	.87 .389	.74 .185	.86 .136	.87 .119	.87 .195	.81 .255	.73 .256	.82 .248	.86 .106

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Table 39/2

Building of new wind farms Base: All respondents

					Aç	le			Gen	der			Party			Sit on pl comm	
		Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male F	emale	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total		411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total		411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	11 3%	-	-	2 5%	2 3%	1 1%	6 4%	7 2%	5 4%	1 1%	4 3%	3 5% A	1 4%	3 16% AB	6 3%	5 3%
Second priority	(2.0)	40 10%	-	4 14%	4 12%	9 12%	11 10%	14 8%	22 8%	18 15% A	1 1%	15 12% A	17 29% ABD	1 8% A	6 33% ABD	18 9%	22 11%
Third Priority	(3.0)	36 9%	1 28%	7 26% DEF	3 10%	6 8%	9 8%	9 6%	26 9%	10 8%	5 3%	18 14% A	10 17% Ae	2 11% A	1 4%	18 9%	18 9%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	-	-	-	4 6%	8 7%	21 13% bCd	21 7%	12 10%	12 7%	12 9%	3 6%	4 23% ABC	1 7%	16 8%	17 8%
Not Stated		291 71%	2 72%	15 60%	22 73%	53 71%	82 74%	115 70%	214 74% B	77 63%	167 89% BCDE	82 63% CE	25 43%	10 54%	8 40%	148 72%	142 69%
Mean Score		2.28	3.00	2.65 dF	2.19	2.23	2.39	2.10	2.35	2.15	2.60 E	2.39 E	2.23 E	2.32	1.76	2.28	2.28
Std Deviation Std Error		.68 .073	-	.50 .159	.77 .257	.69 .157	.59 .126	.74 .142	.69 .092	.66 .116	.76 .286	.67 .118	.63 .117	.86 .350	.59 .159	.71 .107	.67 .100

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B



Table 40/1

Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Building of new wind farms Base: All respondents

	[Region								Co	uncil typ	е	
		Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	North of Eng Land	MID LANDS	South of Eng Land	Unitary		Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%			a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total		411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total		411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Top priority	(1.0)	11 3%	1 3%	1 3%	1 2%	1 3%	2 4%	1 1%	-	2 6% gm	1 2%	2 7% GM	4 4% m	4 3%	2 1%	3 3%	1 2%	2 3%	1 2%	5 3%
Second priority	(2.0)	40 10%	3 8%	4 10%	5 10%	3 11%	6 13%	4 6%	6 13%	1 5%	7 17% Fj	1 3%	10 11%	12 9%	17 11%	9 10%	3 8%	5 10%	7 17%	17 9%
Third Priority	(3.0)	36 9%	3 8%	5 13% j	4 7%	1 6%	2 5%	3 5%	6 14% efJ	4 16% fJ	6 16% eFJ	-	8 8%	12 9%	16 10% j	6 6%	2 5%	7 13%	6 16% ae	14 5 7%
I don't think any of the above infrastructure projects should be a priority		33 8%	7 18% CFIM	5 13% cFiM	1 2%	3 11% f	6 13% cFiM	2 2%	4 8%	2 9%	1 2%	3 11% f	11 11% cFiM	13 10% FM	6 4%	6 7%	2 6%	9 16% De	1 2%	15 8%
Not Stated		291 71%	26 63%	23 61%	42 79%	17 68%	33 66%	60 86% ABdEGHI K	29 65%	16 64%	26 64%	20 79%	65 66%	91 69%	115 74%	64 73%	29 79%	31 57%	26 64%	140 73%
Mean Score		2.28	2.27	2.38	2.23	2.12	2.03	LM 2.31	2.52	2.34	2.41	1.28	2.14	2.29	2.42	с 2.17	C 2.20	2.38	2.41	C 2.25
			j	J	j		j	J	EJk		J		j	J	eJ				2	
Std Deviation Std Error		.68 .073	.75 .285	.73 .259	.69 .217	.73 .365	.66 .199	.69 .219	.52 .135	.90 .368	.60 .161	.58 .336	.73 .159	.70 .139	.59 .094	.70 .165	.76 .309	.72 .200	.60 .161	.70 .115

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E





Q7 - Which of the following infrastructure project types would you say should be a significant priority for investment nationally? Please rank your top 3 - 1 for top priority, 2 for the second priority and 3 for the third priority.

Summary table Base: All respondents

					I don't think		
					any of the above		
					infrastructure		
					projects		
		Тор	Second	Third	should be a	Not	Mean
	Total	priority	priority	Priority	priority	Stated	score
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С	d	е	f
Significance Level: 95%		A	В	С	D	E	F
Improvements to the existing national rail network	411	113	107	57	33	101	1.80
	100%	28%	26%	14%	8%	25%	
Improvements to existing major roads	411	94	86	68	33	131	1.90
improvemente te extering mejor roude	100%	23%	21%	16%	8%	32%	1.00
High Speed roll link from London to Pirminghom (HS2)	411	47	19	19	33	293	1.67
High Speed rail link from London to Birmingham (HS2)	100%	47 11%	5%	5%	33 8%	293 71%	1.07
Airport capacity in the South East	411	37	30	35	33	276	1.99
	100%	9%	7%	9%	8%	67%	
Building of new nuclear power stations	411	33	30	51	33	264	2.16
	100%	8%	7%	12%	8%	64%	
Building of new major roads	411	28	40	39	33	271	2.10
	100%	7%	10%	9%	8%	66%	
Building of new wind farms	411	11	40	36	33	291	2.28
	100%	3%	40	30 9%	33 8%	71%	2.20
Motorway tolls or road pricing on motorways	411	2	4	13	33	359	2.59
	100%	*	1%	3%	8%	87%	

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F





Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Councillors publically expressing an opinion about a planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

				Ag	je			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on p comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Appropriate	123 30%	2 64% f	14 55% EF	11 35% f	30 41% EF	29 26%	35 21%	100 35% B	23 19%	58 31%	36 28%	19 34%	3 19%	6 33%	48 23%	75 37% A
Inappropriate	271 66%	1 36%	11 42%	18 57%	39 53%	77 69% BD	126 76% BCD	180 62%	91 75% A	123 66%	86 66%	38 66%	13 74%	12 63%	151 73% B	120 59%
Don't know	17 4%	-	1 3%	2 8%	4 6%	5 4%	4 3%	9 3%	7 6%	6 3%	8 6% c	-	1 8% C	1 4%	7 3%	10 5%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

COMRES

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Councillors publically expressing an opinion about a planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

		ļ						Region								C	Council typ	pe	
	Total	East Midlands	West Vidlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND		SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 5 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%
Appropriate	123 30%	9 22%	7 19%	13 24%	3 11%	13 27% j	23 32% dJ	20 44% ABCDeJ	10 40%	23 57% ABCDEF	2 9%	26 26%		66 42% ABCDEJ	21 25%	8 21%	19 34%	23 57%	52 27%
								L	bDJI	KLm		j		L				ABCE	
Inappropriate	271 66%	30 73% gIM	25 68% I	41 76% GIM 6	22 89% FGHIM	33 68% I	44 63% I	24 54%	14 56%	18 43%	20 83% fGHIM	69 70% GIM	96 73% GIM	86 55%	62 71% D	27 5 73% D	32 59%	18 43%	133 69% D
Don't know	17 4%	2 5%	5 13% CGIkM	-	-	3 6%	3 5%	1 2%	1 3%	-	2 8% ci	4 4%	7 5%	4 3%	4 4%	3 7% d	4 7% d	-	7 4%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey Table 42/2

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Councillors meeting with a developer regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

				Aç	je			Ge	nder			Party			Sit on pl comm	
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Appropriate	248 60%	3 100%	18 70% e	23 73% E	50 67% E	57 52%	96 58%	181 62%	67 55%	121 65% be	70 53%	39 68% bE	9 53%	8 45%	120 58%	127 62%
Inappropriate	141 34%	-	5 18%	6 19%	20 27%	45 40% BCd	65 40% BCd	95 33%	46 38%	60 32%	48 37%	17 30%	7 39%	9 51% ac	73 36%	68 33%
Don't know	22 5%	-	3 12% F	2 8%	4 6%	8 8% F	4 2%	14 5%	9 7%	6 3%	13 10% Ac	1 2%	1 8%	1 4%	12 6%	10 5%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Councillors meeting with a developer regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

			Region												(Council ty	ре		
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 6 100%
Appropriate	248 60%	20 49% J	19 51% J	29 55% J	16 66% J	35 71% AbcJL	49 70% AbcJL	33 72% AbcJL	16 64% J	24 60% J	6 23%	67 68% AbJL	69 52% J	106 68% AbJL	44 51%	23 63%	34 63%	24 60%	121 63% A
Inappropriate	141 34%	18 43% efgkm	12 32%	22 40% eg	8 34%	12 24%	19 27%	11 24%	7 27%	16 38%	17 68% ABCDEF G	27 28%		46 29%	37 42%	11 30%	15 28%	16 38%	62 % 33%
Don't know	22 5%	3 7%	6 16% cdeFGIKM	2 5%	-	2 4%	2 2%	2 4%	2 9%	1 3%	HIKLM 2 9%	4 4%	efgkm 11 9% fM	4 3%	6 7%	3 7%	5 9%	1 3%	8 6 4%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

ComRes Online Survey Table 43/2

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Coucillors meeting with the opponents regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

				Ag	le			Ge	nder		Sit on planning committee					
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Appropriate	283 69%	3 100%	19 75%	24 78% e	58 78% Ef	68 61%	109 66%	206 71%	77 64%	137 73% B	81 62%	42 74%	12 68%	11 60%	133 64%	150 73% a
Inappropriate	113 27%	-	4 17%	5 18%	13 17%	37 33% cD	53 32% D	76 26%	37 30%	46 25%	41 32%	14 24%	5 28%	6 32%	66 32% B	46 23%
Don't know	15 4%	-	2 7% f	1 4%	3 4%	6 6% f	3 2%	8 3%	7 6%	4 2%	8 6% a	1 2%	1 4%	2 8% a	7 3%	8 4%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Coucillors meeting with the opponents regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee decision Base: All respondents

								Region								(Council ty	be	
	Total	East Midlands	West lidlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i I	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 5 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 5 100%
Appropriate	283 69%	25 62%	25 68% j	32 60%	16 66%	36 73% J	51 72% J <i>j</i>	38 85% AbCdfhJ K	16 64%	33 81%	11 43%	68 69%	82 63%	122 5 78%	56 64%	27 72%	38 70%	33 81%	129 68%
								L		aCJL		J	j	ACJkL				ae	
Inappropriate	113 27%	13 31% G	8 22%	20 37% GIM	8 34% G	12 25%	18 25% g	6 13%	7 27%		14 57% ABcEFG HI	28 28%	41 31%	30 5 19%	28 32%	8 21%	14 26%	7 17%	56 29%
Deathlanau	45	2	4	0		4	0	4	0	4	KLM	G	GiM		d	2	0	4	d
Don't know	15 4%	3 7%	4 10% fjM	2 4%	-	1 2%	2 2%	1 2%	2 9%	1 3%	-	3 3%	9 7% m	4 5 2%	3%	3 7%	2 4%	1 3%	6 3%

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E



Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Political groups being whipped to a party line on a planning committee Base: All respondents

		Age						Ge	nder	Party						anning ittee
	Total	18-24	25-34	35-44	45-54	55-64	65+	Male	Female	Con	Lab	Lib Dem	Indep	Other	Yes	No
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	a A	b B	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	a A	b B
Unweighted Total	411	3	25	32	76	109	164	292	119	189	112	57	26	27	208	203
Weighted Total	411 100%	3 100%	26 100%	31 100%	74 100%	111 100%	165 100%	290 100%	121 100%	187 100%	130 100%	57 100%	18 100%	19 100%	206 100%	205 100%
Appropriate	15 4%	-	3 11% EF	2 6% e	4 6% e	1 1%	4 2%	12 4%	3 2%	6 3%	8 6% c	-	-	1 4%	3 1%	12 6% A
Inappropriate	381 93%	3 100%	22 86%	28 91%	66 89%	105 95%	156 94%	267 92%	113 94%	173 92%	115 88%	57 100% AB	18 100% b	18 96%	199 96% B	182 89%
Don't know	16 4%	-	1 3%	1 3%	4 5%	5 4%	6 3%	11 4%	5 4%	9 5% c	7 5% c	-	-	-	4 2%	11 6% a

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F - A,B - A,B,C,D,E - A,B

Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Political groups being whipped to a party line on a planning committee Base: All respondents

								Region							Council type						
	Total	East Midlands	West Midlands	East England	North East	North West	South East	South West	York shire & Humber	London	Wales	NORTH OF ENG LAND	MID LANDS	SOUTH OF ENG LAND	Unitary	County	Metro politan	London	District		
Significance Level: 90% Significance Level: 95%		a A	b B	c C	d D	e E	f F	g G	h H	i	j J	k K	l L	m M	a A	b B	c C	d D	e E		
Unweighted Total	411	38	32	46	18	50	82	54	21	42	28	89	116	178	88	38	48	42	195		
Weighted Total	411 100%	41 100%	37 100%	53 100%	25 100%	49 100%	70 100%	45 100%	25 100%	41 100%	25 100%	99 100%	132 100%	156 100%	87 100%	37 100%	55 100%	41 100%	191 100%		
Appropriate	15 4%	1 3%	1 3%	-	-	2 4%	5 8% ckL	1 2%	-	4 10% CgjkL	-	2 2%	2 2%	10 6% cl	3 3%	1 2%	1 2%	4 10% e	6 3%		
Inappropriate	381 93%	35 86%	32 87%	52 98% AbIm	25 100% ai	46 94%	63 90%	43 94%	25 100% abi	35 86%	25 100% Abflm	96 97% ABfIIM	120 91%	141 90%	85 97% De	34 93%	52 96%	35 86%	174 91%		
Don't know	16 4%	5 11% ceFjKM	4 10% fjK	1 2%	-	1 2%	2 2%	2 4%	-	2 5%	-	1 1%	10 7% K	5 3%	-	2 5% A	1 2%	2 5% A	11 6% A		

Columns Tested: A,B,C,D,E,F,G,H,I,J,K,L,M - A,B,C,D,E

COMRES

Councillors Survey January 2013 - Development Intelligence Q8 - When thinking about the handling of a planning application prior to a planning committee decision, do you think that each of the following are appropriate or inappropriate?

Summary table Base: All respondents

				Don't
	Total	Appropriate	Inappropriate	know
Significance Level: 90%		а	b	С
Significance Level: 95%		А	В	С
Coucillors meeting with the opponents regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee				
decision	411	283	113	15
	100%	69%	27%	4%
Councillors meeting with a developer regarding a specific planning application prior to a committee				
decision	411	248	141	22
	100%	60%	34%	5%
Councillors publically expressing an opinion about a				
planning application prior to a committee decision	411	123	271	17
	100%	30%	66%	4%
Political groups being whipped to a party line on a				
planning committee	411	15	381	16
	100%	4%	93%	4%

Columns Tested: A,B,C



